System Modeling of the HTTR with RELAP5-3D

Paul Humrickhouse Idaho National Laboratory

000

Idaho National

Laboratory

In collaboration with: Hiroyuki Sato, Yoshiyuki Imai, Junya Sumita, and Xing L. Yan Japan Atomic Energy Agency

International RELAP5 Users Group Seminar Idaho Falls, ID May 4, 2018



Overview

- The High Temperature Test Reactor (HTTR)
- Expansion plans- HTTR/GT-H₂ (Gas Turbine-Hydrogen)
- RELAP5-3D model of HTTR-GT/H₂ secondary system
 - Turbomachinery
 - Compressors
 - Turbines
 - Heat exchangers
 - Secondary IHX
 - 2nd IHX
 - Recuperator
 - Precooler
 - Cooler A
 - Cooler B

Statepoint comparisons



The High Temperature Test Reactor (HTTR)



https://httr.jaea.go.jp/eng/G/HTTR_Pamphlet-ENG20100514.pdf

- Japan Atomic Energy Agency (JAEA) will use HTTR to demonstrate both:
 - Power extraction via Brayton cycle with closed-cycle gas turbine
 - Hydrogen generation via the iodine-sulfur process
 - Designed upgrade: HTTR-GT/H₂



HTTR-GT/H₂

HTTR-GT/H₂ secondary system schematic





Component model development approach

- The RELAP5-3D model of the HTTR-GT/H₂ secondary is essentially a series of component models connected by pipes
- Each component model has been developed individually
- The component models are connected to time-dependent volumes upstream and downstream, with properties there fixed based on statepoint data provided by JAEA
- Each component model is adjusted (if/when applicable) to give the correct temperature change and flow rate across the component
- The component models are then assembled into a larger system model and connected by pipes
- Trip valves are used to switch between sole power generation and H₂ co-generation modes
 - Some components are only used in one mode or the other, and flow rates though all components change depending on the mode



Turbomachinery

- Two single-stage, radial turbines
- Two two-stage, centrifugal compressors
- Low pressure (LP) and High pressure (HP) turbines and compressors connected to common shaft, generator





Compressors

- Available compressor data gives pressure coefficient (μ) and efficiency (η) as a function of flow coefficient (φ)
- RELAP5-3D needs pressure ratio (π_c) and efficiency (η) as a function of relative corrected flow $(\dot{m}\sqrt{T}/P)$ and relative corrected speed (N/\sqrt{T})

$$\phi = \frac{Q}{\pi (D/2)^2 U} \qquad U = \pi N D$$

Use ideal gas law to write:

$$\phi = \frac{\frac{\dot{m}\sqrt{T}}{P}}{\frac{N}{\sqrt{T}}} \frac{4R_s}{\pi^2 D^3}$$

Rearrange…



Flow coefficient



Flow coefficient



Compressors (2)

- Procedure for building compressor maps for RELAP5-3D:
 - For a given relative corrected speed,
 - For a range of flow coefficients,
 - Get pressure coefficient and efficiency using data at right
 - Get relative corrected flow rate and pressure ratio using the equations below:



JAEA-Data/Code 2017-011

Flow coefficient



Efficiency

Flow coefficient



Turbines

- "Type 3" model (function of speed and power) used for both low and high pressure turbine efficiencies:
- $\eta = \eta_{rated} * f(\omega, W)^*$ mult
- JAEA design data used to customize "mult":
- $\dot{m} \frac{\sqrt{T}}{P} = f(\phi)$ inverted to get:
 - $-\phi = f\left(\dot{m}rac{\sqrt{T}}{P}
 ight)$ for each turbine
- mult= $f\left(f\left(\dot{m}\frac{\sqrt{T}}{P}\right)\right)$, form of function determined from efficiency data
- Form loss coefficient set to give correct pressure ratio







Efficiency

Flow coefficient $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$



Turbine model performance

- Efficiency and pressure ratio match design parameters well in sole power generation mode
- Turbine power is somewhat higher

	HP Turb	ine		LP Turbine			
	Design	RELAP SPG mode	$\begin{array}{c} RELAP \\ H_2 \ mode \end{array}$	Design	RELAP SPG mode	RELAP H_2 mode	
Efficiency	~90%	90.3%	84.8%	~90%	90.4%	86.4%	
Power (kW)	~1710	1891	1853	~1778	1954	2224	
Pressure Ratio	1.13	1.13	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.19	

- In H₂ mode, form loss has been changed to give correct flow rate for specified pressure drop!
 - Need a way to model variable loss for the turbines...
 - RELAP5-3D allows for f(Re), but probably f(m,T,P) is needed



Heat Exchangers

- HTTR includes many different types of heat exchangers, but most are based on tube bundles in cross-flow
- Initial RELAP5 models did not match design data well using built in correlations, which are based on an average of parallel and cross flow
- We have therefore implemented the "Delaware method" and associated correlations to model heat transfer and friction
 - Heat transfer implementation makes use of "-2xxxx" boundary condition type for heat structures, which sets the heat flux based on a control variable
 - Control variables can then be used to calculate the heat transfer coefficient based on flow conditions
 - Friction factor correlations can not be implemented *exactly*, but can be closely approximated at high Re using the alternate turbulent wall friction model (e.g. card CCC2601 in a pipe component)



The Delaware Method

• Heat transfer- Colburn j-factor:

$$j = \frac{hPr^{2/3}S_m}{C_p\phi\dot{m}} = a_1 \left(\frac{1.33}{P_T/D_0}\right)^a (Re)^{a_2}$$

$$a = \frac{a_3}{1 + 0.14 \ (Re)^{a_4}}$$



Taborek J., in: Heat Exchanger Design Handbook, v. 3, 1988.

Fanning friction factor:

$$f = b_1 \left(\frac{1.33}{P_T / D_0}\right)^b (Re)^{b_2}$$

$$b = \frac{b_3}{1 + 0.14 \ (Re)^{b_4}}$$

- Values of a₁-a₄ and b₁-b₄ depend on Reynolds number and orientation of the tube bank (square, rotated square, or triangular)
- These are provided by tables in the RELAP5-3D implementation



Corrections in the Delaware method

- Heat transfer coefficients and friction factors are typically reduced by a factor of as low as 0.5 due to non-idealities (baffle leakage, bundle bypass, etc.
- The Delaware method applies geometrybased correction factors (based on TEMA type E heat shell-and-tube heat exchangers) to calculate this overall reduction factor
- In order to match state points, we have adjusted the correction factor (for both the heat transfer coefficient and friction factor) to achieve the correct heat transfer and pressure drop
- The correction factors applied are summarized for each component on the following slides





Bell, J. Heat Transfer 126 (2004) 877.



Precooler

- Helical coil with radial low-finned tubes
- Helium secondary loop on shell side
 - Pipe component with 12 segments
- Water coolant on tube side
 - Tubes are lumped as one pipe component with 12 segments
- Heat transfer rate: 4.784 MW
- Includes effect of radial fins:

$$q^{\prime\prime} = h \left(\frac{A_t}{A_{RELAP}} \left[1 - \frac{A_f}{A_t} \left(1 - \eta_f \right) \right] \right) \Delta T$$

$$\eta_f = \frac{(2r_1/m)}{(r_{2c}^2 - r_1^2)} \frac{K_1(mr_1)I_1(mr_{2c}) - I_1(mr_1)K_1(mr_{2c})}{I_0(mr_1)K_1(mr_{2c}) + K_0(mr_1)I_1(mr_{2c})}$$

- Heat transfer correction factor: 0.87
- Friction correction factor: 3.025



JAEA-Data/Code 2017-011



Cooler A

- Shell-and-tube, TEMA type X
- Helium secondary loop on shell side
 - Shell side (cross flow) divided into two regions (split in middle)
- Water coolant on tube side
 - Tubes lumped into one pipe component with 22 segments (12 in crossflow region, 6 per side)
- Heat transfer rate: 4.43 MW
- Heat transfer correction factor: 0.935
- Friction correction factor: 25.67



JAEA-Data/Code 2017-011



Cooler B

- Shell-and-tube, TEMA type E
- Helium secondary loop on shell side
 - Shell side divided into:
 - 2 entrance/exit regions
 - 4 baffle window regions
 - 3 tube cross-flow regions
- Water coolant on tube side
 - Tubes lumped into pipe component with 28 segments
 - 2 up, 2 down between baffles
- Heat transfer rate: 4.19 MW
- Heat transfer correction factor: 1.39
- Friction correction factor: 7.02





Statepoint comparison – sole power generation

- Flow rate of closed loop = 9.835 kg/s (design: 9.85 kg/s)
- Most points match well! Turbine pressure drop close to design point





Running in H₂ co-generation mode

- Running the same model in hydrogen co-generation mode did not work well! Primary reasons for this:
 - The main Intermediate Heat Exchanger model does not transfer enough heat at the lower flow rate (2.57 kg/s), and IHX outlet temperatures did not get close to the desired outlet temperature (900 °C)
 - The pressure drop across the turbines does not change appropriately with flow conditions, because the form loss coefficient used to set this in SPG mode is fixed
 - Design pressures are not always precise enough to appropriately adjust friction models
 - In closed-loop mode, all of the above result in components seeing conditions far from the design points
- To assess performance of components in HCG mode, consider a model with time dependent volumes in place of the IHX to that these state points are correct...



H₂ co-generation mode: work in progress

- In the following slide:
 - Loop is connected to time-dependent volumes at both ends of IHX
 - Turbine form loss has been adjusted to match H₂ co-generation operating conditions
 - Recuperator and precooler friction has been modeled with the alternate turbulent wall friction model:
 - f = A + B(Re)^{-C}
 - B, C set to attempt to match pressure drop in both modes

- (2 points, 2 unknowns)

 Form losses and flow areas have been adjusted to get correct flow split between IHX/2nd IHX and turbomachinery



Statepoint comparison – H₂ co-generation

- Flow rate through 2nd IHX: 2.59 kg/s (2.57 kg/s design)
- Flow rate through turbomachinery: 9.25 (9.15 kg/s design)

Some adjustments to loss coefficient needed to achieve these!

Location	Temperature [K]		ΔΤ [K]		Pressure [MPa]		ΔΡ [K]			
	Design	RELAP	<u> </u>	rhine	nower A	Pov	eresti	mate	d 🗌	RELAP/Design
IHX secondary side outlet	1173.15	1172.8								-
2nd IHX secondary side inlet	1160.55	1170.5			/	-	4.10309			
2nd IHX secondary side outlet	1108.15	1118.4	-52.4	-52.1	99.4275%	-	4.10098	-	-0.00211	-
Turbine inlet	841.35	839.36				4.077	4.07651			
Turbine outlet	779.45	755.04	-61.9	-84.32	136.2197%	3.283	2.99114	-0.794	-1.08537	136.6965%
Recuperator LP side inlet	779.45	755.04				3.282	2.99067			
Recuperator LP side outlet	389.65	369.16	-389.8	-385.88	98.9944%	3.275	2.98409	-0.007	-0.00658	94.0000%
Precooler inlet	389.65	369.16				3.267	2.984			
Precooler outlet	298.15	296.83	-91.5	-72.33	79.0492%	3.265	2.98038	-0.002	-0.00362	181.0000%
Compressor inlet	298.15	296.83				3.265	2.97994			
Compressor outlet	347.35	350.04	49.2	53.21	108.1504%	4.402	4.12563	1.137	1.14569	100.7643%
Recuperator HP side inlet	347.35	350.02				4.138	4.12455			
Recuperator HP side outlet	737.15	735.17	389.8	385.15	98.8071%	4.134	4.12007	-0.004	0.00448	112.0000%
Cooler B inlet	737.15	735.2				-	4.11993			
Cooler B outlet	423.15	423.37	-314 -311.8 Compressor performance still good							
IHX secondary side inlet	423.15	425.5					4.11121			5000



Summary, conclusions, and future work

- A RELAP5-3D model of the HTTR-GT/H₂ secondary system has been developed
- Compressor models match design data well
- A mechanism to appropriately model turbine pressure ratio with changing flow conditions (T, P) is desired
- Heat transfer models based on the Delaware method and implemented via control variables generally gave good agreement with design data
- Friction models for heat exchangers are presently difficult to assess since design data are somewhat imprecisely known
- Detailed models of the IHX, 2nd IHX, and recuperator still need to be developed (some design details still needed)
- Refined component models should give a better result for operation in hydrogen co-generation mode

Idaho National Laboratory