Veolia Nuclear Solutions- Federal Services Environmental Surveillance, Education, and Research Program ISSN NUMBER 1089-5469

Idaho National Laboratory Site Offsite Environmental Surveillance Program Report: Third Quarter 2021

June 2022



Contributors:

Kevin Claver, Brande Hendricks

Program conducted for the U.S. Department of Energy, Idaho Operations Office Under Contract DE-NE0008477

Ву

Veolia Nuclear Solutions - Federal Services
Environmental Surveillance, Education and Research Program
Bill Doering, Program Manager
120 Technology Dr., Idaho Falls, Idaho 83401
www.idahoeser.com

DISCLAIMER

This information was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the U.S. Government. Neither the U.S. Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, expressed or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness, of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. References herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the U.S. Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the U.S. Government or any agency thereof.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

None of the radionuclides detected in samples collected during the third quarter of 2021 could be directly linked with INL Site activities. Levels of detected radionuclides were no different than values measured at other locations across the western United States. All detected radionuclide concentrations were well below standards set by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and regulatory standards established by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for protection of the public.

This report for the third quarter of 2021 contains results from the Environmental Surveillance, Education, and Research (ESER) Program's monitoring of the Department of Energy's Idaho National Laboratory (INL) Site's offsite environment, July 1 through September 30, 2021. All sample types (media) and the sampling schedule followed during 2021 are listed in Appendix A. This report contains results for the following sample types:


- Air, including particulate air filters, charcoal cartridges, and atmospheric moisture
- Precipitation
- Milk
- Lettuce
- Grain
- Large game animals

Table ES-1. Summary of Results for the Third Quarter of 2021.

Media	Sample Type	Analysis	Results
Air	Filters	Gross alpha, gross beta	There were no statistically significant differences in monthly and quarterly gross alpha and gross beta concentrations measured at Distant, Boundary, and INL Site sampling locations. No result exceeded the 99%/95% upper tolerance limit (UTL) or the Derived Concentration Standard (DCS) for gross alpha or gross beta activity in air.
	Quarterly Composite	Gamma-emitting radionuclides, strontium-90, actinides (americium and plutonium)	No human-made gamma- emitters or ²⁴¹ Am, and ²³⁸ Pu were measured in any composite. Plutonium- 239/240 (^{239/240} Pu) was detected in one composited sample collected from Arco, but was not detected in a duplicate sample. Strontium- 90 (⁹⁰ Sr) was detected in the quarterly composited sample collected at Howe, but the result was just below the laboratory's detection limit. Both results were well below their associated DCSs.
	Charcoal Cartridge	lodine-131	lodine-131 was not detected in any of the batches of charcoal cartridges counted during the quarter.
Atmospheric Moisture	Liquid	Tritium	Three of the seventeen results showed tritium concentrations greater than the 3s uncertainty during the quarter. None of the sample results exceeded the 99%/95% UTL. No result exceeded the DCS for tritium in air.
Precipitation	Liquid	Tritium	Six of the twelve results were greater than the 3s uncertainty. All results were below the 99%/95% UTL.

Table ES-1. continued.

Media	Sample Type	Analysis	Results
Milk	Liquid	lodine-131, other gamma-emitting radionuclides	Forty-six milk samples were collected at nine locations (including duplicate samples and the offsite control sample from Colorado). Milk cows for the Idaho Falls location were moved to the Terreton area at the beginning of July. As a result, a diary in Rigby was selected as a replacement for weekly milk sampling. No lodine-131 or other human-made gamma emitting radionuclides were detected.
Lettuce	Vegetation	Gamma-emitting radionuclides, strontium- 90	No human-made gamma emitting radionuclides or Strontium-90 were found in any of the eight samples collected.
Grain	Vegetation	Gamma-emitting radionuclides, strontium- 90	No human-made gamma- emitting radionuclides or ⁹⁰ Sr were found in any of the 12 grain samples collected.
Large game animals	Tissue	Gamma emitting radionuclides	No human-made gamma emitting radionuclides were found in any of the tissue samples collected in the third quarter.



INTENTIONALLY BLANK

CONTENTS

1.	ESER	Program Description	1
2.	The IN	L Site	5
3.	Air Sar	npling	7
	Lov	v-Volume Air Sampling	7
	Atn	nospheric Moisture Sampling	10
4.	Precipitation and Water Sampling		19
5.	. Agricultural Product, Wildlife, and Soil Sampling		21
	Milk Sampling		21
	Let	tuce Sampling	21
	Gra	in Sampling	21
	Lar	ge Game Animal Sampling	22
6.	6. Quality Assurance		23
7.	Refere	nces	25
		FIGURES	
Figui	re 1.	Location of the Idaho National Laboratory Site	6
Figui	re 2.	ESER air monitoring locations.	8
Figui	re 3.	Gross alpha concentrations in air at ESER INL Site, Boundary, and Distant locations for the third quarter of 2021	11
Figu	re 4.	July 2021 gross alpha concentrations in air at ESER INL Site, Boundary, and Distant locations	12
Figui	re 5.	August 2021 gross alpha concentrations in air at ESER INL Site, Boundary, and Distant locations	13
Figu	re 6.	September 2021 gross alpha concentrations in air at ESER INL Site, Boundary, and Distant location	s14
Figui	re 7.	Gross beta concentrations in air at ESER INL Site, Boundary, and Distant locations for the third qual of 2021	
Figu	re 8.	July 2021 gross beta concentrations in air at ESER INL Site, Boundary, and Distant locations	16
Figu	re 9.	August 2021 gross beta concentrations in air at ESER INL Site, Boundary, and Distant locations	17
Figu	re 10.	September 2021 gross beta concentrations in air at ESER INL Site, Boundary, and Distant locations	. 18
Figui	re 11.	ESER atmospheric moisture and precipitation monitoring locations.	19
Figu	re 12.	ESER milk sampling locations.	22

TABLES

Table ES-1.	Summary of Results for the Third Quarter of 2021.	İ
Table A-1.	Summary of the ESER Program's Sampling Schedule.	. A-1
Table B-1.	Summary of Approximate Minimum Detectable Concentrations for Radiological Analyses Performed during Third Quarter 2021	
Table C-1.	Weekly Gross Alpha and Gross Beta Concentrations in Air	. C-1
Table C-2.	Weekly lodine-131 Activity in Air	. C-6
Table C-3.	Quarterly Cesium-137, Strontium-90, and Actinide Concentrations in Composite Air Filters	C-11
Table C-4.	Tritium Concentrations in Atmospheric Moisture	C-13
Table C-5.	Monthly and Weekly Tritium Concentrations in Precipitation	C-14
Table C-6.	Weekly and Monthly Iodine-131 and Cesium-137 Concentrations in Milk	C-15
Table D-1.	Results of the Kruskal-Wallace one-way analysis of variance by ranks between INL Site, Boundary, and Distant sample groups by quarter and by month.	
Table D-2.	Results of multiple comparisons of gross alpha results between locations during the third quarter	.D-2
Table D-3.	Results of multiple comparisons of gross beta results between locations during the third quarter	.D-2

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AEC Atomic Energy Commission

DCS Derived Concentration Standard

DOE Department of Energy

DOE – ID Department of Energy Idaho Operations Office

EAL Environmental Assessment Laboratory

EFS Experimental Field Station

EPA Environmental Protection Agency

ESER Environmental Surveillance, Education, and Research

ICP Idaho Cleanup Project
INL Idaho National Laboratory

INEL Idaho National Engineering Laboratory

INEEL Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory

ISU Idaho State University

MDC minimum detectable concentration
NRTS National Reactor Testing Station

VNSFS Veolia Nuclear Solutions – Federal Services

LIST OF UNITS

Bq becquerel

Ci curie

g gram

L liter

μCi microcurie

ml milliliter

mrem millirem

pCi picocurie

1. ESER PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

Operations at the Idaho National Laboratory (INL) Site are conducted under requirements imposed by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) under authority of the Atomic Energy Act and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under several acts (e.g., the Clean Air Act and Safe Drinking Water Act). The requirements imposed by DOE are specified in DOE Orders. These requirements include those to monitor the effects of DOE activities both inside and outside the boundaries of DOE facilities (DOE 2011a, DOE 2015a).

During calendar year 2021, environmental monitoring within the INL Site boundaries is primarily the responsibility of the INL and Idaho Cleanup Project (ICP) contractors. The ESER Program focuses on surveillance off the INL Site and is managed by Veolia Nuclear Solutions-Federal Services (VNSFS).

This report contains monitoring results from the ESER Program for samples collected during the third quarter of 2021 (July 1 - September 30, 2021).

The surveillance portion of the ESER Program is designed to satisfy the following program objectives:

- Verify compliance with applicable environmental laws, regulations, and DOE Orders
- Characterize and define trends in the physical, chemical, and biological condition of environmental media on and around the INL Site
- Assess the potential radiation dose to members of the public from INL Site effluents
- Present program results clearly and concisely using reports, presentations, newsletter articles and press releases.

The goal of the surveillance program is to monitor different media at several potential exposure points within the various exposure pathways, including air, water, agricultural products, wildlife, and soil that could possibly contribute to the radiation dose received by the public.

Environmental samples collected include:

- air at 16 locations on and around the INL Site
- atmospheric moisture at one INL Site location and at three locations off the INL Site
- precipitation collected at the same four locations sampled for atmospheric moisture
- drinking water collected from eight locations off the INL Site
- surface water collected from three springs located downgradient of the INL Site and from five locations along the Big Lost River, when it is flowing, on the INL Site
- agricultural products, including milk at six dairies around the INL Site, potatoes from at least eight local producers, alfalfa from three locations off the INL Site, grain (wheat and barley) from approximately nine local producers, and lettuce from approximately seven home-owned and portable gardens on and around the INL Site
- soil from 12 locations around the INL Site biennially
- environmental dosimeters from 16 locations semi-annually
- various numbers of wildlife including bats, big game (pronghorn, mule deer, and elk) and waterfowl sampled from the INL Site.

Table A-1 in Appendix A lists samples, sampling locations, and collection frequency for the ESER Program.

The ESER Program used two laboratories to perform analyses on routine environmental samples collected during the quarter reported here. The ISU Environmental Assessment Laboratory (EAL) performed routine gross alpha, gross beta, tritium, and gamma spectrometry analyses. Analyses requiring radiochemistry including strontium-90 (90Sr), plutonium-238 (238Pu), plutonium-239/240 (239/240Pu), and americium-241 (241Am) were performed by GEL Laboratories.

In the event of non-routine occurrences, such as suspected releases of radioactive material, the ESER Program may increase the frequency of sampling and/or the number of sampling locations based on the nature of the release and wind distribution patterns. Any data found to be outside historical norms in the ESER Program is thoroughly investigated to determine if an INL Site origin is likely. Investigation may include re-sampling and/or re-analysis of prior samples, as well as additional analyses of samples.

In the event of any suspected worldwide nuclear incidents, like the 1986 Chernobyl accident or the 2011 Fukushima accident, the EPA may request additional sampling be performed through RadNet. RadNet is a nationwide environmental radiation monitoring system that monitors the nation's air, precipitation, and drinking water for radiation. The ESER Program currently operates a high-volume air sampler and collects precipitation and drinking water in Idaho Falls for this national program and routinely sends samples to EPA's Eastern Environmental Radiation Facility for analyses. The RadNet data collected at Idaho Falls are not reported by the ESER Program but are available through the EPA RadNet website (https://www.epa.gov/radnet).

Once samples have been collected and analyzed, the ESER Program has the responsibility for quality control of the data, entry into the ESER database, and reporting in quarterly reports. The quarterly reports are then consolidated into the INL Site Environmental Report for each calendar year. The annual report also includes data collected by other INL Site contractors.

The results reported in the quarterly and annual reports are assessed in terms of data quality and statistical significance with respect to laboratory analytical uncertainties, sample locations, reported INL Site releases, meteorological data, and worldwide events that might conceivably affect the INL Site environment. First, field collection and laboratory information are reviewed to determine identifiable errors that would invalidate or limit use of the data. Examples of such limitations include insufficient sample volume, torn filters, evidence of laboratory cross-contamination or quality control issues. Data that pass initial screening are further evaluated using statistical methods. Statistical tools are necessary for data evaluation particularly since environmental measurements typically involve the determination of minute concentrations, which are difficult to detect and even more difficult to distinguish from other measurements.

Results are presented in this report with an analytical uncertainty term, s, where "s" is the estimated sample standard deviation (σ), assuming a Gaussian or normal distribution. All results are reported in this document, even those that do not necessarily represent detections. The term "detected", as used for the discussion of results in this report, does not imply any degree of risk to the public or environment, but rather indicates that the radionuclide was measured at a concentration sufficient for the analytical instrument to record a value that is statistically different from background. Laboratory measurements involve the analysis of a target sample and the analysis of a prepared laboratory blank (i.e., a sample which is identical to the sample collected in the environment, except that the radionuclide of interest is absent). In order to conclude that a radionuclide has been detected, it is essential to consider two fundamental aspects of the problem of detection: (1) the instrument signal for the sample must be greater than that observed for the blank before the decision can be made that the

radionuclide has been detected; and (2) an estimate must be made of the minimum radionuclide concentration that will yield a sufficiently large observed signal before the correct decision can be made for detection or non-detection. Each laboratory currently defines a detection of radioactivity in an individual sample if the result exceeds a detection level calculated by the laboratory after the analysis of a background sample, based on calculations derived by Curie (1984). The minimum detectable concentration (MDC) is defined as the concentration at which there is a 95% confidence that an analyte signal will be distinguishable from an analyte-free sample.


In addition, ESER uses a three standard deviation criterion to minimize the chance that a potentially false positive result is included in the data set. A false positive result is indicated when the range encompassing the result, plus or minus the total uncertainty at three standard deviations, includes zero (e.g., 2.5 +/- 1.0; range of -0.5 to 5.5). Statistically, the probability that a result can exceed the absolute value of its total uncertainty at three standard deviations by chance alone is less than 1%. A result that is greater than three times the total uncertainty of the measurement represents a statistically positive detection with over 99% confidence (DOE 2015b). The ESER reports measured radionuclide concentrations greater than or equal to their respective 3s uncertainties as being *detected with confidence*.

Concentrations between 2s and 3s are reported as *questionably detected*. That is, the radionuclide may be present in the sample; however, the probability that a result can exceed the absolute value of its total uncertainty at two standard deviations by chance alone may be as high as 5%. Measurements made between 2s and 3s are examined further to determine if they are a part of a pattern (temporal or spatial) that might warrant further investigation or recounting. For example, if a radionuclide is routinely detected at > 3s at a specific location, a sample result between 2s and 3s might be considered detected.

If a result is less than or equal to 2s there is even less statistical confidence that the radionuclide is present in the sample. Analytical results in this report are presented as the result value \pm one standard deviation (1s) for reporting consistency with the annual report. To obtain the 2s or 3s values simply multiply the uncertainty term by 2 or 3.

Data are also compared to historical measurements using the upper tolerance limit (UTL). The UTL is a value such that 99% of the population (in this case, all valid measurements made between 2011-2020) is less than the UTL with 95% confidence (EPA 2015). With a 99%/95% UTL it is expected that approximately 1% of the measurements will exceed the UTL if the concentration of a radionuclide is within the normal range. This means that if a concentration exceeds the UTL it does not necessarily indicate that the site is outside of the normal range. Rather, it indicates that the measurement should be closely examined to determine if it is unusually high.

For more information concerning the ESER Program, contact VNSFS at (208) 525-8250, or visit the Program's web page (http://www.idahoeser.com).



INTENTIONALLY BLANK

VFS-ID-ESER-SURV-100

2. THE INL SITE

The INL Site is a nuclear energy and homeland security research, and environmental management facility. It is owned and administered by the U.S. Department of Energy, Idaho Operations Office (DOE-ID) and occupies about 890 mi² (2,300 km²) of the upper Snake River Plain in Southeastern Idaho (Figure 1). The history of the INL Site began during World War II when the U.S. Naval Ordnance Station was located in Pocatello, Idaho. This station, one of two such installations in the U.S., retooled large guns from U.S. Navy warships. The retooled guns were tested on the nearby, uninhabited plain, known as the Naval Proving Ground. In the years following the war, as the nation worked to develop nuclear power, the Atomic Energy Commission (AEC), predecessor to the DOE, became interested in the Naval Proving Ground and made plans for a facility to build, test, and perfect nuclear power reactors.

The Naval Proving Ground became the National Reactor Testing Station (NRTS) in 1949, under the AEC. By the end of 1951, a reactor at the NRTS became the first to produce useful amounts of electricity. Over time the site has operated 52 various types of reactors, associated research centers, and waste handling areas. The NRTS was renamed the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory (INEL) in 1974, and the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory (INEL) in January 1997. With renewed interest in nuclear power the DOE announced in 2003 that Argonne National Laboratory-West and the INEEL would be the lead laboratories for development of the next generation of power reactors. On February 1, 2005, the INEEL and Argonne National Laboratory-West became the INL. The INL is committed to providing international nuclear leadership for the 21st Century, developing and demonstrating compelling national security technologies, and delivering excellence in science and technology as one of the U.S. Department of Energy's multiprogram national laboratories. Battelle Energy Alliance, LLC, is responsible for the management and operations of the INL.

The Idaho Cleanup Project (ICP) Core is a separately managed effort. The ICP Core is charged with safely and cost-effectively completing the majority of cleanup work from past laboratory missions in an ongoing process. Fluor Idaho, LLC, is responsible for the ICP Core.




Figure 1. Location of the Idaho National Laboratory Site.

3. AIR SAMPLING

The primary pathway by which radionuclides can move off the INL Site is through the air and for this reason the air pathway is the primary focus of monitoring on and around the INL Site. Samples for particulates and iodine-131 (131) gas in air were collected weekly for the duration of the quarter at 16 locations using low-volume air samplers. Moisture in the atmosphere was sampled at four locations around the INL Site and analyzed for tritium. Air sampling activities and results for the third quarter of 2021 are discussed below. A summary of approximate minimum detectable concentrations (MDCs) for radiological analyses and DOE Derived Concentration Standard (DCS) (DOE 2011b) values is provided in Appendix B.

LOW-VOLUME AIR SAMPLING

Radioactivity associated with airborne particulates was monitored continuously by 18 low-volume air samplers (two of which are used as replicate samplers) at 16 locations during the third quarter of 2021 (Figure 2). Three of these samplers are located on the INL Site, seven are situated off the INL Site near the boundary, and eight have been placed at locations distant to the INL Site. Samplers are divided into INL Site, Boundary, and Distant groups to determine if there is a gradient of radionuclide concentrations, increasing towards the INL Site. Each replicate sampler is relocated every other year to a new location. Since 2020, one replicate sampler was located at Arco (a Boundary location) and the other to Mud Lake (also a Boundary location). An average of 18,830 ft³ (533 m³) of air was sampled at each location, each week, at an average flow rate of 1.89 ft³/min (0.05 m³/min). Particulates in air were collected on membrane particulate filters (1.2-µm pore size). Gases passing through the filter were collected with an activated charcoal cartridge.




Figure 2. ESER air monitoring locations.

Filters and charcoal cartridges were changed weekly at each station during the quarter. Each particulate filter was analyzed for gross alpha and gross beta radioactivity using thin-window gas flow proportional counting systems after waiting about four days for naturally-occurring daughter products of radon and thorium to decay.

The weekly particulate filters collected during the quarter for each location were composited and analyzed for gamma-emitting radionuclides. Selected composites were also analyzed by location for ⁹⁰Sr, ²³⁸Pu, ^{239/240}Pu, and ²⁴¹Am as determined by a rotating quarterly schedule.

Charcoal cartridges were analyzed for gamma-emitting radionuclides, specifically for iodine-131 (131). Iodine-131 is of particular interest because it is produced in relatively large quantities by nuclear fission, is readily accumulated in human and animal thyroids, and has a half-life of eight days. This means that any elevated level of 131 in the environment could be from a recent release of fission products.

Gross alpha results are reported in Table C-1 and shown in Figures 3 through 6. Gross alpha concentrations measured in individual samples ranged from a low of (7.6 \pm 1.8) x 10⁻¹⁶ μ Ci/ml collected at Blackfoot on September 22, 2021, to a high of (4.3 \pm 0.47) × 10⁻¹⁵ μ Ci/ml collected at Howe on July 21, 2021. All results were less than the Derived Concentration Standard (DCS) of 3.4 × 10⁻¹⁴ μ Ci/ml for $^{239/240}$ Pu (see Table B-1 of Appendix B). In addition, the

results were consistent with historical data, as represented by the 99%/95% upper tolerance limit (UTL) for gross alpha activity (4.5 × 10^{-15} µCi/ml). The UTL was determined using ten years of historical data (measured from 2011 through 2020) and the ProUCL statistical software (https://www.epa.gov/land-research/proucl-software). The 99%/95% UTL is a value such that 99% of the population (all possible air measurements) is less than the UTL with 95% confidence. With a 99%/95% UTL it is expected that approximately 1% of the measurements will exceed the UTL if the concentration of gross alpha is within the normal range. This means that if a concentration exceeds the UTL it does not necessarily indicate that the result is outside of the normal range. Rather, it indicates that the measurement should be closely examined to determine if it is unusually high. None of the gross alpha measurements during the third quarter exceeded the UTL.

Gross alpha data have been tested for distribution (normally or lognormally distributed) and generally show no consistent discernible distribution. Because there is no discernible distribution of the data, a parametric test of significance cannot be used. The nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis analysis of variance by ranks test of multiple independent groups was used to determine statistical differences between INL Site, Boundary, and Distant locations. The test assesses the hypothesis that the different samples in the comparison were drawn from the same distribution or from distributions with the same median. In the computation of the Kruskal-Wallis test, each of the N observations is replaced by a rank. That is, all the results from all the locations are combined and ranked in a single series with the smallest result replaced by rank 1 and the largest result replaced by rank N (i.e., the total number of results). The sum of the ranks in each location group (i.e., INL Site, Boundary, and Distant) is found and then averaged for each group. If the samples are from the same populations, the average ranks should be about the same, whereas if the samples are from populations with different medians, the average ranks should differ. Statistically significant difference exists between data groups if the p-value (or probability value) is less than 0.05. Values greater than 0.05 translate into a 95% confidence that the medians are statistically the same. The p-value for each comparison is shown in Table D-1. There was no statistically significant difference among groups for the quarter or for any specific month in the quarter. To determine if there were any differences between stations and where the differences occur, the Kruskal-Wallis analysis of variance by ranks test was used again. No differences were determined (Table D-2).

Gross beta results are presented in Table C-1 and displayed in Figures 7 through 10. Gross beta concentrations measured in individual samples ranged from a low of (1.3 ± 0.13) x 10^{-14} µCi/ml collected at Mud Lake (QA-2) on August 25, 2021, to a high of (4.3 ± 0.19) × 10^{-14} µCi/ml collected at Jackson Hole on July 14, 2021. All results were less than the Derived Concentration Standard (DCS) of 2.5×10^{-11} µCi/ml for 90 Sr (see Table B-1 of Appendix B). In addition, the results were consistent with historical data, as represented by the 99%/95% upper tolerance limit (UTL) for gross beta activity $(6.3\times10^{-14}$ µCi/ml). The data were tested quarterly and generally are found to be neither normally nor log-normally distributed. Box and whiskers plots were used to present the non-parametric data. Outliers and extreme values were retained in subsequent statistical analyses because they are within the range of measurements made in the past ten years, and because these values could not be attributed to mistakes in collection, analysis, or reporting procedures.

There were no statistically significant differences in the gross beta data between groups for the quarter or for any month, using the Kruskal-Wallis analysis of variance by ranks test (Table D-1). To determine if there were any differences between stations and where the differences occur, multiple comparisons were also made using the Kruskal-Wallis analysis of variance by ranks test between gross beta concentrations measured at all locations. Results measured at all locations were determined to be statistically similar (Table D-3).

lodine-131 was not detected in any of the 26 sets of charcoal cartridges measured during the third quarter. Weekly ¹³¹I results for each location are listed in Table C-2.

No 137 Cs or other human-made gamma-emitting radionuclides were found in quarterly air composites. No 238 Pu, or 241 Am were detected either. Plutonium-239/240 was detected [(5.6 ± 1.6) x $^{10^{-17}}$ µCi/ml] in one composite sample collected from Arco. However, $^{239/240}$ Pu was not detected in a duplicate sample collected at the same location. The result is well below the DCS for $^{239/240}$ Pu in air (3.4 x $^{10^{-14}}$ µCi/ml). Strontium-90 was detected at the 3s uncertainty level [(3.3 ± 1.1) x $^{10^{-17}}$ µCi/ml] in a composite collected at Howe, but the result was just below the laboratory's detection limit. In comparison to the DCS, the 90 Sr result was 0.0001 percent of the DCS. Results for these analyses are found in Table C-3.

ATMOSPHERIC MOISTURE SAMPLING

Atmospheric moisture is collected by pulling air through a column of absorbent material (molecular sieve material) to absorb water vapor. The water is then extracted from the absorbent material by heat distillation. The resulting water samples are then analyzed for tritium using liquid scintillation.

Results were available for seventeen atmospheric moisture samples collected at the INL Site, Boundary, and Distant locations during the third quarter of 2021 (Figure 11). Three of the concentrations exceeded the 3s uncertainty level for tritium, with a maximum reported value of $(1.28 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-12} \, \mu \text{Ci/ml}_{air}$ at EFS. The maximum result did not exceed the 99%/95% UTL of $1.6 \times 10^{-12} \, \mu \text{Ci/ml}_{air}$ and is within the range of values observed for the past 10 years. All samples were significantly below the DOE DCS for tritium in air (as water vapor) of $2.1 \times 10^{-7} \, \mu \text{Ci/ml}_{air}$. Results are shown in Table C-4.




Figure 3. Gross alpha concentrations in air at ESER INL Site, Boundary, and Distant locations for the third quarter of 2021. The DOE Derived Concentration Standard (DCS) is the concentration of plutonium-239/240 (239/240Pu) in air which, if inhaled for a year, would result in a dose of 100 mrem/yr. Because the measurements include naturally occurring radionuclides (such as 238U, 234U, 232Th, 226Ra and 210Po) in uncertain proportions, a meaningful DCS cannot be constructed for gross alpha concentrations. The DCS for 239/240Pu is shown because it is the most restrictive human-made alpha emitter. The UTL represents the value below which 99% of the population values are expected to fall with 95% confidence.




Figure 4. July 2021 gross alpha concentrations in air at ESER INL Site, Boundary, and Distant locations. Number of samples (N) = 4 at each location. The Derived Concentration Standard (DCS) is the concentration of plutonium-239/240 (239/240Pu) in air which, if inhaled for a year, would result in a dose of 100 mrem/yr. Because the measurements include naturally occurring radionuclides (such as ²³⁸U, ²³⁴U, ²³²Th, ²²⁶Ra and ²¹⁰Po) in uncertain proportions, a meaningful DCS cannot be constructed for gross alpha concentrations. The DCS for ^{239/240}Pu is shown because it is the most restrictive human-made alpha emitter. The UTL represents the value below which 99% of the population values are expected to fall with 95% confidence.




Figure 5. August 2021 gross alpha concentrations in air at ESER INL Site, Boundary, and Distant locations. Number of samples (N) = 4 at each location except for Sugar City and Van Buren (N = 3). The Derived Concentration Standard (DCS) is the concentration of plutonium-239/240 (239/240Pu) in air which, if inhaled for a year, would result in a dose of 100 mrem/yr. Because the measurements include naturally occurring radionuclides (such as 238U, 234U, 232Th, 226Ra and 210Po) in uncertain proportions, a meaningful DCS cannot be constructed for gross alpha concentrations. The DCS for 239/240Pu is shown because it is the most restrictive human-made alpha emitter. The UTL represents the value below which 99% of the population values are expected to fall with 95% confidence.




Figure 6. September 2021 gross alpha concentrations in air at ESER INL Site, Boundary, and Distant locations. Number of samples (N) = 5 at each location, except for EFS (N = 4). The Derived Concentration Standard (DCS) is the concentration of plutonium-239/240 (239/240Pu) in air which, if inhaled for a year, would result in a dose of 100 mrem/yr. Because the measurements include naturally occurring radionuclides (such as 238U, 234U, 232Th, 226Ra and 210Po) in uncertain proportions, a meaningful DCS cannot be constructed for gross alpha concentrations. The DCS for 239/240Pu is shown because it is the most restrictive human-made alpha emitter. The UTL represents the value below which 99% of the population values are expected to fall with 95% confidence.




Figure 7. Gross beta concentrations in air at ESER INL Site, Boundary, and Distant locations for the third quarter of 2021. The Derived Concentration Standard (DCS) is the concentration of strontium-90 (90Sr) in air which, if inhaled for a year, would result in a dose of 100 mrem/yr. Because the measurements include naturally occurring radionuclides (such as 40K, 228Ra, and 210Pb) in uncertain proportions, a meaningful DCS cannot be constructed for gross beta concentration. The DCS for 90Sr is shown because it is the most restrictive human-made beta emitter. The UTL represents the value below which 99% of the population values are expected to fall with 95% confidence.




Figure 8. July 2021 gross beta concentrations in air at ESER INL Site, Boundary, and Distant locations. Number of samples (N) = 4 at each location. The Derived Concentration Standard (DCS) is the concentration of strontium-90 (90Sr) in air which, if inhaled for a year, would result in a dose of 100 mrem/yr. Because the measurements include naturally occurring radionuclides (such as ⁴⁰K, ²²⁸Ra, and ²¹⁰Pb) in uncertain proportions, a meaningful DCS cannot be constructed for gross beta concentrations. The DCS for ⁹⁰Sr is shown because it is the most restrictive human-made beta emitter. The UTL represents the value below which 99% of the population values are expected to fall with 95% confidence.




Figure 9. August 2021 gross beta concentrations in air at ESER INL Site, Boundary, and Distant locations. Number of samples (N) = 4 at each location except Sugar City and Van Buren (N = 3). The Derived Concentration Standard (DCS) is the concentration of strontium-90 (90 Sr) in air which, if inhaled for a year, would result in a dose of 100 mrem/yr. Because the measurements include naturally occurring radionuclides (such as 40 K, 228 Ra, and 210 Pb) in uncertain proportions, a meaningful DCS cannot be constructed for gross beta concentrations. The DCS for 90 Sr is shown because it is the most restrictive human-made beta emitter. The UTL represents the value below which 99% of the population values are expected to fall with 95% confidence.




Figure 10. September 2021 gross beta concentrations in air at ESER INL Site, Boundary, and Distant locations. Number of samples (N) = 5 at each location, except for EFS (N = 4). The Derived Concentration Standard (DCS) is the concentration of strontium-90 (90Sr) in air which, if inhaled for a year, would result in a dose of 100 mrem/yr. Because the measurements include naturally occurring radionuclides (such as 40K, 228Ra, and 210Pb) in uncertain proportions, a meaningful DCS cannot be constructed for gross beta concentrations. The DCS for 90Sr is shown because it is the most restrictive human-made beta emitter The UTL represents the value below which 99% of the population are expected to fall with 95% confidence.

4. PRECIPITATION AND WATER SAMPLING

PRECIPITATION SAMPLING

Precipitation samples are gathered when enough precipitation occurs to allow for the collection of the minimum sample volume of approximately 50 ml. Samples are taken of monthly composites from Idaho Falls, and weekly (when available) from the EFS on the INL Site and Atomic City and Howe on the INL Site boundary. These are the same locations that atmospheric moisture samples are collected. Precipitation samples are analyzed for tritium. Storm events in the third quarter of 2021 produced sufficient precipitation to yield 12 samples.

Tritium was measured above the 3s values in six of the 12 samples collected during the third quarter (Figure 11). These results are listed in Table C-5 (Appendix C). Low levels of tritium always exist in the environment as a result of cosmic ray reactions with water molecules in the upper atmosphere. Long-term data collected around the globe since 1961 by the International Atomic Energy Agency suggest that that tritium levels have steadily decreased since the Nuclear Test Ban Treaty in 1963 and are close to their pre-nuclear test values (Cauquoin et al. 2015) and that there are no longer remnants of fallout from nuclear weapons testing. When detected, tritium values have remained well within the historical range. The maximum value in the third quarter was (203 ± 30) pCi/L in an Atomic City sample collected in late August. The result was below the 99%/95% UTL of 300 pCi/ml.





Figure 11. ESER atmospheric moisture and precipitation monitoring locations.



5. AGRICULTURAL PRODUCT, WILDLIFE, AND SOIL SAMPLING

Another potential pathway for contaminants to reach humans is through the food chain. The ESER Program samples multiple agricultural products and game animals from around the INL Site and Southeast Idaho. Specifically, milk, alfalfa, grain, potatoes, lettuce, large game animals, and waterfowl are sampled. Milk is sampled throughout the year and large game animals are sampled whenever large game animals are killed onsite from vehicle collisions. Alfalfa is collected during the third quarter, lettuce and grain are sampled during the third quarter, while potatoes are collected during the fourth quarter. Waterfowl are collected in either the third or fourth quarter. See Table A-1, Appendix A, for more details on agricultural product and wildlife sampling. This section discusses results from milk, lettuce, grain and wildlife samples available during the third quarter of 2021.

MILK SAMPLING

The dairy cows for the Idaho Falls dairy were moved to the Terreton area at the beginning of July. Milk samples are currently collected weekly from a dairy in Terreton, therefore, a new dairy was chosen to replace the Idaho Falls dairy. A dairy in Rigby was selected to replace the Idaho Falls dairy for weekly milk samples. Monthly samples were collected at five other locations around the INL Site (Figure 12) during the third quarter of 2021. In addition to the local locations, commercially-available organic milk (from Colorado) was purchased as a control sample each month. All samples were analyzed for gamma emitting radionuclides, with particular emphasis on lodine-131. Samples were also analyzed for strontium-90 and tritium in May.

Neither ¹³¹I nor ¹³⁷Cs was detected in any weekly or monthly samples during the third quarter. No other human-made gamma-emitting radionuclides were found either. Data for ¹³¹I and ¹³⁷Cs in milk samples are listed in Appendix C, Table C-6.

LETTUCE SAMPLING

Lettuce sampling was completed during the third quarter. A total of eight samples were collected, including a commercially-available sample from a grocery store. Five lettuce samples were collected from portable planters at Atomic City, EFS, the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) Tower, Howe, and Monteview. Soil from the vicinity of the sampling locations was used in the planters. This soil was amended with potting soil as a gardener in the region would typically do when they grow their lettuce. In addition to the portable samplers, a sample was obtained from a garden in Idaho Falls and Pocatello.

No human-made gamma-emitting radionuclides or ⁹⁰Sr were found in any of the samples. Data for ¹³⁷Cs and ⁹⁰Sr in all lettuce samples taken during the third quarter are listed in Appendix C, Table C-7.

GRAIN SAMPLING

Locally grown grain (wheat and barley) was collected from ten Southeast Idaho locations and one duplicate from Roberts. In addition, a commercially-available sample was obtained from outside the local area. All samples were analyzed for gamma-emitting radionuclides and 90 Sr.

No human-made gamma-emitting radionuclides were detected in any grain sample. None of the 12 grain samples collected in 2021 contained a detectable concentration of ⁹⁰Sr. Data for ¹³⁷Cs and ⁹⁰Sr in all grain samples taken during 2021 are listed in Appendix C, Table C-8

LARGE GAME ANIMAL SAMPLING

Four elk were available for sampling during the third quarter of 2021. Muscle samples were taken from four animals whereas liver and thyroid samples were taken from three of the animals. No human-made gamma-emitting radionuclides were detected in any of the tissues. Results for the tissue samples are listed in Appendix C, Table C-9.




Figure 12. ESER milk sampling locations.

6. QUALITY ASSURANCE


The ESER Quality Assurance Program consists of five ongoing tasks which measure:

- 1. method uncertainty
- 2. data completeness
- 3. data accuracy, using spike, performance evaluation and laboratory control samples
- 4. data precision, using split samples, duplicate samples and recounts
- 5. presence of contamination in samples, using blanks.

Sample results are compared to criteria described in the Quality Assurance Project Plan for the INL Site Offsite Environmental Surveillance Program (VNSFS 2019). Criteria established by DOE for Quality Assurance activities include:


- Quality assurance program
- Personnel training and qualification
- Quality improvement process
- Documents and records
- Established work processes
- Established standards for design and verification
- Established procurement requirements
- Inspection and acceptance testing
- Management assessment
- Independent assessment

Assessments of ESER data quality are achieved through analysis of spike, performance evaluation, and duplicate samples; through sample recounts; through analysis of blank samples; and through comparison of sample results to established method quality objectives. These assessments are documented in the ESER Quality Assurance Report for the Third Quarter of 2021 (VNSFS 2022).



7. REFERENCES

- BEA, 2016, Data Quality Objectives Supporting the Environmental Soil Monitoring Program for the INL Site, INL/EXT-15-34909, February 2016.
- Cauquoin, A., P. Jean-Baptiste, C. Risia, É. Fourré, B. Stenni, and A. Landais, 2015, "The global distribution of natural tritium in precipitation simulated with an Atmospheric General Circulation Model and comparison with observations", *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 427 (2015) 160–170. http://www.lmd.jussieu.fr/~acauquoin/Mes_Publications/Cauquoin%20et%20al.%202015% 20-%20EPSL.pdf.
- Currie, L.A., 1984, Lower Limit of Detection: Definition and Elaboration of a Proposed Position for Radiological Effluent and Environmental Measurements, NUREG/CR-4007, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C., September 1984.
- DOE, 2011a, "Radiation Protection of the Public and the Environment," U.S. Department of Energy O 458.1, Administrative Change 4, February 11, 2011.
- DOE, 2011b, "Derived Concentration Technical Standard", Department of Energy Standard 1196-2011, April 2011.
- DOE, 2015a, "Environmental Radiological Effluent Monitoring and Environmental Surveillance", DOE-HDBK-1216-2015, March 2015.
- DOE, 2015b, Handbook for the Department of Energy's Mixed Analyte Performance Evaluation Program (MAPEP), January 2015. Available at: http://www.id.energy.gov/resl/mapep/handbookv15.pdf.
- EPA, 2018, RadNet—Nationwide Environmental Radiation Monitoring, Web-page: https://www.epa.gov/radnet
- ICRP, 2009, ICRP Publication 114: Environmental Protection: Transfer Parameters for Reference Animals and Plants, Annals of the International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP), December 2009.
- Pinder, J. E. III, K. W. McLeod, D. C. Adriano, J. C. Corey, and L. Boni, 1990, "Atmospheric Deposition, Resuspension and Root Uptake of Pu in Corn and Other Grain-Producing Agroecosystems Near a Nuclear Fuel Facility," *Health Physics*, Vol. 59, pp. 853-867.
- VNSFS, 2019, Quality Assurance Project Plan for the INL Site Offsite Environmental Surveillance Program, VFS-ID-ESER-PROC-066, Environmental Surveillance, Education and Research Program.
- VNSFS, 2022, *Environmental Quality Assurance Report for the 3rd Quarter 2021,* Environmental Surveillance, Education, and Research Program.



VFS-ID-ESER-SURV-100

INTENTIONALLY BLANK

APPENDIX A SUMMARY OF SAMPLING SCHEDULE

Table A-1. Summary of the ESER Program's Sampling Schedule.

Sample Type Collection LOCATIONS										
Sample Type	Collection		LOCATIONS							
Analysis	Frequency	Distant	Boundary	INL Site						
AIR SAMPLING										
LOW-VOLUME AIR	?									
Gross Alpha, Gross Beta, ¹³¹ I	weekly	Blackfoot, Craters of the Moon, Dubois, Idaho Falls, Jackson WY, Sugar City	Arco, Atomic City, FAA Tower, Howe, Monteview, Mud Lake, Blue Dome	Main Gate, EFS, Van Buren						
Gamma Spec	quarterly	Blackfoot, Craters of the Moon, Dubois, Idaho Falls, Jackson WY, Sugar City	Arco, Atomic City, FAA Tower, Howe, Monteview, Mud Lake, Blue Dome	Main Gate, EFS, Van Buren						
⁹⁰ Sr, Transuranics	quarterly	Rotating schedule	Rotating schedule	Rotating schedule						
ATMOSPHERIC M	OISTURE									
Tritium	2 to 13 weeks	Idaho Falls	Atomic City, Howe	EFS						
PRECIPITATION										
Tritium	monthly	Idaho Falls	None	None						
Tritium	weekly	None	Atomic City, Howe	EFS						
WATER SAMPLI	NG									
DRINKING WATER	?									
Gross Alpha, Gross Beta, Tritium	semiannually	Craters of the Moon, Idaho Falls, Minidoka, Shoshone	Atomic City, Howe, Mud Lake, Rest Area	None						
SURFACE WATER										
Gross Alpha, Gross Beta, Tritium	semiannually	Buhl, Hagerman, Twin Falls	None	Big Lost River (when flowing)						
ENVIRONMENTA	L RADIATIO	N SAMPLING								
TLDs/OSLDs										
Gamma Radiation	semiannual	Aberdeen, Blackfoot, Craters of the Moon, Dubois, Idaho Falls, Jackson WY, Minidoka, Sugar City, Roberts	Arco, Atomic City, Birch Creek, Blue Dome, Howe, Monteview, Mud Lake	None						
SOIL SAMPLING										
SOIL										
Gamma Spec, ⁹⁰ Sr, Transuranics	biennially	Carey, Blackfoot, St. Anthony	Butte City, Monteview, Atomic City, FAA Tower, Howe, Mud Lake (2), Birch Creek, Frenchman's Cabin	None						

Table A-1. continued.

		Table A-1. Continue		
Sample Tune	Collection		LOCATIONS	
Sample Type Analysis	Frequency	Distant	Boundary	INL Site
AGRICULTURAL F	PRODUCT SAN	IPLING		
MILK				
Gamma Spec (¹³¹ I)	weekly	ldaho Falls	Terreton	None
Gamma Spec (¹³¹ I)	Blackfoot Dietrich For		Howe, Terreton	None
Tritium, ⁹⁰ Sr	semi- annually	Blackfoot, Dietrich, Fort Hall, Idaho Falls, Minidoka	Howe, Terreton	None
POTATOES				
Gamma Spec, ⁹⁰ Sr	annually	Varies among Blackfoot, Idaho Falls, Rupert, Shelley, Hamer, Driggs, occasional samples across the U.S.	Varies among Arco, Monteview, Mud Lake, Terreton	None
ALFALFA				
Gamma Spec, ⁹⁰ Sr	annually	Idaho Falls	Howe, Mud Lake	None
GRAIN				
Gamma Spec, ⁹⁰ Sr	annually	Varies among American Falls, Blackfoot, Carey, Idaho Falls, Rupert/Minidoka, Roberts	Varies among Arco, Monteview, Mud Lake, Taber, Terreton	None
LETTUCE				
Gamma Spec, ⁹⁰ Sr	annually	Varies among Blackfoot, Carey, Idaho Falls, Rigby, Sugar City	Varies among Arco, Atomic City, FAA Tower, Howe, Monteview	EFS
WILDLIFE SAMPLI	ING			
BIG GAME				
Gamma Spec	varies	Occasional samples across the U.S.	Public Highways	INL Site roads
WATERFOWL				
Gamma Spec, ⁹⁰ Sr, Transuranics	annually	Varies among: Heise, Firth, Fort Hall, Mud Lake, Market Lake, and American Falls	None	INL Site wastewater disposal ponds



APPENDIX B SUMMARY OF MDCs AND DCSs

Table B-1. Summary of Approximate Minimum Detectable Concentrations for Radiological Analyses
Performed during Third Quarter 2021.

Sample Type	Analysis	Average Minimum Detectable Concentration ^a (MDC)	Derived Concentration Standard ^b (DCS)
	Gross alpha	4.9 x 10 ⁻¹⁶ μCi/ml	3.4 x 10 ⁻¹⁴ µCi/ml ^c
	Gross beta	2.4 x 10 ⁻¹⁵ μCi/ml	2.5 x 10 ⁻¹¹ μCi/ml ^d
Air	¹³⁷ Cs	7.9 x 10 ⁻¹⁷ µCi/ml	9.8 x 10 ⁻¹¹ μCi/ml
(particulate filter) ^e	⁹⁰ Sr	3.5 x 10 ⁻¹⁷ μCi/ml	2.5 x 10 ⁻¹¹ µCi/ml
(100.000.000	²⁴¹ Am	3.2 x 10 ⁻¹⁷ µCi/ml	4.1 x 10 ⁻¹⁴ µCi/ml
	²³⁸ Pu	3.6 x 10 ⁻¹⁷ µCi/ml	3.7 x 10 ⁻¹⁴ µCi/ml
	^{239/240} Pu	3.8 x 10 ⁻¹⁷ μCi/ml	3.4 x 10 ⁻¹⁴ µCi/ml
Air (charcoal cartridge)e	131	8.9 x 10 ⁻¹⁶ µCi/ml	4.1 x 10 ⁻¹⁰ µCi/ml
Air (atmospheric moisture)	³ H	91.4 pCi/L _{water} 6.8 x 10 ⁻¹³ µCi/ml _{air}	1.9 x 10 ⁶ pCi/L _{water} 2.1 x 10 ⁻⁷ µCi/ml _{air}
Air (precipitation)	³ H	87.6 pCi/L	1.9 x 10 ⁶ pCi/L _{water}
Mills	131	0.6 pCi/L	1.3 x 10 ³ pCi/L ^f
Milk	¹³⁷ Cs	1.1 pCi/L	3.0 x 10 ³ pCi/L ^f
Lettuce	¹³⁷ Cs	100.6 pCi/kg	g
Lettuce	⁹⁰ Sr	63.4 pCi/kg	
Crain	¹³⁷ Cs	1.8 pCi/kg	
Grain	⁹⁰ Sr	54.9 pCi/kg	
	131	85.8 pCi/kg (thyroid)	
Large Game	¹³⁷ Cs	0.9 pCi/kg (muscle/liver)	

a. The MDC is an estimate of the concentration of radioactivity in a given sample type that can be identified with a 95% level of confidence. MDCs are calculated and reported by the laboratories based on actual ESER sample results following analysis.

b. DCSs, set by the DOE, represent reference values for radiation exposure. They are based on a radiation dose of 100 mrem/yr for exposure through a particular exposure mode such as direct exposure, inhalation, or ingestion of water.

c. Based on the most restrictive human-made alpha emitter (239Pu).

d. Based on the most restrictive human-made beta emitter (90Sr).

e. The approximate MDC is based on an average filtered air volume (pressure corrected) of 445 m³/week.

f. There is no DCS established for radionuclides in milk. However, the DCS shown is for the radionuclide ingested in water.

g. - No appropriate DCS available.

APPENDIX C SAMPLE ANALYSIS RESULTS

Table C-1. Weekly Gross Alpha and Gross Beta Concentrations in Air

					GROSS ALPHA				GROSS BETA Result ± 1s Uncertainty Result ± 1s Uncertainty						
Sampling Group	Sampling	Result ±					ertainty		Result	t ± 1s Und	ertainty			certainty	
and Location	Date	(x 10) ⁻¹⁵ µCi/	mL)	(x 1	10 ⁻¹¹ Bq/	mL)	Result > 3s	(x	10 ⁻¹⁵ μCi	/mL)	(x 1	0 ⁻¹¹ Bq	/mL)	Result > 3s
BOUNDARY															
ARCO	07/07/21	1.09	±	0.21	4.03	±	0.79	Yes	30.90	±	1.36	114.33	±	5.03	Yes
	07/14/21	2.08	±	0.28	7.70	±	1.03	Yes	29.30	±	1.39	108.41	±	5.14	Yes
	07/21/21	1.63	±	0.28	6.03	±	1.02	Yes	29.50	±	1.49	109.15	±	5.51	Yes
	07/28/21	2.47	±	0.31	9.14	±	1.13	Yes	31.00	±	1.48	114.70	±	5.48	Yes
	08/04/21	2.15	±	0.28	7.96	±	1.02	Yes	31.80	±	1.44	117.66	±	5.33	Yes
	08/11/21	2.13	±	0.28	7.88	±	1.02	Yes	23.90	±	1.24	88.43	±	4.59	Yes
	08/18/21	2.57 1.91	±	0.31	9.51	±	1.15	Yes	26.30	±	1.39	97.31	±	5.14	Yes
	08/25/21		±	0.35 0.26	7.07	±	1.30	Yes	22.90	±	1.60	84.73 93.24	±	5.92	Yes
	09/01/21 09/08/21	1.70 2.49	± ±	0.26	6.29 9.21	± ±	0.96 1.18	Yes Yes	25.20 26.30	±	1.26 1.41	93.24 97.31	±	4.66 5.22	Yes Yes
	09/15/21	1.48	±	0.32	5.48	±	0.88	Yes	26.40	±	1.41	97.68	±	4.70	Yes
	09/22/21	0.97	±	0.24	3.58	±	0.70	Yes	21.30	±	1.07	78.81	±	3.96	Yes
	09/29/21	1.27	±	0.19	4.70	±	0.70	Yes	25.20	±	1.25	93.24	±	4.63	Yes
QA-1 (ARCO)	07/07/21	1.44	±	0.25	5.33	±	0.92	Yes	33.10	±	1.47	122.47	±	5.44	Yes
art i (riitoo)	07/14/21	1.80	±	0.26	6.66	±	0.97	Yes	30.70	±	1.39	113.59	±	5.14	Yes
	07/21/21	2.18	±	0.20	8.07	±	1.14	Yes	34.80	±	1.59	128.76	±	5.88	Yes
	07/28/21	2.53	±	0.31	9.36	±	1.14	Yes	26.80	±	1.41	99.16	±	5.22	Yes
	08/04/21	1.67	±	0.25	6.18	±	0.91	Yes	27.80	±	1.35	102.86	±	5.00	Yes
	08/11/21	2.21	±	0.28	8.18	±	1.04	Yes	27.50	±	1.32	101.75	±	4.88	Yes
	08/18/21	1.93	±	0.27	7.14	±	1.01	Yes	25.40	±	1.35	93.98	±	5.00	Yes
	08/25/21	2.82	±	0.42	10.43	±	1.55	Yes	21.80	±	1.67	80.66	±	6.18	Yes
	09/01/21	2.71	±	0.32	10.03	±	1.19	Yes	29.10	±	1.36	107.67	±	5.03	Yes
	09/08/21	2.32	±	0.31	8.58	±	1.14	Yes	29.10	±	1.44	107.67	±	5.33	Yes
	09/15/21	1.94	±	0.26	7.18	±	0.97	Yes	35.70	±	1.42	132.09	±	5.25	Yes
	09/22/21	1.03	±	0.20	3.81	±	0.74	Yes	25.50	±	1.18	94.35	±	4.37	Yes
	09/29/21	0.99	±	0.22	3.67	±	0.83	Yes	31.80	±	1.32	117.66	±	4.88	Yes
ATOMIC CITY	07/07/21	1.72	±	0.27	6.36	±	1.00	Yes	35.10	±	1.54	129.87	±	5.70	Yes
	07/14/21	2.76	±	0.33	10.21	±	1.22	Yes	33.50	±	1.55	123.95	±	5.74	Yes
	07/21/21	2.21	±	0.30	8.18	±	1.10	Yes	40.40	±	1.62	149.48	±	5.99	Yes
	07/28/21	2.34	±	0.33	8.66	±	1.22	Yes	29.80	±	1.61	110.26	±	5.96	Yes
	08/04/21	1.81	±	0.25	6.70	±	0.91	Yes	33.80	±	1.41	125.06	±	5.22	Yes
	08/11/21	2.64	±	0.32	9.77	±	1.18	Yes	28.00	±	1.40	103.60	±	5.18	Yes
	08/18/21	2.91	±	0.33	10.77	±	1.22	Yes	29.70	±	1.47	109.89	±	5.44	Yes
	08/25/21	2.84	±	0.42	10.51	±	1.56	Yes	28.10	±	1.81	103.97	±	6.70	Yes
	09/01/21	2.55	±	0.31	9.44	±	1.14	Yes	28.80	±	1.33	106.56	±	4.92	Yes
	09/08/21	2.27	±	0.31	8.40	±	1.16	Yes	31.50	±	1.53	116.55	±	5.66	Yes
	09/15/21	1.87	±	0.28	6.92	±	1.02	Yes	32.90	±	1.48	121.73	±	5.48	Yes
	09/22/21 09/29/21	1.10	±	0.20	4.07	±	0.75 0.92	Yes Yes	19.70	±	1.07	72.89	±	3.96	Yes
BLUE DOME	07/07/21	1.26 1.32	±	0.25 0.23	4.66 4.88	±	0.92	Yes	33.40 33.20	±	1.39 1.43	123.58 122.84	±	5.14 5.29	Yes Yes
PLUE DOINE	07/07/21	3.04	±	0.23	4.88 11.25	±	1.26	Yes	33.20 36.00	±	1.43	122.84	±	5.29 5.88	Yes
	07/21/21	2.19	±	0.34	8.10	±	1.10	Yes	39.30	±	1.61	145.41	±	5.96	Yes
	07/28/21	3.21	±	0.35	11.88	±	1.10	Yes	31.90	±	1.56	145.41	±	5.77	Yes
	08/04/21	2.16	±	0.33	7.99	±	1.02	Yes	32.60	±	1.44	120.62	±	5.33	Yes
	08/11/21	2.48	±	0.20	9.18	±	1.20	Yes	28.40	±	1.47	105.08	±	5.44	Yes
	08/18/21	4.21	±	0.40	15.58	±	1.48	Yes	28.60	±	1.53	105.82	±	5.66	Yes
	08/25/21	2.86	±	0.52	10.58	±	1.93	Yes	19.10	±	2.02	70.67	±	7.47	Yes
	09/01/21	1.95	±	0.26	7.22	±	0.98	Yes	30.40	±	1.29	112.48	±	4.77	Yes
	09/08/21	3.27	±	0.37	12.10	±	1.37	Yes	31.80	±	1.59	117.66	±	5.88	Yes
	09/15/21	2.32	±	0.33	8.58	±	1.20	Yes	27.50	±	1.50	101.75	±	5.55	Yes
	09/22/21	1.21	±	0.21	4.48	±	0.78	Yes	24.80	±	1.17	91.76	±	4.33	Yes
	09/29/21	1.18	±	0.25	4.37	±	0.92	Yes	31.50	±	1.38	116.55	±	5.11	Yes

Table C-1. Weekly Gross Alpha and Gross Beta Concentrations in Air

O Described de llege				GROSS ALPHA							GROSS BETA				
Sampling Group	Sampling	Result ±					ertainty			± 1s Und				certainty	
and Location	Date		0 ⁻¹⁵ μCi/			10 ⁻¹¹ Bq/		Result > 3s		10 ⁻¹⁵ μCi) ⁻¹¹ Bq		Result > 3s
FAA TOWER	07/07/21	0.98	±	0.23	3.63	±	0.84	Yes	33.30	±	1.53	123.21	±	5.66	Yes
	07/14/21	2.69	±	0.31	9.95	±	1.16	Yes	34.50	±	1.51	127.65	±	5.59	Yes
	07/21/21	1.78	±	0.26	6.59	±	0.95	Yes	30.70	±	1.37	113.59	±	5.07	Yes
	07/28/21	1.52	±	0.25	5.62	±	0.94	Yes	28.90	±	1.43	106.93	±	5.29	Yes
	08/04/21	1.37	±	0.23	5.07	±	0.84	Yes	30.60	±	1.38	113.22	±	5.11	Yes
	08/11/21	2.14	±	0.29	7.92	±	1.08	Yes	26.80	±	1.36	99.16	±	5.03	Yes
	08/18/21	2.75	±	0.32	10.18	±	1.19	Yes	25.10	±	1.38	92.87	±	5.11	Yes
	08/25/21	1.87	±	0.35	6.92	±	1.29	Yes	24.70	±	1.64	91.39	±	6.07	Yes
	09/01/21	1.95	±	0.26	7.22	±	0.96	Yes	28.80	±	1.24	106.56	±	4.59	Yes
	09/08/21	2.18	±	0.30	8.07	±	1.10	Yes	30.00	±	1.43	111.00	±	5.29	Yes
	09/15/21	2.01	±	0.30	7.44	±	1.11	Yes	30.30	±	1.51	112.11	±	5.59	Yes
	09/22/21	1.20	±	0.22	4.44	±	0.80	Yes	24.50	±	1.19	90.65	±	4.40	Yes
	09/29/21	0.91	±	0.23	3.35	±	0.85	Yes	31.50	±	1.37	116.55	±	5.07	Yes
HOWE	07/07/21	0.98	±	0.21	3.62	±	0.78	Yes	35.50	±	1.47	131.35	±	5.44	Yes
	07/14/21	3.42	±	0.39	12.65	±	1.42	Yes	35.80	±	1.72	132.46	±	6.36	Yes
	07/21/21	4.32	±	0.47	15.98	±	1.75	Yes	37.90	±	1.97	140.23	±	7.29	Yes
	07/28/21	3.39	±	0.40	12.54	±	1.47	Yes	36.40	±	1.82	134.68	±	6.73	Yes
	08/04/21	2.34	±	0.30	8.66	±	1.11	Yes	31.90	±	1.51	118.03	±	5.59	Yes
	08/11/21	2.47	±	0.34	9.14	±	1.26	Yes	29.00	±	1.56	107.30	±	5.77	Yes
	08/18/21	3.79	±	0.41	14.02	±	1.52	Yes	29.50	±	1.66	109.15	±	6.14	Yes
	08/25/21	3.00	±	0.48	11.10	±	1.78	Yes	23.30	±	1.93	86.21	±	7.14	Yes
	09/01/21	2.73	±	0.33	10.10	±	1.21	Yes	29.70	±	1.39	109.89	±	5.14	Yes
	09/08/21	3.59	±	0.43	13.28	±	1.58	Yes	32.20	±	1.78	119.14	±	6.59	Yes
	09/15/21	2.11	±	0.31	7.81	±	1.15	Yes	32.90	±	1.59	121.73	±	5.88	Yes
	09/22/21	1.37	±	0.23	5.07	±	0.85	Yes	23.00	±	1.18	85.10	±	4.37	Yes
	09/29/21	1.07	±	0.24	3.96	±	0.88	Yes	25.10	±	1.26	92.87	±	4.66	Yes
MONTEVIEW	07/07/21	1.35	±	0.24	5.00	±	0.88	Yes	36.10	±	1.49	133.57	±	5.51	Yes
	07/14/21	2.09	±	0.30	7.73	±	1.10	Yes	29.40	±	1.48	108.78	±	5.48	Yes
	07/21/21	1.64	±	0.26	6.07	±	0.95	Yes	29.70	±	1.39	109.89	±	5.14	Yes
	07/28/21	2.42	±	0.33	8.95	±	1.22	Yes	26.60	±	1.54	98.42	±	5.70	Yes
	08/04/21	2.60	±	0.41	9.62	±	1.52	Yes	36.20	±	2.11	133.94	±	7.81	Yes
	08/11/21	2.14	±	0.29	7.92	±	1.08	Yes	24.60	±	1.33	91.02	±	4.92	Yes
	08/18/21	2.89	±	0.33	10.69	±	1.22	Yes	26.90	±	1.43	99.53	±	5.29	Yes
	08/25/21	2.41	±	0.34	8.92	±	1.26	Yes	22.50	±	1.43	83.25	±	5.29	Yes
	09/01/21	2.65	±	0.30	9.81	±	1.12	Yes	26.70	±	1.25	98.79	±	4.63	Yes
	09/08/21	2.05	±	0.28	7.59	±	1.04	Yes	30.80	±	1.41	113.96	±	5.22	Yes
	09/15/21	1.89	±	0.26	6.99	±	0.96	Yes	25.90	±	1.26	95.83	±	4.66	Yes
	09/22/21	1.13	±	0.20	4.18	±	0.74	Yes	22.60	±	1.10	83.62	±	4.07	Yes
	09/29/21	1.29	±	0.27	4.77	±	0.98	Yes	28.10	±	1.38	103.97	±	5.11	Yes
MUD LAKE	07/07/21	1.47	±	0.25	5.44	±	0.91	Yes	32.20	±	1.44	119.14	±	5.33	Yes
	07/14/21	2.02	±	0.31	7.47	±	1.14	Yes	33.30	±	1.63	123.21	±	6.03	Yes
	07/21/21	1.61	±	0.26	5.96	±	0.97	Yes	33.60	±	1.50	124.32	±	5.55	Yes
	07/28/21	2.79	±	0.34	10.32	±	1.24	Yes	30.60	±	1.55	113.22	±	5.74	Yes
	08/04/21	1.80	±	0.25	6.66	±	0.92	Yes	27.30	±	1.31	101.01	±	4.85	Yes
	08/11/21	1.87	±	0.27	6.92	±	0.98	Yes	23.10	±	1.24	85.47	±	4.59	Yes
	08/18/21	3.51	±	0.36	12.99	±	1.35	Yes	29.80	±	1.51	110.26	±	5.59	Yes
	08/25/21	2.32	±	0.41	8.58	±	1.52	Yes	20.40	±	1.71	75.48	±	6.33	Yes
	09/01/21	1.59	±	0.23	5.88	±	0.86	Yes	26.00	±	1.16	96.20	±	4.29	Yes
	09/08/21	2.16	±	0.31	7.99	±	1.13	Yes	31.00	±	1.50	114.70	±	5.55	Yes
	09/15/21	1.48	±	0.25	5.48	±	0.92	Yes	27.70	±	1.36	102.49	±	5.03	Yes
	09/22/21	1.05	±	0.21	3.89	±	0.76	Yes	22.50	±	1.15	83.25	±	4.26	Yes
	09/29/21	1.33	±	0.26	4.92	±	0.95	Yes	30.70	±	1.37	113.59	±	5.07	Yes

Table C-1. Weekly Gross Alpha and Gross Beta Concentrations in Air

					GROSS ALPHA							GROSS BETA inty Result ± 1s Uncertainty			
Sampling Group	Sampling	Result ±				± 1s Unc			Result	± 1s Unc	ertainty				
and Location	Date		0 ⁻¹⁵ μCi/			10 ⁻¹¹ Bq/		Result > 3s		10 ⁻¹⁵ μCi/			0 ⁻¹¹ Bq/		Result > 3s
QA-2 (MUD LAKE)	07/07/21	1.19	±	0.23	4.40	±	0.84	Yes	27.30	±	1.34	101.01	±	4.96	Yes
	07/14/21	1.74	±	0.28	6.44	±	1.05	Yes	27.80	±	1.49	102.86	±	5.51	Yes
	07/21/21	1.79	±	0.26	6.62	±	0.95	Yes	37.50	±	1.48	138.75	±	5.48	Yes
	07/28/21	2.43	±	0.32	8.99	±	1.20	Yes	28.00	±	1.53	103.60	±	5.66	Yes
	08/04/21	1.78	±	0.25	6.59	±	0.91	Yes	27.80	±	1.30	102.86	±	4.81	Yes
	08/11/21 08/18/21	2.14 2.92	±	0.29 0.33	7.92 10.80	±	1.08 1.23	Yes Yes	24.40	±	1.32 1.41	90.28 96.94	±	4.88 5.22	Yes Yes
	08/25/21	1.60	±	0.33	5.92	±	1.23	Yes	26.20 13.10	± ±	1.41	48.47	±	4.92	Yes
	09/01/21	1.95	±	0.32	7.22	±	1.02	Yes	30.80	±	1.36	113.96	±	5.03	Yes
	09/08/21	1.88	±	0.29	6.96	±	1.02	Yes	27.10	±	1.44	100.27	±	5.33	Yes
	09/15/21	1.00	±	0.21	3.74	±	0.78	Yes	24.20	±	1.26	89.54	±	4.66	Yes
	09/22/21	1.42	±	0.22	5.25	±	0.81	Yes	21.40	±	1.09	79.18	±	4.03	Yes
	09/29/21	1.16	±	0.25	4.29	±	0.93	Yes	29.30	±	1.36	108.41	±	5.03	Yes
DISTANT												.,,,,,			
BLACKFOOT	07/07/21	1.21	±	0.24	4.48	±	0.88	Yes	35.10	±	1.52	129.87	±	5.62	Yes
	07/14/21	1.80	±	0.25	6.66	±	0.94	Yes	34.80	±	1.42	128.76	±	5.25	Yes
	07/21/21	1.74	±	0.27	6.44	±	0.99	Yes	34.50	±	1.50	127.65	±	5.55	Yes
	07/28/21	1.48	±	0.24	5.48	±	0.88	Yes	29.40	±	1.37	108.78	±	5.07	Yes
	08/04/21	1.51	±	0.23	5.59	±	0.85	Yes	28.00	±	1.30	103.60	±	4.81	Yes
	08/11/21	1.55	±	0.24	5.74	±	0.88	Yes	24.00	±	1.21	88.80	±	4.48	Yes
	08/18/21	2.57	±	0.32	9.51	±	1.20	Yes	29.30	±	1.50	108.41	±	5.55	Yes
	08/25/21	2.49	±	0.39	9.21	±	1.44	Yes	28.70	±	1.75	106.19	±	6.48	Yes
	09/01/21	2.11	±	0.29	7.81	±	1.06	Yes	28.50	±	1.33	105.45	±	4.92	Yes
	09/08/21	2.02	±	0.28	7.47	±	1.02	Yes	28.40	±	1.34	105.08	±	4.96	Yes
	09/15/21 09/22/21	1.22 0.76	±	0.22 0.18	4.51 2.82	±	0.83 0.65	Yes Yes	26.60 21.80	±	1.29 1.09	98.42 80.66	±	4.77 4.03	Yes Yes
	09/29/21	0.70	±	0.10	2.93	±	0.83	Yes	29.90	±	1.35	110.63	±	5.00	Yes
CRATERS OF THE	07/07/21	1.69	±	0.27	6.25	±	1.00	Yes	34.50	±	1.53	127.65	±	5.66	Yes
MOON	07/14/21	2.43	±	0.32	8.99	±	1.18	Yes	31.90	±	1.55	118.03	±	5.74	Yes
	07/21/21	2.08	±	0.30	7.70	±	1.10	Yes	38.90	±	1.63	143.93	±	6.03	Yes
	07/28/21	2.83	±	0.34	10.47	±	1.27	Yes	30.70	±	1.58	113.59	±	5.85	Yes
	08/04/21	2.04	±	0.27	7.55	±	0.99	Yes	35.90	±	1.49	132.83	±	5.51	Yes
	08/11/21	2.14	±	0.29	7.92	±	1.08	Yes	22.80	±	1.29	84.36	±	4.77	Yes
	08/18/21	2.93	±	0.33	10.84	±	1.23	Yes	32.20	±	1.52	119.14	±	5.62	Yes
	08/25/21	2.46	±	0.33	9.10	±	1.24	Yes	26.50	±	1.47	98.05	±	5.44	Yes
	09/01/21	2.92	±	0.33	10.80	±	1.20	Yes	27.40	±	1.30	101.38	±	4.81	Yes
	09/08/21	2.19	±	0.31	8.10	±	1.16	Yes	29.70	±	1.52	109.89	±	5.62	Yes
	09/15/21	2.09	±	0.31	7.73	±	1.13	Yes	32.90	±	1.56	121.73	±	5.77	Yes
	09/22/21	1.11	±	0.21	4.11	±	0.76	Yes	20.60	±	1.10	76.22	±	4.07	Yes
DUBOIS	09/29/21 07/07/21	1.53 1.00	±	0.27	5.66 3.69	±	0.99	Yes Yes	29.60 32.90	± ±	1.34 1.44	109.52 121.73	±	4.96 5.33	Yes Yes
DOBOIS	07/07/21	3.55	±	0.22	3.69 13.14	±	1.38	Yes	32.90 32.60	±	1.44	121.73	±	5.33 5.88	Yes
	07/14/21	2.11	±	0.30	7.81	±	1.10	Yes	41.00	±	1.66	151.70	±	6.14	Yes
	07/28/21	3.02	±	0.35	11.17	±	1.31	Yes	36.40	±	1.69	134.68	±	6.25	Yes
	08/04/21	2.26	±	0.33	8.36	±	1.08	Yes	31.90	±	1.50	118.03	±	5.55	Yes
	08/11/21	2.38	±	0.31	8.81	±	1.13	Yes	30.00	±	1.43	111.00	±	5.29	Yes
	08/18/21	3.80	±	0.40	14.06	±	1.49	Yes	28.40	±	1.61	105.08	±	5.96	Yes
	08/25/21	2.96	±	0.41	10.95	±	1.53	Yes	22.50	±	1.63	83.25	±	6.03	Yes
	09/01/21	1.98	±	0.28	7.33	±	1.03	Yes	27.60	±	1.31	102.12	±	4.85	Yes
	09/08/21	2.02	±	0.30	7.47	±	1.10	Yes	35.10	±	1.56	129.87	±	5.77	Yes
	09/15/21	1.67	±	0.31	6.18	±	1.13	Yes	26.30	±	1.57	97.31	±	5.81	Yes
	09/22/21	1.39	±	0.23	5.14	±	0.84	Yes	25.00	±	1.20	92.50	±	4.44	Yes
	09/29/21	2.19	±	0.32	8.10	±	1.19	Yes	27.60	±	1.40	102.12	±	5.18	Yes

Table C-1. Weekly Gross Alpha and Gross Beta Concentrations in Air

			GROSS ALPHA						GROSS BETA Is Uncertainty Result ± 1s Uncertainty						
Sampling Group	Sampling	Result ±				± 1s Unc				± 1s Und					
and Location	Date		0 ⁻¹⁵ μCi/m			10 ⁻¹¹ Bq/		Result > 3s		10 ⁻¹⁵ μCi/			⁻¹¹ Bq/ı		Result > 3s
IDAHO FALLS	07/07/21	1.35	±	0.24	5.00	±	0.90	Yes	34.00	±	1.49	125.80	±	5.51	Yes
	07/14/21	2.20	±	0.29	8.14	±	1.08	Yes	28.00	±	1.40	103.60	±	5.18	Yes
	07/21/21	2.73	±	0.32	10.10	±	1.18	Yes	33.60	±	1.50	124.32	±	5.55	Yes
	07/28/21	1.76	±	0.26	6.51	±	0.98	Yes	29.70	±	1.43	109.89	±	5.29	Yes
	08/04/21	2.00	±	0.26	7.40	±	0.97	Yes	27.20	±	1.31	100.64	±	4.85	Yes
	08/11/21	2.67	±	0.32	9.88	±	1.18	Yes	27.10	±	1.39	100.27	±	5.14	Yes
	08/18/21	2.67	±	0.33	9.88	±	1.23	Yes	29.20	±	1.52	108.04	±	5.62	Yes
	08/25/21	2.93	±	0.40	10.84	±	1.46	Yes	22.00	±	1.55	81.40	±	5.74	Yes
	09/01/21	2.36	±	0.29	8.73	±	1.08	Yes	29.50	±	1.31	109.15	±	4.85	Yes
	09/08/21 09/15/21	2.41 2.10	±	0.31 0.28	8.92 7.77	±	1.14 1.05	Yes Yes	30.10 24.00	±	1.44 1.29	111.37 88.80	±	5.33 4.77	Yes Yes
	09/15/21	1.61	± ±	0.28	5.96	±	0.86	Yes	22.30	±	1.29	82.51	±	4.77	Yes
	09/22/21	2.01	±	0.23	5.96 7.44	±	1.15	Yes	22.30	±	1.12	109.52	±	5.29	Yes
JACKSON, WY	07/07/21	1.36	±	0.25	5.03	±	0.94	Yes	34.40	±	1.55	127.28	±	5.74	Yes
JACKSON, WI	07/14/21	3.36	±	0.40	12.43	±	1.47	Yes	43.40	±	1.91	160.58	±	7.07	Yes
	07/21/21	2.54	±	0.40	9.40	±	1.48	Yes	35.30	±	1.98	130.61	±	7.33	Yes
	07/28/21	2.59	±	0.36	9.58	±	1.35	Yes	35.90	±	1.83	132.83	±	6.77	Yes
	08/04/21	1.79	±	0.26	6.62	±	0.95	Yes	32.20	±	1.44	119.14	±	5.33	Yes
	08/11/21	2.56	±	0.37	9.47	±	1.38	Yes	25.90	±	1.63	95.83	±	6.03	Yes
	08/18/21	2.47	±	0.32	9.14	±	1.19	Yes	25.20	±	1.45	93.24	±	5.37	Yes
	08/25/21	1.05	±	0.22	3.89	±	0.82	Yes	14.40	±	1.06	53.28	±	3.92	Yes
	09/01/21	2.19	±	0.34	8.10	±	1.27	Yes	30.90	±	1.63	114.33	±	6.03	Yes
	09/08/21	2.18	±	0.39	8.07	±	1.43	Yes	28.30	±	1.85	104.71	±	6.85	Yes
	09/15/21	1.94	±	0.33	7.18	±	1.22	Yes	34.00	±	1.76	125.80	±	6.51	Yes
	09/22/21	1.17	±	0.22	4.33	±	0.82	Yes	28.50	±	1.30	105.45	±	4.81	Yes
	09/29/21	0.95	±	0.26	3.51	±	0.95	Yes	25.20	±	1.38	93.24	±	5.11	Yes
SUGAR CITY	07/07/21	1.30	±	0.24	4.81	±	0.89	Yes	34.20	±	1.50	126.54	±	5.55	Yes
	07/14/21	2.20	±	0.31	8.14	±	1.14	Yes	32.80	±	1.57	121.36	±	5.81	Yes
	07/21/21	1.92	±	0.28	7.10	±	1.03	Yes	37.00	±	1.55	136.90	±	5.74	Yes
	07/28/21	2.13	±	0.30	7.88	±	1.10	Yes	28.30	±	1.47	104.71	±	5.44	Yes
	08/04/21	1.94	±	0.25	7.18	±	0.94	Yes	29.70	±	1.34	109.89	±	4.96	Yes
	08/11/21	2.13	±	0.29	7.88	±	1.08	Yes	24.80	±	1.34	91.76	±	4.96	Yes
	08/18/21 ^a		±			±		No		±			±		No
	08/25/21	2.98	±	0.45	11.03	±	1.65	Yes	33.30	±	1.98	123.21	±	7.33	Yes
	09/01/21	1.84	±	0.25	6.81	±	0.92	Yes	27.00	±	1.19	99.90	±	4.40	Yes
	09/08/21	2.35	±	0.31	8.70	±	1.14	Yes	39.70	±	1.60	146.89	±	5.92	Yes
	09/15/21	1.88	±	0.27	6.96	±	1.00	Yes	31.20	±	1.42	115.44	±	5.25	Yes
	09/22/21	1.55	±	0.28	5.74	±	1.03	Yes	31.40	±	1.54	116.18	±	5.70	Yes
	09/29/21	3.26	±	0.41	12.06	±	1.53	Yes	40.30	±	1.80	149.11	±	6.66	Yes
INL SITE	07/07/04	2.00		0.04	0.45		0.77	.,	04.00		4.45	400.00		5.07	
EFS	07/07/21	0.93	±	0.21	3.45	±	0.77	Yes	34.60	±	1.45	128.02	±	5.37	Yes
	07/14/21 07/21/21	2.32 2.88	±	0.32 0.36	8.58 10.66	±	1.18	Yes Yes	36.50 32.50	±	1.65 1.61	135.05 120.25	±	6.11 5.96	Yes Yes
	07/21/21	2.88	±	0.36	9.51	±	1.31 1.24	Yes	32.50 30.60	±	1.59	120.25	±	5.96 5.88	Yes
	08/04/21	1.75	±	0.33	6.48	±	0.96	Yes	35.60	±	1.59	131.72	±	5.66	Yes
	08/11/21	2.37	±	0.26	8.77	±	1.18	Yes	28.70	±	1.55	106.19	±	5.48	Yes
	08/18/21	3.68	±	0.62	13.62	±	2.29	Yes	32.70	±	2.71	120.99	±	10.03	Yes
	08/25/21	2.49	±	0.62	9.21	±	1.50	Yes	24.50	±	1.74	90.65	±	6.44	Yes
	09/01/21	2.49	±	0.41	10.88	±	1.27	Yes	32.90	±	1.74	121.73	±	5.44	Yes
	09/08/21	1.67	±	0.30	6.18	±	1.10	Yes	35.10	±	1.68	129.87	±	6.22	Yes
	09/15/21	2.52	±	0.36	9.32	±	1.34	Yes	29.80	±	1.68	110.26	±	6.22	Yes
	09/22/21 ^b	2.02	±	3.00	0.02	±	1.0-	No	20.00	±	1.00	110.20	±	0.22	No
	09/29/21	1.88	±	0.34	6.96	±	1.27	Yes	36.40	±	1.72	134.68	±	6.36	Yes
	03123121	1.00		0.04	0.00		1.41	163	30.40		1.12	104.00		0.50	169

Table C-1. Weekly Gross Alpha and Gross Beta Concentrations in Air

					GROSS ALPHA							GROSS BETA			
Sampling Group	Sampling	Result ±	t 1s Und	certainty	Result ±	1s Und	certainty				ertainty	Result ±	1s Un	certainty	
and Location	Date	(x 1	0 ⁻¹⁵ μCi.	/mL)	(x 1	0 ⁻¹¹ Bq/	/mL)	Result > 3s	(x 1	0 ⁻¹⁵ μCi/	mL)	(x 10) ⁻¹¹ Bq/	mL)	Result > 3s
MAIN GATE	07/07/21	1.38	±	0.25	5.11	±	0.91	Yes	33.40	±	1.48	123.58	±	5.48	Yes
	07/14/21	2.83	±	0.32	10.47	±	1.20	Yes	38.60	±	1.59	142.82	±	5.88	Yes
	07/21/21	2.01	±	0.29	7.44	±	1.07	Yes	31.70	±	1.49	117.29	±	5.51	Yes
	07/28/21	2.61	±	0.31	9.66	±	1.16	Yes	32.60	±	1.52	120.62	±	5.62	Yes
	08/04/21	1.48	±	0.23	5.48	±	0.85	Yes	32.50	±	1.40	120.25	±	5.18	Yes
	08/11/21	2.04	±	0.28	7.55	±	1.05	Yes	26.50	±	1.34	98.05	±	4.96	Yes
	08/18/21	2.26	±	0.30	8.36	±	1.10	Yes	25.50	±	1.37	94.35	±	5.07	Yes
	08/25/21	2.00	±	0.36	7.40	±	1.34	Yes	27.50	±	1.72	101.75	±	6.36	Yes
	09/01/21	2.04	±	0.27	7.55	±	1.01	Yes	30.30	±	1.32	112.11	±	4.88	Yes
	09/08/21	2.30	±	0.30	8.51	±	1.10	Yes	33.20	±	1.46	122.84	±	5.40	Yes
	09/15/21	1.34	±	0.26	4.96	±	0.96	Yes	28.80	±	1.49	106.56	±	5.51	Yes
	09/22/21	1.10	±	0.22	4.07	±	0.80	Yes	25.10	±	1.24	92.87	±	4.59	Yes
	09/29/21	1.24	±	0.26	4.59	±	0.97	Yes	31.00	±	1.42	114.70	±	5.25	Yes
VAN BUREN	07/07/21	0.88	±	0.20	3.26	±	0.75	Yes	31.10	±	1.39	115.07	±	5.14	Yes
	07/14/21	2.62	±	0.33	9.69	±	1.22	Yes	35.30	±	1.61	130.61	±	5.96	Yes
	07/21/21	2.25	±	0.31	8.33	±	1.14	Yes	40.90	±	1.67	151.33	±	6.18	Yes
	07/28/21	2.65	±	0.35	9.81	±	1.30	Yes	33.00	±	1.69	122.10	±	6.25	Yes
	08/04/21 ^a		±			±		No		±			±		No
	08/11/21	2.09	±	0.27	7.73	±	0.99	Yes	26.40	±	1.26	97.68	±	4.66	Yes
	08/18/21	2.13	±	0.27	7.88	±	1.00	Yes	25.70	±	1.28	95.09	±	4.74	Yes
	08/25/21	1.13	±	0.30	4.18	±	1.10	Yes	22.30	±	1.59	82.51	±	5.88	Yes
	09/01/21	2.71	±	0.29	10.03	±	1.09	Yes	27.00	±	1.21	99.90	±	4.48	Yes
	09/08/21	2.57	±	0.31	9.51	±	1.14	Yes	30.60	±	1.41	113.22	±	5.22	Yes
	09/15/21	2.03	±	0.29	7.51	±	1.06	Yes	25.20	±	1.35	93.24	±	5.00	Yes
	09/22/21	0.93	±	0.18	3.43	±	0.65	Yes	21.10	±	1.01	78.07	±	3.74	Yes
	09/29/21	2.66	±	0.29	9.84	±	1.07	Yes	28.10	±	1.20	103.97	±	4.44	Yes
a Invalid sample due to v	acuum pump failure.														

b Invalid sample due to vacuum pump b Invalid sample due to power outage.

Table C-2. Weekly lodine-131 Activity in Air

Sampling Group	Sampling	Result ±	1s Un	certainty	Result ±	1s Un	certainty	
and Location	Date) ⁻¹⁵ µC	~		⁻¹¹ Bq	-	Result > 3s
BOUNDARY	Date	(X 10	μΟ	·/··· L /	(X 10		/···· <i>L</i> /	Nesult > 55
ARCO	07/07/21	-0.66	±	1.23	-2.44	±	4.55	No
	07/14/21	0.02	±	1.95	0.08	±	7.22	No
	07/21/21	-0.22	±	2.05	-0.80	±	7.59	No
	07/28/21	3.26	±	2.40	12.06	±	8.88	No
	08/04/21	-1.14	±	2.02	-4.22	±	7.47	No
	08/11/21	0.20	±	1.76	0.72	±	6.51	No
	08/18/21	0.20		2.07	2.01		7.66	No
	08/25/21	0.5 4 2.78	±	2.07	10.29	±	10.43	No No
	09/01/21	2.76	± ±	1.43	8.62	± ±	5.29	No
	09/08/21	-0.41	±	1.63	-1.53	±	6.03	No
	09/15/21	4.16	±	2.25	15.39	±	8.33	No
	09/22/21	1.00	±	1.85	3.68	±	6.85	No
	09/29/21	3.53	±	1.88	13.06	±	6.96	No
QA-1	07/07/21	-0.71	<u>±</u>	1.32	-2.61	<u>±</u>	4.88	No
(ARCO)	07/14/21	0.02	±	1.77	0.08	±	6.55	No
(/11100)	07/21/21	-0.21	±	2.00	-0.78	±	7.40	No
	07/28/21	2.57	±	1.89	9.51	±	6.99	No
	08/04/21	-1.14	±	2.02	-4.22	±	7.47	No
	08/11/21	0.20	±	1.78	0.73	±	6.59	No
	08/18/21	0.55	±	2.08	2.02	±	7.70	No
	08/25/21	2.91	±	2.95	10.77	±	10.92	No
	09/01/21	2.33	±	1.43	8.62	±	5.29	No
	09/08/21	-0.41	±	1.61	-1.51	±	5.96	No
	09/15/21	4.02	±	2.18	14.87	±	8.07	No
	09/22/21	1.04	±	1.92	3.85	±	7.10	No
	09/29/21	3.38	±	1.80	12.51	±	6.66	No
ATOMIC CITY	07/07/21	-0.73	±	1.36	-2.69	±	5.03	No
	07/14/21	0.02	±	2.10	0.09	±	7.77	No
	07/21/21	-0.20	±	1.87	-0.73	±	6.92	No
	07/28/21	2.99	±	2.20	11.06	±	8.14	No
	08/04/21	-1.07	±	1.90	-3.96	±	7.03	No
	08/11/21	0.21	±	1.93	0.79	±	7.14	No
	08/18/21	0.55	±	2.09	2.03	±	7.73	No
	08/25/21	2.93	±	2.97	10.84	±	10.99	No
	09/01/21	2.25	±	1.38	8.33	±	5.11	No
	09/08/21	-0.43	±	1.69	-1.59	±	6.25	No
	09/15/21	4.59	±	2.48	16.98	±	9.18	No
	09/22/21	1.04	±	1.93	3.85	±	7.14	No
	09/29/21	3.54	±	1.89	13.10	±	6.99	No
BLUE DOME	07/07/21	-0.36	±	1.11	-1.34	±	4.11	No
	07/14/21	-1.14	±	1.17	-4.22	±	4.33	No
	07/21/21	0.78	±	1.21	2.89	±	4.48	No
	07/28/21	0.41	±	1.19	1.52	±	4.40	No
	08/04/21	0.67	±	1.14	2.48	±	4.22	No
	08/11/21	-2.09	±	1.35	-7.73	±	5.00	No
	08/18/21	1.73	±	1.26	6.40	±	4.66	No
	08/25/21	-5.28	±	2.62	-19.54	±	9.69	No
	09/01/21	1.56	±	1.18	5.77	±	4.37	No
	09/08/21	-0.50	±	1.31	-1.85	±	4.85	No
	09/15/21	1.16	±	1.67	4.29	±	6.18	No
	09/22/21	1.82	±	1.13	6.73	±	4.18	No
	09/29/21	-0.82	±	1.15	-3.04	±	4.26	No

Table C-2. Weekly lodine-131 Activity in Air

Sampling Group	Sampling	Result ±	1s Un	certainty	Result ±	1s Un	certainty	
and Location	Date	(x 10	⁻¹⁵ μC	i/mL)	(x 10	⁻¹¹ Bq	ı/mL)	Result > 3s
FAA TOWER	07/07/21	-0.41	±	1.25	-1.51	±	4.63	No
	07/14/21	-1.09	±	1.12	-4.03	±	4.14	No
	07/21/21	0.71	±	1.10	2.63	±	4.07	No
	07/28/21	0.52	±	1.51	1.93	±	5.59	No
	08/04/21	0.68	±	1.15	2.50	±	4.26	No
	08/11/21	-1.94	±	1.25	-7.18	±	4.63	No
	08/18/21	1.66	±	1.21	6.14	±	4.48	No
	08/25/21	-3.56	±	1.77	-13.17	±	6.55	No
	09/01/21	1.51	±	1.14	5.59	±	4.22	No
	09/08/21	-0.45	±	1.19	-1.68	±	4.40	No
	09/15/21	1.12	±	1.62	4.14	±	5.99	No
	09/22/21	1.88	±	1.17	6.96	±	4.33	No
	09/29/21	-0.81	±	1.14	-3.01	±	4.22	No
HOWE	07/07/21	-0.37	±	1.13	-1.36	±	4.18	No
	07/14/21	-1.29	±	1.33	-4.77	±	4.92	No
	07/21/21	1.05	±	1.62	3.89	±	5.99	No
	07/28/21	0.49	±	1.42	1.82	±	5.25	No
	08/04/21	0.74	±	1.25	2.72	±	4.63	No
	08/11/21	-2.29	±	1.47	-8.47	±	5.44	No
	08/18/21	2.00	±	1.45	7.40	±	5.37	No
	08/25/21	-4.52	±	2.24	-16.72	±	8.29	No
	09/01/21	1.75	±	1.32	6.48	±	4.88	No
	09/08/21	-0.59	±	1.56	-2.20	±	5.77	No
	09/15/21	1.16	±	1.67	4.29	±	6.18	No
	09/22/21	1.91	±	1.19	7.07	±	4.40	No
	09/29/21	-0.81	±	1.14	-2.99	±	4.22	No
MONTEVIEW	07/07/21	-0.36	±	1.11	-1.34	±	4.11	No
	07/14/21	-1.19	±	1.22	-4.40	±	4.51	No
	07/21/21	0.75	±	1.16	2.77	±	4.29	No
	07/28/21	0.47	±	1.34	1.72	±	4.96	No
	08/04/21	1.19	±	2.02	4.40	±	7.47	No
	08/11/21	-1.95	±	1.26	-7.22	±	4.66	No
	08/18/21	1.69	±	1.23	6.25	±	4.55	No
	08/25/21	-2.92	±	1.45	-10.80	±	5.37	No
	09/01/21	1.55	±	1.17	5.74	±	4.33	No
	09/08/21	-0.44	±	1.14	-1.61	±	4.22	No
	09/15/21	0.92	±	1.32	3.40	±	4.88	No
	09/22/21	1.73	±	1.07	6.40	±	3.96	No
	09/29/21	-0.87	±	1.22	-3.22	±	4.51	No
MUD LAKE	07/07/21	-0.37	±	1.13	-1.36	±	4.18	No
	07/14/21	-1.31	±	1.35	-4.85	±	5.00	No
	07/21/21	0.79	±	1.22	2.91	±	4.51	No
	07/28/21	0.43	±	1.23	1.58	±	4.55	No
	08/04/21	0.64	±	1.09	2.38	±	4.03	No
	08/11/21	-1.82	±	1.17	-6.73	±	4.33	No
	08/18/21	1.70	±	1.24	6.29	±	4.59	No
	08/25/21	-4.08	±	2.02	-15.10	±	7.47	No
	09/01/21	1.47	±	1.10	5.44	±	4.07	No
	09/08/21	-0.48	±	1.26	-1.78	±	4.66	No
	09/15/21	1.02	±	1.47	3.77	±	5.44	No
	09/22/21	1.89	±	1.17	6.99	±	4.33	No
	09/29/21	-0.82	±	1.15	-3.03	±	4.26	No

Table C-2. Weekly lodine-131 Activity in Air

Sampling Group	Sampling	Result ±	1s Un	certainty	Result ±	1s Ur	ncertainty	
and Location	Date		⁻¹⁵ μC	-		⁻¹¹ Bo	_	Result > 3s
QA-2	07/07/21	-0.37	±	1.13	-1.37	±	4.18	No
(MUD LAKE)	07/14/21	-1.27	±	1.31	-4.70	±	4.85	No
(11102 27 1112)	07/21/21	0.71	±	1.09	2.62	±	4.03	No
	07/28/21	0.45	±	1.29	1.65	±	4.77	No
	08/04/21	0.64	±	1.08	2.35	±	4.00	No
	08/11/21	-1.94	±	1.25	-7.18	±	4.63	No
	08/18/21	1.68	±	1.22	6.22	±	4.51	No
	08/25/21	-3.49	±	1.73	-12.91	±	6.40	No
	09/01/21	1.70	±	1.28	6.29	±	4.74	No
	09/08/21	-0.49	±	1.28	-1.81	±	4.74	No
	09/15/21	1.00	±	1.43	3.68	±	5.29	No
	09/22/21	1.74	±	1.08	6.44	±	4.00	No
	09/29/21	-0.83	±	1.17	-3.09	±	4.33	No
DISTANT	00/20/21	0.00		1.17	0.00		1.00	140
BLACKFOOT	07/07/21	-0.73	±	1.37	-2.70	±	5.07	No
BLACKI GOT	07/14/21	0.02	±	1.83	0.08	±	6.77	No
	07/14/21	-0.20	±	1.85	-0.72	±	6.85	No
	07/21/21	3.23	±	2.37	11.95	±	8.77	No
	08/04/21	-1.08	±	1.91	-4.00	±	7.07	No
	08/11/21	0.19	±	1.75	0.71	±	6.48	No
	08/18/21	0.19	±	2.22	2.16	±	8.21	No
	08/25/21	2.78	±	2.82	10.29	±	10.43	No
	09/01/21	2.70	±	1.43	8.62	±	5.29	No
	09/08/21	-0.37	±	1.46	-1.37	±	5.40	No
	09/05/21	4.33	±	2.34	16.02	±	8.66	No
	09/13/21	1.03	±	1.90	3.81	±	7.03	No
	09/29/21	3.67	±	1.95	13.58	±	7.03	No
CRATERS OF THE	07/07/21	-0.73	<u>÷</u>	1.37	-2.70	<u>÷</u>	5.07	No
MOON	07/14/21	0.03	±	2.17	0.09	±	8.03	No
WOON	07/21/21	-0.21	±	1.94	-0.76	±	7.18	No
	07/28/21	2.71	±	1.99	10.03	±	7.36	No
	08/04/21	-1.12	±	1.98	-4.14	±	7.33	No
	08/11/21	0.21	±	1.94	0.79	±	7.18	No
	08/18/21	0.55	±	2.10	2.05	±	7.77	No
	08/25/21	2.16	±	2.19	7.99	±	8.10	No
	09/01/21	2.22	±	1.36	8.21	±	5.03	No
	09/08/21	-0.44	±	1.74	-1.64	±	6.44	No
	09/15/21	5.02	±	2.72	18.57	±	10.06	No
	09/22/21	1.05	±	1.95	3.89	±	7.22	No
	09/29/21	3.58	±	1.90	13.25	±	7.03	No
DUBOIS	07/07/21	-0.37	±	1.14	-1.38	±	4.22	No
DODOIO	07/14/21	-1.18	±	1.14	-4.37	±	4.51	No
	07/14/21	0.80	±	1.24	2.97	±	4.59	No
	07/28/21	0.60	±	1.24	1.62		4.59 4.70	No
	08/04/21	0.44	±	1.27	2.66	± +	4.70 4.51	No No
	08/11/21	-1.94		1.25	-7.18	± ±	4.63	No
	08/11/21	1.94	± ±	1.41	7.18	±	4.03 5.22	No
	08/25/21	-3.52	±	1.75	-13.02	±	6.48	No
	09/01/21	-3.52 1.72	±	1.75	6.36	±	6. 4 6 4.77	No No
	09/01/21	-0.48	±	1.29	-1.78	±	4.77	No
	09/06/21	-0.46 1.33		1.20	-1.76 4.92		4.00 7.07	No No
	09/15/21		±	1.91	4.92 6.85	±		No No
	09/22/21	1.85 -0.87	± ±	1.15	-3.23	± ±	4.26 4.55	No No

Table C-2. Weekly lodine-131 Activity in Air

Sampling Group	Sampling	Result ±	1s Un	certainty	Result ±	1s Un	certainty	
and Location	Date	(x 10	⁻¹⁵ μC	i/ml)	(x 10	⁻¹¹ Bo	ı/ml)	Result > 3s
IDAHO FALLS	07/07/21	-0.38	<u>±</u>	1.16	-1.40	±	4.29	No
	07/14/21	-1.12	±	1.15	-4.14	±	4.26	No
	07/21/21	0.75	±	1.15	2.76	±	4.26	No
	07/28/21	0.40	±	1.15	1.47	±	4.26	No
	08/04/21	0.65	±	1.10	2.39	±	4.07	No
	08/11/21	-1.92	±	1.24	-7.10	±	4.59	No
	08/18/21	1.82	±	1.32	6.73	±	4.88	No
	08/25/21	-3.27	±	1.62	-12.10	±	5.99	No
	09/01/21	1.60	±	1.21	5.92	±	4.48	No
	09/08/21	-0.45	±	1.19	-1.68	±	4.40	No
	09/15/21	0.98	±	1.42	3.64	±	5.25	No
	09/22/21	1.76	±	1.09	6.51	±	4.03	No
	09/29/21	-0.87	±	1.22	-3.22	±	4.51	No
JACKSON, WY	07/07/21	-0.76	±	1.42	-2.80	±	5.25	No
	07/14/21	0.03	±	2.49	0.11	±	9.21	No
	07/21/21	-0.30	±	2.86	-1.11	±	10.58	No
	07/28/21	2.75	±	2.02	10.18	±	7.47	No
	08/04/21	-1.16	±	2.05	-4.29	±	7.59	No
	08/11/21	0.29	±	2.62	1.07	±	9.69	No
	08/18/21	0.60	±	2.29	2.22	±	8.47	No
	08/25/21	1.91	±	1.94	7.07	±	7.18	No
	09/01/21	3.14	±	1.93	11.62	±	7.14	No
	09/08/21	-0.63	±	2.47	-2.32	±	9.14	No
	09/15/21	6.07	±	3.29	22.46	±	12.17	No
	09/22/21	1.14	±	2.11	4.22	±	7.81	No
	09/29/21	4.17	±	2.22	15.43	±	8.21	No
SUGAR CITY	07/07/21	-0.38	±	1.17	-1.41	±	4.33	No
	07/14/21	-1.23	±	1.27	-4.55	±	4.70	No
	07/21/21	0.77	±	1.19	2.84	±	4.40	No
	07/28/21	0.42	±	1.22	1.57	±	4.51	No
	08/04/21	0.63	±	1.07	2.32	±	3.96	No
	08/11/21	-1.96	±	1.27	-7.25	±	4.70	No
	08/18/21 ^a		±			±		No
	08/25/21	-3.94	±	1.95	-14.58	±	7.22	No
	09/01/21	1.47	±	1.11	5.44	±	4.11	No
	09/08/21	-0.46	±	1.20	-1.69	±	4.44	No
	09/15/21	0.99	±	1.43	3.68	±	5.29	No
	09/22/21	2.44	±	1.52	9.03	±	5.62	No
	09/29/21	-1.03	±	1.45	-3.81	±	5.37	No
INL SITE								
EFS	07/07/21	-0.68	±	1.28	-2.53	±	4.74	No
	07/14/21	0.03	±	2.25	0.10	±	8.33	No
	07/21/21	-0.22	±	2.08	-0.81	±	7.70	No
	07/28/21	2.71	±	2.00	10.03	±	7.40	No
	08/04/21	-1.20	±	2.12	-4.44	±	7.84	No
	08/11/21	0.23	±	2.11	0.87	±	7.81	No
	08/18/21	1.41	±	5.36	5.22	±	19.83	No
	08/25/21	3.00	±	3.04	11.10	±	11.25	No
	09/01/21	2.44	±	1.49	9.03	±	5.51	No
	09/08/21	-0.48	±	1.90	-1.79	±	7.03	No
	09/15/21	5.93	±	3.21	21.94	±	11.88	No
	09/22/21 ^b		±			±		No
	09/29/21	4.67	±	2.49	17.28	±	9.21	No

Table C-2. Weekly lodine-131 Activity in Air

Sampling Group	Sampling	Result ±	1s Un	certainty	Result ±	1s Ur	ncertainty	
and Location	Date	(x 10	⁻¹⁵ μC	i/mL)	(x 10	⁻¹¹ Bc	η/mL)	Result > 3s
MAIN GATE	07/07/21	-0.71	±	1.33	-2.63	±	4.92	No
	07/14/21	0.02	±	1.98	0.09	±	7.33	No
	07/21/21	-0.20	±	1.91	-0.74	±	7.07	No
	07/28/21	2.82	±	2.07	10.43	±	7.66	No
	08/04/21	-1.11	±	1.95	-4.11	±	7.22	No
	08/11/21	0.21	±	1.91	0.78	±	7.07	No
	08/18/21	0.55	±	2.10	2.04	±	7.77	No
	08/25/21	2.84	±	2.88	10.51	±	10.66	No
	09/01/21	2.19	±	1.34	8.10	±	4.96	No
	09/08/21	-0.39	±	1.53	-1.43	±	5.66	No
	09/15/21	5.19	±	2.81	19.20	±	10.40	No
	09/22/21	1.14	±	2.11	4.22	±	7.81	No
	09/29/21	3.86	±	2.06	14.28	±	7.62	No
VAN BUREN	07/07/21	-0.69	±	1.29	-2.56	±	4.77	No
	07/14/21	0.03	±	2.18	0.09	±	8.07	No
	07/21/21	-0.21	±	1.94	-0.76	±	7.18	No
	07/28/21	3.07	±	2.26	11.36	±	8.36	No
	08/04/21 ^a		±			±		No
	08/11/21	0.19	±	1.70	0.70	±	6.29	No
	08/18/21	0.49	±	1.86	1.81	±	6.88	No
	08/25/21	2.89	±	2.93	10.69	±	10.84	No
	09/01/21	1.97	±	1.21	7.29	±	4.48	No
	09/08/21	-0.38	±	1.49	-1.40	±	5.51	No
	09/15/21	4.62	±	2.50	17.09	±	9.25	No
	09/22/21	0.90	±	1.68	3.34	±	6.22	No
	09/29/21	2.91	±	1.55	10.77	±	5.74	No

^a Invalid sample due to vacuum pump failure.

^b Invalid sample due to power outage.

Table C-3. Quarterly Cesium-137, Strontium-90, and Actinide Concentrations in Composite Air Filters.

Sampling Group	Sampling		Result ± 1	1s Und	certainty	Result ±	1s Un	certainty	
and Location	Date	Analyte	(x 10 ⁻	¹⁸ µCi	/mL)	(x 10	⁻¹⁴ Bq	ı/mL)	Result > 3s
BOUNDARY									
ARCO	09/29/21	Americium-241	15.50	±	13.10	57.35	±	48.47	No
		Cesium-137	-89.30	±	151.00	-330.41	±	558.70	No
		Plutonium-238	10.60	±	9.18	39.22	±	33.97	No
		Plutonium-239/240	55.50	±	15.60	205.35	±	57.72	Yes
QA-1 (ARCO)	09/29/21	Americium-241	0.00	±	6.91	0.00	±	25.57	No
		Cesium-137	11.90	±	168.00	44.03	±	621.60	No
		Plutonium-238	-6.66	±	11.50	-24.64	±	42.55	No
		Plutonium-239/240	3.32	±	11.00	12.28	±	40.70	No
ATOMIC CITY	09/29/21	Americium-241	-7.10	±	10.10	-26.27	±	37.37	No
		Cesium-137	59.20	±	113.00	219.04	±	418.10	No
		Plutonium-238	0.00	±	11.40	0.00	±	42.18	No
		Plutonium-239/240	27.40	±	11.00	101.38	±	40.70	No
BLUE DOME	09/29/21	Cesium-137	-249.00	±	171.00	-921.30	±	632.70	No
		Strontium-90	8.50	±	10.40	31.45	±	38.48	No
FAA TOWER	09/29/21	Cesium-137	89.50	±	131.00	331.15	±	484.70	No
HOWE	09/29/21	Cesium-137	-221.00	±	135.00	-817.70	±	499.50	No
		Strontium-90	33.40	±	11.10	123.58	±	41.07	Yes
MONTEVIEW	09/29/21	Cesium-137	-111.00	±	166.00	-410.70	±	614.20	No
MUD LAKE	09/29/21	Cesium-137	41.70	±	178.00	154.29	±	658.60	No
		Strontium-90	11.30	±	10.30	41.81	±	38.11	No
QA-2 (MUD LAKE)	09/29/21	Cesium-137	-82.40	±	178.00	-304.88	±	658.60	No
		Strontium-90	28.60	±	11.20	105.82	±	41.44	No

Table C-3. Quarterly Cesium-137, Strontium-90, and Actinide Concentrations in Composite Air Filters.

Sampling Group	Sampling		Result ±	1s Und	certainty	Result ±	1s Ur	certainty	
and Location	Date	Analyte	(x 10 ⁻	¹⁸ µCi	/mL)	(x 10) ⁻¹⁴ Bc	ı/mL)	Result > 3s
DISTANT		<u> </u>	Ì		ĺ	,			
BLACKFOOT	09/29/21	Cesium-137	-56.70	±	127.00	-209.79	±	469.90	No
CRATERS OF THE MOON	09/29/21	Cesium-137	-103.00	±	181.00	-381.10	±	669.70	No
DUBOIS	09/29/21	Cesium-137	-139.00	±	141.00	-514.30	±	521.70	No
		Strontium-90	10.70	±	9.82	39.59	±	36.33	No
IDAHO FALLS	09/29/21	Cesium-137	-3.76	±	109.00	-13.91	±	403.30	No
JACKSON	09/29/21	Americium-241	6.25	±	7.65	23.13	±	28.31	No
		Cesium-137	-5.84	±	208.00	-21.61	±	769.60	No
		Plutonium-238	12.90	±	6.82	47.73	±	25.23	No
		Plutonium-239/240	-6.45	±	7.13	-23.87	±	26.38	No
SUGAR CITY	09/29/21	Americium-241	11.70	±	8.27	43.29	±	30.60	No
		Cesium-137	-152.00	±	168.00	-562.40	±	621.60	No
		Plutonium-238	3.35	±	10.10	12.40	±	37.37	No
		Plutonium-239/240	0.00	±	14.20	0.00	±	52.54	No
INL SITE									
EFS	09/29/21	Cesium-137	-88.50	±	197.00	-327.45	±	728.90	No
		Strontium-90	23.20	±	11.30	85.84	±	41.81	No
MAIN GATE	09/29/21	Cesium-137	-160.00	±	179.00	-592.00	±	662.30	No
		Strontium-90	9.38	±	10.20	34.71	±	37.74	No
VAN BUREN GATE	09/29/21	Americium-241	13.10	±	11.40	48.47	±	42.18	No
		Cesium-137	-60.10	±	115.00	-222.37	±	425.50	No
		Plutonium-238	12.40	±	12.40	45.88	±	45.88	No
		Plutonium-239/240	0.00	±	9.77	0.00	±	36.15	No

Table C-4. Tritium Concentrations in Atmospheric Moisture

Sampling Group	Start	Sampling	Result ±	1s Ur	certainty	Result ±	1s U	ncertainty	
and Location	Date	Date	(x 10 ⁻¹	¹³ µCi	/mL _{air})	(x 10) ⁻⁹ Bq	/mL _{air})	Result > 3s
BOUNDARY									
ATOMIC CITY	06/16/21	07/07/21	-2.59	±	1.55	-9.58	±	5.74	No
	07/07/21	08/04/21	3.40	±	1.42	12.58	±	5.25	No
	08/04/21	08/25/21	1.49	±	1.63	5.51	±	6.03	No
	08/25/21	09/29/21	0.42	±	1.01	1.55	±	3.74	No
HOWE	06/30/21	07/14/21	5.30	±	2.63	19.61	±	9.73	No
	07/14/21	08/04/21	2.15	±	1.96	7.96	±	7.25	No
	08/04/21	08/25/21	0.78	±	1.60	2.90	±	5.92	No
	08/25/21	09/22/21	9.10	±	1.33	33.67	±	4.92	Yes
DISTANT									
IDAHO FALLS	06/23/21	07/07/21	-2.18	±	2.15	-8.07	±	7.96	No
	07/07/21	07/28/21	-1.40	±	1.35	-5.18	±	5.00	No
	07/28/21	08/04/21	4.21	±	3.38	15.58	±	12.51	No
	08/04/21	08/18/21	0.07	±	2.02	0.27	±	7.47	No
	08/18/21	09/08/21	-0.14	±	0.65	-0.50	±	2.41	No
	09/08/21	09/29/21	-0.43	±	1.56	-1.57	±	5.77	No
INL SITE									
EFS	06/30/21	07/21/21	10.90	±	1.74	40.33	±	6.44	Yes
	07/21/21	08/04/21	12.80	±	2.48	47.36	±	9.18	Yes
	08/04/21	08/25/21	5.13	±	1.96	18.98	±	7.25	No

Table C-5. Monthly and Weekly Tritium Concentrations in Precipitation

			Result	± 1s Unc	ertainty	Result :	t 1s Unc	ertainty	
Location	Start Date	End Date		(pCi/L)			(Bq/L)		Result > 3s
BOUNDARY									
ATOMIC CITY	06/30/21	07/07/21	27.20	±	24.20	1.01	±	0.90	No
	07/28/21	08/04/21	-18.70	±	24.10	-0.69	±	0.89	No
	08/11/21	08/18/21	147.00	±	29.40	5.44	±	1.09	Yes
	08/18/21	08/25/21	203.00	±	29.70	7.51	±	1.10	Yes
HOWE	06/30/21	07/07/21	13.00	±	24.00	0.48	±	0.89	No
	07/28/21	08/04/21	89.50	±	25.10	3.31	±	0.93	Yes
	08/18/21	08/25/21	91.70	±	29.00	3.39	±	1.07	Yes
DISTANT									
IDAHO FALLS	07/01/21	07/31/21	-12.90	±	23.80	-0.48	±	0.88	No
	08/01/21	08/31/21	108.00	±	29.50	4.00	±	1.09	Yes
INL SITE									
EFS	07/28/21	08/04/21	11.10	±	24.10	0.41	±	0.89	No
	08/11/21	08/18/21	69.10	±	29.20	2.56	±	1.08	No
	08/18/21	08/25/21	133.00	±	29.70	4.92	±	1.10	Yes

Table C-6. Weekly and Monthly Iodine-131 and Cesium-137 Concentrations in Milk

				lodir	ne-131						Cesiu	ım-137			
	Sampling	Result:	± 1s U	Incertainty	Result ±	1s Un	certainty		Result ±	1s Un	certainty	Result ±	1s Un	certainty	
Location	Date		(pCi/	'L)		(Bq/L))	Result > 3s		(pCi/L)		(Bq/L)	Result > 3s
BLACKFOOT	07/05/21	0.99	±	1.11	0.04	±	0.04	No	1.35	±	1.43	0.05	±	0.05	No
	08/02/21	-0.49	±	1.07	-0.02	±	0.04	No	-1.63	±	1.27	-0.06	±	0.05	No
CONTROL	07/06/21	-0.63	±	1.31	-0.02	±	0.05	No	0.43	±	0.81	0.02	±	0.03	No
	08/02/21	-1.09	±	1.78	-0.04	±	0.07	No	0.49	±	1.33	0.02	±	0.05	No
	09/07/21	-0.16	±	1.06	-0.01	±	0.04	No	0.99	±	0.69	0.04	±	0.03	No
DIETRICH	07/06/21	-0.21	±	0.99	-0.01	±	0.04	No	0.25	±	0.64	0.01	±	0.02	No
	08/03/21	1.28	±	1.95	0.05	±	0.07	No	-0.11	±	1.35	0.00	±	0.05	No
	09/07/21	1.13	±	0.93	0.04	±	0.03	No	0.66	±	0.65	0.02	±	0.02	No
HOWE	07/06/21	1.27	±	1.17	0.05	±	0.04	No	0.53	±	1.38	0.02	±	0.05	No
	08/02/21	-0.50	±	1.63	-0.02	±	0.06	No	2.01	±	1.41	0.07	±	0.05	No
	09/07/21	0.99	±	1.16	0.04	±	0.04	No	1.82	±	1.35	0.07	±	0.05	No
IDAHO FALLS	07/05/21	0.25	±	1.73	0.01	±	0.06	No	-1.52	±	1.36	-0.06	±	0.05	No
MINIDOKA	07/06/21	0.13	±	2.73	0.00	±	0.10	No	1.69	±	1.40	0.06	±	0.05	No
	08/03/21	-2.00	±	1.22	-0.07	±	0.05	No	-1.08	±	1.55	-0.04	±	0.06	No
	09/07/21	1.67	±	1.23	0.06	±	0.05	No	0.28	±	1.02	0.01	±	0.04	No
MONTEVIEW	07/06/21	-0.36	±	1.24	-0.01	±	0.05	No	1.91	±	1.47	0.07	±	0.05	No
	08/02/21	-1.21	±	1.34	-0.04	±	0.05	No	1.80	±	1.09	0.07	±	0.04	No
	09/07/21	1.16	±	1.29	0.04	±	0.05	No	-1.46	±	1.06	-0.05	±	0.04	No
duplicate	09/07/21	-0.33	±	1.40	-0.01	±	0.05	No	1.74	±	1.09	0.06	±	0.04	No
RIGBY	07/13/21	1.03	±	1.08	0.04	±	0.04	No	1.44	±	1.41	0.05	±	0.05	No
	07/21/21	-0.44	±	1.10	-0.02	±	0.04	No	0.53	±	1.02	0.02	±	0.04	No
	07/27/21	0.66	±	1.02	0.02	±	0.04	No	0.88	±	1.43	0.03	±	0.05	No
	08/02/21	-0.97	±	1.11	-0.04	±	0.04	No	-0.27	±	1.48	-0.01	±	0.05	No
duplicate	08/02/21	0.00	±	0.95	0.00	±	0.04	No	-1.13	±	0.63	-0.04	±	0.02	No
	08/10/21	0.56	±	1.05	0.02	±	0.04	No	0.87	±	1.45	0.03	±	0.05	No
	08/18/21	0.19	±	0.94	0.01	±	0.03	No	-0.94	±	1.53	-0.03	±	0.06	No
	08/24/21	1.81	±	1.71	0.07	±	0.06	No	-0.85	±	1.37	-0.03	±	0.05	No
	09/01/21	0.03	±	0.82	0.00	±	0.03	No	-0.51	±	0.65	-0.02	±	0.02	No
	09/07/21	-0.71	±	0.94	-0.03	±	0.03	No	1.14	±	0.68	0.04	±	0.03	No
	09/15/21	1.02	±	1.21	0.04	±	0.04	No	0.80	±	1.03	0.03	±	0.04	No
	09/21/21	-0.66	±	0.87	-0.02	±	0.03	No	0.95	±	0.66	0.04	±	0.02	No
	09/29/21	-0.11	±	0.91	0.00	±	0.03	No	-1.14	±	1.54	-0.04	±	0.06	No
TERRETON	07/06/21	0.33	±	0.90	0.01	±	0.03	No	0.37	±	0.62	0.01	±	0.02	No
duplicate	07/06/21	1.59	±	1.24	0.06	±	0.05	No	0.92	±	1.05	0.03	±	0.04	No
	07/13/21	-0.72	±	1.22	-0.03	±	0.05	No	1.71	±	1.07	0.06	±	0.04	No
	07/21/21	0.50	±	0.97	0.02	±	0.04	No	-1.93	±	1.53	-0.07	±	0.06	No
	07/27/21	-0.70	±	1.16	-0.03	±	0.04	No	1.42	±	1.03	0.05	±	0.04	No
	08/02/21	0.64	±	0.94	0.02	±	0.03	No	-0.06	±	0.63	0.00	±	0.02	No
	08/10/21	-0.38	±	1.63	-0.01	±	0.06	No	0.32	±	1.39	0.01	±	0.05	No
	08/18/21	2.19	±	1.59	0.08	±	0.06	No	-1.64	±	1.38	-0.06	±	0.05	No
	08/24/21	-0.11	±	1.01	0.00	±	0.04	No	-1.69	±	1.60	-0.06	±	0.06	No
	09/01/21	0.75	±	0.97	0.03	±	0.04	No	-0.62	±	1.45	-0.02	±	0.05	No

Table C-6. Weekly and Monthly Iodine-131 and Cesium-137 Concentrations in Milk

				lodir	ne-131						Cesiu	ım-137			
	Sampling	Result:	± 1s U	ncertainty	Result ±	1s Ur	certainty		Result ±	1s Un	certainty	Result ±	1s Un	certainty	
Location	Date		(pCi/	L)		(Bq/L)	Result > 3s		(pCi/L	.)		(Bq/L))	Result > 3s
	09/07/21	0.41	±	1.08	0.02	±	0.04	No	1.37	±	1.02	0.05	±	0.04	No
	09/15/21	0.82	±	1.62	0.03	±	0.06	No	1.85	±	1.42	0.07	±	0.05	No
	09/21/21	-0.06	±	0.98	0.00	±	0.04	No	3.50	±	1.39	0.13	±	0.05	No
	09/29/21	-2.15	±	1.17	-0.08	±	0.04	No	-1.05	±	1.05	-0.04	±	0.04	No

Table C-7. Cesium-137 and Strontium-90 Concentrations in Lettuce

		Result ±	t 1s Unc	ertainty	Result ±	1s Und	ertainty	
Location	Sampling Date		pCi/kg		(x 1	0 ⁻² Bq/l	kg)	Result > 3s
				Cesiu	m-137			
ATOMIC CITY	07/14/21	154.00	±	140.00	570.37	±	518.52	No
CONTROL	07/26/21	-143.00	±	102.00	-529.63	±	377.78	No
EFS	07/14/21	-21.10	±	92.60	-78.15	±	342.96	No
FAA TOWER	07/14/21	265.00	±	175.00	981.48	±	648.15	No
HOWE	07/21/21	106.00	±	88.40	392.59	±	327.41	No
IDAHO FALLS	07/13/21	108.00	±	78.00	400.00	±	288.89	No
MONTEVIEW	07/21/21	7.17	±	68.30	26.56	±	252.96	No
POCATELLO	08/09/21	26.60	±	67.60	98.52	±	250.37	No
				Stront	ium-90			
ATOMIC CITY	07/14/21	-0.46	±	17.90	-1.72	±	66.30	No
CONTROL	07/26/21	32.00	±	19.20	118.52	±	71.11	No
EFS	07/14/21	58.90	±	20.50	218.15	±	75.93	No
FAA TOWER	07/14/21	45.00	±	20.20	166.67	±	74.81	No
HOWE	07/21/21	29.00	±	18.80	107.41	±	69.63	No
IDAHO FALLS	07/13/21	-27.70	±	16.30	-102.59	±	60.37	No
MONTEVIEW	07/21/21	-8.38	±	16.80	-31.04	±	62.22	No
POCATELLO	08/09/21	-3.87	±	17.50	-14.33	±	64.81	No

Table C-8. Cesium-137 and Strontium-90 Concentrations in Grain

		Result	± 1s Unc	ertainty	Result:	± 1s Unc	ertainty	
Location	Sampling Date		pCi/kg	_		Bq/kg	_	Result > 3s
				Cesiu	ım-137			
AMERICAN FALLS	08/17/21	1.88	±	1.37	0.07	±	0.05	No
ARCO	08/18/21	0.82	±	1.18	0.03	±	0.04	No
CONTROL	08/19/21	2.21	±	1.29	0.08	±	0.05	No
HOWE	08/25/21	0.54	±	1.07	0.02	±	0.04	No
IDAHO FALLS	08/24/21	0.26	±	0.95	0.01	±	0.04	No
KIMAMA	08/17/21	-0.67	±	1.03	-0.02	±	0.04	No
MONTEVIEW	08/25/21	-0.48	±	2.49	-0.02	±	0.09	No
MORELAND	08/17/21	0.48	±	2.17	0.02	±	0.08	No
ROBERTS	08/24/21	0.86	±	2.14	0.03	±	0.08	No
ROBERTS (duplicate)	08/24/21	0.12	±	1.97	0.00	±	0.07	No
RUPERT	08/17/21	0.20	±	0.99	0.01	±	0.04	No
TERRETON	08/25/21	3.32	±	2.04	0.12	±	0.08	No
					tium-90			
AMERICAN FALLS	08/17/21	11.00	±	16.60	0.41	±	0.61	No
ARCO	08/18/21	2.13	±	15.7	0.08	±	0.58	No
CONTROL	08/19/21	14.8	±	14.7	0.55	±	0.54	No
HOWE	08/25/21	-27.6	±	12.5	-1.02	±	0.46	No
IDAHO FALLS	08/24/21	-2.22	±	13.5	-0.08	±	0.50	No
KIMAMA	08/17/21	22.3	±	15.3	0.83	±	0.57	No
MONTEVIEW	08/25/21	-26.5	±	12	-0.98	±	0.44	No
MORELAND	08/17/21	-1.65	±	13.80	-0.06	±	0.51	No
ROBERTS	08/24/21	-11.8	±	13.9	-0.44	±	0.51	No
ROBERTS (duplicate)	08/24/21	-20	±	14.6	-0.74	±	0.54	No
RUPERT	08/17/21	-4.64	±	14.50	-0.17	±	0.54	No
TERRETON	08/25/21	24.9	±	16.6	0.92	±	0.61	No

Table C-9. Gamma-emitting Radionuclides in Large Game Animals

	Collection	1		Result:	± 1s Unc	ertainty	Result :	t 1s Unc	ertainty	
Species	Date	Tissue	Analyte	(pCi/k	g wet w	eight)	(x 10 ⁻² B	q/kg wet	weight)	Result > 3s
ELK	08/05/21	Liver	Cesium-137	-3.13	±	2.87	-11.58	±	10.62	No
			lodine-131	-3.78	±	4.36	-13.99	±	16.13	No
ELK	08/17/21	Liver	Cesium-137	0.32	±	0.95	1.20	±	3.51	No
			lodine-131	-1.03	±	6.73	-3.81	±	24.90	No
ELK	09/16/21	Liver	Cesium-137	1.33	±	0.71	4.92	±	2.64	No
			Iodine-131	-1.15	±	1.16	-4.26	±	4.29	No
ELK	8/5/2021	Muscle	Cesium-137	1.34	±	1.36	4.96	±	5.03	No
			lodine-131	1.58	±	2.25	5.85	±	8.33	No
ELK	08/12/21	Muscle	Cesium-137	-0.13	±	1.23	-0.48	±	4.55	No
			lodine-131	0.57	±	1.91	2.09	±	7.07	No
ELK	08/17/21	Muscle	Cesium-137	-1.61	±	2.09	-5.96	±	7.73	No
			lodine-131	-27.00	±	17.40	-99.90	±	64.38	No
ELK	09/16/21	Muscle	Cesium-137	-1.06	±	1.21	-3.92	±	4.48	No
			lodine-131	0.72	±	1.60	2.68	±	5.92	No
ELK	8/5/2021	Thyroid	Cesium-137	66.20	±	78.70	244.94	±	291.19	No
		•	lodine-131	-38.80	±	92.40	-143.56	±	341.88	No
ELK	08/17/21	Thyroid	Cesium-137	34.10	±	137.00	126.17	±	506.90	No
		•	lodine-131	580.00	±	526.00	2146.00	±	1946.20	No
ELK	09/16/21	Thyroid	Cesium-137	37.90	±	165.00	140.23	±	610.50	No
		,	lodine-131	-139.00	±	223.00	-514.30	±	825.10	No

APPENDIX D STATISTICAL ANALYSIS RESULTS

Table D-1. Results of the Kruskal-Wallace one-way analysis of variance by ranks between INL Site, Boundary, and Distant sample groups by quarter and by month.

		Gross A	lpha		
Quarter	Valid N	Sum of Ranks	Mean Ranks	Hª	P^b
Boundary	91	9369.500	102.9615		
Distant	77	7867.500	102.1753	0.0493977	0.9756
INL Site	37	3878.000	104.8108		
July	Valid N	Sum of Ranks	Mean Ranks	Hª	P⁵
Boundary	28	904.0000	32.28571		
Distant	24	756.5000	31.52083	0.2793053	0.8697
INL Site	12	419.5000	34.95833		
August	Valid N	Sum of Ranks	Mean Ranks	Ha	₽b
Boundary	28	968.0000	34.57143		
Distant	23	740.0000	32.17391	3.722505	0.1555
INL Site	11	245.0000	22.27273		
September	Valid N	Sum of Ranks	Mean Ranks	Hª	P⁵
Boundary	35	1293.000	36.94286		
Distant	30	1224.500	40.81667	1.582434	0.4533
INL Site	14	642.500	45.89286		
		Gross E	3eta		
Quarter	Valid N	Sum of Ranks	Mean Ranks	Ha	Pb
_					
Boundary	91	8786.000	96.5495		
Boundary Distant	91 77	8786.000 8137.000	96.5495 105.6753	2.347729	0.3092
	77 37			2.347729	
Distant	77	8137.000	105.6753	2.347729 H ^a	0.3092 P ^b
Distant INL Site	77 37	8137.000 4192.000	105.6753 113.2973		
Distant INL Site July	77 37 Valid N	8137.000 4192.000 Sum of Ranks	105.6753 113.2973 Mean Ranks		
Distant INL Site July Boundary	77 37 Valid N 28	8137.000 4192.000 Sum of Ranks 821.0000	105.6753 113.2973 Mean Ranks 29.32143	Ha	Pb
Distant INL Site July Boundary Distant	77 37 Valid N 28 24	8137.000 4192.000 Sum of Ranks 821.0000 838.5000	105.6753 113.2973 Mean Ranks 29.32143 34.93750	Ha	Pb
Distant INL Site July Boundary Distant INL Site	77 37 Valid N 28 24 12	8137.000 4192.000 Sum of Ranks 821.0000 838.5000 420.5000	105.6753 113.2973 Mean Ranks 29.32143 34.93750 35.04167	H ^a 1.451481	P ^b 0.4840
Distant INL Site July Boundary Distant INL Site August	77 37 Valid N 28 24 12 Valid N	8137.000 4192.000 Sum of Ranks 821.0000 838.5000 420.5000 Sum of Ranks	105.6753 113.2973 Mean Ranks 29.32143 34.93750 35.04167 Mean Ranks	H ^a 1.451481	P ^b 0.4840
Distant INL Site July Boundary Distant INL Site August Boundary	77 37 Valid N 28 24 12 Valid N 28 23 11	8137.000 4192.000 Sum of Ranks 821.0000 838.5000 420.5000 Sum of Ranks 859.5000 740.0000 353.0000	105.6753 113.2973 Mean Ranks 29.32143 34.93750 35.04167 Mean Ranks 30.69643	H ^a 1.451481 H ^a	Pb 0.4840 Pb 0.9505
Distant INL Site July Boundary Distant INL Site August Boundary Distant	77 37 Valid N 28 24 12 Valid N 28 23	8137.000 4192.000 Sum of Ranks 821.0000 838.5000 420.5000 Sum of Ranks 859.5000 740.0000	105.6753 113.2973 Mean Ranks 29.32143 34.93750 35.04167 Mean Ranks 30.69643 32.19565	H ^a 1.451481 H ^a	Pb 0.4840 Pb
Distant INL Site July Boundary Distant INL Site August Boundary Distant INL Site	77 37 Valid N 28 24 12 Valid N 28 23 11	8137.000 4192.000 Sum of Ranks 821.0000 838.5000 420.5000 Sum of Ranks 859.5000 740.0000 353.0000	105.6753 113.2973 Mean Ranks 29.32143 34.93750 35.04167 Mean Ranks 30.69643 32.19565 32.09091	H ^a 1.451481 H ^a 0.1015618	Pb 0.4840 Pb 0.9505
Distant INL Site July Boundary Distant INL Site August Boundary Distant INL Site September	77 37 Valid N 28 24 12 Valid N 28 23 11 Valid N	8137.000 4192.000 Sum of Ranks 821.0000 838.5000 420.5000 Sum of Ranks 859.5000 740.0000 353.0000 Sum of Ranks	105.6753 113.2973 Mean Ranks 29.32143 34.93750 35.04167 Mean Ranks 30.69643 32.19565 32.09091 Mean Ranks	H ^a 1.451481 H ^a 0.1015618	Pb 0.4840 Pb 0.9505

a. Kruskal Wallis test statistic calculated using mean ranks. This test assumes H is approximately distributed as χ^2 .

b. A p-value (probability value) greater than 0.05 signifies no statistical difference between data groups. Any values below 0.05 are indicated in red.

Table D-2. Results of multiple comparisons of gross alpha results between locations during the third quarter. A 'p' value greater than 0.05 signifies no statistical difference between data groups. Any values below 0.05 are indicated in red. R represents the average rank for each location.

	Independent	parisons p value (grouping) variabl is test: H (17, N	le: Geographic	Name	⁻¹⁵) (3rd-QTR-21	I-LVf in 3rd-Q	tr-21-LVf)									
		tion: v8='gross a														
	Arco	Atomic City	Blackfoot	Blue Dome	Craters of the	Dubois	EFS	FAA Tower	Howe	Idaho Falls	Jackson WY	Main Gate	Monteview	Mud Lake	Sugar City	Van Buren
Depend.:	R:94.000	R:131.19	R:73.577	R:143.92	Moon	R:136.85	R:142.50	R:88.885	R:157.88	R:134.35	R:112.12	R:99.654	R:116.12	R:96.423	R:119.25	R:121.71
Coded result (x10 ⁻¹⁵)					R:131.04											
Arco		1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000
Atomic City	1.000000		1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000
Blackfoot	1.000000	1.000000		1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	0.198637	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000
Blue Dome	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000		1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000
Craters of the Moon	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000		1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000
Dubois	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000		1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000
EFS	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000		1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000
FAA Tower	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000		1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000
Howe	1.000000	1.000000	0.198637	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000		1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000
Idaho Falls	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000		1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000
Jackson WY	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000		1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000
Main Gate	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000		1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000
Monteview	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000		1.000000	1.000000	1.000000
Mud Lake	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000		1.000000	1.000000
Sugar City	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000		1.000000
Van Buren	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	

Table D-3. Results of multiple comparisons of gross beta results between locations during the third quarter. A 'p' value greater than 0.05 signifies no statistical difference between data groups. Any values below 0.05 are indicated in red. R represents the average rank for each location.

		Multiple Comparisons p values (2-tailed); Coded result (x10 ⁻¹⁵) (3rd-QTR-21-LVf) in 3rd-Qtr-21-LVf)														
	Independent (grouping) variable: GeographicName															
	Kruskal-Wallis test: H (17, N= 231) =29.21403 p = .0326															
	Include condition: v8='gross beta'															
Daniel .	Arco	Atomic City	Blackfoot	Blue Dome	Craters of the	Dubois	EFS	FAA Tower	Howe	Idaho Falls	Jackson WY	Main Gate	Monteview	Mud Lake	Sugar City	Van Buren
Depend.:	R:74.000	R:141.38	R:108.12	R:129.65	Moon	R:125.35	R:161.88	R:109.62	R:136.19	R:94.923	R:127.46	R:128.38	R:91.192	R:101.50	R:152.33	R:100.96
Coded result (x10 ⁻¹⁵)					R:127.50											
Arco		1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	0.156189	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	0.521864	1.000000
Atomic City	1.000000		1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000
Blackfoot	1.000000	1.000000		1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000
Blue Dome	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000		1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000
Craters of the Moon	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000		1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000
Dubois	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000		1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000
EFS	0.156189	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000		1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000
FAA Tower	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000		1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000
Howe	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000		1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000
Idaho Falls	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000		1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000
Jackson WY	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000		1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000
Main Gate	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000		1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000
Monteview	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000		1.000000	1.000000	1.000000
Mud Lake	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000		1.000000	1.000000
Sugar City	0.521864	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000		1.000000
Van Buren	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	