Gonzales Stoller Surveillance, LLC Environmental Surveillance, Education, and Research Program ISSN NUMBER 1089-5469

# Idaho National Laboratory Site Offsite Environmental Surveillance Program Report: First Quarter 2013

September 2013



Contributors: Russ Mitchell, Marilyn Case

Program conducted for the U.S. Department of Energy, Idaho Operations Office Under Contract DE-NE0000300

By Gonzales Stoller Surveillance, LLC
Environmental Surveillance, Education, and Research Program
Douglas K. Halford, Program Manager
120 Technology Dr., Idaho Falls, Idaho 83401
www.gsseser.com

# **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

None of the radionuclides detected in samples collected during the first quarter of 2013 could be directly linked with INL Site activities, with the exception of one waterfowl sample collected from an INL Site wastewater pond. Levels of detected radionuclides were no different than values measured at other locations across the western United States. All detected radionuclide concentrations were well below standards set by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and regulatory standards established by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for protection of the public.

This report for the first quarter of 2013 contains results from the Environmental Surveillance, Education, and Research (ESER) Program's monitoring of the Department of Energy's Idaho National Laboratory (INL) Site's offsite environment, January 1 through March 31, 2013. All sample types (media) and the sampling schedule followed during 2013 are listed in Appendix A. Specifically, this report contains the results for the following:

- Air sampling, including particulate air filters, charcoal cartridges, and atmospheric moisture
- Precipitation sampling
- Milk sampling
- Large game animal sampling

Table E-1 Summary of results for the First Quarter of 2013.

Media	Sample Type	Analysis	Results
Air	Filters	Gross alpha, gross beta	Neither gross alpha nor gross beta concentrations were statistically different at Distant, Boundary, and INL Site locations for the quarter or during any month of the quarter. There was one week (January 30) where a statistical difference was noted in gross alpha concentrations, but it appeared due to normal variability in weekly data. No result exceeded the DCS for gross alpha or gross beta activity in air.
		Gamma-emitting radionuclides, <sup>90</sup> Sr, actinides (americium and plutonium)	No human-made gamma- emitting radionuclides were detected. Strontium-90 was detected at three of six locations at similar concentrations to those found throughout the past two years. Plutonium-239/240 was found on one composite just above the detection level.
	Charcoal Cartridge	lodine-131	lodine-131 was initially reported on one batch of charcoal cartridges at a level just above the detection limit. A recount of the batch failed to confirm the presence of any lodine-131.
Atmospheric Moisture	Liquid	Tritium	None of the ten sample results showed tritium concentrations greater than the 3s uncertainty during this quarter.
Precipitation	Liquid	Tritium	Eight samples were collected. None of the results were greater than the 3s uncertainty.
Milk	Liquid	lodine-131, other gamma-emitting radionuclides	No lodine-131 or other humanmade gamma emitting radionuclides were detected.
Large Game Animals	Tissue	Gamma-emitting radionuclides	No humanmade gamma-emitting radionuclides were found in the one game animal sampled during the quarter.

#### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AEC Atomic Energy Commission

CFA Central Facilities Area

DCS Derived Concentration Standard

DOE Department of Energy

DOE – ID Department of Energy Idaho Operations Office

EAL Environmental Assessment Laboratory

EFS Experimental Field Station

EPA Environmental Protection Agency

ERAMS Environmental Radiation Ambient Monitoring System
ESER Environmental Surveillance, Education, and Research

GSS Gonzales Stoller Surveillance, LLC

ICP Idaho Cleanup Project

INL Idaho National Laboratory

INEL Idaho National Engineering Laboratory

INEEL Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory

ISU Idaho State University

MDC minimum detectable concentration NRTS National Reactor Testing Station

# **LIST OF UNITS**

Bq becquerel

Ci curie
g gram
L liter

μCi microcurie
mL milliliter
pCi picocurie

## 1. ESER PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

Operations at the Idaho National Laboratory (INL) Site are conducted under requirements imposed by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) under authority of the Atomic Energy Act and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under a number of acts (e.g. the Clean Air Act and Safe Drinking Water Act). The requirements imposed by DOE are specified in DOE Orders. These requirements include those to monitor the effects of DOE activities both inside and outside the boundaries of DOE facilities (DOE 2003). During calendar year 2012, environmental monitoring within the INL Site boundaries was primarily the responsibility of the INL and Idaho Cleanup Project (ICP) contractors, while monitoring outside the INL Site boundaries was conducted under the Environmental Surveillance, Education, and Research (ESER) Program. At the beginning of the first quarter of 2011, the ESER Program became led by a new partnership between S.M. Stoller and Jerome Gonzales Management Systems, Inc. with the support of the previous team members. This partnership is named Gonzales Stoller Surveillance, LLC (GSS). The ESER Program was led by GSS in cooperation with its team members, including the University of Idaho, Idaho State University (ISU), ALS Environmental, and the Wildlife Conservation Society.

This report contains monitoring results from the ESER Program for samples collected during the first quarter of 2013 (January 1-March 31, 2013).

The surveillance portion of the ESER Program is designed to satisfy the following program objectives:

- Verify compliance with applicable environmental laws, regulations, and DOE Orders
- Characterize and define trends in the physical, chemical, and biological condition of environmental media on and around the INL Site
- Assess the potential radiation dose to members of the public from INL Site effluents
- Present program results clearly and concisely through the use of reports, presentations, newsletter articles and press releases.

The goal of the surveillance program is to monitor different media at a number of potential exposure points within the various exposure pathways, including air, water, agricultural products, wildlife, and soil that could possibly contribute to the radiation dose received by the public.

Environmental samples collected include:

- air at 16 locations on and around the INL Site
- moisture in air at four locations around the INL Site
- precipitation from three locations on and around the INL Site
- drinking water from eight locations and surface water from three locations around the INL Site
- agricultural products, including milk at six dairies around the INL Site, potatoes from at least five local producers, wheat from approximately 10 local producers, and lettuce from approximately nine home-owned and portable gardens on and around the INL
- soil from 13 locations around the INL Site biennially
- environmental dosimeters from 17 locations semi-annually
- various numbers of wildlife including big game (pronghorn, mule deer, and elk) and waterfowl sampled on and near the INL Site.

Table A-1 in Appendix A lists samples, sampling locations and collection frequency for the ESER Program.

The ESER Program used two laboratories to perform analyses on routine environmental samples collected during the quarter reported here. The ISU Environmental Assessment Laboratory (EAL) performed routine gross alpha, gross beta, tritium, and gamma spectrometry analyses. Beginning with second quarter samples, analyses requiring radiochemistry including strontium-90 (90 Sr), plutonium-238 (238 Pu), plutonium-239/240 (239/240 Pu), and americium-241 (241 Am) were performed by a new laboratory—ALS Environmental of Fort Collins, Colorado.

In the event of non-routine occurrences, such as suspected releases of radioactive material, the ESER Program may increase the frequency of sampling and/or the number of sampling locations based on the nature of the release and wind distribution patterns. Any data found to be outside historical norms in the ESER Program is thoroughly investigated to determine if an INL Site origin is likely. Investigation may include re-sampling and/or re-analysis of prior samples.

In the event of any suspected worldwide nuclear incidents, like the 1986 Chernobyl accident or the 2011 Fukushima accident, the EPA may request additional sampling be performed through RadNet [previously known as the Environmental Radiation Ambient Monitoring System (ERAMS) network] (EPA 2013). The EPA established the ERAMS network in 1973 with an emphasis on identifying trends in the accumulation of long-lived radionuclides in the environment. ERAMS was renamed RadNet in 2005 to reflect a new mission. RadNet is comprised of a nationwide network of sampling stations that provide air, precipitation, drinking water, and milk samples. The ESER Program currently operates a high-volume air sampler and collects precipitation and drinking water in Idaho Falls for this national program and routinely sends samples to EPA's Eastern Environmental Radiation Facility for analyses. The RadNet data collected at Idaho Falls are not reported by the ESER Program but are available through the EPA RadNet website (<a href="http://www.epa.gov/narel/radnet/">http://www.epa.gov/narel/radnet/</a>).

Once samples have been collected and analyzed, the ESER Program has the responsibility for quality control of the data and for preparing quarterly reports on results from the environmental surveillance program. The quarterly reports are then consolidated into the INL Site Environmental Report for each calendar year. These annual reports also include data collected by other INL Site contractors.

The results reported in the quarterly and annual reports are assessed in terms of data quality and statistical significance with respect to laboratory analytical uncertainties, sample locations, reported INL Site releases, meteorological data, and worldwide events that might conceivably have an effect on the INL Site environment. First, field collection and laboratory information are reviewed to determine identifiable errors that would invalidate or limit use of the data. Examples of such limitations include insufficient sample volume, torn filters, evidence of laboratory cross-contamination or quality control issues. Data that pass initial screening are further evaluated using statistical methods. Statistical tools are necessary for data evaluation particularly since environmental measurements typically involve the determination of minute concentrations, which are difficult to detect and even more difficult to distinguish from other measurements.

Results are presented in this report with an analytical uncertainty term, s, where "s" is the estimated sample standard deviation ( $\sigma$ ), assuming a Gaussian or normal distribution. All results are reported in this document, even those that do not necessarily represent detections. The term "detected", as used for the discussion of results in this report, does not imply any degree of risk to the public or environment, but rather indicates that the radionuclide was measured at a concentration sufficient for the analytical instrument to record a value that is

statistically different from background. The ESER has adopted guidelines developed by the United States Geological Survey (Bartholomay, et al. 2003), based on an extension of a method proposed by Currie (1984), to interpret analytical results and make decisions concerning detection. Most of the following discussion is taken from Bartholomay et al (2003).

Laboratory measurements involve the analysis of a target sample and the analysis of a prepared laboratory blank (i.e., a sample which is identical to the sample collected in the environment, except that the radionuclide of interest is absent). Instrument signals for the target and blank vary randomly about the true signals and may overlap making it difficult to distinguish between radionuclide activities in blank and in environmental samples (Figure 1). That is, the variability around the sample result may substantially overlap the variability around a net activity of zero for samples with no radioactivity. In order to conclude that a radionuclide has been detected, it is essential to consider two fundamental aspects of the problem of detection: (1) the instrument signal for the sample must be greater than that observed for the blank before the decision can be made that the radionuclide has been detected; and (2) an estimate must be made of the minimum radionuclide concentration that will yield a sufficiently large observed signal before the correct decision can be made for detection or non-detection.




Figure 1. Example of overlap of blank and sample measurement distributions.

In the laboratory, instrument signals must exceed a critical level of 1.6s before the qualitative decision can be made as to whether the radionuclide was detected in a sample. At 1.6s there is about a 95-percent probability that the correct conclusion—not detected—will be made. Given a large number of samples, approximately 5 percent of the samples with measured concentrations greater than or equal to 1.6s, which were concluded as being detected, might not contain the radionuclide. These are referred to as false positives. For purposes of simplicity and consistency with past reporting, the ESER has rounded the 1.6s critical level estimate to 2s.

Once the critical level has been defined, the minimum detectable concentration may be determined. Concentrations that equal 3s represent a measurement at the detection level or minimum detectable concentration. For true concentrations of 3s or greater, there is a greater than 99-percent probability that the radionuclide was detected in the target sample. In a large number of samples, the conclusion—not detected—will be made in less than one percent of the samples with true concentrations at the minimum detectable concentration of 3s. These

measurements are known as false negatives. The ESER reports measured radionuclide concentrations greater than or equal to their respective 3s uncertainties as being "detected with confidence."

Concentrations between 2s and 3s are reported as "questionably detected". That is, the radionuclide may be present in the sample; however, the detection may not be reliable. Measurements made between 2s and 3s are examined further to determine if they are a part of a pattern (temporal or spatial) that might warrant further investigation or recounting. For example, if a particular radionuclide is typically detected at > 3s at a specific location, a sample result between 2s and 3s might be considered detected.

If a result is less than or equal to 2s there is little confidence that the radionuclide is present in the sample. Analytical results in this report are presented as the result value  $\pm$  one standard deviation (1s) for reporting consistency with the annual report. To obtain the 2s or 3s values simply multiply the uncertainty term by 2 or 3.

For more information concerning the ESER Program, contact GSS at (208) 525-8250, or visit the Program's web page (<a href="http://www.gsseser.com">http://www.gsseser.com</a>).

Quality Assurance

#### 2. THE INL SITE

The INL Site is a nuclear energy and homeland security research and environmental management facility. It is owned and administered by the U.S. Department of Energy, Idaho Operations Office (DOE-ID) and occupies about 890 mi² (2300 km²) of the upper Snake River Plain in Southeastern Idaho. The history of the INL Site began during World War II when the U.S. Naval Ordnance Station was located in Pocatello, Idaho. This station, one of two such installations in the U.S., retooled large guns from U.S. Navy warships. The retooled guns were tested on the nearby, uninhabited plain, known as the Naval Proving Ground. In the years following the war, as the nation worked to develop nuclear power, the Atomic Energy Commission (AEC), predecessor to the DOE, became interested in the Naval Proving Ground and made plans for a facility to build, test, and perfect nuclear power reactors.

The Naval Proving Ground became the National Reactor Testing Station (NRTS) in 1949, under the AEC. By the end of 1951, a reactor at the NRTS became the first to produce useful amounts of electricity. Over time the site has operated 52 various types of reactors, associated research centers, and waste handling areas. The NRTS was renamed the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory (INEL) in 1974, and the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory (INEEL) in January 1997. With renewed interest in nuclear power the DOE announced in 2003 that Argonne National Laboratory and the INEEL would be the lead laboratories for development of the next generation of power reactors. On February 1, 2005 the INEEL and Argonne National Laboratory-West became the INL. The INL is committed to providing international nuclear leadership for the 21st Century, developing and demonstrating compelling national security technologies, and delivering excellence in science and technology as one of the Department of Energy's multiprogram national laboratories.

The cleanup operation, the ICP, is now a separately managed effort. The ICP is charged with safely and cost-effectively completing the majority of cleanup work from past laboratory missions in an ongoing process.



## 3. AIR SAMPLING

The primary pathway by which radionuclides can move off the INL Site is through the air and for this reason the air pathway is the primary focus of monitoring on and around the INL Site. Samples for particulates and iodine-131 (<sup>131</sup>I) gas in air were collected weekly for the duration of the quarter at 16 locations using low-volume air samplers. Moisture in the atmosphere was sampled at four locations around the INL Site and analyzed for tritium. Air sampling activities and results for the first quarter of 2013 are discussed below. A summary of approximate minimum detectable concentrations (MDCs) for radiological analyses and DOE Derived Concentration Standard (DCS) (DOE 2011) values is provided in Appendix B.

#### LOW-VOLUME AIR SAMPLING

Radioactivity associated with airborne particulates was monitored continuously by 18 low-volume air samplers (two of which are used as replicate samplers) at 16 locations during the first quarter of 2013 (Figure 2). Three of these samplers are located on the INL Site, nine are situated off the INL Site near the boundary, and six have been placed at locations distant to the INL Site. Samplers are divided into INL Site, Boundary, and Distant groups to determine if there is a gradient of radionuclide concentrations, increasing towards the INL Site. Each replicate sampler is relocated every other year to a new location. At the start of 2012, one replicate sampler was moved to Monteview (a Boundary location) and one was moved to Arco (also a Boundary location). An average of 20,643 ft³ (585 m³) of air was sampled at each location, each week, at an average flow rate of 2.05 ft³/min (0.06 m³/min). Particulates in air were collected on membrane particulate filters (1.2-µm pore size). Gases passing through the filter were collected with an activated charcoal cartridge.




Figure 2. Low-volume air sampler locations.

Filters and charcoal cartridges were changed weekly at each station during the quarter. Each particulate filter was analyzed for gross alpha and gross beta radioactivity using thin-window gas flow proportional counting systems after waiting about four days for naturally-occurring daughter products of radon and thorium to decay.

The weekly particulate filters collected during the quarter for each location were composited and analyzed for gamma-emitting radionuclides. Selected composites were also analyzed by location for <sup>90</sup>Sr, <sup>238</sup>Pu, <sup>239/240</sup>Pu, and <sup>241</sup>Am as determined by a rotating quarterly schedule.

Charcoal cartridges were analyzed for gamma-emitting radionuclides, specifically for iodine-131 (<sup>131</sup>I). Iodine-131 is of particular interest because it is produced in relatively large quantities by nuclear fission, is readily accumulated in human and animal thyroids, and has a half-life of eight days. This means that any elevated level of <sup>131</sup>I in the environment could be from a recent release of fission products.

Gross alpha results are reported in Table C-1 and shown in Figures 3 through 6. Gross alpha data are tested for normality prior to statistical analyses, and generally show no consistent discernible distribution. Because there is no discernible distribution of the data, the nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis test of multiple independent groups was used to test for statistical differences between INL Site, Boundary, and Distant locations. The use of nonparametric tests, such as Kruskal-Wallis, gives less weight to outlier and extreme values thus allowing a more appropriate comparison of data groups. A statistically significant difference exists between data groups if the (p) value is less than 0.05. Values greater than 0.05 translate into a 95 percent confidence that the medians are statistically the same. The p-value for each comparison is shown in Table D-1. For the quarter, there was not a statistical difference noted.

Comparisons of gross alpha concentrations were made for each month of the quarter. Again the Kruskal-Wallis test of multiple independent groups was use d to determine if statistical differences exist between INL Site, Boundary, and Distant data groups. No statistical differences in gross alpha concentrations between groups were noted during any month (Table D-1).

As an additional check, comparisons between gross alpha concentrations measured at Boundary and Distant locations were made on a weekly basis. The Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare the Boundary and Distant data because it is the most powerful nonparametric alternative to the t-test for independent samples. INL Site sample results were not included in this analysis because the onsite data, collected at only three locations, are not representative of the entire INL Site and would not aid in determining offsite impacts. In the first quarter, there was one week, the week of January 30, where a statistical difference existed between the two sample groups (Table D-2). During this week, gross alpha concentrations were below average at all locations. The statistical difference seems to have resulted from slightly higher concentrations at some of the northern Boundary locations (Mud Lake and Howe) and lower concentrations at some of the Distant locations (Craters of the Moon and Dubois). The INL Site locations were about in the middle of these two groups.

Gross beta results are presented in Table C-1 and displayed in Figures 7 through 10. The data were tested and found to be neither normally nor log-normally distributed. Box and whiskers plots were used for presentation of the data. Outliers and extreme values were retained in subsequent statistical analyses because they are within the range of measurements made in the past five years, and because these values could not be attributed to mistakes in collection, analysis, or reporting procedures. No statistical differences were noted in the quarterly data or during any month of the quarter using the Kruskal-Wallace test (Table D-1).

Comparison of weekly Boundary and Distant gross beta data sets, using the Mann Whitney U test, showed no statistical differences between Boundary and Distant measurements during any week of the fourth quarter (Table D-1).

lodine-131 was initially detected in one set of charcoal cartridges measured during the week of February 27. The detected value was just above the detection limit. The set was subsequently recounted and no <sup>131</sup>I was detected in the batch. Weekly <sup>131</sup>I results for each location are listed in Table C-2 of Appendix C.

No  $^{137}$ Cs,  $^{238}$ Pu, or  $^{241}$ Am.were detected. Strontium-90 was detected in three of the six composites analyzed. Concentrations were similar to those that have consistently been measured beginning in the second half of 2011 after a slightly more sensitive analytical method went into use. During this period similar concentrations have found at Distant, Boundary, and INL Site locations, with no discernible pattern. The highest concentration was found at Atomic City (7.75 x  $^{10^{-17}}$  µCi/mL), which represents 0.0003 percent of the Derived Concentration Standard.

Plutonium-239/240 was reported on the composite from FAA Tower at a concentration of  $4.32 \times 10^{-18} \, \mu \text{Ci/mL}$ , which is just above the minimum level of detection. This value is 0.01 percent of the Derived Concentration Standard.




Figure 3. Gross alpha concentrations in air at ESER INL Site, Boundary, and Distant locations for the first quarter of 2013.




Figure 4. January gross alpha concentrations in air at ESER INL Site, Boundary, and Distant locations. Number of samples (N) = 5 at each location.




Figure 5. February gross alpha concentrations in air at ESER INL Site, Boundary, and Distant locations. Number of samples (N) = 4 at each location, expect Blackfoot (N = 3).




Figure 6. March gross alpha concentrations in air at ESER INL Site, Boundary, and Distant locations. Number of samples (N) = 4 at each location.




Figure 7. Gross beta concentrations in air at ESER INL Site, Boundary, and Distant locations for the first quarter of 2013.




Figure 8. January gross beta concentrations in air at ESER INL Site, Boundary, and Distant locations. Number of samples (N) = 5 at each location.




Figure 9. February gross beta concentrations in air at ESER INL Site, Boundary, and Distant locations. Number of samples (N) = 4 at each location, except Blackfoot (N = 3).




FIGURE 10. MARCH GROSS BETA CONCENTRATIONS IN AIR AT ESER INL SITE, BOUNDARY, AND DISTANT LOCATIONS. NUMBER OF SAMPLES (N) = 4 AT EACH LOCATION.

#### **ATMOSPHERIC MOISTURE SAMPLING**

Atmospheric moisture is collected by pulling air through a column of absorbent material (molecular sieve material) to absorb water vapor. The water is then extracted from the absorbent material by heat distillation. The resulting water samples are then analyzed for tritium using liquid scintillation.

Results were available for ten atmospheric moisture samples collected during the first quarter of 2013. None of these exceeded the 3s uncertainty level for tritium. Results are shown in Table C-4, Appendix C.

# 4. PRECIPITATION AND WATER SAMPLING

#### PRECIPITATION SAMPLING

Precipitation samples are gathered when sufficient precipitation occurs to allow for the collection of the minimum sample volume of approximately 50 mL. Samples are taken of monthly composites from Idaho Falls and CFA, and weekly from the EFS. Precipitation samples are analyzed for tritium. Storm events in the first quarter of 2013 produced sufficient precipitation to yield only eight samples.

Tritium was not measured above the 3s values in any of the eight samples. These results are listed in Table C-5 (Appendix C). Low levels of tritium exist in the environment at all times as a result of cosmic ray reactions with water molecules in the upper atmosphere and detectable tritium is sometimes found in ESER samples. When detected, tritium values have remained well within the historical range and the range measured across the country by the EPA Radnet program (EPA 2013).

1st Quarter 2013 4-1 September 2013



# 5. AGRICULTURAL PRODUCT, WILDLIFE, AND SOIL SAMPLING

Another potential pathway for contaminants to reach humans is through the food chain. The ESER Program samples multiple agricultural products and game animals from around the INL Site and Southeast Idaho. Specifically, milk, grain, potatoes, lettuce, large game animals, and waterfowl are sampled. Milk is sampled throughout the year and large game animals are sampled whenever large game animals are killed onsite from vehicle collisions. Lettuce and grain are sampled during the third quarter, while potatoes are collected during the fourth quarter. Waterfowl are collected in either the third or fourth quarter. See Table A-1, Appendix A, for more details on agricultural product and wildlife sampling. This section discusses results from milk and agricultural products samples available during the first quarter of 2013.

#### MILK SAMPLING

Milk samples were collected weekly in Idaho Falls. Monthly samples were collected at six other locations around the INL Site (Figure 11) during the first quarter of 2013. In addition, commercially-available organic milk was purchased as a control sample. All samples were analyzed for gamma emitting radionuclides, with particular emphasis on lodine-131.

lodine-131 was not detected in any weekly or monthly samples during the first quarter. No other humanmade gamma-emitting radionuclides were found either. Data for <sup>131</sup>I and <sup>137</sup>Cs in milk samples are listed in Appendix C, Table C-6.




Figure 11. ESER milk sampling locations.

1st Quarter 2013 5-1 September 2013

## LARGE GAME ANIMAL SAMPLING

Liver, muscle, and thyroid tissues were collected from one mule deer near the Central Facilities Area during the first quarter. No humanmade gamma-emitting radionuclides were detected. Results are shown in Appendix C, Table C-7.

1st Quarter 2013 5-1 September 2013

## 6. QUALITY ASSURANCE

The ESER Quality Assurance Program consists of five ongoing tasks which measure:

- 1. method uncertainty
- 2. data completeness
- 3. data accuracy, using spike, performance evaluation and laboratory control samples
- 4. data precision, using split samples, duplicate samples and recounts
- 5. presence of contamination in samples, using blanks.

Sample results are compared to criteria described in the Quality Assurance Project Plan for the INL Site Offsite Environmental Surveillance Program (GSS 2012). Criteria established by DOE for Quality Assurance activities include:

- Quality assurance program
- Personnel training and qualification
- · Quality improvement process
- Documents and records
- Established work processes
- Established standards for design and verification
- Established procurement requirements
- Inspection and acceptance testing
- Management assessment
- Independent assessment

Assessments of ESER data quality are achieved through analysis of spike, performance evaluation, and duplicate samples; through sample recounts; through analysis of blank samples; and through comparison of sample results to established method quality objectives. These assessments are documented in the ESER Quality Assurance for the First Quarter of 2013 (GSS 2013).

## 7. REFERENCES

- Bartholomay, R.C., Knobel, L.L., and Rousseau, J.P., 2003, Field Methods and Quality Plan for Quality-of-Water Activities, U.S. Geological Survey, Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory, Idaho, DOE/ID-22182, January 2003.
- Currie, L.A., 1984, Lower Limit of Detection: Definition and Elaboration of a Proposed Position for Radiological Effluent and Environmental Measurements, NUREG/CR-4007, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C., September 1984.
- DOE, 2003, "Environmental Management System," U.S. Department of Energy Order 450.1, January 2003.
- DOE, 2011, "Derived Concentration Technical Standard", Department of Energy Standard 1196-2011, April 2011.
- EPA, 2013, RadNet—Tracking Environmental Radiation Nationwide, Web-page: <a href="http://www.epa.gov/narel/radnet/">http://www.epa.gov/narel/radnet/</a>
- GSS, 2012, Quality Assurance Project Plan for the INL Site Offsite Environmental Surveillance Program, Environmental Surveillance, Education and Research Program, April 2012.
- GSS, 2013, *Environmental Quality Assurance Report 1<sup>st</sup> Quarter 2013*, Environmental Surveillance, Education and Research Program, September 2013.

1st Quarter 2013 7-1 September 2013

# APPENDIX A SUMMARY OF SAMPLING SCHEDULE

 Table A-1.
 Summary of the ESER Program's Sampling Schedule

Sample Type	Collection		LOCATIONS	
Analysis	Frequency	Distant	Boundary	INL Site
AIR SAMPLING				
LOW-VOLUME AIF	?			
Gross Alpha, Gross Beta, <sup>131</sup> I	weekly	Blackfoot, Craters of the Moon, Dubois, Idaho Falls, Jackson WY, Rexburg	Arco, Atomic City, FAA Tower, Howe, Monteview, Mud Lake, Blue Dome	Main Gate, EFS, Van Buren
Gamma Spec	quarterly	Blackfoot, Craters of the Moon, Dubois, Idaho Falls, Jackson WY, Rexburg	Arco, Atomic City, FAA Tower, Howe, Monteview, Mud Lake, Blue Dome	Main Gate, EFS, Van Buren
<sup>90</sup> Sr, Transuranics	quarterly	Rotating schedule	Rotating schedule	Rotating schedule
ATMOSPHERIC M	OISTURE			
Tritium	2 to 13 weeks	Blackfoot, Idaho Falls, Rexburg	Atomic City	None
PRECIPITATION				
Tritium	monthly	Idaho Falls	None	CFA
Tritium	weekly	None	None	EFS
DRINKING WATER	?			
Gross Alpha, Gross Beta, Tritium	Semiannually	Craters of the Moon, Idaho Falls, Minidoka, Shoshone	Atomic City, Howe, Mud Lake, Rest Area	None
SURFACE WATER				
Gross Alpha, Gross Beta, Tritium	Semiannually	Buhl, Hagerman, Twin Falls	None	Big Lost River (when flowing)
ENVIRONMENTA	AL RADIATIO	N SAMPLING		
TLDs				
Gamma Radiation	semiannual	Aberdeen, Blackfoot (2), Craters of the Moon, Dubois, Idaho Falls, Jackson WY, Minidoka, Rexburg, Roberts	Arco, Atomic City, Birch Creek, Blue Dome, Howe, Monteview, Mud Lake	None
SOIL SAMPLING				
SOIL				
Gamma Spec, <sup>90</sup> Sr, Transuranics	biennially	Carey, Crystal Ice Caves (Aberdeen), Blackfoot, St. Anthony	Butte City, Monteview, Atomic City, FAA Tower, Howe, Mud Lake (2), Birch Creek, Frenchman's Cabin	None

1st Quarter 2013 A - 1 September 2013

Table A-1. Summary of the ESER Program's Sampling Schedule (continued)

Sample Type	Callastian		LOCATIONS	
Analysis	Collection Frequency	Distant	Boundary	INL Site
FOODSTUFF SA	MPLING			
MILK				
Gamma Spec ( <sup>131</sup> I)	weekly	Idaho Falls	None	None
Gamma Spec ( <sup>131</sup> I)	monthly	Blackfoot, Dietrich, Fort Hall, Idaho Falls, Minidoka	Howe, Terreton	None
Tritium, <sup>90</sup> Sr	Semi-annually	Blackfoot, Dietrich, Fort Hall, Idaho Falls, Minidoka	Howe, Terreton	None
POTATOES				
Gamma Spec, <sup>90</sup> Sr	annually	Blackfoot, Idaho Falls, Rupert, Shelley, occasional samples across the U.S.	Arco, Monteview, Mud Lake, Terreton	None
GRAIN				
Gamma Spec, <sup>90</sup> Sr	annually	American Falls, Blackfoot, Carey, Hamer, Idaho Falls, Minidoka, Roberts	Arco, Monteview, Mud Lake, Taber, Terreton	None
LETTUCE				
Gamma Spec, <sup>90</sup> Sr	annually	Blackfoot, Carey, Idaho Falls	Arco, Atomic City, FAA Tower, Howe, Monteview	EFS
BIG GAME				
Gamma Spec	varies	Occasional samples across the U.S.	Public Highways	INL Site roads
WATERFOWL				
Gamma Spec, <sup>90</sup> Sr, Transuranics	annually	Varies among: Heise, Firth, Fort Hall, Mud Lake, Market Lake, and American Falls	None	INL Site wastewater disposal ponds

1st Quarter 2013 A - 2 September 2013

## APPENDIX B SUMMARY OF MDCs AND DCSs

Table B-1. Summary of Approximate Minimum Detectable Concentrations for Radiological Analyses Performed during First Quarter 2013

Sample Type	Analysis	Approximate Minimum Detectable Concentration <sup>a</sup> (MDC)	Derived Concentration Standard <sup>b</sup> (DCS)
	Gross alpha <sup>c</sup>	4.47 x 10 <sup>-16</sup> μCi/mL	4 x 10 <sup>-14</sup> µCi/mL
	Gross beta <sup>d</sup>	1.23 x 10 <sup>-15</sup> μCi/mL	2.4 x 10 <sup>-13</sup> μCi/mL
	<sup>137</sup> Cs	1.22 x 10 <sup>-16</sup> μCi/mL	3.9 x 10 <sup>-10</sup> µCi/mL
Air	<sup>241</sup> Am	4.76 x 10 <sup>-18</sup> μCi/mL	4.1 x 10 <sup>-14</sup> µCi/mL
(particulate filter) <sup>e</sup>	<sup>238</sup> Pu	2.80 x 10 <sup>-18</sup> μCi/mL	3.7 x 10 <sup>-14</sup> µCi/mL
	<sup>239/240</sup> Pu	2.92 x 10 <sup>-18</sup> μCi/mL	3.4 x 10 <sup>-14</sup> µCi/mL
	<sup>90</sup> Sr	3.21 x 10 <sup>-17</sup> μCi/mL	2.5 x 10 <sup>-11</sup> µCi/mL
Air (charcoal cartridge) <sup>e</sup>	<sup>131</sup>	4.24 x 10 <sup>-16</sup> μCi/mL	4.1 x 10 <sup>-10</sup> μCi/mL
Air (atmospheric moisture)	<sup>3</sup> H	83.6 pCi/L <sub>water</sub>	1.4 x 10 <sup>-8</sup> μCi/mL <sub>air</sub>
Air (precipitation)	<sup>3</sup> H	83.7 pCi/L	1.9 x 10 <sup>-3</sup> µCi/mL
Mill	<sup>131</sup>	0.50 pCi/L	
Milk	<sup>137</sup> Cs	1.09 pCi/L	

a The MDC is an estimate of the concentration of radioactivity in a given sample type that can be identified with a 95 percent level of confidence and precision of plus or minus 100 percent under a specified set of typical laboratory measurement conditions.

1st Quarter 2013 B - 1 September 2013

b DCSs, set by the DOE, represent reference values for radiation exposure. They are based on a radiation dose of 100 mrem/yr for exposure through a particular exposure mode such as direct exposure, inhalation, or ingestion of water.

c The DCS for gross alpha is equivalent to the DCSs for <sup>241</sup>Am.

d The DCS for gross beta is equivalent to the DCSs for <sup>228</sup>Ra

e The approximate MDC is based on an average filtered air volume (pressure corrected) of 445 m³/week.

## APPENDIX C SAMPLE ANALYSIS RESULTS

TABLE C-1. Weekly Gross Alpha and Gross Beta Concentrations in Air

Sampling Group and Location BOUNDARY ARCO	Sampling Date	Result :	+ 1s Unc	and all and a	-				GROSS BETA							
BOUNDARY	Date				Result ± 1s Uncertainty (x 10 <sup>-11</sup> Bq/mL) Re						certainty	Result ± 1s Uncertainty				
		(x 1	Ι0 <sup>-15</sup> μCi/	mL)	(x 1	0 <sup>-11</sup> Bq/	/mL)	Result > 3s	(x 1	0 <sup>-15</sup> μCi	/mL)	(x 1	0 <sup>-11</sup> Bq/	mL)	Result > 3s	
ARCO																
	1/2/2013	1.24	±	0.16	4.59	±	0.59	Yes	27.10	±	0.60	100.27	±	2.23	Yes	
	1/9/2013	1.15	±	0.18	4.26	±	0.68	Yes	58.30	±	0.87	215.71	±	3.22	Yes	
	1/16/2013	1.44	±	0.17	5.33	±	0.61	Yes	26.40	±	0.61	97.68	±	2.26	Yes	
	1/23/2013	1.31	±	0.18	4.85	±	0.65	Yes	50.20	±	0.80	185.74	±	2.96	Yes	
	1/30/2013	0.53	±	0.14	1.95	±	0.53	Yes	21.30	±	0.57	78.81	±	2.12	Yes	
	2/6/2013	0.45	±	0.12	1.65	±	0.45	Yes	22.40	±	0.56	82.88	±	2.06	Yes	
	2/13/2013	0.52	±	0.13	1.91	±	0.48	Yes	19.50	±	0.53	72.15	±	1.95	Yes	
	2/20/2013	0.77	±	0.13	2.84	±	0.47	Yes	17.70	±	0.51	65.49	±	1.87	Yes	
	2/27/2013	0.48	±	0.11	1.78	±	0.42	Yes	11.40	±	0.43	42.18	±	1.59	Yes	
	3/6/2013	0.43	±	0.11	1.60	±	0.40	Yes	16.00	±	0.48	59.20	±	1.78	Yes	
	3/13/2013	0.52	±	0.12	1.92	±	0.45	Yes	13.00	±	0.45	48.10	±	1.68	Yes	
	3/20/2013	1.02	±	0.15	3.77	±	0.54	Yes	17.90	±	0.52	66.23	±	1.94	Yes	
	3/27/2013	0.50	±	0.11	1.85	±	0.41	Yes	16.40	±	0.50	60.68	±	1.84	Yes	
QA-1 (ARCO)	1/2/2013	0.79	±	0.13	2.93	±	0.48	Yes	27.20	±	0.56	100.64	±	2.08	Yes	
	1/9/2013	1.87	±	0.19	6.92	±	0.71	Yes	56.80	±	0.80	210.16	±	2.97	Yes	
	1/16/2013	1.65	±	0.16	6.11	±	0.59	Yes	28.60	±	0.58	105.82	±	2.15	Yes	
	1/23/2013	1.31	±	0.16	4.85	±	0.60	Yes	44.10	±	0.71	163.17	±	2.62	Yes	
	1/30/2013	0.52	±	0.13	1.92	±	0.47	Yes	20.80	±	0.52	76.96	±	1.91	Yes	
	2/6/2013	0.42	±	0.11	1.55	±	0.41	Yes	20.50	±	0.50	75.85	±	1.86	Yes	
	2/13/2013	0.86	±	0.14	3.17	±	0.50	Yes	19.60	±	0.50	72.52	±	1.84	Yes	
	2/20/2013	0.52	±	0.11	1.92	±	0.39	Yes	16.00	±	0.46	59.20	±	1.70	Yes	
	2/27/2013	0.34	±	0.10	1.27	±	0.37	Yes	10.30	±	0.40	38.11	±	1.47	Yes	
	3/6/2013	0.50	±	0.11	1.86	±	0.39	Yes	14.60	±	0.44	54.02	±	1.62	Yes	
	3/13/2013	0.66	±	0.12	2.43	±	0.44	Yes	12.90	±	0.42	47.73	±	1.57	Yes	
	3/20/2013	0.89	±	0.13	3.28	±	0.48	Yes	17.50	±	0.49	64.75	±	1.81	Yes	
ATOMIC CITY	3/27/2013	0.57	±	0.11	2.09	±	0.39	Yes	13.70	±	0.43	50.69	±	1.60	Yes	
ATOMIC CITY	1/2/2013	0.45	±	0.13	1.65	±	0.49	Yes	33.90	±	0.70	125.43	±	2.59	Yes	
	1/9/2013	1.35	±	0.20	5.00	±	0.74	Yes	78.10	±	1.02	288.97	±	3.77	Yes	
	1/16/2013	1.17	±	0.17	4.33	±	0.64	Yes Yes	41.80	±	0.82	154.66	±	3.03	Yes	
	1/23/2013	1.18	±	0.19	4.37	±	0.70		62.70	±	0.94	231.99	±	3.48	Yes	
	1/30/2013	0.73 0.44	±	0.17 0.13	2.70	±	0.62	Yes Yes	27.80	±	0.68	102.86	±	2.51	Yes	
	2/6/2013 2/13/2013	0.44	±	0.13	1.62 0.76	±	0.49 0.48	No	22.50 21.70	±	0.60 0.60	83.25 80.29	±	2.20 2.23	Yes Yes	
	2/20/2013	0.21	± ±	0.13	1.50	±	0.48	Yes	21.70 17.40	±	0.60	64.38	± ±	1.98	Yes	
	2/27/2013	0.41	±	0.11	0.84	±	0.42	No	11.40	± ±	0.48	42.18	±	1.76	Yes	
	3/6/2013	0.23	±	0.11	1.17	±	0.41	No	17.40	±	0.53	64.38	±	1.76	Yes	
	3/13/2013	0.63	±	0.14	2.32	±	0.51	Yes	13.40	±	0.50	49.58	±	1.84	Yes	
	3/20/2013	0.61	±	0.13	2.26	±	0.49	Yes	19.50	±	0.57	72.15	±	2.10	Yes	
	3/27/2013	0.62	±	0.13	2.31	±	0.47	Yes	16.90	±	0.54	62.53	±	2.01	Yes	
BLUE DOME	1/2/2013	0.49	±	0.12	1.80	±	0.43	Yes	24.30	±	0.54	89.91	±	2.01	Yes	
DEGE DOWL	1/9/2013	0.56	±	0.14	2.06	±	0.52	Yes	35.00	±	0.65	129.50	±	2.41	Yes	
	1/16/2013	0.65	±	0.12	2.42	±	0.44	Yes	28.30	±	0.59	104.71	±	2.16	Yes	
	1/23/2013	1.18	±	0.16	4.37	±	0.58	Yes	41.10	±	0.68	152.07	±	2.53	Yes	
	1/30/2013	0.60	±	0.13	2.23	±	0.49	Yes	17.80	±	0.50	65.86	±	1.84	Yes	
	2/6/2013	0.40	±	0.13	1.46	±	0.43	Yes	21.10	±	0.53	78.07	±	1.97	Yes	
	2/13/2013	0.50	±	0.12	1.85	±	0.46	Yes	20.80	±	0.52	76.96	±	1.94	Yes	
	2/20/2013	0.41	±	0.10	1.52	±	0.37	Yes	14.70	±	0.46	54.39	±	1.68	Yes	
	2/27/2013	0.31	±	0.10	1.15	±	0.36	Yes	10.60	±	0.40	39.22	±	1.49	Yes	
	3/6/2013	0.19	±	0.09	0.72	±	0.33	No	14.10	±	0.45	52.17	±	1.66	Yes	
	3/13/2013	0.36	±	0.11	1.34	±	0.40	Yes	14.30	±	0.46	52.91	±	1.69	Yes	
	3/20/2013	0.67	±	0.12	2.49	±	0.46	Yes	18.40	±	0.51	68.08	±	1.89	Yes	
	3/27/2013	0.56	±	0.11	2.09	±	0.40	Yes	16.00	±	0.47	59.20	±	1.74	Yes	
FAA TOWER	1/2/2013	0.73	±	0.14	2.71	±	0.51	Yes	9.74	±	0.42	36.04	±	1.57	Yes	
	1/9/2013	0.58	±	0.16	2.14	±	0.59	Yes	54.00	±	0.84	199.80	±	3.10	Yes	

TABLE C-1. Weekly Gross Alpha and Gross Beta Concentrations in Air

					GROSS ALPHA					GROSS BETA  Result ± 1s Uncertainty Result ± 1s Uncertainty					
Sampling Group and Location	Sampling Date		± 1s Un 10 <sup>-15</sup> μCi	certainty /mL)		: 1s Un 0 <sup>-11</sup> Bq	certainty /mL)	Result > 3s		± 1s Un 0 <sup>-15</sup> μCi			Result ± 1s Uncertainty (x 10 <sup>-11</sup> Bg/mL)		
	1/16/2013	0.92	±	0.15	3.42	±	0.57	Yes	34.60	±	0.72	128.02	±	2.68	Result > 3s Yes
	1/23/2013	0.69	±	0.15	2.56	±	0.55	Yes	44.10	±	0.75	163.17	±	2.78	Yes
	1/30/2013	0.62	±	0.16	2.30	±	0.57	Yes	24.50	±	0.63	90.65	±	2.32	Yes
	2/6/2013	0.42	±	0.13	1.57	±	0.48	Yes	19.50	±	0.56	72.15	±	2.06	Yes
	2/13/2013	0.52	±	0.14	1.94	±	0.53	Yes	18.60	±	0.55	68.82	±	2.05	Yes
	2/20/2013	0.20	±	0.10	0.75	±	0.36	No	15.40	±	0.51	56.98	±	1.87	Yes
	2/27/2013	0.33	±	0.11	1.23	±	0.42	No	9.61	±	0.44	35.56	±	1.63	Yes
	3/6/2013	0.46	±	0.12	1.71	±	0.44	Yes	15.10	±	0.50	55.87	±	1.85	Yes
	3/13/2013	0.63	±	0.14	2.33	±	0.51	Yes	14.60	±	0.51	54.02	±	1.89	Yes
	3/20/2013	0.92	±	0.15	3.40	±	0.54	Yes	18.30	±	0.55	67.71	±	2.02	Yes
	3/27/2013	0.49	±	0.12	1.82	±	0.43	Yes	16.10	±	0.53	59.57	±	1.95	Yes
HOWE	1/2/2013	0.67	±	0.14	2.49	±	0.53	Yes	31.70	±	0.67	117.29	±	2.48	Yes
	1/9/2013	2.38	±	0.24	8.81	±	0.90	Yes	86.30	±	1.09	319.31	±	4.03	Yes
	1/16/2013	1.18	±	0.18	4.37	±	0.65	Yes	43.00	±	0.84	159.10	±	3.09	Yes
	1/23/2013	1.84	±	0.23	6.81	±	0.86	Yes	71.70	±	1.07	265.29	±	3.96	Yes
	1/30/2013	0.94	±	0.17	3.48	±	0.64	Yes	29.40	±	0.68	108.78	±	2.52	Yes
	2/6/2013	0.27	±	0.13	0.99	±	0.47	No	24.80	±	0.64	91.76	±	2.37	Yes
	2/13/2013	0.41	±	0.14	1.52	±	0.53	No	23.60	±	0.62	87.32	±	2.31	Yes
	2/20/2013	0.61	±	0.13	2.26	±	0.50	Yes	19.60	±	0.59	72.52	±	2.18	Yes
	2/27/2013	0.41	±	0.13	1.51	±	0.47	Yes	10.30	±	0.47	38.11	±	1.75	Yes
	3/6/2013	0.63	±	0.14	2.32	±	0.51	Yes	17.50	±	0.56	64.75	±	2.06	Yes
	3/13/2013	0.86	±	0.16	3.17	±	0.58	Yes	15.90	±	0.55	58.83	±	2.04	Yes
	3/20/2013	0.60	±	0.14	2.23	±	0.50	Yes	18.20	±	0.57	67.34	±	2.09	Yes
	3/27/2013	1.11	±	0.16	4.11	±	0.59	Yes	18.10	±	0.58	66.97	±	2.13	Yes
MONTEVIEW	1/2/2013	0.87	±	0.16	3.22	±	0.60	Yes	32.90	±	0.72	121.73	±	2.65	Yes
	1/9/2013	1.08	±	0.19	4.00	±	0.70	Yes	55.50	±	0.88	205.35	±	3.26	Yes
	1/16/2013	0.99	±	0.18	3.65	±	0.67	Yes	41.90	±	0.88	155.03	±	3.27	Yes
	1/23/2013	1.57	±	0.23	5.81	±	0.84	Yes	77.50	±	1.12	286.75	±	4.14	Yes
	1/30/2013	0.66	±	0.17	2.45	±	0.63	Yes	38.40	±	0.78	142.08	±	2.90	Yes
	2/6/2013	0.85	±	0.16	3.15	±	0.59	Yes	19.00	±	0.58	70.30	±	2.14	Yes
	2/13/2013	0.40	±	0.15	1.47	±	0.54	No	21.40	±	0.61	79.18	±	2.27	Yes
	2/20/2013	0.55	±	0.13	2.02	±	0.47	Yes	19.00	±	0.57	70.30	±	2.11	Yes
	2/27/2013	0.28	±	0.12	1.02	±	0.43	No	12.60	±	0.50	46.62	±	1.86	Yes
	3/6/2013	0.40	±	0.12	1.47	±	0.45	Yes	16.90	±	0.54	62.53	±	2.01	Yes
	3/13/2013	0.60	±	0.14	2.20	±	0.52	Yes	14.60	±	0.52	54.02	±	1.94	Yes
	3/20/2013	0.99	±	0.16	3.65	±	0.60	Yes	20.50	±	0.60	75.85	±	2.23	Yes
01.0	3/27/2013	1.07	±	0.16	3.96	±	0.58	Yes	17.80	±	0.57	65.86	±	2.11	Yes
QA-2	1/2/2013	0.90	±	0.16	3.32	±	0.60	Yes	32.60	±	0.71	120.62	±	2.63	Yes
(MONTEVIEW)	1/9/2013	0.81	±	0.18	2.99	±	0.65	Yes	57.70	±	0.88	213.49	±	3.27	Yes
	1/16/2013	1.11	±	0.19	4.11	±	0.68	Yes	42.00	±	0.88	155.40	±	3.24	Yes
	1/23/2013	1.75	±	0.22	6.48	±	0.80	Yes	67.90	±	0.99	251.23	±	3.68	Yes
	1/30/2013	1.02	±	0.19	3.77	±	0.69	Yes	37.40	±	0.78	138.38	±	2.89	Yes
	2/6/2013	0.93 0.78	±	0.16 0.16	3.43	±	0.60	Yes	20.60	±	0.60	76.22	±	2.20 2.29	Yes Yes
	2/13/2013	0.78	±	0.16	2.87	±	0.60	Yes Yes	22.50 18.70	±	0.62	83.25 69.19	±	2.29	Yes
	2/20/2013	0.43	±	0.12	1.58	±	0.43	Yes		±	0.55		±		Yes
	2/27/2013	0.50	±	0.13	1.84 2.11	±	0.50 0.48	Yes	12.80 18.50	±	0.51	47.36 68.45	±	1.90 2.04	Yes
	3/6/2013 3/13/2013	0.57	± ±	0.13	2.11 1.55	±	0.48	Yes	18.50	±	0.55 0.53	55.87	±	2.04 1.98	Yes
	3/20/2013	0.42	±	0.13	2.86	±	0.48	Yes	19.80	±	0.53	73.26	±	2.15	Yes
	3/20/2013	0.77	±	0.15	3.42		0.54	Yes	15.80	±	0.58	73.26 58.46	±	2.15	Yes
MUD LAKE	1/2/2013	0.93	±	0.15	3.42	±	0.63	Yes	33.20		0.54	122.84		2.72	Yes
WOD LAKE	1/9/2013	2.16	±	0.17	7.99	±	0.03	Yes	85.20	±	1.11	315.24	±	4.11	Yes
	1/16/2013	2.18	±	0.30	8.07	±	1.10	Yes	71.10	±	1.36	263.07	±	5.03	Yes
	1/23/2013	2.16	±	0.30	8.03	±	1.05	Yes	93.40	±	1.36	345.58	±	5.03	Yes
	1/30/2013	0.76	±	0.29	2.79	±	0.61	Yes	36.00	±	0.74	133.20	±	2.74	Yes
	2/6/2013	1.00	±	0.17	3.69	±	0.62	Yes	20.00	±	0.74	74.00	±	2.74	Yes
	2/0/2013	1.00	I	0.17	3.09	±	0.02	162	20.00	I	0.58	74.00	±	2.20	162

TABLE C-1. Weekly Gross Alpha and Gross Beta Concentrations in Air

	_				GROSS ALPHA				GROSS BETA						
Sampling Group and Location	Sampling Date		± 1s Un 10 <sup>-15</sup> μCi	certainty /mL)		± 1s Un   0 <sup>-11</sup> Bq	certainty /mL)	Result > 3s		1s Unα 0 <sup>-15</sup> μCi	certainty /mL)	Result ± 1s Uncertainty (x 10 <sup>-11</sup> Bg/mL)			Result > 3s
una Eddation	2/13/2013	0.65	±	0.17	2.41	±	0.61	Yes	24.10	±	0.66	89.17	±	2.46	Yes
	2/20/2013	0.97	±	0.16	3.58	±	0.59	Yes	22.40	±	0.64	82.88	±	2.36	Yes
	2/27/2013	0.24	±	0.12	0.89	±	0.45	No	13.50	±	0.54	49.95	±	1.99	Yes
	3/6/2013	0.69	±	0.15	2.57	±	0.55	Yes	19.70	±	0.61	72.89	±	2.24	Yes
	3/13/2013	0.69	±	0.15	2.56	±	0.57	Yes	16.70	±	0.57	61.79	±	2.11	Yes
	3/20/2013	1.04	±	0.18	3.85	±	0.65	Yes	24.30	±	0.69	89.91	±	2.53	Yes
	3/27/2013	0.92	±	0.16	3.42	±	0.57	Yes	20.00	±	0.62	74.00	±	2.28	Yes
DISTANT															opense en
BLACKFOOT	1/2/2013	0.61	±	0.18	2.24	±	0.68	Yes	33.20	±	0.85	122.84	±	3.15	Yes
	1/9/2013	1.19	±	0.29	4.40	±	1.06	Yes	72.00	±	1.32	266.40	±	4.88	Yes
	1/16/2013	1.51	±	0.25	5.59	±	0.93	Yes	44.80	±	1.10	165.76	±	4.07	Yes
	1/23/2013	1.95	±	0.28	7.22	±	1.02	Yes	77.10	±	1.25	285.27	±	4.63	Yes
	1/30/2013	0.71	±	0.21	2.63	±	0.78	Yes	31.80	±	0.86	117.66	±	3.19	Yes
	2/6/2013	0.92	±	0.19	3.39	±	0.72	Yes	24.50	±	0.74	90.65	±	2.74	Yes
	2/13/2013	0.57	±	0.20	2.10	±	0.73	No	19.90	±	0.73	73.63	±	2.71	Yes
	2/20/2013	0.88	±	0.19	3.24	±	0.69	Yes	18.30	±	0.71	67.71	±	2.63	Yes
a	2/27/2013		±			±		No		±			±		No
	3/6/2013	0.64	±	0.18	2.36	±	0.67	Yes	21.50	±	0.76	79.55	±	2.82	Yes
	3/13/2013	0.55	±	0.19	2.02	±	0.68	No	14.40	±	0.67	53.28	±	2.49	Yes
	3/20/2013	0.87	±	0.16	3.20	±	0.61	Yes	20.30	±	0.64	75.11	±	2.36	Yes
	3/27/2013	0.66	±	0.13	2.42	±	0.49	Yes	16.70	±	0.55	61.79	±	2.05	Yes
CRATERS OF	1/2/2013	0.46	±	0.13	1.69	±	0.47	Yes	27.10	±	0.62	100.27	±	2.29	Yes
THE MOON	1/9/2013	0.89	±	0.18	3.27	±	0.66	Yes	57.30	±	0.88	212.01	±	3.26	Yes
	1/16/2013	0.67	±	0.12	2.48	±	0.45	Yes	12.50	±	0.45	46.25	±	1.67	Yes
	1/23/2013	1.28	±	0.18	4.74	±	0.67	Yes	51.30	±	0.83	189.81	±	3.08	Yes
	1/30/2013	0.23	±	0.12	0.86	±	0.46	No	12.90	±	0.47	47.73	±	1.75	Yes
	2/6/2013	0.24	±	0.12	0.90	±	0.44	No	18.50	±	0.55	68.45	±	2.04	Yes
	2/13/2013	0.42	±	0.14	1.55	±	0.50	Yes	18.20	±	0.55	67.34	±	2.02	Yes
	2/20/2013	0.28	±	0.11	1.02	±	0.39	No	17.00	±	0.53	62.90	±	1.97	Yes
	2/27/2013	0.18	±	0.10	0.65	±	0.38	No	10.10	±	0.44	37.37	±	1.64	Yes
	3/6/2013	0.53	±	0.12	1.98	±	0.46	Yes	15.40	±	0.50	56.98	±	1.86	Yes
	3/13/2013	0.54	±	0.13	2.01	±	0.47	Yes	13.30	±	0.48	49.21	±	1.76	Yes
	3/20/2013	0.78	±	0.14	2.87	±	0.52	Yes	19.00	±	0.56	70.30	±	2.06	Yes
	3/27/2013	0.76	±	0.13	2.80	±	0.48	Yes	15.40	±	0.50	56.98	±	1.85	Yes
DUBOIS	1/2/2013	0.47	±	0.13	1.73	±	0.47	Yes	23.50	±	0.58	86.95	±	2.16	Yes
	1/9/2013	0.45	±	0.14	1.68	±	0.53	Yes	38.60	±	0.71	142.82	±	2.61	Yes
	1/16/2013	0.70	±	0.13	2.59	±	0.48	Yes	25.50	±	0.60	94.35	±	2.21	Yes
	1/23/2013	0.54	±	0.14	2.00	±	0.51	Yes	36.10	±	0.68	133.57	±	2.52	Yes
	1/30/2013	0.12	±	0.13	0.46	±	0.47	No	21.40	±	0.60	79.18	±	2.21	Yes
	2/6/2013	0.36	±	0.13	1.33	±	0.47	No	23.00	±	0.60	85.10	±	2.22	Yes
	2/13/2013	0.31	±	0.13	1.15	±	0.48	No	18.40	±	0.55	68.08	±	2.02	Yes
	2/20/2013	0.49	±	0.12	1.80	±	0.43	Yes	15.90	±	0.51	58.83	±	1.87	Yes
	2/27/2013	0.09	±	0.10	0.32	±	0.37	No	10.90	±	0.47	40.33	±	1.72	Yes
	3/6/2013	0.67	±	0.13	2.49	±	0.49	Yes	16.00	±	0.51	59.20	±	1.90	Yes
	3/13/2013	0.42	±	0.12	1.54	±	0.44	Yes	12.70	±	0.46	46.99	±	1.71	Yes
	3/20/2013	0.59	±	0.13	2.18	±	0.49	Yes	17.80	±	0.55	65.86	±	2.04	Yes
	3/27/2013	0.56	±	0.12	2.09	±	0.44	Yes	14.50	±	0.49	53.65	±	1.82	Yes
IDAHO FALLS	1/2/2013	0.64	±	0.13	2.36	±	0.48	Yes	25.00	±	0.58	92.50	±	2.14	Yes
	1/9/2013	0.77	±	0.19	2.85	±	0.69	Yes	46.70	±	0.86	172.79	±	3.18	Yes
	1/16/2013	1.04	±	0.15	3.85	±	0.54	Yes	34.30	±	0.67	126.91	±	2.46	Yes
	1/23/2013	1.42	±	0.18	5.25	±	0.67	Yes	45.70	±	0.77	169.09	±	2.86	Yes
	1/30/2013	0.60	±	0.14	2.22	±	0.52	Yes	27.70	±	0.61	102.49	±	2.26	Yes
	2/6/2013	0.47	±	0.12	1.73	±	0.45	Yes	18.60	±	0.52	68.82	±	1.91	Yes
	2/13/2013	0.30	±	0.12	1.10	±	0.43	No	15.90	±	0.48	58.83	±	1.79	Yes
	2/20/2013	0.70	±	0.12	2.58	±	0.44	Yes	15.30	±	0.47	56.61	±	1.74	Yes

TABLE C-1. Weekly Gross Alpha and Gross Beta Concentrations in Air

					GROSS ALPHA				GROSS BETA						
Sampling Group and Location	Sampling Date		± 1s Un 10 <sup>-15</sup> μCi	certainty /ml )		± 1s Un I0 <sup>-11</sup> Ba	certainty	Result > 3s		± 1s Unα 0 <sup>-15</sup> μCi	certainty /ml )		1s Un 0 <sup>-11</sup> Bq	certainty /ml )	Result > 3s
and Location	3/6/2013	0.47	±	0.10	1.73	<u>+</u>	0.38	Yes	16.00	±	0.45	59.20	±	1.66	Yes
	3/13/2013	0.50	±	0.10	1.85	±	0.43	Yes	11.30	±	0.42	41.81	±	1.54	Yes
	3/20/2013	1.22	±	0.15	4.51	±	0.56	Yes	17.60	±	0.50	65.12	±	1.86	Yes
	3/27/2013	0.78	±	0.12	2.87	±	0.45	Yes	14.20	±	0.46	52.54	±	1.69	Yes
JACKSON	1/2/2013	0.98		0.20	3.61	±	0.73	Yes	38.20	±	0.88	141.34	±	3.24	Yes
0.10.100.1	1/9/2013	2.09	±	0.25	7.73	±	0.91	Yes	55.10	±	0.95	203.87	±	3.50	Yes
	1/16/2013	1.18	±	0.21	4.37	±	0.78	Yes	38.70	±	0.94	143.19	±	3.49	Yes
	1/23/2013	1.92	±	0.34	7.10	±	1.24	Yes	57.90	±	1.33	214.23	±	4.92	Yes
	1/30/2013	0.61	±	0.23	2.25	±	0.85	No	23.30	±	0.84	86.21	±	3.11	Yes
	2/6/2013	0.54	±	0.13	1.98	±	0.48	Yes	18.70	±	0.54	69.19	±	1.99	Yes
	2/13/2013	1.06	±	0.20	3.92	±	0.73	Yes	21.50	±	0.67	79.55	±	2.49	Yes
	2/20/2013	0.71	±	0.13	2.63	±	0.47	Yes	16.20	±	0.50	59.94	±	1.86	Yes
	2/27/2013	0.71	±	0.14	2.62	±	0.53	Yes	14.60	±	0.53	54.02	±	1.95	Yes
	3/6/2013	0.59	±	0.14	2.20	±	0.51	Yes	18.40	±	0.58	68.08	±	2.14	Yes
	3/13/2013	0.89	±	0.16	3.31	±	0.58	Yes	17.10	±	0.56	63.27	±	2.06	Yes
	3/20/2013	1.05	±	0.17	3.89	±	0.62	Yes	20.30	±	0.61	75.11	±	2.26	Yes
	3/27/2013	1.15	±	0.16	4.26	±	0.60	Yes	20.80	±	0.60	76.96	±	2.23	Yes
REXBURG	1/2/2013	0.63	±	0.15	2.33	±	0.56	Yes	30.40	±	0.70	112.48	±	2.60	Yes
KEXBOKO	1/9/2013	0.60	±	0.13	2.22	±	0.81	No	58.10	±	1.09	214.97	±	4.03	Yes
	1/16/2013	1.28	±	0.20	4.74	±	0.75	Yes	36.80	±	0.87	136.16	±	3.23	Yes
	1/23/2013	1.93	±	0.27	7.14	±	1.00	Yes	51.50	±	1.05	190.55	±	3.89	Yes
	1/30/2013	0.43	±	0.18	1.59	±	0.67	No	23.30	±	0.73	86.21	±	2.68	Yes
	2/6/2013	0.43	±	0.10	2.46	±	0.63	Yes	25.40	±	0.72	93.98	±	2.65	Yes
	2/13/2013	1.27	±	0.17	4.70	±	0.03	Yes	21.80	±	0.72	80.66	±	2.39	Yes
	2/20/2013	0.59	±	0.16	2.19	±	0.73	Yes	21.00	±	0.71	77.70	±	2.63	Yes
		0.59	±	0.16	1.93	±	0.59	Yes	11.40	±	0.71	42.18	±	2.03	Yes
	2/27/2013														
	3/6/2013	1.40	±	0.20	5.18	±	0.75	Yes	20.80	±	0.68	76.96	±	2.50	Yes
	3/13/2013	1.11	±	0.19	4.11	±	0.70	Yes	14.60	±	0.59	54.02	±	2.18	Yes
	3/20/2013 3/27/2013	1.02 0.89	±	0.17 0.15	3.77 3.29	±	0.63 0.55	Yes Yes	16.70 17.40	±	0.58 0.57	61.79 64.38	±	2.16 2.09	Yes Yes
INL SITE	3/21/2013	0.03	<u>-</u>	0.15	3.23		0.55	163	17.40		0.51	04.50	<u> </u>	2.00	163
EFS	1/2/2013	0.34	±	0.11	1.27	±	0.42	Yes	9.81	±	0.42	36.30	±	1.55	Yes
2. 0	1/9/2013	2.51	±	0.28	9.29	±	1.02	Yes	76.00	±	1.13	281.20	±	4.18	Yes
	1/16/2013	1.22	±	0.23	4.51	±	0.85	Yes	39.80	±	1.02	147.26	±	3.77	Yes
	1/23/2013	1.62	±	0.26	5.99	±	0.95	Yes	87.90	±	1.30	325.23	±	4.81	Yes
	1/30/2013	0.63	±	0.15	2.34	±	0.57	Yes	30.90	±	0.67	114.33	±	2.49	Yes
	2/6/2013	0.60	±	0.13	2.23	±	0.51	Yes	24.80	±	0.61	91.76	±	2.24	Yes
	2/13/2013	0.68	±	0.15	2.53	±	0.56	Yes	23.80	±	0.60	88.06	±	2.23	Yes
	2/20/2013	0.53	±	0.13	1.95	±	0.44	Yes	19.00	±	0.54	70.30	±	1.99	Yes
	2/27/2013	0.36	±	0.12	1.35	±	0.44	Yes	10.10	±	0.44	37.37	±	1.62	Yes
	3/6/2013	0.30	±	0.11	1.11	±	0.42	No	16.90	±	0.52	62.53	±	1.91	Yes
	3/13/2013	0.30	±	0.11	3.50	±	0.40	Yes	15.60	±	0.32	57.72	±	1.82	Yes
	3/20/2013	0.99	±	0.15	3.66	±	0.54	Yes	19.70	±	0.49	72.89	±	2.02	Yes
	3/27/2013	0.50	±	0.15	1.86	±	0.34	Yes	18.20	±	0.52	67.34	±	1.92	Yes
MAIN GATE	1/2/2013	0.65	±	0.11	2.40	±	0.41	Yes	12.20	±	0.32	45.14	±	1.72	Yes
WAIN GATE	1/9/2013	1.91	±	0.14	7.07	±	0.86	Yes	84.10	±	1.10	311.17	±	4.07	Yes
	1/16/2013	1.25	±	0.23	4.63	±	0.65	Yes	19.60	±	0.61	72.52	±	2.26	Yes
	1/23/2013	1.48	±	0.18	5.48	±	0.83	Yes	75.70	±	1.12	280.09	±	4.14	Yes
	1/30/2013	0.55	±	0.23	2.03	±	0.64	Yes	27.80	±	0.67	102.86	±	2.46	Yes
	2/6/2013	0.39	±	0.13	1.45		0.48	Yes	21.50		0.59	79.55		2.46	Yes
	2/13/2013	0.80		0.13	1.45 2.97	±	0.48	Yes	23.00	±	0.59	79.55 85.10	±	2.18	Yes
		0.80	±	0.16		±		Yes	23.00 17.10	±				1.96	Yes
	2/20/2013		±		1.95	±	0.45			±	0.53	63.27	±		
	2/27/2013	0.34	±	0.12	1.27	±	0.43	No	10.10	±	0.45	37.37	±	1.65	Yes
	3/6/2013	0.50	±	0.12	1.84	±	0.45	Yes	16.80	±	0.52	62.16	±	1.92	Yes
	3/13/2013	0.62	±	0.14	2.28	±	0.50	Yes	14.70	±	0.51	54.39	±	1.87	Yes
	3/20/2013	0.89	±	0.15	3.30	±	0.54	Yes	19.10	±	0.55	70.67	±	2.05	Yes

TABLE C-1. Weekly Gross Alpha and Gross Beta Concentrations in Air

	GROSS ALPHA							GROSS BETA							
Sampling Group and Location	Sampling Date		± 1s Unα 10 <sup>-15</sup> μCi	certainty /mL)		Result ± 1s Uncertainty (x 10 <sup>-11</sup> Bq/mL)				Result ± 1s Uncertainty (x 10 <sup>-15</sup> μCi/mL)			1s Und 0 <sup>-11</sup> Bq/	certainty mL)	Result > 3s
	3/27/2013	0.62	±	0.12	2.29	±	0.45	Yes	17.40	±	0.53	64.38	±	1.95	Yes
VAN BUREN GATE	1/2/2013	0.88	±	0.15	3.26	±	0.55	Yes	16.70	±	0.52	61.79	±	1.92	Yes
	1/9/2013	1.71	±	0.22	6.33	±	0.82	Yes	75.70	±	1.04	280.09	±	3.85	Yes
	1/16/2013	1.73	±	0.22	6.40	±	0.81	Yes	46.30	±	0.94	171.31	±	3.47	Yes
	1/23/2013	1.50	±	0.24	5.55	±	0.89	Yes	70.60	±	1.15	261.22	±	4.26	Yes
	1/30/2013	0.45	±	0.14	1.66	±	0.52	Yes	26.50	±	0.63	98.05	±	2.32	Yes
	2/6/2013	0.59	±	0.14	2.18	±	0.51	Yes	23.20	±	0.59	85.84	±	2.19	Yes
	2/13/2013	0.63	±	0.15	2.32	±	0.54	Yes	22.30	±	0.59	82.51	±	2.19	Yes
	2/20/2013	0.55	±	0.12	2.05	±	0.44	Yes	17.70	±	0.52	65.49	±	1.94	Yes
	2/27/2013	0.23	±	0.10	0.84	±	0.38	No	10.00	±	0.44	37.00	±	1.61	Yes
	3/6/2013	0.22	±	0.10	0.82	±	0.36	No	15.40	±	0.49	56.98	±	1.79	Yes
	3/13/2013	0.52	±	0.12	1.94	±	0.46	Yes	14.50	±	0.48	53.65	±	1.78	Yes
	3/20/2013	0.93	±	0.14	3.45	±	0.53	Yes	18.10	±	0.53	66.97	±	1.95	Yes
	3/27/2013	0.49	±	0.11	1.81	±	0.42	Yes	17.00	±	0.52	62.90	±	1.93	Yes
a. Invalid Sample Resul	lt														

TABLE C-2. Weekly lodine-131 Activity in Air.

Sampling Group	Sampling	Result ± 1	ls Ur	certainty	Result ±	certainty		
and Location	Date	(x 10 <sup>-</sup>	<sup>15</sup> μC	i/mL)	(x 10	) <sup>-11</sup> Bq	/mL)	Result > 3s
BOUNDARY		•		•	•		•	
ARCO	01/02/2013	-1.08	±	1.19	-4.01	±	4.39	No
	01/09/2013	-0.58	±	1.05	-2.13	±	3.89	No
	01/16/2013	2.26	±	1.20	8.35	±	4.42	No
	01/23/2013	-0.07	±	1.15	-0.26	±	4.25	No
	01/30/2013	-1.46	±	1.11	-5.40	±	4.09	No
	02/06/2013	0.14	±	1.02	0.51	±	3.76	No
	02/13/2013	-1.37	±	1.00	-5.08	±	3.72	No
	02/20/2013	0.46	±	0.98	1.72	±	3.63	No
	02/27/2013	3.81	±	1.19	14.12	±	4.39	Yes
	03/06/2013	-0.62	±	0.98	-2.28	±	3.63	No
	03/13/2013	1.47	±	1.06	5.44	±	3.91	No
	03/20/2013	-1.83	±	1.05	-6.79	±	3.88	No
	03/27/2013	-0.05	±	0.83	-0.19	±	3.09	No
QA-1	01/02/2013	-0.96	_ <u></u>	1.05	-3.56	<u>÷</u> ±	3.90	No
(ARCO)	01/09/2013	-0.51	±	0.93	-1.89	±	3.44	No
(ARCO)	01/09/2013	1.94	±	1.03	7.17	±	3.80	No
	01/10/2013	-0.06	±	1.02	-0.23	±	3.77	No
	01/23/2013	-0.00 -1.25	±	0.95	-0.23 -4.63	±	3.50	No
	02/06/2013	0.12		0.93	0.46	±	3.37	No
	02/13/2013	-1.23	±	0.90	-4.56		3.34	No
	02/13/2013	-1.23 0.42	±	0.90	-4.56 1.57	±	3.34 3.32	No
	02/20/2013	3.52	±	1.10	13.03	±	4.05	Yes
	03/06/2013	-0.56	±	0.89	-2.07	±	4.05 3.29	
	03/06/2013	-0.56 1.32	±	0.69	-2.07 4.87	±	3.29 3.50	No No
	03/20/2013		±			±		No
	03/20/2013	-1.66	±	0.95	-6.15 0.17	±	3.51	No No
ATOMIC CITY	01/02/2013	-0.05 -1.20	<u>±</u>	0.75 1.31	-0.17 -4.43	<u>±</u>	2.76 4.85	No
ATOMIC CITT	01/02/2013	-1.20 -0.60	±	1.10		±	4.05 4.06	
	01/16/2013		±		-2.22	±		No
		2.67	±	1.42	9.90	±	5.24	No
	01/23/2013	-0.08	±	1.28	-0.29	±	4.75	No
	01/30/2013	-1.62	±	1.22	-5.98	±	4.52	No
	02/06/2013	0.15	±	1.14	0.57	±	4.21	No
	02/13/2013	-1.61	±	1.18	-5.95	±	4.35	No
	02/20/2013	0.52	±	1.09	1.91	±	4.05	No
	02/27/2013	4.45	±	1.38	16.46	±	5.12	Yes
	03/06/2013	-0.68	±	1.08	-2.52	±	4.00	No
	03/13/2013	1.66	±	1.20	6.15	±	4.43	No
	03/20/2013	-1.99	±	1.13	-7.35	±	4.20	No
DI LIE DOME	03/27/2013	-0.06	±	0.94	-0.21	±	3.49	No
BLUE DOME	01/02/2013	-0.54	±	0.99	-1.99	±	3.67	No
	01/09/2013	-1.23	±	0.89	-4.56	±	3.30	No
	01/16/2013	-1.01	±	0.89	-3.72	±	3.30	No
	01/23/2013	-0.24	±	1.06	-0.90	±	3.93	No
	01/30/2013	-0.19	±	0.87	-0.70	±	3.22	No
	02/06/2013	-0.63	±	1.00	-2.32	±	3.71	No
	02/13/2013	0.97	±	0.87	3.60	±	3.23	No
	02/20/2013	-0.26	±	0.86	-0.97	±	3.18	No
	02/27/2013	0.28	±	1.27	1.02	±	4.71	No
	03/06/2013	0.36	±	0.89	1.33	±	3.28	No
	03/13/2013	1.19	±	0.92	4.42	±	3.40	No

TABLE C-2. Weekly lodine-131 Activity in Air.

Sampling Group	Sampling	Result ±	1s Un	certainty	Result ±	1s Un	certainty			
and Location	Date	(x 10	) <sup>-15</sup> µC	i/mL)	(x 10	<sup>-11</sup> Bq	/mL)	Result > 3s		
BOUNDARY		`	•	,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•			
	03/20/2013	-1.25	±	0.91	-4.63	±	3.36	No		
	03/27/2013	0.70	±	0.88	2.61	±	3.25	No		
FAA TOWER	01/02/2013	-0.64	±	1.18	-2.36	±	4.36	No		
	01/09/2013	-1.37	±	0.99	-5.08	±	3.67	No		
	01/16/2013	-1.25	±	1.11	-4.63	±	4.11	No		
	01/23/2013	-0.27	±	1.20	-1.01	±	4.42	No		
	01/30/2013	-0.22	±	1.04	-0.83	±	3.84	No		
	02/06/2013	-0.72	±	1.15	-2.65	±	4.25	No		
	02/13/2013	1.14	±	1.03	4.23	±	3.80	No		
	02/20/2013	-0.30	±	0.99	-1.12	±	3.67	No		
	02/27/2013	0.33	±	1.53	1.23	±	5.66	No		
	03/06/2013	0.41	±	1.01	1.52	±	3.74	No		
	03/13/2013	1.41	±	1.08	5.20	±	4.00	No		
	03/20/2013	-1.40	±	1.02	-5.20	±	3.77	No		
	03/27/2013	0.84	±	1.05	3.12	±	3.90	No		
HOWE	01/02/2013	-0.64		1.18	-2.35		4.35	No		
	01/09/2013	-1.50	±	1.08	-5.55	±	4.01	No		
	01/16/2013	-1.37	±	1.21	-5.05	±	4.48	No		
	01/23/2013	-0.35	±	1.53	-1.29	±	5.65	No		
	01/30/2013	-0.23	±	1.05	-0.84	±	3.88	No		
	02/06/2013	-0.77	±	1.23	-2.84	±	4.55	No		
	02/13/2013	1.19	±	1.07	4.42	±	3.97	No		
	02/20/2013	-0.33	±	1.09	-1.23	±	4.02	No		
	02/27/2013	0.36	±	1.64	1.32	±	6.08	No		
	03/06/2013	0.45	±	1.10	1.66	±	4.08	No		
	03/13/2013	1.51	±	1.16	5.58	±	4.29	No		
	03/20/2013	-1.49	±	1.08	-5.51	±	4.00	No		
	03/27/2013	0.90	±	1.13	3.34	±	4.18	No		
MONTEVIEW	01/02/2013	-0.69		1.28	-2.56		4.74	No		
	01/09/2013	-1.47	±	1.06	-5.43	±	3.92	No		
	01/16/2013	-1.54	±	1.37	-5.69	±	5.05	No		
	01/23/2013	-0.35	±	1.54	-1.31	±	5.71	No		
	01/30/2013	-0.24	±	1.10	-0.88	±	4.07	No		
	02/06/2013	-0.77	±	1.24	-2.85	±	4.57	No		
	02/13/2013	1.24	±	1.11	4.58	±	4.12	No		
	02/20/2013	-0.32	±	1.05	-1.19	±	3.90	No		
	02/27/2013	0.35	±	1.63	1.31	±	6.02	No		
	03/06/2013	0.44	±	1.08	1.62	±	4.00	No		
	03/13/2013	1.47	±	1.13	5.42	±	4.17	No		
	03/20/2013	-1.54	±	1.12	-5.69	±	4.13	No		
	03/27/2013	0.90	±	1.12	3.32	±	4.15	No		
QA-2	01/02/2013	-0.69		1.27	-2.55		4.71	No		
(MONTEVIEW)	01/09/2013	-1.43	±	1.03	-5.29	±	3.82	No		
(	01/16/2013	-1.51	±	1.34	-5.59	±	4.96	No		
	01/23/2013	-0.32	±	1.39	-1.17	±	5.13	No		
	01/30/2013	-0.24	±	1.11	-0.89	±	4.11	No		
	02/06/2013	-0.77	±	1.23	-2.83	±	4.54	No		
	02/13/2013	1.21	±	1.09	4.49	±	4.04	No		
	02/20/2013	-0.31	±	1.00	-1.14	±	3.71	No		
	02/27/2013	0.36	±	1.67	1.34	±	6.18	No		
	02,21,2010	0.00	-	1.07	1.04	<u> </u>	0.10	140		

TABLE C-2. Weekly lodine-131 Activity in Air.

Sampling Group	Sampling	Result ±	1s Un	certainty	Result ±	1s Un	certainty	
and Location	Date	(x 10	<sup>-15</sup> μC	i/mL)	(x 10	) <sup>-11</sup> Bq	/mL)	Result > 3s
BOUNDARY		•	-	•	•		-	
	03/06/2013	0.43	±	1.05	1.57	±	3.88	No
	03/13/2013	1.48	±	1.14	5.49	±	4.22	No
	03/20/2013	-1.48	±	1.07	-5.46	±	3.96	No
	03/27/2013	0.89	±	1.11	3.30	±	4.12	No
MUD LAKE	01/02/2013	-0.72	±	1.34	-2.68	±	4.95	No
	01/09/2013	-1.57	±	1.13	-5.81	±	4.20	No
	01/16/2013	-2.20	±	1.95	-8.13	±	7.21	No
	01/23/2013	-0.43	±	1.88	-1.59	±	6.96	No
	01/30/2013	-0.23	±	1.04	-0.84	±	3.87	No
	02/06/2013	-0.78	±	1.25	-2.87	±	4.61	No
	02/13/2013	1.31	±	1.18	4.85	±	4.36	No
	02/20/2013	-0.35	±	1.14	-1.29	±	4.20	No
	02/27/2013	0.38	±	1.74	1.40	±	6.42	No
	03/06/2013	0.48	±	1.17	1.76	±	4.34	No
	03/13/2013	1.55	±	1.19	5.75	±	4.42	No
	03/20/2013	-1.70	±	1.23	-6.28	±	4.55	No
	03/27/2013	0.95	±	1.18	3.50	±	4.37	No
DISTANT		0.00			0.00			
BLACKFOOT	01/02/2013	-1.71	±	1.87	-6.31	±	6.91	No
	01/09/2013	-1.04	±	1.90	-3.86	±	7.03	No
	01/16/2013	4.23	±	2.24	15.66	±	8.30	No
	01/23/2013	-0.11	±	1.83	-0.41	±	6.77	No
	01/30/2013	-2.21	±	1.67	-8.17	±	6.18	No
	02/06/2013	0.21	±	1.54	0.77	±	5.69	No
	02/13/2013	-2.30	±	1.68	-8.52	±	6.23	No
	02/20/2013	0.79	±	1.67	2.92	±	6.17	No
а	02/27/2013		±			±		No
	03/06/2013	-1.08	±	1.72	-4.00	±	6.37	No
	03/13/2013	2.55	±	1.84	9.45	±	6.80	No
	03/20/2013	-2.34	±	1.34	-8.67	±	4.95	No
	03/27/2013	-0.06	±	0.98	-0.22	±	3.63	No
CRATERS	01/02/2013	-1.14		1.25	-4.21		4.61	No
010112110	01/09/2013	-0.60	±	1.10	-2.22	±	4.05	No
	01/16/2013	2.23	±	1.18	8.23	±	4.36	No
	01/23/2013	-0.07	±	1.21	-0.27	±	4.48	No
	01/30/2013	-1.46	±	1.10	-5.39	±	4.07	No
	02/06/2013	0.16	±	1.14	0.58	±	4.23	No
	02/13/2013	-1.53	±	1.12	-5.67	±	4.15	No
	02/20/2013	0.52	±	1.11	1.94	±	4.09	No
	02/27/2013	4.25	±	1.32	15.73	±	4.89	Yes
	03/06/2013	-0.68	±	1.08	-2.50	±	3.98	No
	03/13/2013	1.57	±	1.13	5.79	±	4.16	No
	03/20/2013	-1.97	±	1.12	-7.29	±	4.16	No
	03/20/2013	-0.05	±	0.88	-0.20	±	3.24	No
DUBOIS	01/02/2013	-0.62	<u>-</u>	1.15	-2.31		4.26	No
	01/09/2013	-1.31	±	0.95	-4.86	±	3.51	No
	01/16/2013	-1.12	±	1.00	-4.16	±	3.69	No
	01/23/2013	-0.27	±	1.18	-1.00	±	4.36	No
	01/30/2013	-0.23	±	1.05	-0.84	±	3.88	No
	02/06/2013	-0.72	±	1.16	-2.67	±	4.29	No
	32, 30, 2010	0.72	-	1.10	2.01	_	1.20	140

TABLE C-2. Weekly lodine-131 Activity in Air.

Sampling Group	Sampling	Result ± 1	s Ur	ncertainty	Result ±	1s Un	certainty	
and Location	Date	(x 10 <sup>-</sup>	<sup>15</sup> μC	i/mL)	(x 10	) <sup>-11</sup> Bq	/mL)	Result > 3s
BOUNDARY		,		<u>,                                      </u>	,		•	
	02/13/2013	1.13	±	1.02	4.20	±	3.77	No
	02/20/2013	-0.30	±	0.97	-1.10	±	3.60	No
	02/27/2013	0.34	±	1.56	1.26	±	5.79	No
	03/06/2013	0.42	±	1.02	1.54	±	3.79	No
	03/13/2013	1.31	±	1.02	4.84	±	3.72	No
	03/20/2013	-1.45	±	1.05	-5.38	±	3.90	No
	03/27/2013	0.80	±	1.00	2.97	±	3.71	No
IDAHO FALLS	01/02/2013	-0.59	_ <u></u>	1.09	-2.17		4.02	No
ID/IIIO I /ILLO	01/09/2013	-1.61	±	1.16	-5.96	±	4.30	No
	01/05/2013	-1.01	±	0.96	-4.02	±	3.56	No
	01/10/2013	-0.28		1.22	-1.03		4.51	No
	01/30/2013		±	0.91		±	3.37	
		-0.20	±		-0.73	±		No
	02/06/2013	-0.65	±	1.03	-2.39	±	3.83	No
	02/13/2013	1.02	±	0.91	3.76	±	3.38	No
	02/20/2013	-0.27	±	0.88	-1.00	±	3.25	No
	02/27/2013	0.67	±	3.08	2.47	±	11.38	No
	03/06/2013	0.33	±	0.81	1.22	±	3.01	No
	03/13/2013	1.19	±	0.91	4.40	±	3.38	No
	03/20/2013	-1.25	±	0.90	-4.62	±	3.35	No
	03/27/2013	0.72	±	0.90	2.66	±	3.32	No
JACKSON	01/02/2013	-1.62	±	1.77	-6.00	±	6.56	No
	01/09/2013	-0.70	±	1.28	-2.60	±	4.74	No
	01/16/2013	3.64	±	1.93	13.47	±	7.13	No
	01/23/2013	-0.15	±	2.54	-0.57	±	9.41	No
	01/30/2013	-2.56	±	1.94	-9.47	±	7.17	No
	02/06/2013	0.15	±	1.08	0.54	±	4.00	No
	02/13/2013	-1.92	±	1.40	-7.10	±	5.20	No
	02/20/2013	0.49	±	1.03	1.81	±	3.82	No
	02/27/2013	4.54	±	1.41	16.81	±	5.23	Yes
	03/06/2013	-0.76	±	1.21	-2.82	±	4.48	No
	03/13/2013	1.73	±	1.24	6.40	±	4.60	No
	03/20/2013	-2.19	±	1.25	-8.11	±	4.63	No
	03/27/2013	-0.06	±	0.98	-0.22	±	3.63	No
REXBURG	01/02/2013	-0.72	±	1.32	-2.65		4.89	No
NEXBONG	01/09/2013	-2.06	±	1.49	-7.63	±	5.51	No
	01/16/2013	-1.66	±	1.47	-6.13	±	5.44	No
	01/23/2013	-0.44	±	1.92	-1.63	±	7.11	No
	01/30/2013	-0.29		1.36	-1.03		5.02	No
	02/06/2013	-0.29	±	1.46	-3.36	±	5.40	No
	02/03/2013		±			±	4.44	
		1.33	±	1.20	4.93	±		No
	02/20/2013	-0.43	±	1.41	-1.60	±	5.23	No No
	02/27/2013	0.43	±	1.99	1.60	±	7.38	No
	03/06/2013	0.55	±	1.35	2.03	±	5.00	No
	03/13/2013	1.76	±	1.35	6.52	±	5.01	No
	03/20/2013	-1.65	±	1.20	-6.10	±	4.43	No
	03/27/2013	0.90	±	1.12	3.33	±	4.16	No
INL SITE								
EFS	01/02/2013	-1.14	±	1.25	-4.23	±	4.63	No
	01/09/2013	-0.75	±	1.37	-2.78	±	5.06	No
	01/16/2013	4.09	±	2.17	15.14	±	8.02	No

TABLE C-2. Weekly lodine-131 Activity in Air.

Sampling Group	Sampling			certainty	Result ±	1s Un	certainty	
and Location	Date	(x 10	) <sup>-15</sup> μC	i/mL)	(x 10	<sup>-11</sup> Bq	/mL)	Result > 3s
BOUNDARY								
	01/23/2013	-0.11	±	1.76	-0.39	±	6.50	No
	01/30/2013	-1.48	±	1.12	-5.47	±	4.14	No
	02/06/2013	0.15	±	1.09	0.55	±	4.04	No
	02/13/2013	-1.51	±	1.11	-5.59	±	4.09	No
	02/20/2013	0.49	±	1.04	1.83	±	3.86	No
	02/27/2013	4.18	±	1.30	15.46	±	4.81	Yes
	03/06/2013	-0.67	±	1.07	-2.48	±	3.95	No
	03/13/2013	1.49	±	1.07	5.50	±	3.95	No
	03/20/2013	-1.86	±	1.06	-6.87	±	3.92	No
	03/27/2013	-0.05	±	0.84	-0.19	±	3.09	No
MAIN GATE	01/02/2013	-1.20	±	1.31	-4.43	±	4.85	No
	01/09/2013	-0.65	±	1.19	-2.40	±	4.38	No
	01/16/2013	2.76	±	1.46	10.22	±	5.41	No
	01/23/2013	-0.09	±	1.52	-0.34	±	5.63	No
	01/30/2013	-1.57	±	1.19	-5.80	±	4.39	No
	02/06/2013	0.16	±	1.15	0.58	±	4.26	No
	02/13/2013	-1.58	±	1.15	-5.83	±	4.27	No
	02/20/2013	0.52	±	1.09	1.91	±	4.05	No
	02/27/2013	4.28	±	1.33	15.83	±	4.92	Yes
	03/06/2013	-0.68	±	1.08	-2.51	±	3.99	No
	03/13/2013	1.62	±	1.16	5.99	±	4.31	No
	03/20/2013	-1.94	±	1.11	-7.16	±	4.09	No
	03/27/2013	-0.05	±	0.88	-0.20	±	3.27	No
VAN BUREN GATE	01/02/2013	-1.17	±	1.28	-4.34	±	4.75	No
	01/09/2013	-0.64	±	1.17	-2.37	±	4.32	No
	01/16/2013	3.13	±	1.66	11.59	±	6.14	No
	01/23/2013	-0.10	±	1.68	-0.38	±	6.22	No
	01/30/2013	-1.46	±	1.11	-5.41	±	4.09	No
	02/06/2013	0.15	±	1.10	0.55	±	4.07	No
	02/13/2013	-1.52	±	1.11	-5.64	±	4.12	No
	02/20/2013	0.49	±	1.05	1.83	±	3.87	No
	02/27/2013	4.14	±	1.29	15.31	±	4.76	Yes
	03/06/2013	-0.64	±	1.02	-2.37	±	3.77	No
	03/13/2013	1.50	±	1.08	5.56	±	4.00	No
	03/20/2013	-1.84	±	1.05	-6.80	±	3.89	No
	03/27/2013	-0.05	±	0.88	-0.20	±	3.27	No
a. Invalid Sample Resu	ult							

TABLE C-3. Quarterly Cesium-137, Strontium-90, and Actinide Concentrations in Composite Air Filters.

Sampling Group and Location	Sampling Date	Analyte	Result ± °(x 10°	1s Un <sup>18</sup> µCi			1s Un <sup>-13</sup> Bq	certainty /mL)	Result > 3s
BOUNDARY		•	,	•	,	,		,	
ARCO	3/27/2013	CESIUM-137	-25.90	±	138.00	-95.83	±	510.60	No
		STRONTIUM-90	45.20	±	9.92	167.24	±	36.70	Yes
ARCO (QA-1)	3/27/2013	CESIUM-137	-122.00	±	130.00	-451.40	±	481.00	No
		STRONTIUM-90	34.90	±	7.88	129.13	±	29.16	Yes
ATOMIC CITY	3/27/2013	CESIUM-137	-115.00	±	131.00	-425.50	±	484.70	No
		STRONTIUM-90	77.50	±	13.00	286.75	±	48.10	Yes
BLUE DOME	3/27/2013	CESIUM-137	39.10	±	105.00	144.67	±	388.50	No
FAA TOWER	3/27/2013	AMERICIUM-241	-1.81	±	1.17	-6.70	±	4.33	No
		CESIUM-137	-200.00	±	118.00	-740.00	±	436.60	No
		PLUTONIUM-238	0.00	±	0.88	0.00	±	3.27	No
		PLUTONIUM-239/240	4.32	±	1.29	15.98	±	4.77	Yes
HOWE	3/27/2013	CESIUM-137	-94.30	±	94.80	-348.91	±	350.76	No
MONTEVIEW	3/27/2013	AMERICIUM-241	-1.19	±	1.07	-4.40	±	3.96	No
		CESIUM-137	183.00	±	103.00	677.10	±	381.10	No
		PLUTONIUM-238	0.71	±	1.01	2.64	±	3.74	No
		PLUTONIUM-239/240	2.85	±	1.03	10.55	±	3.81	No
MONTEVIEW (QA-2)	3/27/2013	AMERICIUM-241	-2.12	±	1.06	-7.84	±	3.92	No
		CESIUM-137	-52.00	±	148.00	-192.40	±	547.60	No
		PLUTONIUM-238	1.15	±	0.94	4.26	±	3.49	No
		PLUTONIUM-239/240	0.05	±	0.94	0.18	±	3.47	No
MUD LAKE	3/27/2013	AMERICIUM-241	2.86	±	1.48	10.58	±	5.48	No
		CESIUM-137	-57.60	±	132.00	-213.12	±	488.40	No
		PLUTONIUM-238	3.43	±	1.66	12.69	±	6.14	No
		PLUTONIUM-239/240	1.75	±	1.43	6.48	±	5.29	No
DISTANT									
BLACKFOOT	3/27/2013	CESIUM-137	-38.50	±	234.00	-142.45	±	865.80	No
CRATERS	3/27/2013	AMERICIUM-241	-0.68	±	1.03	-2.52	±	3.81	No
		CESIUM-137	-24.90	±	148.00	-92.13	±	547.60	No
		PLUTONIUM-238	0.43	±	1.06	1.60	±	3.92	No
		PLUTONIUM-239/240	1.30	±	1.06	4.81	±	3.92	No
DUBOIS	3/27/2013	CESIUM-137	85.60	±	86.10	316.72	±	318.57	No
IDAHO FALLS	3/27/2013	CESIUM-137	-86.80	±	131.00	-321.16	±	484.70	No

TABLE C-3. Quarterly Cesium-137, Strontium-90, and Actinide Concentrations in Composite Air Filters.

Sampling Group and Location	Sampling Date	Analyte	Result ± (x 10			Result ± (x 10		certainty /mL)	Result > 3s
JACKSON	3/27/2013	CESIUM-137	-49.00	±	181.00	-181.30	±	669.70	No
		STRONTIUM-90	11.10	±	12.50	41.07	±	46.25	No
REXBURG	3/27/2013	CESIUM-137	-290.00	±	167.00	-1073.00	±	617.90	No
		STRONTIUM-90	26.80	±	11.70	99.16	±	43.29	No
INL SITE									
EFS	3/27/2013	AMERICIUM-241	-1.21	±	0.98	-4.48	±	3.61	No
		CESIUM-137	160.00	±	133.00	592.00	±	492.10	No
		PLUTONIUM-238	3.35	±	1.22	12.40	±	4.51	No
		PLUTONIUM-239/240	0.84	±	1.03	3.10	±	3.81	No
MAIN GATE	3/27/2013	AMERICIUM-241	-1.07	±	1.01	-3.96	±	3.74	No
		CESIUM-137	-236.00	±	133.00	-873.20	±	492.10	No
		PLUTONIUM-238	0.85	±	1.04	3.14	±	3.85	No
		PLUTONIUM-239/240	2.12	±	1.13	7.84	±	4.18	No
VAN BUREN GATE	3/27/2013	CESIUM-137	50.50	±	88.10	186.85	±	325.97	No
		STRONTIUM-90	31.00	±	10.60	114.70	±	39.22	No

**TABLE C-4. Tritium Concentrations in Atmospheric Moisture.** 

Sampling Group	Start	Sampling	Result ±	1s Ur	ncertainty	Result ±	1s U	ncertainty	
and Location	Date	Date	(x 10	· <sup>13</sup> μCi	/mL <sub>air)</sub>	(x 10	) <sup>-9</sup> Bq/	/mL <sub>air)</sub>	Result > 3s
BOUNDARY					,			,	
ATOMIC CITY	11/28/2012	01/02/2013	-1.58	±	0.94	-5.84	±	3.49	No
ATOMIC CITY	01/02/2013	02/20/2013	-0.34	±	0.63	-1.27	±	2.34	No
ATOMIC CITY	02/20/2013	03/27/2013	1.35	±	0.85	5.01	±	3.16	No
DISTANT									
BLACKFOOT	12/05/2012	01/09/2013	0.80	±	1.03	2.96	±	3.80	No
BLACKFOOT	01/09/2013	02/13/2013	-0.33	±	0.80	-1.24	±	2.95	No
BLACKFOOT	02/13/2013	03/13/2013	2.72	±	1.01	10.07	±	3.73	No
IDAHO FALLS	12/26/2012	02/20/2013	0.34	±	0.16	1.25	±	0.61	No
IDAHO FALLS	02/20/2013	03/27/2013	0.64	±	0.22	2.36	±	0.82	No
REXBURG	12/26/2012	02/13/2013	0.21	±	0.69	0.76	±	2.56	No
REXBURG	02/13/2013	03/27/2013	2.61	±	1.07	9.65	±	3.97	No

TABLE C-5. Monthly and Weekly Tritium Concentrations in Precipitation.

			Result ±	1s Un	certainty	Result ±	1s Un	certainty	
Location	Start Date	<b>End Date</b>		(pCi/L	)		(Bq/L)		Result > 3s
IDAHO FALLS	12/3/2012	1/3/2013	1.20	±	22.60	0.04	±	0.84	No
	1/3/2013	2/4/2013	29.40	±	21.90	1.09	±	0.81	No
	2/4/2013	3/4/2013	-33.00	±	22.40	-1.22	±	0.83	No
CFA	12/31/2012	2/4/2013	-4.21	±	21.50	-0.16	±	0.80	No
EFS	1/9/2013	1/16/2013	27.70	±	21.90	1.02	±	0.81	No
	1/23/2013	1/30/2013	6.85	±	21.60	0.25	±	0.80	No
	3/6/2013	3/13/2013	38.30	±	23.30	1.42	±	0.86	No
	3/20/2013	3/27/2013	17.10	±	21.90	0.63	±	0.81	No

Table C-6. Weekly and Monthly Iodine-131 and Cesium-137 Concentrations in Milk

			lodine-131 llt ± 1s Uncertainty Result ± 1s Uncertainty					Cesium-137							
	Sampling	Result :		ncertainty			-		Result ±	1s Un	certainty	Result ±	1s Ur	certainty	
Location	Date		(pCi <sup>†</sup> /	L)	(	Bq <sup>‡</sup> /L	)	Result > 3s		(pCi/L	)		(Bq/L	)	Result > 3s
BLACKFOOT															
-	03/03/13	2.83	±	1.93	0.105	±	0.071	No	0.67	±	1.40	0.025	±	0.052	No
CONTROL															
	01/08/13	2.17	±	1.22	0.080	±	0.045	No	-1.32	±	1.47	-0.049	±	0.054	No
	02/05/13	-2.22	±	1.23	-0.082	±	0.046	No	2.15	±	1.48	0.080	±	0.055	No
	03/05/13	1.77	±	1.10	0.066	±	0.041	No	0.96	±	0.87	0.036	±	0.032	No
DIETRICH															
	01/08/13	1.13	±	0.91	0.042	±	0.034	No	0.48	±	0.86	0.018	±	0.032	No
	02/05/13	0.68	±	0.97	0.025	±	0.036	No	-1.20	±	0.88	-0.044	±	0.033	No
	03/05/13	0.76	±	0.87	0.028	±	0.032	No	-0.06	±	0.85	-0.002	±	0.031	No
FORT HALL															
	02/04/13	-0.49	±	1.01	-0.018	±	0.037	No	0.26	±	0.78	0.009	±	0.029	No
	03/04/13	-0.73	±	1.06	-0.027	±	0.039	No	1.02	±	0.87	0.038	±	0.032	No
HOWE															
	01/08/13	2.79	±	2.04	0.103	±	0.076	No	1.99	±	1.45	0.074	±	0.054	No
	02/05/13	1.81	±	1.87	0.067	±	0.069	No	0.56	±	1.38	0.021	±	0.051	No
	03/05/13	0.05	±	1.79	0.002	±	0.066	No	-1.70	±	1.46	-0.063	±	0.054	No
IDAHO FALLS															
	01/02/13	1.31	±	1.19	0.049	±	0.044	No	1.09	±	1.39	0.040	±	0.051	No
	01/08/13	0.49	±	0.96	0.018	±	0.036	No	1.11	±	0.81	0.041	±	0.030	No
	01/15/13	-0.43	±	0.93	-0.016	±	0.034	No	-0.24	±	0.79	-0.009	±	0.029	No
	01/22/13	-1.58	±	1.17	-0.059	±	0.043	No	-1.09	±	1.48	-0.040	±	0.055	No
	01/29/13	2.49	±	1.23	0.092	±	0.046	No	-1.56	±	1.47	-0.058	±	0.054	No
	02/05/13	0.16	±	1.03	0.006	±	0.038	No	0.89	±	0.83	0.033	±	0.031	No
Duplicate	02/05/13	-0.20	±	1.21	-0.007	±	0.045	No	-0.16	±	1.44	-0.006	±	0.053	No
	02/12/13	2.15	±	1.73	0.080	±	0.064	No	-1.29	±	1.47	-0.048	±	0.054	No
	02/19/13	-0.16	±	0.93	-0.006	±	0.034	No	-0.22	±	0.78	-0.008	±	0.029	No
	02/26/13	-0.23	±	1.16	-0.009	±	0.043	No	0.49	±	1.41	0.018	±	0.052	No
	03/05/13	-0.84	±	0.96	-0.031	±	0.036	No	0.57	±	0.77	0.021	±	0.028	No
	03/12/13	-0.21	±	1.15	-0.008	±	0.043	No	-2.84	±	1.63	-0.105	±	0.060	No
	03/19/13	-0.07	±	0.91	-0.003	±	0.034	No	0.45	±	0.78	0.017	±	0.029	No
	03/26/13	0.08	±	0.87	0.003	±	0.032	No	0.24	±	0.85	0.009	±	0.031	No
RUPERT															
	01/08/13	-0.59	±	1.07	-0.022	±	0.040	No	0.48	±	0.90	0.018	±	0.033	No
Duplicate	01/08/13	-0.05	±	1.21	-0.002	±	0.045	No	-0.99	±	1.49	-0.037	±	0.055	No
•	02/05/13	-3.16	±	1.79	-0.117	±	0.066	No	-0.15	±	1.41	-0.005	±	0.052	No
	03/05/13	0.55	±	1.27	0.020	±	0.047	No	2.26	±	1.49	0.084	±	0.055	No
TERRETON															
	01/08/13	0.83	±	0.92	0.031	±	0.034	No	-0.42	±	0.77	-0.016	±	0.029	No
	02/05/13	-0.08	±	0.86	-0.003	±	0.032	No	1.20	±	0.89	0.044	±	0.033	No
	03/05/13	-2.70	±	2.03	-0.100	±	0.075	No	-0.21	±	1.39	-0.008	±	0.051	No

**Table C-7. Gamma-emitting Radionuclides in Large Game Animals** 

	Collection		Result ±	1s U	ncertainty	Result ± 1	s Ur	ncertainty	
Species	Date Tissue	Analyte	(pCi/kg	wet	weight)	(x 10 <sup>-2</sup> Bq/l	(g w	et weight)	Result > 3s
MULE DEER	1/17/2013 Liver	<sup>131</sup>	-4.01	±	17.40	-14.84	±	64.38	No
		<sup>137</sup> Cs	-15.10	±	9.38	-55.87	±	34.71	No
MULE DEER	1/17/2013 Muscle	<sup>131</sup>	-2.82	±	11.70	-10.43	±	43.29	No
		<sup>137</sup> Cs	-2.11	±	7.29	-7.81	±	26.97	No
MULE DEER	1/17/2013 Thyroid	<sup>131</sup>	-546.00	±	430.00	-2020.20	±	1591.00	No
		<sup>137</sup> Cs	-122.00	±	321.00	-451.40	±	1187.70	No

## APPENDIX D STATISTICAL ANALYSIS RESULTS

Table D-1. Results of the Kruskal-Wallace statistical test between INL Site, Boundary and Distant sample groups by month.

Parameter	P <sup>a</sup>				
Gross Alpha					
Quarter	0.90				
January	0.23				
February	0.36				
March	0.22				
Gross Beta					
Quarter	0.80				
January	0.62				
February	0.70				
March	0.92				
A 'p' value greater than 0.05 signifies no statistical difference between data groups.					

<sup>1</sup>st Quarter 2013 D-1 September 2013

Table D-2. Statistical difference in weekly gross alpha and gross beta concentrations measured at Boundary and Distant locations.

		Mann-Whitney U test
Parameter	Week	P <sup>a</sup>
Gross Alpha		
	January 2	0.32
	January 9	0.39
	January 16	0.94
	January 23	0.57
	January 30	0.05
	February 6	0.89
	February 13	0.94
	February 20	0.67
	February 27	0.68
	March 6	0.06
	March 13	0.89
	March 20	0.52
	March 27	0.52
Gross Beta		
	January 2	1.00
	January 9	0.32
	January 16	0.32
	January 23	0.48
	January 30	0.32
	February 6	0.78
	February 13	0.09
	February 20	0.57
	February 27	0.68
	March 6	0.39
	March 13	0.39
	March 20	0.32
	March 27	0.32

a. A 'p' value greater than 0.05 signifies no statistical difference between data groups.