Gonzales Stoller Surveillance, LLC Environmental Surveillance, Education, and Research Program ISSN NUMBER 1089-5469

# Idaho National Laboratory Site Offsite Environmental Surveillance Program Report: First Quarter 2011

**July 2011** 



Contributors: Russ Mitchell, Marilyn Case

Program conducted for the U.S. Department of Energy, Idaho Operations Office Under Contract DE-NE0000300

By Gonzales Stoller Surveillance, LLC
Environmental Surveillance, Education, and Research Program
Douglas K. Halford, Program Manager
120 Technology Dr., Idaho Falls, Idaho 83401
www.gsseser.com

# **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

None of the radionuclides detected in samples collected during the first quarter of 2011 could be directly linked with INL Site activities. During the second half of March, fallout was detected from the Fukushima nuclear accident in Japan. Levels of detected radionuclides were no different than values measured at other locations across the western United States. All detected radionuclide concentrations were well below guidelines set by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and regulatory standards established by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for protection of the public.

This report for the first quarter of 2011 contains results from the Environmental Surveillance, Education, and Research (ESER) Program's monitoring of the Department of Energy's Idaho National Laboratory (INL) Site's offsite environment, January 1 through March 31, 2011. All sample types (media) and the sampling schedule followed during 2011 are listed in Appendix A. Specifically, this report contains the results for the following:

- Air sampling, including particulate air filters, charcoal cartridges, and atmospheric moisture
- Precipitation sampling
- Milk sampling
- Game animal sampling

Executive Summary

Table E-1 Summary of results for the First Quarter of 2011.

Media	Sample Type	Analysis	Results
Air	Filters	Gross alpha, gross beta	No statistical differences were noted in either gross alpha or gross beta data on quarterly and monthly comparisons. During two weeks, gross beta concentrations were statistically higher at boundary locations than distant locations. No result exceeded the DCG for gross alpha or gross beta activity in air.
		Gamma-emitting radionuclides, select actinides ( <sup>241</sup> Am, <sup>238</sup> Pu, and <sup>239,240</sup> Pu), <sup>90</sup> Sr	Selected weekly filters were analyzed by gamma spectrometry to measure fallout from the Fukushima accident in Japan. Five manmade gamma-emitting radionuclides were found during the week of March 23 and two were found during the week of March 30. Cesium-137 was found on all quarterly composites and Cesium-134 was detected nearly all composites. No 90 Sr were detected. Final actinide results were deemed invalid.
	Charcoal Cartridge	lodine-131	lodine-131 from Fukushima was reported on all charcoal cartridge batches during the weeks of March 23 and March 30. The highest result was approximately 0.1 percent of the DCG for <sup>131</sup> I.
Atmospheric Moisture	Liquid	Tritium	A total of nine samples were collected. Six of these samples had tritium results greater than the 3s uncertainty. No sample result exceeded the DCG for tritium in air. Results were consistent with historical measurements.
Precipitation	Liquid	Tritium, gamma- emitting radionuclides	Eleven results for samples collected were available. Two of the results were greater than the 3s uncertainty. The concentrations were consistent with those reported across the region by the Environmental Protection Agency and with previous results. Special samples collected during the period of fallout from Japan had measurable lodine-131 and Cesium-137, consistent with results reported by EPA in the western United States.
Milk	Liquid	lodine-131, other gamma-emitting radionuclides	Thirty-four samples, including two duplicates, were collected. Iodine-131 was reported near the detection level in one weekly sample during the period of fallout from Fukushima. The reported value was 0.1 percent of the Food and Drug Administration's Limit for Public Health.
Large Game Animals	Tissue	Gamma-emitting radionuclides	One elk sampled. Cesium-137 was detected in muscle tissue of at a level within background concentrations. Iodine-131 was not detected in the thyroid.

#### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AEC Atomic Energy Commission

CFA Central Facilities Area

DCG Derived Concentration Guide

DOE Department of Energy

DOE – ID Department of Energy Idaho Operations Office

EAL Environmental Assessment Laboratory

EFS Experimental Field Station

EPA Environmental Protection Agency

ERAMS Environmental Radiation Ambient Monitoring System
ESER Environmental Surveillance, Education, and Research

GSS Gonzales Stoller Surveillance, LLC

ICP Idaho Cleanup Project

INL Idaho National Laboratory

INEL Idaho National Engineering Laboratory

INEEL Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory

ISU Idaho State University

MDC minimum detectable concentration NRTS National Reactor Testing Station

# **LIST OF UNITS**

Bq becquerel

Ci curie g gram

L liter

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mu \text{Ci} & \text{microcurie} \\ \text{mL} & \text{milliliter} \\ \text{pCi} & \text{picocurie} \end{array}$ 

### 1. ESER PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

Operations at the Idaho National Laboratory (INL) Site are conducted under requirements imposed by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) under authority of the Atomic Energy Act and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under a number of acts (e.g. the Clean Air Act and Safe Drinking Water Act). The requirements imposed by DOE are specified in DOE Orders. These requirements include those to monitor the effects of DOE activities both inside and outside the boundaries of DOE facilities (DOE 2003). During calendar year 2011, environmental monitoring within the INL Site boundaries was primarily the responsibility of the INL and Idaho Cleanup Project (ICP) contractors, while monitoring outside the INL Site boundaries was conducted under the Environmental Surveillance, Education, and Research (ESER) Program. At the beginning of the first quarter of 2011, the ESER Program became led by a new partnership between S.M. Stoller and Jerome Gonzales Management Systems, Inc. with the support of the previous team members. This partnership is named Gonzales Stoller Surveillance, LLC (GSS). The ESER Program was led by GSS in cooperation with its team members, including the University of Idaho, Idaho State University (ISU), the Wildlife Conservation Society, and Teledyne Brown Engineering.

This report contains monitoring results from the ESER Program for samples collected during the first quarter of 2011 (January 1-March 31, 2011).

The surveillance portion of the ESER Program is designed to satisfy the following program objectives:

- Verify compliance with applicable environmental laws, regulations, and DOE Orders
- Characterize and define trends in the physical, chemical, and biological condition of environmental media on and around the INL Site
- Assess the potential radiation dose to members of the public from INL Site effluents
- Present program results clearly and concisely through the use of reports, presentations, newsletter articles and press releases.

The goal of the surveillance program is to monitor different media at a number of potential exposure points within the various exposure pathways, including air, water, agricultural products, wildlife, and soil that could possibly contribute to the radiation dose received by the public.

Environmental samples collected include:

- air at 16 locations on and around the INL Site
- moisture in air at four locations around the INL Site
- precipitation from three locations on and around the INL Site
- agricultural products, including milk at six dairies around the INL Site, potatoes from at least five local producers, wheat from approximately 10 local producers, and lettuce from approximately nine home-owned and portable gardens on and around the INL
- soil from 12 locations around the INL Site biennially
- environmental dosimeters from 15 locations semi-annually
- various numbers of wildlife including big game (pronghorn, mule deer, and elk) and waterfowl sampled on and near the INL Site.

Table A-1 in Appendix A lists samples, sampling locations and collection frequency for the ESER Program.

The ESER Program used two laboratories to perform analyses on routine environmental samples collected during the quarter reported here. The ISU Environmental Assessment Laboratory (EAL) performed routine gross alpha, gross beta, tritium, and gamma spectrometry analyses. Analyses requiring radiochemistry including strontium-90 ( $^{90}$ Sr), plutonium-238 ( $^{238}$ Pu), plutonium-239/240 ( $^{239/240}$ Pu), and americium-241 ( $^{241}$ Am) were performed by Teledyne Brown Engineering, Inc. of Knoxville, Tennessee.

In the event of non-routine occurrences, such as suspected releases of radioactive material, the ESER Program may increase the frequency of sampling and/or the number of sampling locations based on the nature of the release and wind distribution patterns. Any data found to be outside historical norms in the ESER Program is thoroughly investigated to determine if an INL Site origin is likely. Investigation may include re-sampling and/or re-analysis of prior samples.

In the event of any suspected worldwide nuclear incidents, like the 1986 Chernobyl accident, the EPA may request additional sampling be performed through RadNet [previously known as the Environmental Radiation Ambient Monitoring System (ERAMS) network] (EPA 2009). The EPA established the ERAMS network in 1973 with an emphasis on identifying trends in the accumulation of long-lived radionuclides in the environment. ERAMS was renamed RadNet in 2005 to reflect a new mission. RadNet is comprised of a nationwide network of sampling stations that provide air, precipitation, drinking water, and milk samples. The ESER Program currently operates a high-volume air sampler and collects precipitation and drinking water in Idaho Falls for this national program and routinely sends samples to EPA's Eastern Environmental Radiation Facility for analyses. The RadNet data collected at Idaho Falls are not reported by the ESER Program but are available through the EPA RadNet website (<a href="http://www.epa.gov/narel/radnet/">http://www.epa.gov/narel/radnet/</a>).

Once samples have been collected and analyzed, the ESER Program has the responsibility for quality control of the data and for preparing quarterly reports on results from the environmental surveillance program. The quarterly reports are then consolidated into the INL Site Environmental Report for each calendar year. These annual reports also include data collected by other INL Site contractors.

The results reported in the quarterly and annual reports are assessed in terms of data quality and statistical significance with respect to laboratory analytical uncertainties, sample locations, reported INL Site releases, meteorological data, and worldwide events that might conceivably have an effect on the INL Site environment. First, field collection and laboratory information are reviewed to determine identifiable errors that would invalidate or limit use of the data. Examples of such limitations include insufficient sample volume, torn filters, evidence of laboratory cross-contamination or quality control issues. Data that pass initial screening are further evaluated using statistical methods. Statistical tools are necessary for data evaluation particularly since environmental measurements typically involve the determination of minute concentrations, which are difficult to detect and even more difficult to distinguish from other measurements.

Results are presented in this report with an analytical uncertainty term, s, where "s" is the estimated sample standard deviation ( $\sigma$ ), assuming a Gaussian or normal distribution. All results are reported in this document, even those that do not necessarily represent detections. The term "detected", as used for the discussion of results in this report, does not imply any degree of risk to the public or environment, but rather indicates that the radionuclide was measured at a concentration sufficient for the analytical instrument to record a value that is statistically different from background. The ESER has adopted guidelines developed by the United States Geological Survey (Bartholomay, et al. 2003), based on an extension of a method

proposed by Currie (1984), to interpret analytical results and make decisions concerning detection. Most of the following discussion is taken from Bartholomay et al (2003).

Laboratory measurements involve the analysis of a target sample and the analysis of a prepared laboratory blank (i.e., a sample which is identical to the sample collected in the environment, except that the radionuclide of interest is absent). Instrument signals for the target and blank vary randomly about the true signals and may overlap making it difficult to distinguish between radionuclide activities in blank and in environmental samples (Figure 1). That is, the variability around the sample result may substantially overlap the variability around a net activity of zero for samples with no radioactivity. In order to conclude that a radionuclide has been detected, it is essential to consider two fundamental aspects of the problem of detection: (1) the instrument signal for the sample must be greater than that observed for the blank before the decision can be made that the radionuclide has been detected; and (2) an estimate must be made of the minimum radionuclide concentration that will yield a sufficiently large observed signal before the correct decision can be made for detection or non-detection.




Figure 1. Example of overlap of blank and sample measurement distributions.

In the laboratory, instrument signals must exceed a critical level of 1.6s before the qualitative decision can be made as to whether the radionuclide was detected in a sample. At 1.6s there is about a 95-percent probability that the correct conclusion—not detected—will be made. Given a large number of samples, approximately 5 percent of the samples with measured concentrations greater than or equal to 1.6s, which were concluded as being detected, might not contain the radionuclide. These are referred to as false positives. For purposes of simplicity and consistency with past reporting, the ESER has rounded the 1.6s critical level estimate to 2s.

Once the critical level has been defined, the minimum detectable concentration may be determined. Concentrations that equal 3s represent a measurement at the detection level or minimum detectable concentration. For true concentrations of 3s or greater, there is a greater than 99-percent probability that the radionuclide was detected in the target sample. In a large number of samples, the conclusion—not detected—will be made in less than one percent of the samples with true concentrations at the minimum detectable concentration of 3s. These measurements are known as false negatives. The ESER reports measured radionuclide

concentrations greater than or equal to their respective 3s uncertainties as being "detected with confidence."

Concentrations between 2s and 3s are reported as "questionably detected". That is, the radionuclide may be present in the sample; however, the detection may not be reliable. Measurements made between 2s and 3s are examined further to determine if they are a part of a pattern (temporal or spatial) that might warrant further investigation or recounting. For example, if a particular radionuclide is typically detected at > 3s at a specific location, a sample result between 2s and 3s might be considered detected.

If a result is less than or equal to 2s there is little confidence that the radionuclide is present in the sample. Analytical results in this report are presented as the result value  $\pm$  one standard deviation (1s) for reporting consistency with the annual report. To obtain the 2s or 3s values simply multiply the uncertainty term by 2 or 3.

For more information concerning the ESER Program, contact GSS at (208) 525-8250, or visit the Program's web page (<a href="http://www.gsseser.com">http://www.gsseser.com</a>).

The INL Site

### 2. THE INL SITE

The INL Site is a nuclear energy and homeland security research and environmental management facility. It is owned and administered by the U.S. Department of Energy, Idaho Operations Office (DOE-ID) and occupies about 890 mi² (2300 km²) of the upper Snake River Plain in Southeastern Idaho. The history of the INL Site began during World War II when the U.S. Naval Ordnance Station was located in Pocatello, Idaho. This station, one of two such installations in the U.S., retooled large guns from U.S. Navy warships. The retooled guns were tested on the nearby, uninhabited plain, known as the Naval Proving Ground. In the years following the war, as the nation worked to develop nuclear power, the Atomic Energy Commission (AEC), predecessor to the DOE, became interested in the Naval Proving Ground and made plans for a facility to build, test, and perfect nuclear power reactors.

The Naval Proving Ground became the National Reactor Testing Station (NRTS) in 1949, under the AEC. By the end of 1951, a reactor at the NRTS became the first to produce useful amounts of electricity. Over time the site has operated 52 various types of reactors, associated research centers, and waste handling areas. The NRTS was renamed the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory (INEL) in 1974, and the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory (INEEL) in January 1997. With renewed interest in nuclear power the DOE announced in 2003 that Argonne National Laboratory and the INEEL would be the lead laboratories for development of the next generation of power reactors. On February 1, 2005 the INEEL and Argonne National Laboratory-West became the INL. The INL is committed to providing international nuclear leadership for the 21st Century, developing and demonstrating compelling national security technologies, and delivering excellence in science and technology as one of the Department of Energy's multiprogram national laboratories.

The cleanup operation, the ICP, is now a separately managed effort. The ICP is charged with safely and cost-effectively completing the majority of cleanup work from past laboratory missions by 2012.



Air Sampling

### 3. AIR SAMPLING

The primary pathway by which radionuclides can move off the INL Site is through the air and for this reason the air pathway is the primary focus of monitoring on and around the INL Site. Samples for particulates and iodine-131 (<sup>131</sup>I) gas in air were collected weekly for the duration of the quarter at 16 locations using low-volume air samplers. Moisture in the atmosphere was sampled at four locations around the INL Site and analyzed for tritium. Air sampling activities and results for the first quarter of 2011 are discussed below. A summary of approximate minimum detectable concentrations (MDCs) for radiological analyses and DOE Derived Concentration Guide (DCG) (DOE 1993) values is provided in Appendix B.

#### LOW-VOLUME AIR SAMPLING

Radioactivity associated with airborne particulates was monitored continuously by 18 low-volume air samplers (two of which are used as replicate samplers) at 16 locations during the first quarter of 2011 (Figure 2). Four of these samplers are located on the INL Site, seven are situated off the INL Site near the boundary, and seven have been placed at locations distant to the INL Site. Samplers are divided into INL Site, Boundary, and Distant groups to determine if there is a gradient of radionuclide concentrations, increasing towards the INL Site. Each replicate sampler is relocated every other year to a new location. During 2011, one replicate sampler was operating in Dubois (a Distant location) and one was operating at the Van Buren gate (an INL Site location). An average of 20,726 ft³ (587 m³) of air was sampled at each location, each week, at an average flow rate of 2.06 ft³/min (0.06 m³/min). Particulates in air were collected on membrane particulate filters (1.2-µm pore size). Gases passing through the filter were collected with an activated charcoal cartridge.




Figure 2. Low-volume air sampler locations.

Filters and charcoal cartridges were changed weekly at each station during the quarter. Each particulate filter was analyzed for gross alpha and gross beta radioactivity using thin-

window gas flow proportional counting systems after waiting about four days for naturally-occurring daughter products of radon and thorium to decay.

The weekly particulate filters collected during the quarter for each location were composited and analyzed for gamma-emitting radionuclides. Selected composites were also analyzed by location for <sup>90</sup>Sr, <sup>238</sup>Pu, <sup>239/240</sup>Pu, and <sup>241</sup>Am as determined by a rotating quarterly schedule.

Charcoal cartridges were analyzed for gamma-emitting radionuclides, specifically for iodine-131 (<sup>131</sup>I). Iodine-131 is of particular interest because it is produced in relatively large quantities by nuclear fission, is readily accumulated in human and animal thyroids, and has a half-life of eight days. This means that any elevated level of <sup>131</sup>I in the environment could be from a recent release of fission products.

Gross alpha results are reported in Table C-1. Gross alpha data are tested for normality prior to statistical analyses, and generally show no consistent discernable distribution. Because there is no discernable distribution of the data, the nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis test of multiple independent groups was used to test for statistical differences between INL Site, Boundary, and Distant locations. The use of nonparametric tests, such as Kruskal-Wallis, gives less weight to outlier and extreme values thus allowing a more appropriate comparison of data groups. A statistically significant difference exists between data groups if the (p) value is less than 0.05. Values greater than 0.05 translate into a 95 percent confidence that the medians are statistically the same. The p-value for each comparison is shown in Table D-1. For the quarter, there was no statistical difference noted.

Comparisons of gross alpha concentrations were made for each month of the quarter. Again the Kruskal-Wallis test of multiple independent groups was used to determine if statistical differences exist between INL Site, Boundary, and Distant data groups. No statistical differences in gross alpha concentrations between groups were noted during any month of the quarter (Table D-1).

As an additional check, comparisons between gross alpha concentrations measured at Boundary and Distant locations were made on a weekly basis. The Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare the Boundary and Distant data because it is the most powerful nonparametric alternative to the t-test for independent samples. INL Site sample results were not included in this analysis because the onsite data, collected at only three locations, are not representative of the entire INL Site and would not aid in determining offsite impacts. In the first quarter, there were no weeks where a statistical difference existed between the two sample groups (Table D-2).

Gross beta results are presented in Table C-1. The data were tested and found to be neither normally nor log-normally distributed. Box and whiskers plots were used for presentation of the data. Outliers and extreme values were retained in subsequent statistical analyses because they are within the range of measurements made in the past five years, and because these values could not be attributed to mistakes in collection, analysis, or reporting procedures. No statistical differences were noted in the quarterly data using the Kruskal-Wallace test (Table D-1).

Comparisons of gross beta concentrations were made for each month of the quarter. Statistical data are presented in Table D-1. No statistical differences were noted during any month.

Comparison of weekly Boundary and Distant gross beta data sets, using the Mann Whitney U test, showed statistical differences between Boundary and Distant measurements during two weeks (February 16 and March 2) during the quarter (Table D-1). During both of these weeks, the Boundary group was higher than the Distant Group. Analysis of individual

Air Sampling

location data for these weeks shows a pattern typically found during winter months where some of the northern Boundary locations (Howe, Monteview, and Mud Lake) occasionally have slightly higher gross beta concentrations than some of the other stations. In particular, the Jackson location was particularly low for both of these weeks compared to the other locations. All data were within normal ranges.

Fallout from the Fukushima nuclear accident in Japan was first reported in the western United States about the middle of March by the EPA RadNet program. To monitor impacts in eastern Idaho, selected weekly filters were analyzed by gamma spectrometry during the last three weeks of March. Radionuclides that were detected are shown in Figure 3. During the week of March 16, only naturally-occurring Beryllium-7 was reported. The following week, five manmade radionuclides were found in addition to the <sup>7</sup>Be. By the following week, only two of these were still present.

lodine-131 was not reported above the detection level on any charcoal cartridge batches analyzed until the period of fallout from the Fukushima accident. Iodine-131 was detected in all cartridges measured during the weeks of March 23 and March 30. Concentrations found during these two weeks are presented in Figures 4 and 5. Weekly <sup>131</sup>I results for each location are also listed in Table C-2 of Appendix C. The highest measured concentration was approximately 0.1 percent of the DOE Derived Concentration Guide for <sup>131</sup>I.

Weekly filters for the first quarter of 2011 were composited by location. All samples were analyzed for gamma-emitting radionuclides, including <sup>137</sup>Cs, and for <sup>90</sup>Sr and <sup>241</sup>Am. Results are reported in Table C-3, Appendix C. No <sup>90</sup>Sr was found on any of the composites. The <sup>241</sup>Am results were considered invalid due to laboratory issues. All of the composites had measurable <sup>137</sup>Cs from the Fukushima accident. All but one composite also had measurable Cesium-134.

Selected composites were also analyzed for <sup>238</sup>Pu, <sup>239/240</sup>Pu, and <sup>241</sup>Am. Results are not available at this time.

#### ATMOSPHERIC MOISTURE SAMPLING

Results were available for nine atmospheric moisture samples collected during the first quarter of 2011 from Atomic City, Blackfoot, Idaho Falls, and Rexburg. Atmospheric moisture is collected by pulling air through a column of absorbent material (molecular sieve material) to absorb water vapor. The water is then extracted from the absorbent material by heat distillation. The resulting water samples are then analyzed for tritium using liquid scintillation.

Six of the nine samples exceeded the 3s uncertainty level for tritium, with similar results to those reported previously. All samples were significantly below the DOE DCG for tritium in air of 1  $\times$  10 $^{-7}$   $\mu$ Ci/mLair with a maximum reported value of 8.44 x 10 $^{-13}$   $\mu$ Ci/mLair at Rexburg. All results are shown in Table C-4, Appendix C.

Environmental Surveillance, Education and Research Program
Figure 3. Gamma-emitting radionuclides detected in air filters.
ngure o. Gamma chinting radionacio acteolea in an inters.

Air Sampling




Figure 4. lodine-131 concentrations in charcoal cartridges during the week of March 23.




Figure 5. lodine-131 concentrations in charcoal cartridges during the week of March 30.

## 4. PRECIPITATION AND WATER SAMPLING

#### PRECIPITATION SAMPLING

Precipitation samples are gathered when sufficient precipitation occurs to allow for the collection of the minimum sample volume of approximately 50 mL. Samples are taken of monthly composites from Idaho Falls and CFA, and weekly from the EFS. Precipitation samples are analyzed for tritium. Storm events in the first quarter of 2011 produced sufficient precipitation to yield 13; results were available for 11 of the samples.

Tritium was measured above the 3s values in 2 of the 11 samples for which results were available. Low levels of tritium exist in the environment at all times as a result of cosmic ray reactions with water molecules in the upper atmosphere. The EPA's RadNet program collects precipitation samples from across the United States. From 1980 to 2008, tritium measured in samples from Region 10 (which includes Idaho) averaged 117 pCi/L (EPA 2009). Data for all first quarter precipitation samples collected by the ESER Program were below this value (averaging about 65 pCi/L) and are listed in Table C-5 (Appendix C).

Two non-routine precipitation samples were collected from the Idaho Falls location to monitor for fallout from Japan's Fukushima nuclear accident. The first samples covered a period from March 14 to March 22 and the second covered the period from March 22 to March 28. Iodine131 was measured in the first samples at 74 pCi/L and in the second at 275 pCi/L. In addition, cesium-137 was detected in both samples at 17 pCi/L and 72 pCi/L, respectively. These values are consistent with those reported by EPA's RadNet across the western United States.

#### WATER SAMPLING

Results were available for drinking and surface water samples collected during the fourth quarter of 2010 in conjunction with the state of Idaho's INL Oversight Program. Drinking water was collected at Minidoka, Mud Lake and Shoshone (plus a duplicate); surface water was collected from springs near Twin Falls, Buhl, and Hagerman. All samples were analyzed for gross alpha, gross beta, and tritium. Results are listed in Table C-6 of Appendix C.

Tritium was not detected in any sample. Gross alpha was detected in all of the samples and gross beta was detected in two of the drinking water samples and two of the surface water samples. Gross beta activity was found in all of the samples. It is not unusual to detect these constituents in water of the Snake River Plain, related to natural production from the basalts that make up the aquifer. In 2006, the last year in which the ESER Program sampled these locations, gross alpha concentrations ranged up to 3.77 pCi/L and gross beta concentrations ranged up to 8.82 pCi/L, similar to those values found in the fourth quarter of 2010.



# 5. AGRICULTURAL PRODUCT, WILDLIFE, AND SOIL SAMPLING

Another potential pathway for contaminants to reach humans is through the food chain. The ESER Program samples multiple agricultural products and game animals from around the INL Site and Southeast Idaho. Specifically, milk, grain, potatoes, lettuce, large game animals, and waterfowl are sampled. Milk is sampled throughout the year and large game animals are sampled whenever large game animals are killed onsite from vehicle collisions. Lettuce and grain are sampled during the third quarter, while potatoes are collected during the fourth quarter. Waterfowl are collected in either the third or fourth quarter. See Table A-1, Appendix A, for more details on agricultural product and wildlife sampling. This section discusses results from milk, grain, soil, and large game animal samples collected during the first quarter of 2011.

#### MILK SAMPLING

Milk samples were collected weekly in Idaho Falls. Monthly samples were collected at six other locations around the INL Site (Figure 5) during the first quarter of 2011. In addition, commercially-available organic milk was purchased as a control sample. All samples were analyzed for gamma emitting radionuclides.

lodine-131 was detected in one weekly sample collected on March 22 during the period when eastern Idaho received fallout from the Fukushima nuclear accident. The measured concentration of 5.1 pCi/L was just above the detection level but a recount of the sample and analysis of a split sample confirmed the presence of iodine-131. DOE does not have a derived concentration guide for milk but for comparison the Food and Drug Administration's Limit for Public Health is 3,700 pCi/L.

Data for <sup>131</sup>I and <sup>137</sup>Cs in milk samples are listed in Appendix C, Table C-7.




Figure 5. ESER milk sampling locations.

#### LARGE GAME ANIMAL SAMPLING

One elk was sampled during the first quarter. Cesium-137 was detected in the muscle sample at a level just above the detection limit. The reported value of 4.17 pCi/kg is at the low end of the background range of concentrations (4 to 12 pCi/kg allowing for decay of <sup>137</sup>Cs) found in a 1999 study on tissue samples from game animals across the western United States. No manmade radionuclides were detected in the thyroid. Results are presented in Appendix C, Table C-8.

# 6. QUALITY ASSURANCE

The ESER Quality Assurance Program consists of five ongoing tasks which measure:

- 1. method uncertainty
- 2. data completeness
- 3. data accuracy, using spike, performance evaluation and laboratory control samples
- 4. data precision, using split samples, duplicate samples and recounts
- 5. presence of contamination in samples, using blanks.

Data completeness for sample collection and delivery was 100 percent during the first quarter, with the following exceptions.

There were two air samples that had volumes below the 7,000 ft<sup>3</sup> or 200 m<sup>3</sup> threshold listed in the air sampling procedure as being a valid sample. Both were due to faulty pumps.

Two milk samples were not collected in the first quarter. The goat dairy in Blackfoot was not operating in January and February.

Sample results are compared to criteria described in the Quality Assurance Project Plan for the INL Site Offsite Environmental Surveillance Program (GSS 2011). The following table summarizes the results of the quality assurance program for the first quarter of 2011.

QA Sample Type	Number of Sample Results	Number of Results Meeting Criteria	Percentage Meeting Criteria
Spikes/Laboratory Control Samples	176	176	100.0
Field Duplicates	61	58	95.1
Laboratory Splits	29	28	96.6
Recounts	163	163	100.0
Blanks	69	57	982.6
Method Uncertainty	1303	1275	97.8

# 7. REFERENCES

- Bartholomay, R.C., Knobel, L.L., and Rousseau, J.P., 2003, Field Methods and Quality Plan for Quality-of-Water Activities, U.S. Geological Survey, Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory, Idaho, DOE/ID-22182, January 2003.
- Currie, L.A., 1984, Lower Limit of Detection: Definition and Elaboration of a Proposed Position for Radiological Effluent and Environmental Measurements, NUREG/CR-4007, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C., September 1984.
- DOE, 2003, "Environmental Management System," U.S. Department of Energy Order 450.1, January 2003.
- DOE, 1993, "Radiation Protection of the Public and the Environment," U.S. Department of Energy Order 5400.5, January 1993.
- EPA, 2009, RadNet—Tracking Environmental Radiation Nationwide, Web-page: <a href="http://www.epa.gov/narel/radnet/">http://www.epa.gov/narel/radnet/</a>
- GSS, 2011, Quality Assurance Project Plan for the INL Site Offsite Environmental Surveillance Program, Environmental Surveillance, Education and Research Program, January 2011.

1st Quarter 2011 7-1 July 2011

# APPENDIX A SUMMARY OF SAMPLING SCHEDULE

 Table A-1.
 Summary of the ESER Program's Sampling Schedule

Sample Type	Collection	LOCATIONS			
Analysis	Frequency	Distant	Boundary	INL Site	
AIR SAMPLING					
LOW-VOLUME AIF	?				
Gross Alpha, Gross Beta, <sup>131</sup> I	weekly	Blackfoot, Craters of the Moon, Dubois, Idaho Falls, Jackson WY, Rexburg	Arco, Atomic City, FAA Tower, Howe, Monteview, Mud Lake, Blue Dome	Main Gate, EFS, Van Buren	
Gamma Spec	quarterly	Blackfoot, Craters of the Moon, Dubois, Idaho Falls, Jackson WY, Rexburg	Arco, Atomic City, FAA Tower, Howe, Monteview, Mud Lake, Blue Dome	Main Gate, EFS, Van Buren	
<sup>90</sup> Sr, Transuranics	quarterly	Rotating schedule	Rotating schedule	Rotating schedule	
ATMOSPHERIC M	OISTURE				
Tritium	2 to 13 weeks	Blackfoot, Idaho Falls, Rexburg	Atomic City	None	
PRECIPITATION					
Tritium	monthly	Idaho Falls	None	CFA	
Tritium	weekly	None	None	EFS	
ENVIRONMENTA	AL RADIATIO	N SAMPLING			
TLDs					
Gamma Radiation	semiannual	Aberdeen, Blackfoot (2), Craters of the Moon, Dubois, Idaho Falls, Jackson WY, Minidoka, Rexburg, Roberts	Arco, Atomic City, Birch Creek, Blue Dome, Howe, Monteview, Mud Lake	None	
SOIL SAMPLING					
SOIL					
Gamma Spec, <sup>90</sup> Sr, Transuranics	biennially	Carey, Crystal Ice Caves (Aberdeen), Blackfoot, St. Anthony	Butte City, Monteview, Atomic City, FAA Tower, Howe, Mud Lake (2), Birch Creek, Frenchman's Cabin	None	

Table A-1. Summary of the ESER Program's Sampling Schedule (continued)

Sample Type	Callagtian		LOCATIONS				
Analysis	Collection Frequency	Distant	Boundary	INL Site			
FOODSTUFF SA	FOODSTUFF SAMPLING						
MILK							
Gamma Spec ( <sup>131</sup> I)	weekly	Idaho Falls	None	None			
Gamma Spec ( <sup>131</sup> I)	monthly	Blackfoot, Dietrich, Fort Hall, Idaho Falls, Minidoka	Howe, Terreton	None			
Tritium, <sup>90</sup> Sr	Semi-annually	Blackfoot, Dietrich, Fort Hall, Idaho Falls, Minidoka	Howe, Terreton	None			
POTATOES							
Gamma Spec, <sup>90</sup> Sr	annually	Blackfoot, Idaho Falls, Rupert, Shelley, occasional samples across the U.S.	Arco, Monteview, Mud Lake, Terreton	None			
GRAIN							
Gamma Spec, <sup>90</sup> Sr	annually	American Falls, Blackfoot, Carey Idaho Falls, Minidoka, Roberts	Arco, Monteview, Mud Lake, Taber, Terreton	None			
LETTUCE							
Gamma Spec, <sup>90</sup> Sr	annually	Blackfoot, Carey, Idaho Falls	Arco, Atomic City, FAA Tower, Howe, Monteview	EFS			
BIG GAME							
Gamma Spec	varies	Occasional samples across the U.S.	Public Highways	INL Site roads			
WATERFOWL							
Gamma Spec, <sup>90</sup> Sr, Transuranics	annually	Varies among: Heise, Firth, Fort Hall, Mud Lake, Market Lake, and American Falls	None	INL Site wastewater disposal ponds			

# APPENDIX B SUMMARY OF MDCs AND DCGs

Table B-1. Summary of Approximate Minimum Detectable Concentrations for Radiological Analyses Performed During First Quarter 2011

Sample Type	Analysis	Approximate Minimum Detectable Concentration <sup>a</sup> (MDC)	Derived Concentration Guide <sup>b</sup> (DCG)
	Gross alpha <sup>c</sup>	4.48 x 10 <sup>-16</sup> μCi/mL	2 x 10 <sup>-14</sup> μCi/mL
	Gross beta <sup>d</sup>	1.14 x 10 <sup>-15</sup> μCi/mL	3 x 10 <sup>-12</sup> μCi/mL
A in	Specific gamma (137Cs)	1.69 x 10 <sup>-16</sup> μCi/mL	4 x 10 <sup>-10</sup> μCi/mL
<b>Air</b> (particulate filter) <sup>e</sup>	<sup>238</sup> Pu	Not Available	3 x 10 <sup>-14</sup> μCi/mL
, ,	<sup>239/240</sup> Pu	Not Available	2 x 10 <sup>-14</sup> μCi/mL
	<sup>241</sup> Am	Not Available	2 x 10 <sup>-14</sup> μCi/mL
	<sup>90</sup> Sr	3.06 x 10 <sup>-17</sup> μCi/mL	9 x 10 <sup>-12</sup> μCi/mL
Air (charcoal cartridge) <sup>e</sup>	<sup>131</sup>	1.32 x 10 <sup>-15</sup> μCi/mL	4 x 10 <sup>-10</sup> μCi/mL
Air (atmospheric moisture)	<sup>3</sup> H	65.4 pCi/L <sub>water</sub>	1 x 10 <sup>-7</sup> µCi/mL <sub>air</sub>
Air (precipitation)	<sup>3</sup> H	70.2 pCi/L	2 x 10 <sup>-3</sup> μCi/mL
	<sup>131</sup>	0.63 pCi/L	
Milk	<sup>137</sup> Cs	1.17 pCi/L	
	<sup>90</sup> Sr	1.4 pCi/kg	

The MDC is an estimate of the concentration of radioactivity in a given sample type that can be identified with a 95 percent level of confidence and precision of plus or minus 100 percent under a specified set of typical laboratory measurement conditions.

b DCGs, set by the DOE, represent reference values for radiation exposure. They are based on a radiation dose of 100 mrem/yr for exposure through a particular exposure mode such as direct exposure, inhalation, or ingestion of water.

c The DCG for gross alpha is equivalent to the DCGs for  $^{239,240}$ Pu and  $^{241}$ Am.

d The DCG for gross beta is equivalent to the DCGs for <sup>228</sup>Ra

e The approximate MDC is based on an average filtered air volume (pressure corrected) of 445 m³/week.

# APPENDIX C SAMPLE ANALYSIS RESULTS

TABLE C-1. Weekly Gross Alpha and Gross Beta Concentrations in Air

					<b>GROSS ALPHA</b>							GROSS BETA			
Sampling Group	Sampling	Result	± 1s Und	certainty	Result :	£ 1s Und	certainty		Result ±	1s Unc	ertainty			certainty	
and Location BOUNDARY	Date	(x 1	10 <sup>-15</sup> μCi	/mL)	(x 1	0 <sup>-11</sup> Bq/	mL)	Result > 3s	(x 1	0 <sup>-15</sup> μCi/	mL)	(x 1	) <sup>-11</sup> Bq/	mL)	Result > 3s
ARCO	1/5/2011	0.74	±	0.18	2.72	±	0.68	Υ	43.80	±	0.89	162.06	±	3.31	Υ
	1/12/2011	1.55	±	0.25	5.74	±	0.92	Υ	64.00	±	1.06	236.80	±	3.92	Υ
	1/19/2011	1.23	±	0.19	4.55	±	0.70	Υ	27.10	±	0.75	100.27	±	2.76	Υ
	1/26/2011	0.47	±	0.14	1.75	±	0.53	Υ	17.90	±	0.59	66.23	±	2.17	Υ
	2/2/2011	0.93	±	0.19	3.43	±	0.71	Υ	30.10	±	0.82	111.37	±	3.05	Υ
	2/9/2011	0.85	±	0.22	3.14	±	0.82	Υ	36.00	±	0.84	133.20	±	3.10	Υ
	2/16/2011	1.16	±	0.21	4.29	±	0.79	Υ	36.00	±	0.86	133.20	±	3.18	Υ
	2/23/2011	0.66	±	0.14	2.45	±	0.52	Υ	11.10	±	0.42	41.07	±	1.55	Υ
	3/2/2011	0.64	±	0.14	2.35	±	0.53	Υ	23.30	±	0.64	86.21	±	2.36	Υ
	3/9/2011	0.64	±	0.16	2.35	±	0.60	Υ	18.60	±	0.60	68.82	±	2.22	Υ
	3/16/2011	0.98	±	0.16	3.61	±	0.61	Υ	18.90	±	0.61	69.93	±	2.26	Υ
	3/23/2011	1.20	±	0.19	4.44	±	0.68	Υ	61.60	±	1.01	227.92	±	3.74	Υ
	3/30/2011	0.89	±	0.15	3.30	±	0.56	Υ	34.00	±	0.62	125.80	±	2.29	Υ
ATOMIC CITY	1/5/2011	1.03	±	0.17	3.81	±	0.61	Υ	41.70	±	0.76	154.29	±	2.80	Y
	1/12/2011	0.81	±	0.18	3.01	±	0.66	Υ	57.20	±	0.87	211.64	±	3.23	Υ
	1/19/2011	0.39	±	0.10	1.43	±	0.37	Υ	21.30	±	0.52	78.81	±	1.91	Υ
	1/26/2011	0.36	±	0.12	1.31	±	0.45		18.70	±	0.54	69.19	±	2.01	Υ
	2/2/2011	0.71	±	0.14	2.64	±	0.50	Υ	28.80	±	0.64	106.56	±	2.37	Υ
	2/9/2011	1.05	±	0.19	3.89	±	0.69	Υ	33.70	±	0.69	124.69	±	2.56	Υ
	2/16/2011	1.07	±	0.16	3.96	±	0.58	Υ	37.50	±	0.69	138.75	±	2.55	Υ
	2/23/2011	0.73	±	0.13	2.71	±	0.48	Υ	8.56	±	0.35	31.67	±	1.31	Υ
	3/2/2011	0.56	±	0.13	2.06	±	0.47	Υ	21.90	±	0.59	81.03	±	2.18	Υ
	3/9/2011	0.18	±	0.12	0.68	±	0.43		13.70	±	0.48	50.69	±	1.76	Υ
	3/16/2011	0.71	±	0.12	2.63	±	0.44	Υ	15.90	±	0.46	58.83	±	1.71	Υ
	3/23/2011	0.91	±	0.15	3.37	±	0.56	Υ	56.30	±	0.87	208.31	±	3.22	Υ
	3/30/2011	0.95	±	0.14	3.50	±	0.52	Υ	28.80	±	0.54	106.56	±	1.99	Υ
BLUE DOME	1/5/2011	0.81	±	0.15	3.00	±	0.54	Υ	31.00	±	0.64	114.70	±	2.38	Y
	1/12/2011	0.73	±	0.17	2.69	±	0.64	Υ	40.00	±	0.75	148.00	±	2.77	Υ
	1/19/2011	0.39	±	0.10	1.45	±	0.38	Υ	19.60	±	0.52	72.52	±	1.91	Υ
	1/26/2011	0.92	±	0.14	3.41	±	0.51	Υ	16.90	±	0.48	62.53	±	1.79	Υ
	2/2/2011	0.57	±	0.15	2.10	±	0.56	Υ	24.00	±	0.69	88.80	±	2.54	Υ
	2/9/2011	0.28	±	0.16	1.04	±	0.58		25.80	±	0.64	95.46	±	2.36	Υ
	2/16/2011	1.08	±	0.18	4.00	±	0.68	Υ	32.70	±	0.74	120.99	±	2.73	Υ
	2/23/2011	0.52	±	0.17	1.92	±	0.63	Υ	14.60	±	0.57	54.02	±	2.12	Υ
	3/2/2011	0.55	±	0.14	2.04	±	0.53	Υ	23.30	±	0.66	86.21	±	2.43	Υ
	3/9/2011	0.27	±	0.13	1.01	±	0.50		19.40	±	0.58	71.78	±	2.15	Υ
	3/16/2011	0.80	±	0.14	2.96	±	0.51	Υ	16.10	±	0.52	59.57	±	1.93	Υ
	3/23/2011	1.21	±	0.17	4.48	±	0.63	Υ	58.40	±	0.92	216.08	±	3.40	Υ
	3/30/2011	0.68	±	0.14	2.51	±	0.53	Υ	24.40	±	0.55	90.28	±	2.02	Υ
FAA TOWER	1/5/2011	0.76	±	0.19	2.82	±	0.68	Υ	32.10	±	0.80	118.77	±	2.96	Y
	1/12/2011	1.09	±	0.20	4.03	±	0.72	Υ	49.10	±	0.84	181.67	±	3.09	Υ
	1/19/2011	0.71	±	0.13	2.61	±	0.46	Υ	17.50	±	0.51	64.75	±	1.89	Υ
	1/26/2011	0.52	±	0.12	1.94	±	0.45	Y	17.30	±	0.50	64.01	±	1.84	Y
	2/2/2011	0.85	±	0.16	3.15	±	0.60	Y	27.30	±	0.70	101.01	±	2.58	Y
	2/9/2011	0.32	±	0.17	1.18	±	0.62		30.30	±	0.70	112.11	±	2.58	Y
	2/16/2011	0.89	±	0.17	3.29	±	0.63	Υ	30.60	±	0.70	113.22	±	2.60	Y
	2/23/2011	0.32	±	0.10	1.18	±	0.38	Y	9.34	±	0.35	34.56	±	1.28	Y
	3/2/2011	0.66	±	0.13	2.45	±	0.46	Ϋ́	20.90	±	0.54	77.33	±	2.01	Y
	3/9/2011	0.26	±	0.12	0.95	±	0.43		7.94	±	0.40	29.38	±	1.47	Y
	3/16/2011	0.37	±	0.10	1.35	±	0.38	Υ	13.70	±	0.47	50.69	±	1.73	Y
	3/23/2011	1.29	±	0.17	4.77	±	0.63	Y	43.50	±	0.81	160.95	±	2.98	Ϋ́
		0.74	±	0.15	2.74	±	0.54	Y	31.10	±	0.60	115.07	±	2.23	Ϋ́
	3/30/2011														
HOWE	3/30/2011 1/5/2011	1.21	±	0.18	4.48	±	0.65	Y Y	44.30	±	0.79	163.91	±	2.91	Y

TABLE C-1. Weekly Gross Alpha and Gross Beta Concentrations in Air

	_				GROSS ALPHA							GROSS BETA			
Sampling Group	Sampling			certainty	Result ±	: 1s Und	certainty		Result ±	1s Unc	ertainty			certainty	
and Location	Date		10 <sup>-15</sup> μCi	,		0 <sup>-11</sup> Bq/		Result > 3s		) <sup>-15</sup> µCi/		•	) <sup>-11</sup> Bq/		Result > 3s
	1/19/2011	0.79	±	0.13	2.91	±	0.46	Υ	23.00	±	0.54	85.10	±	2.01	Y
	1/26/2011	0.21	±	0.11	0.76	±	0.41	.,	19.40	±	0.55	71.78	±	2.04	Y
	2/2/2011	0.50	±	0.12	1.85	±	0.45	Y	23.90	±	0.59	88.43	±	2.17	Y
	2/9/2011	0.51	±	0.16	1.90	±	0.59	Y	29.30	±	0.65	108.41	±	2.39	Y
	2/16/2011	1.13	±	0.17	4.18	±	0.62	Y	41.10	±	0.74	152.07	±	2.74	Y
	2/23/2011	0.46	±	0.14	1.68	±	0.52	Y	14.30	±	0.49	52.91	±	1.83	Y
	3/2/2011	0.90	±	0.13	3.32	±	0.48	Y	25.60	±	0.55	94.72	±	2.05	Y
	3/9/2011	0.56	±	0.14	2.09	±	0.53	Y	19.40	±	0.56	71.78	±	2.08	Y
	3/16/2011	0.84	±	0.13	3.10	±	0.47	Y	17.50	±	0.49	64.75	±	1.81	Y
	3/23/2011	0.87	±	0.17	3.23	±	0.62	Y	66.40	±	1.03	245.68	±	3.81	Y
MONTEVIEW	3/30/2011	0.79	±	0.14	2.94	±	0.50	Y	30.50	±	0.55	112.85	±	2.05	<u>Y</u>
MONTEVIEW	1/5/2011	0.96	±	0.17	3.56	±	0.62	Y	41.30	±	0.78	152.81	±	2.87	Y
	1/12/2011	1.99	±	0.24	7.36	±	0.88	Y	81.20	±	1.07	300.44	±	3.96	Y
	1/19/2011	1.08	±	0.16	4.00	±	0.57	Y	27.10	±	0.65	100.27	±	2.39	Y
	1/26/2011	0.78	±	0.17	2.89	±	0.64	Y	22.20	±	0.67	82.14	±	2.49	Y
	2/2/2011	1.07	±	0.18	3.96	±	0.68	Y	32.30	±	0.79	119.51	±	2.92	Y
	2/9/2011	1.31	±	0.27	4.85	±	0.99	Y	39.70	±	0.95	146.89	±	3.50	Y
	2/16/2011	0.95	±	0.20	3.52	±	0.74	Y	40.30	±	0.88	149.11	±	3.27	Y
	2/23/2011	0.93	±	0.15	3.43	±	0.55	Y	15.80	±	0.46	58.46	±	1.70	Y
	3/2/2011	1.09	±	0.15	4.03	±	0.56	Υ	25.80	±	0.61	95.46	±	2.25	Y
	3/9/2011	0.23	±	0.13	0.84	±	0.49		21.80	±	0.61	80.66	±	2.24	Υ
	3/16/2011	0.60	±	0.16	2.21	±	0.58	Υ	15.60	±	0.63	57.72	±	2.34	Υ
	3/23/2011	1.76	±	0.20	6.51	±	0.75	Υ	55.50	±	0.94	205.35	±	3.46	Υ
	3/30/2011	0.80	±	0.18	2.97	±	0.66	Υ	34.40	±	0.72	127.28	±	2.68	Y
MUD LAKE	1/5/2011	1.04	±	0.16	3.85	±	0.61	Υ	41.90	±	0.75	155.03	±	2.78	Υ
	1/12/2011	1.91	±	0.25	7.07	±	0.91	Υ	70.80	±	1.05	261.96	±	3.89	Υ
	1/19/2011	1.27	±	0.16	4.70	±	0.60	Υ	29.40	±	0.65	108.78	±	2.41	Υ
	1/26/2011	0.86	±	0.15	3.19	±	0.56	Υ	26.00	±	0.62	96.20	±	2.29	Υ
	2/2/2011	1.10	±	0.15	4.07	±	0.57	Y	30.60	±	0.65	113.22	±	2.41	Υ
	2/9/2011	1.11	±	0.21	4.11	±	0.78	Y	44.90	±	0.85	166.13	±	3.15	Y
	2/16/2011	1.38	±	0.19	5.11	±	0.71	Y	46.50	±	0.84	172.05	±	3.09	Y
	2/23/2011	0.86	±	0.14	3.19	±	0.53	Y	16.20	±	0.46	59.94	±	1.70	Y
	3/2/2011	0.61	±	0.13	2.26	±	0.47	Υ	25.10	±	0.59	92.87	±	2.20	Υ
	3/9/2011	0.52	±	0.14	1.92	±	0.52	Υ	19.60	±	0.56	72.52	±	2.08	Υ
	3/16/2011	0.77	±	0.13	2.85	±	0.48	Υ	16.30	±	0.50	60.31	±	1.86	Υ
	3/23/2011	2.09	±	0.20	7.73	±	0.75	Y	61.90	±	0.92	229.03	±	3.40	Y
DIOTALIT	3/30/2011	0.75	±	0.14	2.79	±	0.53	Υ	38.40	±	0.63	142.08	±	2.35	Y
DISTANT	4/5/0044	4.00		2.24			0.07		45.00		0.04	100.50		0.07	
BLACKFOOT CMS	1/5/2011	1.88	±	0.24	6.96	±	0.87	Y	45.00	±	0.91	166.50	±	3.37	Y
	1/12/2011	2.17	±	0.29	8.03	±	1.06	Y	69.50	±	1.14	257.15	±	4.22	Y
	1/19/2011	0.51	±	0.12	1.88	±	0.46	Y	18.50	±	0.56	68.45	±	2.08	Y
	1/26/2011	0.52	±	0.15	1.94	±	0.54	Y	21.50	±	0.62	79.55	±	2.30	Y
	2/2/2011	1.12	±	0.17	4.14	±	0.64	Y	29.70	±	0.71	109.89	±	2.63	Y
	2/9/2011	1.49	±	0.23	5.51	±	0.87	Y	41.90	±	0.85	155.03	±	3.14	Y
	2/16/2011	1.39	±	0.20	5.14	±	0.74	Y	36.50	±	0.78	135.05	±	2.90	Y
	2/23/2011	0.68	±	0.13	2.52	±	0.49	Y	12.30	±	0.41	45.51	±	1.53	Y
	3/2/2011	0.73	±	0.14	2.71	±	0.51	Y	18.60	±	0.55	68.82	±	2.04	Y
	3/9/2011	0.74	±	0.16	2.75	±	0.58	Y	13.67	±	0.51	50.59	±	1.89	Y
	3/16/2011	0.71	±	0.14	2.63	±	0.50	Y	16.20	±	0.53	59.94	±	1.96	Y
	3/23/2011	1.21	±	0.17	4.48	±	0.64	Y	61.90	±	0.95	229.03	±	3.50	Y
	3/30/2011	0.75	±	0.15	2.76	±	0.56	Y	37.90	±	0.66	140.23	±	2.45	Y
0047500.05					3.40	±	0.67	Υ	31.60	±	0.75	116.92	±	270	Υ
CRATERS OF	1/5/2011	0.92	±	0.18										2.78	
CRATERS OF THE MOON	1/5/2011 1/12/2011	1.07	±	0.21	3.96	±	0.77	Ϋ́	43.80	±	0.84	162.06	±	3.12	Y
	1/5/2011 1/12/2011 1/19/2011	1.07 0.28	± ±	0.21 0.12	3.96 1.04	± ±	0.77 0.44		43.80 16.00	± ±	0.84 0.58	162.06 59.20	± ±	3.12 2.15	Υ
	1/5/2011 1/12/2011	1.07	±	0.21	3.96	±	0.77	Y Y Y	43.80	±	0.84	162.06	±	3.12	

TABLE C-1. Weekly Gross Alpha and Gross Beta Concentrations in Air

					GROSS ALPHA							GROSS BETA			
Sampling Group and Location	Sampling Date		± 1s Un 10 <sup>-15</sup> µCi	certainty /mL)		± 1s Un I0 <sup>-11</sup> Bq	certainty /mL)	Result > 3s		: 1s Und 0 <sup>-15</sup> µCi/	ertainty mL)		: 1s Un 0 <sup>-11</sup> Bq	certainty /mL)	Result > 3s
	2/9/2011	0.51	±	0.19	1.88	±	0.69		26.40	±	0.69	97.68	±	2.56	Υ
	2/16/2011	1.18	±	0.20	4.37	±	0.75	Υ	28.60	±	0.76	105.82	±	2.80	Υ
	2/23/2011	0.48	±	0.13	1.78	±	0.47	Υ	8.88	±	0.38	32.86	±	1.41	Υ
	3/2/2011	0.56	±	0.14	2.06	±	0.51	Υ	20.50	±	0.62	75.85	±	2.29	Υ
	3/9/2011	0.37	±	0.15	1.35	±	0.56		13.00	±	0.55	48.10	±	2.02	Υ
	3/16/2011	0.53	±	0.14	1.94	±	0.51	Υ	13.80	±	0.56	51.06	±	2.07	Υ
	3/23/2011	1.06	±	0.17	3.92	±	0.64	Υ	49.00	±	0.90	181.30	±	3.33	Υ
	3/30/2011	0.65	±	0.16	2.40	±	0.57	Υ	29.90	±	0.64	110.63	±	2.36	Υ
DUBOIS	1/5/2011	0.94	±	0.17	3.46	±	0.64	Υ	35.50	±	0.76	131.35	±	2.80	Y
	1/12/2011	1.28	±	0.23	4.74	±	0.85	Υ	49.30	±	0.93	182.41	±	3.42	Υ
	1/19/2011	1.00	±	0.16	3.70	±	0.60	Υ	25.40	±	0.67	93.98	±	2.47	Υ
	1/26/2011	0.51	±	0.15	1.87	±	0.56	Υ	21.60	±	0.65	79.92	±	2.39	Υ
	2/2/2011	1.05	±	0.16	3.89	±	0.58	Υ	28.70	±	0.66	106.19	±	2.45	Υ
	2/9/2011	0.68	±	0.18	2.50	±	0.68	Υ	32.30	±	0.72	119.51	±	2.66	Υ
	2/16/2011	0.99	±	0.18	3.64	±	0.65	Υ	28.40	±	0.69	105.08	±	2.54	Υ
	2/23/2011	0.56	±	0.21	2.08	±	0.77		9.99	±	0.60	36.96	±	2.22	Υ
	3/2/2011	0.88	±	0.15	3.27	±	0.57	Υ	22.80	±	0.62	84.36	±	2.31	Υ
	3/9/2011	0.52	±	0.15	1.93	±	0.57	Υ	17.60	±	0.58	65.12	±	2.14	Υ
	3/16/2011	1.14	±	0.16	4.22	±	0.61	Υ	14.10	±	0.53	52.17	±	1.95	Υ
	3/23/2011	2.41	±	0.23	8.92	±	0.85	Υ	71.90	±	1.05	266.03	±	3.89	Υ
	3/30/2011	0.92	±	0.18	3.40	±	0.65	Υ	50.20	±	0.80	185.74	±	2.95	Y
QA-2	1/5/2011	0.97	±	0.18	3.57	±	0.65	Υ	29.70	±	0.71	109.89	±	2.63	Υ
(DUBOIS)	1/12/2011	1.05	±	0.22	3.89	±	0.81	Υ	47.50	±	0.91	175.75	±	3.35	Υ
	1/19/2011	0.76	±	0.15	2.82	±	0.55	Υ	25.20	±	0.66	93.24	±	2.45	Υ
	1/26/2011	0.84	±	0.16	3.12	±	0.61	Υ	22.60	±	0.64	83.62	±	2.36	Υ
	2/2/2011	1.21	±	0.17	4.48	±	0.63	Υ	28.20	±	0.67	104.34	±	2.47	Υ
	2/9/2011	0.70	±	0.18	2.60	±	0.68	Υ	33.90	±	0.73	125.43	±	2.70	Υ
	2/16/2011	0.93	±	0.17	3.46	±	0.64	Υ	28.80	±	0.70	106.56	±	2.58	Υ
	2/23/2011	0.52	±	0.20	1.93	±	0.74		11.70	±	0.61	43.29	±	2.27	Υ
	3/2/2011	0.84	±	0.16	3.10	±	0.58	Υ	23.60	±	0.65	87.32	±	2.40	Υ
	3/9/2011	0.25	±	0.13	0.91	±	0.47		15.80	±	0.52	58.46	±	1.92	Υ
	3/16/2011	0.76	±	0.15	2.82	±	0.56	Υ	15.00	±	0.56	55.50	±	2.08	Υ
	3/23/2011	2.09	±	0.23	7.73	±	0.84	Υ	72.20	±	1.09	267.14	±	4.03	Υ
	3/30/2011	1.70	±	0.21	6.29	±	0.78	Υ	53.50	±	0.83	197.95	±	3.07	Υ
IDAHO FALLS	1/5/2011	0.97	±	0.19	3.59	±	0.69	Υ	39.00	±	0.83	144.30	±	3.07	Υ
	1/12/2011	2.07	±	0.29	7.66	±	1.07	Υ	70.60	±	1.17	261.22	±	4.33	Υ
	1/19/2011	0.66	±	0.16	2.43	±	0.60	Υ	20.40	±	0.69	75.48	±	2.57	Υ
	1/26/2011	1.01	±	0.23	3.74	±	0.84	Υ	24.50	±	0.83	90.65	±	3.08	Υ
a	2/2/2011	0.74	±	0.38	2.75	±	1.41		25.80	±	1.49	95.46	±	5.51	Υ
	2/9/2011	0.99	±	0.20	3.67	±	0.75	Υ	42.20	±	0.82	156.14	±	3.02	Υ
	2/16/2011	0.89	±	0.17	3.30	±	0.64	Υ	35.70	±	0.75	132.09	±	2.79	Υ
	2/23/2011	0.61	±	0.13	2.26	±	0.50	Υ	10.90	±	0.41	40.33	±	1.52	Υ
	3/2/2011	0.96	±	0.15	3.54	±	0.56	Υ	21.00	±	0.59	77.70	±	2.18	Υ
	3/9/2011	0.11	±	0.13	0.41	±	0.47		13.60	±	0.52	50.32	±	1.93	Υ
	3/16/2011	1.03	±	0.15	3.81	±	0.57	Υ	16.20	±	0.54	59.94	±	1.98	Υ
	3/23/2011	1.61	±	0.20	5.96	±	0.73	Υ	73.10	±	1.04	270.47	±	3.85	Υ
	3/30/2011	0.88	±	0.15	3.27	±	0.57	Y	40.10	±	0.67	148.37	±	2.47	Y
JACKSON	1/5/2011	1.01	±	0.19	3.74	±	0.71	Y	32.00	±	0.78	118.40	±	2.87	Y
	1/12/2011	0.65	±	0.17	2.42	±	0.62	Υ	28.50	±	0.65	105.45	±	2.39	Υ
	1/19/2011	0.21	±	0.09	0.76	±	0.33		7.67	±	0.39	28.38	±	1.43	Υ
	1/26/2011	0.50	±	0.13	1.84	±	0.47	Υ	15.70	±	0.50	58.09	±	1.86	Υ
	2/2/2011	0.88	±	0.15	3.25	±	0.54	Υ	26.90	±	0.63	99.53	±	2.33	Υ
	2/9/2011	1.26	±	0.18	4.66	±	0.68	Υ	26.30	±	0.60	97.31	±	2.22	Υ
	2/16/2011	0.99	±	0.16	3.67	±	0.57	Υ	21.80	±	0.56	80.66	±	2.08	Υ
		0.56	±	0.11	2.09	±	0.42	Υ	11.60		0.37	42.92	±	1.35	Υ
	2/23/2011	0.56		0.11	2.09	I	0.42	1	11.00	±	0.37	42.92	I	1.35	1

TABLE C-1. Weekly Gross Alpha and Gross Beta Concentrations in Air

	_				GROSS ALPHA							GROSS BETA			
Sampling Group	Sampling			certainty			certainty				certainty			certainty	
and Location	Date		0 <sup>-15</sup> μCi	-		10 <sup>-11</sup> Bq		Result > 3s	1	0 <sup>-15</sup> μCi			0 <sup>-11</sup> Bq	,	Result > 3s
	3/9/2011	0.55	±	0.13	2.05	±	0.48	Y	12.80	±	0.45	47.36	±	1.67	Y
	3/16/2011	1.02	±	0.15	3.77	±	0.55	Υ	16.10	±	0.52	59.57	±	1.91	Υ
	3/23/2011	1.57	±	0.18	5.81	±	0.66	Υ	68.30	±	0.93	252.71	±	3.45	Υ
	3/30/2011	0.76	±	0.14	2.80	±	0.53	Y	36.27	±	0.62	134.19	±	2.29	Y
REXBURG CMS	1/5/2011	1.11	±	0.20	4.11	±	0.73	Υ	39.90	±	0.85	147.63	±	3.13	Υ
	1/12/2011	1.85	±	0.25	6.85	±	0.93	Υ	47.60	±	0.91	176.12	±	3.38	Υ
	1/19/2011	0.95	±	0.15	3.52	±	0.55	Υ	17.70	±	0.55	65.49	±	2.03	Υ
	1/26/2011	1.02	±	0.18	3.77	±	0.65	Υ	22.50	±	0.65	83.25	±	2.40	Υ
	2/2/2011	1.04	±	0.17	3.85	±	0.64	Υ	29.60	±	0.73	109.52	±	2.68	Υ
	2/9/2011	1.36	±	0.22	5.03	±	0.83	Υ	36.60	±	0.79	135.42	±	2.93	Υ
	2/16/2011	1.28	±	0.19	4.74	±	0.70	Υ	30.70	±	0.72	113.59	±	2.65	Υ
	2/23/2011	1.04	±	0.15	3.85	±	0.55	Υ	10.70	±	0.39	39.59	±	1.43	Υ
	3/2/2011	1.05	±	0.15	3.89	±	0.57	Ϋ́	20.50	±	0.57	75.85	±	2.12	Y
	3/9/2011	0.86	±	0.16	3.19	±	0.58	Y	14.10	±	0.50	52.17	±	1.84	Y
	3/16/2011	1.05	±	0.17	3.89	±	0.61	Ϋ́	15.90	±	0.57	58.83	±	2.11	Ý
	3/23/2011	1.64	±	0.17	6.07	±	0.72	Ý	66.00	±	0.98	244.20	±	3.63	Ý
	3/30/2011	0.85	±	0.16	3.15	±	0.72	Ϋ́	36.50	±	0.67	135.05	±	2.46	Ý
INL SITE	3/30/2011	0.03	- 1	0.10	3.13	- 1	0.55	-	30.30	Ξ.	0.07	133.03	- 1	2.40	- '
EFS	1/5/2011	0.85	±	0.16	3.13	±	0.58	Υ	40.70	±	0.75	150.59	±	2.76	Y
LIO	1/12/2011	0.95	±	0.18	3.52	±	0.68	Ϋ́	65.00	±	0.73	240.50	±	3.40	Ý
		0.82		0.13	3.04		0.48	Ϋ́	26.70		0.52	98.79		2.16	Ý
	1/19/2011		±			±				±			±		Ϋ́
	1/26/2011	1.00	±	0.16	3.70	±	0.60	Y	22.30	±	0.61	82.51	±	2.24	Y
	2/2/2011	8.26	±	0.35	30.56	±	1.29	Y	32.90	±	0.67	121.73	±	2.48	•
	2/9/2011	0.96	±	0.17	3.54	±	0.64	Y	26.40	±	0.61	97.68	±	2.24	Y
	2/16/2011	1.06	±	0.17	3.92	±	0.62	Υ	36.30	±	0.72	134.31	±	2.66	Υ
	2/23/2011	0.20	±	0.09	0.74	±	0.33		9.29	±	0.33	34.37	±	1.24	Υ
	3/2/2011	0.42	±	0.11	1.54	±	0.40	Υ	22.00	±	0.54	81.40	±	2.01	Υ
	3/9/2011	0.33	±	0.12	1.22	±	0.43		8.96	±	0.40	33.15	±	1.47	Υ
	3/16/2011	0.52	±	0.11	1.91	±	0.41	Υ	15.10	±	0.47	55.87	±	1.75	Υ
	3/23/2011	1.09	±	0.15	4.03	±	0.56	Υ	48.80	±	0.79	180.56	±	2.91	Υ
	3/30/2011	0.72	±	0.13	2.66	±	0.49	Υ	27.40	±	0.53	101.38	±	1.98	Υ
MAIN GATE	1/5/2011	1.10	±	0.23	4.07	±	0.85	Υ	43.00	±	1.00	159.10	±	3.69	Y
	1/12/2011	1.73	±	0.25	6.40	±	0.94	Υ	69.50	±	1.09	257.15	±	4.03	Υ
	1/19/2011	1.13	±	0.16	4.18	±	0.61	Υ	25.60	±	0.65	94.72	±	2.41	Υ
	1/26/2011	0.37	±	0.13	1.35	±	0.48		16.50	±	0.55	61.05	±	2.02	Υ
	2/2/2011	0.66	±	0.16	2.44	±	0.58	Υ	29.20	±	0.74	108.04	±	2.74	Υ
	2/9/2011	0.91	±	0.22	3.35	±	0.83	Y	37.00	±	0.84	136.90	±	3.12	Y
	2/16/2011	1.97	±	0.24	7.29	±	0.88	Y	39.00	±	0.86	144.30	±	3.17	Ý
	2/23/2011	0.62	±	0.14	2.29	±	0.51	Ϋ́	10.60	±	0.41	39.22	±	1.53	Ÿ
	3/2/2011	0.53	±	0.14	1.96	±	0.51	Ϋ́	22.90	±	0.41	84.73	±	2.36	Ϋ́
	3/9/2011	0.33		0.14	1.44			ı	12.90		0.52	47.73	±	1.93	Ϋ́Υ
		0.39	±			±	0.54	Υ		±					Ϋ́Υ
	3/16/2011		±	0.15	3.46	±	0.56		17.40	±	0.56	64.38	±	2.07	
	3/23/2011	1.14	±	0.17	4.22	±	0.63	Y	46.90	±	0.86	173.53	±	3.19	Y
VAN DUDEN CATE	3/30/2011	0.78	±	0.16	2.89	±	0.59	Y Y	37.30	±	0.69	138.01	±	2.53	Y
VAN BUREN GATE	1/5/2011	0.90	±	0.18	3.34	±	0.65	•	39.60	±	0.80	146.52	±	2.97	
	1/12/2011	1.70	±	0.24	6.29	±	0.88	Y	61.90	±	0.99	229.03	±	3.66	Y
	1/19/2011	0.69	±	0.13	2.56	±	0.47	Y	22.60	±	0.57	83.62	±	2.10	Y
	1/26/2011	0.46	±	0.13	1.68	±	0.49	Υ	17.10	±	0.54	63.27	±	2.01	Υ
	2/2/2011	1.00	±	0.18	3.70	±	0.67	Υ	29.90	±	0.77	110.63	±	2.84	Υ
	2/9/2011	0.92	±	0.19	3.41	±	0.70	Υ	33.40	±	0.71	123.58	±	2.63	Υ
	2/16/2011	1.58	±	0.21	5.85	±	0.79	Υ	39.30	±	0.83	145.41	±	3.06	Υ
	2/23/2011	0.54	±	0.11	2.00	±	0.42	Υ	9.90	±	0.35	36.63	±	1.31	Υ
	3/2/2011	0.60	±	0.15	2.22	±	0.56	Υ	19.40	±	0.65	71.78	±	2.39	Υ
	3/9/2011	0.61	±	0.15	2.27	±	0.54	Ϋ́	14.60	±	0.51	54.02	±	1.88	Y
		0.56	±	0.12	2.05		0.45	Y	16.70		0.52	61.79	±		Ϋ́
	3/16/2011					±	() 45		1h /()	±				1.94	

TABLE C-1. Weekly Gross Alpha and Gross Beta Concentrations in Air

					GROSS ALPHA							GROSS BETA			
Sampling Group and Location	Sampling Date		± 1s Uno 0 <sup>-15</sup> μCi	certainty /mL)		1s Un 0 <sup>-11</sup> Bq	certainty /mL)	Result > 3s		: 1s Unα 0 <sup>-15</sup> μCi,	certainty /mL)		1s Und 0 <sup>-11</sup> Bq/	certainty mL)	Result > 3s
	3/30/2011	0.95	±	0.15	3.50	±	0.56	Υ	31.20	±	0.58	115.44	±	2.16	Υ
		0.79	±	0.15	2.92	±	0.57	Υ	37.90	±	0.73	140.23	±	2.69	Υ
		1.56	±	0.22	5.77	±	0.82	Υ	66.90	±	0.98	247.53	±	3.63	Υ
		0.80	±	0.13	2.95	±	0.47	Υ	23.70	±	0.56	87.69	±	2.07	Υ
		0.37	±	0.12	1.37	±	0.43	Υ	16.30	±	0.50	60.31	±	1.84	Υ
		1.05	±	0.16	3.89	±	0.59	Υ	27.20	±	0.66	100.64	±	2.42	Υ
		1.35	±	0.20	5.00	±	0.75	Υ	35.80	±	0.72	132.46	±	2.68	Υ
		1.44	±	0.18	5.33	±	0.68	Υ	39.50	±	0.74	146.15	±	2.75	Υ
		0.51	±	0.11	1.88	±	0.41	Υ	8.69	±	0.33	32.15	±	1.24	Υ
		0.68	±	0.13	2.51	±	0.47	Υ	23.20	±	0.56	85.84	±	2.09	Υ
		0.53	±	0.13	1.97	±	0.50	Υ	13.90	±	0.48	51.43	±	1.77	Υ
		0.93	±	0.14	3.42	±	0.51	Υ	17.40	±	0.51	64.38	±	1.88	Υ
		1.13	±	0.16	4.18	±	0.59	Υ	53.40	±	0.85	197.58	±	3.14	Υ
		0.87	±	0.15	3.21	±	0.54	Υ	38.10	±	0.63	140.97	±	2.32	Υ
<ul> <li>a. Invalid Sample Resul</li> </ul>	lt														

TABLE C-2. Weekly lodine-131 Activity in Air.

Sampling Group	Sampling	Result ± 1	1s Ur	ncertainty	Result ±	ls Ur	certainty	
and Location	Date	(x 10 <sup>-</sup>	<sup>15</sup> μC	i/mL)	(x 10	·11 Bc	ı/mL)	Result > 3s
BOUNDARY		•		•	•			
ARCO	01/05/2011	3.29	±	1.39	12.17	±	5.16	
	01/12/2011	0.20	±	1.33	0.75	±	4.93	
	01/19/2011	-0.45	±	1.30	-1.66	±	4.80	
	01/26/2011	-0.01	±	1.15	-0.05	±	4.27	
	02/02/2011	1.77	±	1.75	6.56	±	6.49	
	02/09/2011	1.72		1.73	6.36	±	5.22	
	02/09/2011	1.72	±	1.41	3.84	±	5.22	
	02/10/2011	0.61	±	1.15	2.25	±	4.26	
	03/02/2011	0.56	±	1.15	2.23	±	4.26	
	03/09/2011	-0.02	±	1.13	-0.06		4.20 4.11	
	03/16/2011	-0.02	±	1.17	-1.13	±	4.11	
	03/16/2011	350.85	± ±	11.43	1298.16	± ±	4.34 42.28	Υ
	03/23/2011	376.57		14.36	1393.32	±	53.14	Ϋ́
ATOMIC CITY	03/30/2011	2.54	<u>±</u>	1.08	9.40		3.99	I
ATOMIC CITY	01/05/2011	2.5 <del>4</del> 0.16	±	1.03	9.40 0.58	±	3.81	
	01/12/2011	-0.28	±	0.82	-1.05	±	3.05	
	01/26/2011	-0.26 -0.01	±	0.62	-1.05 -0.04	±	3.65	
	02/02/2011	1.19	±	1.18	-0.04 4.40	±	3.65 4.35	
	02/02/2011	1.19	±	1.16	4.40	±	4.33 3.94	
	02/09/2011	0.68	±			±	3.94 3.34	
	02/16/2011	0.54	±	0.90 1.01	2.53 1.98	±	3.3 <del>4</del> 3.75	
	03/02/2011	0.54	±	1.01	1.90	±		
	03/02/2011		±			±	3.87	
		-0.01	±	0.92	-0.05	±	3.39	
	03/16/2011	-0.21	±	0.83	-0.79	±	3.06	V
	03/23/2011 03/30/2011	396.90	±	14.38	1468.54	±	53.19	Y Y
BLUE DOME	03/30/2011	308.89 0.07	<u>+</u>	11.22 0.96	1142.90 0.24	<u>±</u>	41.50 3.55	<u> </u>
BLUL DOWL	01/12/2011	-0.41	± ±	0.95	-1.51	± ±	3.52	
	01/19/2011	-0.41	±	0.93	-3.43	±	2.99	
	01/13/2011	-0.95	±	0.76	-3.43	±	2.83	
	02/02/2011	0.26	±	1.36	0.94	±	5.05	
	02/02/2011	0.20	±	1.08	1.25	±	3.98	
	02/09/2011	1.03	±	1.03	3.81	±	3.82	
	02/10/2011	2.07		1.51	7.65		5.60	
	03/02/2011	1.54	± ±	1.14	5.68	± ±	4.20	
	03/09/2011	0.36	±	0.94	1.34	±	3.47	
	03/16/2011	1.32	±	0.93	4.88	±	3.44	
	03/23/2011	519.33	±	18.00	1921.53	±	66.61	Υ
	03/30/2011	394.00	±	14.58	1457.79	±	53.96	Ϋ́
FAA TOWER	01/05/2011	0.09	_ <u>÷</u> _	1.36	0.34	±	5.05	ı
TACTOVER	01/12/2011	-0.42	±	0.99	-1.57	±	3.65	
	01/19/2011	-0.98	±	0.85	-3.62	±	3.15	
	01/13/2011	-0.89	±	0.80	-3.30	±	2.95	
	02/02/2011	0.24	±	1.27	0.88	±	4.72	
	02/02/2011	0.24	±	1.12	1.30	±	4.14	
	02/16/2011	0.55	±	0.99	3.67	±	3.68	
	02/10/2011	1.21	±	0.33	4.47	±	3.27	
	03/02/2011	1.20	±	0.89	4.44	±	3.28	
	03/09/2011	0.32	±	0.84	1.20	±	3.09	
	03/16/2011	1.22	±	0.86	4.52	±	3.18	
	03/10/2011	1.44	프	0.00	7.52	ᅩ	5.10	

TABLE C-2. Weekly lodine-131 Activity in Air.

Sampling Group	Sampling	Result ±	1s Ur	certainty	Result ± 1	ls Un	certainty	
and Location	Date	(x 10	<sup>-15</sup> μC	i/mL)	(x 10	<sup>-11</sup> Bo	ı/mL)	Result > 3s
BOUNDARY		•	-	· ·	•		-	
	03/23/2011	343.51	±	11.76	1271.00	±	43.50	Υ
	03/30/2011	325.57	±	12.43	1204.60	±	46.00	Y
HOWE	01/05/2011	0.07	±	1.04	0.26	±	3.84	
	01/12/2011	-0.44	±	1.03	-1.64	±	3.82	
	01/19/2011	-0.90	±	0.79	-3.34	±	2.91	
	01/26/2011	-0.97	±	0.86	-3.58	±	3.20	
	02/02/2011	0.20	±	1.04	0.72	±	3.86	
	02/09/2011	0.31	±	1.00	1.16	±	3.69	
	02/16/2011	0.87	±	0.88	3.23	±	3.24	
	02/23/2011	1.64	±	1.20	6.08	±	4.45	
	03/02/2011	1.06	±	0.79	3.93	±	2.91	
	03/09/2011	0.34	±	0.89	1.27	±	3.28	
	03/16/2011	1.12	±	0.79	4.14	±	2.92	
	03/23/2011	378.19	±	12.97	1399.29	±	47.99	Υ
	03/30/2011	325.83	±	12.06	1205.57	±	44.62	Υ
MONTEVIEW	01/05/2011	0.07	±	1.08	0.27	±	3.98	
	01/12/2011	-0.44	±	1.01	-1.61	±	3.76	
	01/19/2011	-1.07	±	0.94	-3.97	±	3.46	
	01/26/2011	-1.25	±	1.12	-4.63	±	4.13	
	02/02/2011	0.26	±	1.40	0.97	±	5.17	
	02/09/2011	0.48	±	1.54	1.79	±	5.71	
	02/16/2011	1.21	±	1.21	4.47	±	4.48	
	02/23/2011	1.36	±	0.99	5.02	±	3.68	
	03/02/2011	1.25	±	0.93	4.63	±	3.43	
	03/09/2011	0.36	±	0.94	1.35	±	3.47	
	03/16/2011	1.82	±	1.29	6.75	±	4.76	
	03/23/2011	361.80	±	12.31	1338.66	±	45.54	Υ
	03/30/2011	348.97	±	13.66	1291.18	±	50.53	Υ
MUD LAKE	01/05/2011	0.07	±	1.00	0.25	±	3.70	
	01/12/2011	-0.47	±	1.09	-1.73	±	4.03	
	01/19/2011	-1.03	±	0.90	-3.81	±	3.32	
	01/26/2011	-0.97	±	0.87	-3.60	±	3.21	
	02/02/2011	0.19	±	1.04	0.72	±	3.85	
	02/09/2011	0.37	±	1.17	1.37	±	4.35	
	02/16/2011	0.99	±	0.99	3.65	±	3.66	
	02/23/2011	1.33	±	0.98	4.93	±	3.61	
	03/02/2011	1.22	±	0.91	4.53	±	3.35	
	03/09/2011	0.34	±	0.89	1.27	±	3.29	
	03/16/2011	1.23	±	0.87	4.57	±	3.22	
	03/23/2011	444.59	±	15.60	1644.99	±	57.73	Υ
-	03/30/2011	340.19	±	12.24	1258.69	±	45.28	Υ
DISTANT								
BLACKFOOT CMS	01/05/2011	3.31	±	1.40	12.23	±	5.19	
	01/12/2011	0.22	±	1.44	0.81	±	5.32	
	01/19/2011	-0.36	±	1.04	-1.33	±	3.86	
	01/26/2011	-0.01	±	1.13	-0.04	±	4.18	
	02/02/2011	1.40	±	1.38	5.17	±	5.11	
	02/09/2011	1.57	±	1.29	5.81	±	4.77	
	02/16/2011	0.88	±	1.15	3.24	±	4.27	
	02/23/2011	0.56	±	1.05	2.06	±	3.89	

TABLE C-2. Weekly lodine-131 Activity in Air.

Sampling Group	Sampling	Result ±	1s Ur	ncertainty	Result ±	1s Un	certainty	
and Location	Date	(x 10 <sup>-</sup>	<sup>15</sup> μC	i/mL)	(x 10	<sup>-11</sup> Bo	ı/mL)	Result > 3s
BOUNDARY		•	-	•	•		•	
	03/02/2011	0.51	±	1.05	1.90	±	3.89	
	03/09/2011	-0.01	±	1.02	-0.05	±	3.77	
	03/16/2011	-0.27	±	1.02	-0.98	±	3.79	
	03/23/2011	299.09	±	11.58	1106.62	±	42.85	Υ
	03/30/2011	335.46	±	13.18	1241.20	±	48.77	Υ
CRATERS	01/05/2011	3.08	±	1.31	11.39	±	4.83	
	01/12/2011	0.18	±	1.20	0.68	±	4.46	
	01/19/2011	-0.42	±	1.21	-1.54	±	4.46	
	01/26/2011	-0.01	±	1.15	-0.05	±	4.25	
	02/02/2011	1.54	±	1.53	5.71	±	5.64	
	02/09/2011	1.55	±	1.27	5.74	±	4.71	
	02/16/2011	0.98	±	1.29	3.62	±	4.77	
	02/23/2011	0.59	±	1.12	2.20	±	4.16	
	03/02/2011	0.58	±	1.19	2.16	±	4.40	
	03/09/2011	-0.02	±	1.16	-0.06	±	4.31	
	03/16/2011	-0.32	±	1.22	-1.17	±	4.52	
	03/23/2011	510.44	±	17.84	1888.62	±	65.99	Υ
	03/30/2011	434.10	±	15.51	1606.18	±	57.39	Υ
DUBOIS	01/05/2011	0.08	±	1.15	0.29	±	4.26	
	01/12/2011	-0.51	±	1.18	-1.87	±	4.36	
	01/19/2011	-1.19	±	1.04	-4.41	±	3.84	
	01/26/2011	-1.18	±	1.06	-4.38	±	3.91	
	02/02/2011	0.21	±	1.12	0.78	±	4.14	
	02/09/2011	0.35	±	1.12	1.30	±	4.14	
	02/16/2011	1.01	±	1.01	3.73	±	3.74	
	02/23/2011	2.68	±	1.96	9.92	±	7.27	
	03/02/2011	1.43	±	1.06	5.29	±	3.91	
	03/09/2011	0.38	±	0.99	1.42	±	3.66	
	03/16/2011	1.45	±	1.02	5.36	±	3.77	
	03/23/2011	437.83	±	15.56	1619.97	±	57.55	Υ
	03/30/2011	347.86	±	13.03	1287.09	±	48.21	Ϋ́
QA-2	01/05/2011	0.08		1.18	0.30	±	4.37	<u> </u>
	01/12/2011	-0.50	±	1.17	-1.86	±	4.33	
	01/19/2011	-1.18	±	1.03	-4.37	±	3.81	
	01/26/2011	-1.13	±	1.01	-4.18	±	3.73	
	02/02/2011	0.22	±	1.16	0.80	±	4.28	
	02/09/2011	0.35	±	1.11	1.29	±	4.09	
	02/16/2011	1.02	±	1.02	3.78	±	3.79	
	02/23/2011	2.58	±	1.89	9.56	±	7.00	
	03/02/2011	1.49	±	1.10	5.52	±	4.08	
	03/09/2011	0.35	±	0.89	1.28	±	3.31	
	03/16/2011	1.54	±	1.09	5.70	±	4.02	
	03/23/2011	477.06	±	17.13	1765.14	±	63.40	Υ
	03/30/2011	364.74	±	13.83	1349.53	±	51.15	Y
IDAHO FALLS	01/05/2011	0.09		1.26	0.32		4.67	<u> </u>
	01/12/2011	-0.58	±	1.35	-2.14	±	4.99	
	01/19/2011	-1.46	±	1.28	-5.41	±	4.72	
	01/26/2011	-1.65	±	1.47	-6.10	±	5.44	
a	02/02/2011	0.81	±	4.30	2.98	±	15.93	
	02/09/2011	0.36	±	1.14	1.33	±	4.23	

TABLE C-2. Weekly lodine-131 Activity in Air.

Sampling Group	Sampling	Result ± 1	ls Ur	ncertainty	Result ±	1s Un	ncertainty	
and Location	Date	(x 10 <sup>-1</sup>	<sup>15</sup> μC	i/mL)	(x 10	<sup>-11</sup> Bo	ı/mL)	Result > 3s
BOUNDARY		`			•		. ,	
	02/16/2011	1.01	±	1.01	3.72	±	3.73	
	02/23/2011	1.43	±	1.05	5.30	±	3.88	
	03/02/2011	1.36	±	1.03	5.04	±	3.73	
	03/09/2011	0.38	±	0.97	1.40	±	3.60	
	03/16/2011	1.37	±	0.96	5.07	±	3.57	
	03/23/2011	386.71	±	13.85	1430.82	±	51.24	Υ
	03/30/2011	327.70	±	11.82	1212.48	±	43.73	Ϋ́
JACKSON	01/05/2011	3.24		1.37	11.98	<u></u>	5.08	' ' '
0/10/100/1	01/12/2011	0.16	±	1.05	0.59	±	3.88	
	01/19/2011	-0.33	±	0.94	-1.21	±	3.49	
	01/26/2011	-0.01	±	0.97	-0.04	±	3.60	
	02/02/2011	1.22	±	1.20	4.50	±	4.45	
	02/02/2011	1.22		1.20	4.49		3.69	
	02/09/2011	0.71	±	0.94		±	3.48	
			±		2.64	±		
	02/23/2011	0.47	±	0.89	1.74	±	3.29	
	03/02/2011	0.46	±	0.94	1.70	±	3.47	
	03/09/2011	-0.01	±	0.88	-0.05	±	3.25	
	03/16/2011	-0.25	±	0.98	-0.94	±	3.63	.,
	03/23/2011	416.66	±	14.49	1541.63	±	53.61	Y
DEV/DUD 0 0140	03/30/2011	356.07	±	12.54	1317.47	±	46.40	Y
REXBURG CMS	01/05/2011	0.09	±	1.29	0.33	±	4.76	
	01/12/2011	-0.51	±	1.18	-1.88	±	4.38	
	01/19/2011	-1.09	±	0.95	-4.04	±	3.52	
	01/26/2011	-1.16	±	1.03	-4.29	±	3.82	
	02/02/2011	0.24	±	1.29	0.89	±	4.77	
	02/09/2011	0.38	±	1.20	1.40	±	4.44	
	02/16/2011	1.02	±	1.02	3.77	±	3.79	
	02/23/2011	1.31	±	0.96	4.86	±	3.56	
	03/02/2011	1.32	±	0.98	4.90	±	3.63	
	03/09/2011	0.34	±	0.89	1.27	±	3.28	
	03/16/2011	1.53	±	1.08	5.65	±	3.98	
	03/23/2011	293.85	±	10.86	1087.24	±	40.17	Υ
	03/30/2011	331.61	±	11.98	1226.96	±	44.31	Υ
INL SITE								
EFS	01/05/2011	2.51	±	1.06	9.28	±	3.94	
	01/12/2011	0.15	±	1.01	0.57	±	3.76	
	01/19/2011	-0.30	±	0.87	-1.11	±	3.21	
	01/26/2011	-0.01	±	1.05	-0.04	±	3.89	
	02/02/2011	1.12	±	1.11	4.16	±	4.11	
	02/09/2011	1.23	±	1.01	4.54	±	3.73	
	02/16/2011	0.76	±	1.00	2.80	±	3.69	
	02/23/2011	0.47	±	0.89	1.74	±	3.30	
	03/02/2011	0.45	±	0.91	1.65	±	3.38	
	03/09/2011	-0.01	±	0.87	-0.05	±	3.21	
	03/16/2011	-0.23	±	0.89	-0.85	±	3.28	
	03/23/2011	316.93	±	10.70	1172.63	±	39.59	Υ
	03/30/2011	294.29	±	10.76	1088.87	±	39.52	Ϋ́
MAIN GATE	01/05/2011	4.02		1.71	14.89	<u></u>	6.31	
	01/03/2011	0.20	±	1.71	0.74	±	4.83	
	01/12/2011	-0.37	±	1.08	-1.38	±	3.99	
	01/10/2011	0.01	<u> </u>	1.00	1.50	<u> </u>	0.00	

TABLE C-2. Weekly lodine-131 Activity in Air.

Sampling Group	Sampling	Result ±	1s Ur	certainty	Result ±	1s Un	certainty	
and Location	Date	(x 10	<sup>-15</sup> μC	i/mL)	(x 10	<sup>-11</sup> Bo	/mL)	Result > 3s
BOUNDARY								
	01/26/2011	-0.01	±	1.08	-0.04	±	4.01	
	02/02/2011	1.52	±	1.50	5.61	±	5.55	
	02/09/2011	1.70	±	1.40	6.29	±	5.16	
	02/16/2011	0.97	±	1.28	3.60	±	4.74	
	02/23/2011	0.61	±	1.15	2.26	±	4.27	
	03/02/2011	0.57	±	1.17	2.12	±	4.32	
	03/09/2011	-0.02	±	1.09	-0.06	±	4.03	
	03/16/2011	-0.28	±	1.07	-1.03	±	3.96	
	03/23/2011	416.22	±	15.18	1540.03	±	56.15	Υ
	03/30/2011	328.71	±	12.91	1216.24	±	47.77	Υ
VAN BUREN GATE	01/05/2011	2.93	±	1.24	10.84	±	4.60	
	01/12/2011	0.18	±	1.21	0.68	±	4.47	
	01/19/2011	-0.32	±	0.93	-1.19	±	3.44	
	01/26/2011	-0.01	±	1.05	-0.04	±	3.87	
	02/02/2011	1.58	±	1.57	5.86	±	5.80	
	02/09/2011	1.37	±	1.12	5.06	±	4.15	
	02/16/2011	0.91	±	1.20	3.37	±	4.44	
	02/23/2011	0.49	±	0.93	1.83	±	3.46	
	03/02/2011	0.65	±	1.32	2.39	±	4.89	
	03/09/2011	0.00	±	0.10	-0.01	±	0.36	
	03/16/2011	-0.26	±	0.98	-0.94	±	3.64	
a	03/23/2011		±			±		
	03/30/2011	313.85	±	12.33	1161.23	±	45.63	Υ
QA-1	01/05/2011	2.54	±	1.08	9.40	±	3.98	
	01/12/2011	0.17	±	1.12	0.63	±	4.13	
	01/19/2011	-0.30	±	0.88	-1.12	±	3.24	
	01/26/2011	-0.01	±	0.93	-0.04	±	3.44	
	02/02/2011	1.29	±	1.27	4.77	±	4.72	
	02/09/2011	1.33	±	1.09	4.92	±	4.04	
	02/16/2011	0.75	±	0.99	2.77	±	3.65	
	02/23/2011	0.49	±	0.92	1.81	±	3.42	
	03/02/2011	0.46	±	0.93	1.69	±	3.45	
	03/09/2011	-0.01	±	0.92	-0.05	±	3.39	
	03/16/2011	-0.24	±	0.91	-0.88	±	3.38	
	03/23/2011	414.54	±	15.07	1533.79	±	55.74	Υ
	03/30/2011	316.29	±	12.24	1170.26	±	45.29	Υ
a. Invalid Sample Resul	lt							

TABLE C-3. Quarterly Cesium-137 and Strontium-90 Concentrations in Composite Air Filters.

Sampling Group	Sampling		Result ±	1s Un	certainty	Result ±	1s Ur	certainty	
and Location	Date	Analyte <sup>a</sup>	(x 10 <sup>-</sup>	¹ <sup>18</sup> µCi	/mL)	(x 10	<sup>-13</sup> Bc	ı/mL)	Result > 3s
BOUNDARY									
ARCO	3/30/2011	CESIUM-137	784.00	±	196.00	2900.80	±	725.20	Υ
ATOMIC CITY	3/30/2011	CESIUM-137	956.00	±	120.00	3537.20	±	444.00	Υ
		STRONTIUM-90	-6.77	±	6.05	-25.05	±	22.39	
BLUE DOME	3/30/2011	CESIUM-137	1150.00	±	149.00	4255.00	±	551.30	Υ
FAA TOWER	3/30/2011	CESIUM-137	843.00	±	107.00	3119.10	±	395.90	Υ
HOWE	3/30/2011	CESIUM-137	579.00	±	123.00	2142.30	±	455.10	Υ
		STRONTIUM-90	-12.90	±	8.85	-47.73	±	32.75	
MONTEVIEW	3/30/2011	CESIUM-137	1080.00	±	160.00	3996.00	±	592.00	Υ
MUD LAKE	3/30/2011	CESIUM-137	1150.00	±	147.00	4255.00	±	543.90	Υ
DISTANT									
BLACKFOOT	3/30/2011	CESIUM-137	1060.00	±	165.00	3922.00	±	610.50	Υ
CRATERS	3/30/2011	CESIUM-137	649.00	±	141.00	2401.30	±	521.70	Υ
		STRONTIUM-90	-56.30	±	11.20	-208.31	±	41.44	
DUBOIS	3/30/2011	CESIUM-137	1090.00	±	184.00	4033.00	±	680.80	Υ
DUBOIS (QA-2)	3/30/2011	CESIUM-137	900.00	±	200.00	3330.00	±	740.00	Υ
IDAHO FALLS	3/30/2011	CESIUM-137	1300.00	±	176.00	4810.00	±	651.20	Υ
JACKSON	3/30/2011	CESIUM-137	1010.00	±	157.00	3737.00	±	580.90	Υ
		STRONTIUM-90	-10.90	±	9.70	-40.33	±	35.89	
REXBURG CMS	3/30/2011	CESIUM-137	1230.00	±	153.00	4551.00	±	566.10	Υ
INL SITE									
EFS	3/30/2011	CESIUM-137	581.00	±	79.90	2149.70	±	295.63	Υ
MAIN GATE	3/30/2011	CESIUM-137	1110.00	±	130.00	4107.00	±	481.00	Υ
VAN BUREN GATE	3/30/2011	CESIUM-137	633.00	±	160.00	2342.10	±	592.00	Υ
		STRONTIUM-90	-7.21	±	9.45	-26.68	±	34.97	
VAN BUREN GATE (QA-1)	3/30/2011	CESIUM-137	1400.00	±	153.00	0.00	±	0.00	Υ
		STRONTIUM-90	-1.97	±	8.05	-7.29	±	29.79	

a. Results of analyses for plutonium-238, plutonium-239/240 and Am-241 were declared invalid due to significant quality issues with the laboratory. TBE was subsequently replaced by ALS.

**TABLE C-4. Tritium Concentrations in Atmospheric Moisture.** 

Sampling Group	Start	Sampling	Result ±	1s Ur	ncertainty	Result ±	1s U	ncertainty	Collection	
and Location	Date	Date	(x 10	<sup>-13</sup> μCi	/mL <sub>air)</sub>	(x 10	) <sup>-9</sup> Bq	/mL <sub>air)</sub>	Medium	Result > 3s
BOUNDARY					,			,		
ATOMIC CITY	12/15/2010	02/02/2011	4.02	±	1.11	14.86	±	4.12	Molecular Sieve	Υ
ATOMIC CITY	02/02/2011	03/16/2011	2.42	±	1.17	8.96	±	4.34	Molecular Sieve	
DISTANT										
BLACKFOOT	12/08/2010	01/05/2011	1.87	±	1.06	6.94	±	3.93	Molecular Sieve	
BLACKFOOT	01/05/2011	02/02/2011	3.53	±	1.09	13.06	±	4.04	Molecular Sieve	Υ
BLACKFOOT	02/02/2011	03/09/2011	6.67	±	1.08	24.68	±	3.99	Molecular Sieve	Υ
IDAHO FALLS	12/23/2010	01/27/2011	4.30	±	1.29	15.92	±	4.79	Molecular Sieve	Υ
IDAHO FALLS	01/27/2011	03/07/2011	4.85	±	1.16	17.96	±	4.27	Molecular Sieve	Υ
REXBURG	12/08/2010	01/19/2011	0.14	±	1.66	0.51	±	6.14	Molecular Sieve	
REXBURG	01/19/2011	03/02/2011	8.44	±	1.43	31.22	±	5.29	Molecular Sieve	Υ

TABLE C-5. Monthly and Weekly Tritium Concentrations in Precipitation.

			Result ±	1s Un	certainty	Result ±	: 1s Un	certainty	
Location	Start Date	<b>End Date</b>	(pCi/L)				Result > 3s		
IDAHO FALLS	12/1/2010	1/3/2011	92.60	±	34.20	3.43	±	1.27	
	1/3/2011	2/3/2011	106.00	±	33.90	3.92	±	1.25	Υ
	2/3/2011	3/1/2011	72.50	±	34.20	2.68	±	1.27	
CFA	12/1/2010	1/3/2011	63.50	±	34.00	2.35	±	1.26	
	1/3/2011	2/1/2011	96.00	±	34.10	3.55	±	1.26	
	2/1/2011	3/1/2011	59.20	±	34.80	2.19	±	1.29	
EFS	12/29/2010	1/5/2011	42.90	±	33.00	1.59	±	1.22	
	1/12/2011	1/19/2011	90.00	±	33.80	3.33	±	1.25	
	2/16/2011	2/23/2011	115.00	±	35.50	4.26	±	1.31	Υ
	3/2/2011	3/9/2011	19.30	±	34.80	0.71	±	1.29	
	3/9/2011	3/16/2011	49.60	±	35.00	1.84	±	1.30	

Table C-6. Gross Alpha, Gross Beta and Tritium Concentration in Drinking and Surface Water

			Result ±	1s Un	certainty	Result ±	1s Un	certainty	
Location	Analyte	Sampling Date		(pCi/L	)		(Bq/L)		Result > 3s
DRINKING WATER									
Minidoka	GROSS ALPHA	11/9/10	-0.04	±	0.44	0.00	±	0.02	
	GROSS BETA		3.55	±	0.49	0.13	±	0.02	Υ
	TRITIUM		62.80	±	34.10	2.33	±	1.26	
Mud Lake	GROSS ALPHA	11/10/10	-0.17	±	0.32	-0.01	±	0.01	
	GROSS BETA		4.20	±	0.47	0.16	±	0.02	Υ
	TRITIUM		65.00	±	32.90	2.41	±	1.22	
Shoshone	GROSS ALPHA	11/9/10	2.16	±	0.41	0.08	±	0.02	Υ
	GROSS BETA		4.23	±	0.25	0.16	±	0.01	Υ
	TRITIUM		57.60	±	34.00	2.13	±	1.26	
Shoshone	GROSS ALPHA	11/9/10	2.00	±	0.41	0.07	±	0.02	Υ
(Duplicate)	GROSS BETA		4.56	±	0.25	0.17	±	0.01	Υ
	TRITIUM		41.90	±	34.00	1.55	±	1.26	
SURFACE WATER									
Alpheus Spring	GROSS ALPHA	11/9/10	1.12	±	0.33	0.04	±	0.01	Υ
(Twin Falls)	GROSS BETA		8.31	±	0.26	0.31	±	0.01	Υ
	TRITIUM		88.40	±	33.10	3.27	±	1.23	
Bill Jones Fish Farm	GROSS ALPHA	11/9/10	1.13	±	0.42	0.04	±	0.02	
(Hagerman)	GROSS BETA		3.61	±	0.47	0.13	±	0.02	Υ
, -	TRITIUM		45.70	±	33.00	1.69	±	1.22	
Clear Springs	GROSS ALPHA	11/9/10	2.08	±	0.56	0.08	±	0.02	Υ
(Buhl)	GROSS BETA		4.88	±	0.48	0.18	±	0.02	Υ
	TRITIUM		77.40	±	33.00	2.87	±	1.22	

Table C-7. Weekly and Monthly Iodine-131 and Cesium-137 Concentrations in Milk

					ne-131			•				ım-137			
Location	Sampling Date	Result	± 1s U (pCi <sup>†</sup> /	ncertainty 'L)		1s Ur Bq <sup>‡</sup> /L	certainty .)	Result > 3s		1s Un (pCi/L)	certainty )		1s Ur (Bq/L)	certainty )	Result > 3s
BLACKFOOT															
	03/09/11	1.22	±	1.88	0.045	±	0.070		0.12	±	0.89	0.005	±	0.033	
CONTROL															
	01/04/11	-0.51	±	1.41	-0.019	±	0.052		-1.31	±	0.84	-0.049	±	0.031	
	02/01/11	-2.61	±	2.03	-0.097	±	0.075		-1.12	±	1.46	-0.041	±	0.054	
	03/01/11	0.43	±	2.06	0.016	±	0.076		-1.93	±	1.45	-0.071	±	0.054	
DIETRICH															
	01/04/11	1.01	±	1.61	0.037	±	0.060		1.01	±	1.61	0.037	±	0.060	
	02/01/11	-0.34	±	1.15	-0.012	±	0.043		0.95	±	0.86	0.035	±	0.032	
	03/01/11	-0.74	±	1.50	-0.027	±	0.056		1.18	±	1.30	0.044	±	0.048	
FORT HALL															
	01/05/11	0.49	±	1.71	0.018	±	0.063		1.82	±	1.35	0.067	±	0.050	
	02/01/11	2.17	±	1.16	0.080	±	0.043		-0.82	±	0.90	-0.030	±	0.033	
Duplicate	02/01/11	-0.50	±	0.91	-0.019	±	0.034		0.51	±	0.77	0.019	±	0.029	
	03/01/11	-0.32	±	0.91	-0.012	±	0.034		-0.09	±	0.75	-0.003	±	0.028	
HOWE															
	01/04/11	-1.04	±	1.29	-0.039	±	0.048		0.49	±	1.71	0.018	±	0.063	
	02/01/11	-1.42	±	1.89	-0.053	±	0.070		-0.23	±	1.42	-0.009	±	0.053	
	03/01/11	3.46	±	1.59	0.128	±	0.059		-1.96	±	1.45	-0.073	±	0.054	
Duplicate	03/01/11	-2.57	±	1.27	-0.095	±	0.047		0.03	±	0.85	0.001	±	0.032	
IDAHO FALLS															
	01/04/11	1.10	±	0.91	0.041	±	0.034		0.92	±	0.77	0.034	±	0.029	
	01/11/11	-0.03	±	0.88	-0.001	±	0.032		1.00	±	0.76	0.037	±	0.028	
	01/18/11	0.00	±	0.91	0.000	±	0.034		0.31	±	0.76	0.011	±	0.028	
	01/25/11	-0.03	±	0.90	-0.001	±	0.033		0.09	±	0.78	0.003	±	0.029	
	02/01/11	-0.45	±	0.91	-0.017	±	0.034		0.95	±	0.77	0.035	±	0.029	
	02/08/11	-0.46	±	1.17	-0.017	±	0.043		0.25	±	0.89	0.009	±	0.033	
	02/15/11	-0.20	±	0.89	-0.007	±	0.033		-0.39	±	0.78	-0.014	±	0.029	
	02/22/11	0.70	±	0.93	0.026	±	0.035		0.50	±	0.77	0.019	±	0.029	
	03/01/11	0.78	±	0.88	0.020	±	0.033		-0.63	±	0.75	-0.023	±	0.028	
	03/08/11	1.30	±	0.89	0.007	±	0.033		0.03	±	0.79	0.023	±	0.029	
	03/15/11	0.60	±	0.03	0.040	±	0.033		0.17	±	0.76	0.003	±	0.029	
	03/13/11	5.10	±	1.40	0.022	±	0.052	Υ	1.73	±	0.70	0.064	±	0.020	
	03/22/11	3.84	±	1.40	0.169	±	0.052		0.78	±	1.42	0.004	±	0.052	
RUPERT	00/20/11	3.04	Ξ.	1.01	0.142	I	0.003		0.70	Ξ.	1.44	0.029	Ξ.	0.000	
COI LICI	01/04/11	0.72	±	1.00	0.027	±	0.037		0.28	±	0.78	0.010	±	0.029	
	02/01/11	-1.32	±	1.73	-0.049	±	0.037		-0.04	±	1.40	-0.002	±	0.029	
	03/01/11	-0.60	±	1.73	-0.049	±	0.064		-0.04	±	1.40	-0.064	±	0.052	
ERRETON	03/01/11	-0.00	Ξ	1.00	-0.022	I	0.002		-1.72	Ξ	1.41	-0.004	I	0.032	
LINETON	01/04/11	0.00	_	1 12	0.003	_	0.042		-0.01	_	0.81	-U US4	_	0.030	
	02/01/11	0.08 3.33	±	1.13 1.78	0.003 0.123	±	0.042		-0.91	±	0.81 1.30	-0.034 0.096	±	0.030 0.048	
			±			±			2.60	±			±		
	03/01/11	-1.05	±	1.09	-0.039	±	0.040		0.61	±	0.79	0.022	±	0.029	

Table C-8. Cesium-137 and Iodine-131 Concentrations in Large Game Animals

	Collection			Result ±	1s U	ncertainty	Result ±	1s Ur	ncertainty	
Species	Date	Tissue	Analyte	(pCi/ko	g wet	weight)	(x 10 <sup>-2</sup> Bq/	kg w	et weight)	Result > 3s
ELK	3/17/2011	1 Muscle	<sup>131</sup>	2.09	±	2.68	7.73	±	9.92	
			<sup>137</sup> Cs	4.17	±	1.35	15.43	±	5.00	Υ
		Thyroid	<sup>131</sup>	-26.50	±	54.80	-98.05	±	202.76	
		-	<sup>137</sup> Cs	32.30	±	43.60	119.51	±	161.32	

## APPENDIX D STATISTICAL ANALYSIS RESULTS

Results of the Kruskal-Wallace statistical test between INL Site, Boundary and Distant sample groups by month. Table D-1.

3						
Parameter	P <sup>a</sup>					
Gross Alpha						
Quarter	0.34					
January	0.97					
February	0.50					
March	0.14					
Gross Beta						
Quarter	0.57					
January	0.58					
February	0.53					
March	0.56					
a. A 'p' value greater than 0.05 signifies no statistical						

difference between data groups.

Table D-2. Statistical difference in weekly gross alpha and gross beta concentrations measured at Boundary and Distant locations.

		Mann-Whitney U test
Parameter	Week	P <sup>a</sup>
Gross Alpha		
	January 5	0.39
	January 12	0.67
	January 19	0.20
	January 26	0.43
	February 2	0.29
	February 9	0.25
	February 16	0.67
	February 23	0.89
	March 2	0.57
	March 9	0.32
	March 16	0.12
	March 23	0.35
	March 30	1.00
Gross Beta		
	January 5	0.39
	January 12	0.20
	January 19	0.06
	January 26	0.89
	February 2	0.46
	February 9	0.89
	February 16	0.03
	February 23	0.25
	March 2	0.01
	March 9	0.06
	March 16	0.48
	March 23	0.48
a. A 'p' value greater than 0	March 30	0.06 erence between data groups.