S.M. Stoller Corporation Environmental Surveillance, Education, and Research Program ISSN NUMBER 1089-5469

# Idaho National Laboratory Site Offsite Environmental Surveillance Program Report: First Quarter 2008

September 2008



Contributors: Marilyn Case, Russ Mitchell

Program conducted for the U.S. Department of Energy, Idaho Operations Office Under Contract DE-AC07-06ID14680

By the S.M. Stoller Corporation,
Environmental Surveillance, Education and Research Program
Douglas K. Halford, Program Manager
120 Technology Dr., Idaho Falls, Idaho 83402
www.stoller-eser.com

# **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

None of the radionuclides detected in any of the samples collected during the first quarter of 2008 could be directly linked with INL Site activities. Levels of detected radionuclides were no different than values measured at other locations across the United States or were consistent with levels measured historically at the INL Site. All detected radionuclide concentrations were well below guidelines set by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and regulatory standards established by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for protection of the public.

This report for the first quarter of 2008 contains results from the Environmental Surveillance, Education and Research (ESER) Program's monitoring of the Department of Energy's Idaho National Laboratory (INL) Site's offsite environment, January 1 through March 31, 2008. All sample types (media) and the sampling schedule followed during 2008 are listed in Appendix A. Specifically, this report contains the results for the following:

- Air sampling, including particulate air filters, charcoal cartridges and atmospheric moisture
- Precipitation sampling
- Milk sampling

Table E-1 Summary of results for the First Quarter of 2008.

Media	Sample Type	Analysis	Results
Air	Filters	Gross alpha, gross beta	There were no statistical differences between Boundary and Distant location gross alpha or gross beta quarterly or monthly data in the first quarter. Some statistical differences were noted in weekly results but it appeared to result from natural variability in the data. No result exceeded the DCG for gross alpha or gross beta activity in air.
		Gamma-emitting radionuclides, select actinides ( <sup>241</sup> Am, <sup>238</sup> Pu, and <sup>239,240</sup> Pu), <sup>90</sup> Sr	Cesium-137 was found above the detection level in one sample, but the value was not confirmed by recount. One composite from an onsite location had a detectable concentration of <sup>238</sup> Pu within the range of historical concentrations.
	Charcoal Cartridge	lodine-131	No detections of <sup>131</sup> I were made during the first quarter.
Atmospheric Moisture	Liquid	Tritium	A total of nine samples were collected. Six of these samples had tritium results greater than the 3s uncertainty. No sample result exceeded the DCG for tritium in air.
Precipitation	Liquid	Tritium	Ten samples were collected. None of the results were greater than the 3s uncertainty. Concentrations were consistent with those reported across the region and with previous

			results.
Milk	Liquid	lodine-131, gamma- emitting radionuclides	Twenty-nine samples, including two duplicates, were collected. No lodine-131 was detected in any sample. A reported detection of Cesium-137 was found to have resulted from a check source left on the detector shield.

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AEC Atomic Energy Commission

CFA Central Facilities Area

CMS community monitoring station
DCG Derived Concentration Guide

DOE Department of Energy

DOE – ID Department of Energy Idaho Operations Office

EAL Environmental Assessment Laboratory

EFS Experimental Field Station

EPA Environmental Protection Agency

ERAMS Environmental Radiation Ambient Monitoring System
ESER Environmental Surveillance, Education, and Research

ICP Idaho Cleanup Project

INL Idaho National Laboratory

INEL Idaho National Engineering Laboratory

INEEL Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory

ISU Idaho State University

MDC minimum detectable concentration NRTS National Reactor Testing Station

# **LIST OF UNITS**

Bq becquerel

Ci curie g gram L liter

μCi microcurie mL milliliter

mR milliRoentgen

pCi picocurie

# 1. ESER PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

Operations at the Idaho National Laboratory (INL) Site are conducted under requirements imposed by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) under authority of the Atomic Energy Act, and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under a number of acts (e.g. the Clean Air Act and Safe Drinking Water Act). The requirements imposed by DOE are specified in DOE Orders. These requirements include those to monitor the effects of DOE activities both inside and outside the boundaries of DOE facilities (DOE 2003). During calendar year 2008, environmental monitoring within the INL Site boundaries was primarily the responsibility of the INL and Idaho Cleanup Project (ICP) contractors, while monitoring outside the INL Site boundaries was conducted under the Environmental Surveillance, Education and Research (ESER) Program. The ESER Program is led by the S.M. Stoller Corporation in cooperation with its team members, including the University of Idaho, Idaho State University (ISU), the Wildlife Conservation Society and Teledyne Brown Engineering. This report contains monitoring results from the ESER Program for samples collected during the first quarter of 2008 (January 1-March 31, 2008).

The surveillance portion of the ESER Program is designed to satisfy the following program objectives:

- Verify compliance with applicable environmental laws, regulations, and DOE Orders
- Characterize and define trends in the physical, chemical, and biological condition of environmental media on and around the INL Site
- Assess the potential radiation dose to members of the public from INL Site effluents
- Present program results clearly and concisely through the use of reports, presentations, newsletter articles and press releases.

The goal of the surveillance program is to monitor different media at a number of potential exposure points within the various exposure pathways, including air, water, agricultural products, wildlife and soil, that could possibly contribute to the radiation dose received by the public.

Environmental samples collected include:

- air at 16 locations on and around the INL Site
- moisture in air at four locations around the INL Site
- precipitation from three locations on and around the INL Site
- agricultural products, including milk at six dairies around the INL Site, potatoes from at least five local producers, wheat from approximately 10 local producers, and lettuce from approximately nine home-owned and portable gardens on and around the INL
- soil from 12 locations around the INL Site biennially
- environmental dosimeters from 15 locations semi-annually
- various numbers of wildlife including big game (pronghorn, mule deer, and elk) and waterfowl sampled on and near the INL Site.

Table A-1 in Appendix A lists samples, sampling locations and collection frequency for the ESER Program.

The ESER Program used two laboratories to perform analyses on routine environmental samples collected during the quarter reported here. The ISU Environmental Assessment Laboratory (EAL) performed routine gross alpha, gross beta, tritium and gamma spectrometry analyses. Analyses requiring radiochemistry including strontium-90 (90Sr), plutonium-238

(<sup>238</sup>Pu), plutonium-239/240 (<sup>239/240</sup>Pu) and americium-241 (<sup>241</sup>Am) were performed by Teledyne Brown Engineering, Inc. of Knoxville, Tennessee.

In the event of non-routine occurrences, such as suspected releases of radioactive material, the ESER Program may increase the frequency of sampling and/or the number of sampling locations based on the nature of the release and wind distribution patterns. Any data found to be outside historical norms in the ESER Program is thoroughly investigated to determine if an INL Site origin is likely. Investigation may include re-sampling and/or re-analysis of prior samples.

In the event of any suspected worldwide nuclear incidents, like the 1986 Chernobyl accident, the EPA may request additional sampling be performed through RadNet [previously known as the Environmental Radiation Ambient Monitoring System (ERAMS) network] (EPA 2006). The EPA established the ERAMS network in 1973 with an emphasis on identifying trends in the accumulation of long-lived radionuclides in the environment. ERAMS was renamed RadNet in 2005 to reflect a new mission. RadNet is comprised of a nationwide network of sampling stations that provide air, precipitation, drinking water and milk samples. The ESER Program currently operates a high-volume air sampler and collects precipitation and drinking water in Idaho Falls for this national program and routinely sends samples to EPA's Eastern Environmental Radiation Facility for analyses. The RadNet data collected at Idaho Falls are not reported by the ESER Program but are available through the EPA RadNet website (http://www.epa.gov/narel/radnet/).

Once samples have been collected and analyzed, the ESER Program has the responsibility for quality control of the data and for preparing quarterly reports on results from the environmental surveillance program. The quarterly reports are then consolidated into the INL Site Environmental Report for each calendar year. These annual reports also include data collected by other INL Site contractors.

The results reported in the quarterly and annual reports are assessed in terms of data quality and statistical significance with respect to laboratory analytical uncertainties, sample locations, reported INL Site releases, meteorological data, and worldwide events that might conceivably have an effect on the INL Site environment. First, field collection and laboratory information are reviewed to determine identifiable errors that would invalidate or limit use of the data. Examples of such limitations include insufficient sample volume, torn filters, evidence of laboratory cross-contamination or quality control issues. Data that pass initial screening are further evaluated using statistical methods. Statistical tools are necessary for data evaluation particularly since environmental measurements typically involve the determination of minute concentrations, which are difficult to detect and even more difficult to distinguish from other measurements.

Results are presented in this report with an analytical uncertainty term, s, where "s" is the estimated sample standard deviation ( $\sigma$ ), assuming a Gaussian or normal distribution. All results are reported in this document, even those that do not necessarily represent detections. The term "detected", as used for the discussion of results in this report, does not imply any degree of risk to the public or environment, but rather indicates that the radionuclide was measured at a concentration sufficient for the analytical instrument to record a value that is statistically different from background. The ESER has adopted guidelines developed by the United States Geological Survey (Bartholomay, et al. 2003), based on an extension of a method proposed by Currie (1984), to interpret analytical results and make decisions concerning detection. Most of the following discussion is taken from Bartholomay et al (2003).

Laboratory measurements involve the analysis of a target sample and the analysis of a prepared laboratory blank (i.e., a sample which is identical to the sample collected in the environment, except that the radionuclide of interest is absent). Instrument signals for the target and blank vary randomly about the true signals and may overlap making it difficult to distinguish between radionuclide activities in blank and in environmental samples (Figure 1). That is, the variability around the sample result may substantially overlap the variability around a net activity of zero for samples with no radioactivity. In order to conclude that a radionuclide has been detected, it is essential to consider two fundamental aspects of the problem of detection: (1) the instrument signal for the sample must be greater than that observed for the blank before the decision can be made that the radionuclide has been detected; and (2) an estimate must be made of the minimum radionuclide concentration that will yield a sufficiently large observed signal before the correct decision can be made for detection or non-detection.




Figure 1. Example of overlap of blank and sample measurement distributions.

In the laboratory, instrument signals must exceed a critical level of 1.6s before the qualitative decision can be made as to whether the radionuclide was detected in a sample. At 1.6s there is about a 95-percent probability that the correct conclusion—not detected—will be made. Given a large number of samples, approximately 5 percent of the samples with measured concentrations greater than or equal to 1.6s, which were concluded as being detected, might not contain the radionuclide. These are referred to as false positives. For purposes of simplicity and consistency with past reporting, the ESER has rounded the 1.6s critical level estimate to 2s.

Once the critical level has been defined, the minimum detectable concentration may be determined. Concentrations that equal 3s represent a measurement at the detection level or minimum detectable concentration. For true concentrations of 3s or greater, there is a 95-percent probability that the radionuclide was detected in the target sample. In a large number of samples, the conclusion—not detected—will be made in 5 percent of the samples with true concentrations at the minimum detectable concentration of 3s. These measurements are known as false negatives. The ESER reports measured radionuclide concentrations greater than or equal to their respective 3s uncertainties as being "detected with confidence."

Concentrations between 2s and 3s are reported as "questionably detected". That is, the radionuclide may be present in the sample; however, the detection may not be reliable.

Measurements made between 2s and 3s are examined further to determine if they are a part of a pattern (temporal or spatial) that might warrant further investigation or recounting. For example, if a particular radionuclide is typically detected at > 3s at a specific location, a sample result between 2s and 3s might be considered detected.

If a result is less than or equal to 2s there is little confidence that the radionuclide is present in the sample. Analytical results in this report are presented as the result value  $\pm$  one standard deviation (1s) for reporting consistency with the annual report. To obtain the 2s or 3s values simply multiply the uncertainty term by 2 or 3.

For more information concerning the ESER Program, contact the S.M. Stoller Corporation at (208) 525-9358, or visit the Program's web page (http://www.stoller-eser.com).

The INL Site

# 2. THE INL SITE

The INL Site is a nuclear energy and homeland security research and environmental management facility. It is owned and administered by the U.S. Department of Energy, Idaho Operations Office (DOE-ID) and occupies about 890 mi² (2,300 km²) of the upper Snake River Plain in Southeastern Idaho. The history of the INL Site began during World War II when the U.S. Naval Ordnance Station was located in Pocatello, Idaho. This station, one of two such installations in the U.S., retooled large guns from U.S. Navy warships. The retooled guns were tested on the nearby, uninhabited plain, known as the Naval Proving Ground. In the years following the war, as the nation worked to develop nuclear power, the Atomic Energy Commission (AEC), predecessor to the DOE, became interested in the Naval Proving Ground and made plans for a facility to build, test, and perfect nuclear power reactors.

The Naval Proving Ground became the National Reactor Testing Station (NRTS) in 1949, under the AEC. By the end of 1951, a reactor at the NRTS became the first to produce useful amounts of electricity. Over time the site has operated 52 various types of reactors, associated research centers, and waste handling areas. The NRTS was renamed the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory (INEL) in 1974, and the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory (INEEL) in January 1997. With renewed interest in nuclear power the DOE announced in 2003 that Argonne National Laboratory and the INEEL would be the lead laboratories for development of the next generation of power reactors. On February 1, 2005 the INEEL and Argonne National Laboratory-West became the INL. The INL is committed to providing international nuclear leadership for the 21st Century, developing and demonstrating compelling national security technologies, and delivering excellence in science and technology as one of the Department of Energy's multiprogram national laboratories.

The cleanup operation, the ICP, is now a separately managed effort. The ICP is charged with safely and cost-effectively completing the majority of cleanup work from past laboratory missions by 2012.



# 3. AIR SAMPLING

The primary pathway by which radionuclides can move off the INL Site is through the air and for this reason the air pathway is the primary focus of monitoring on and around the INL Site. Samples for particulates and iodine-131 (131 ) gas in air were collected weekly for the duration of the quarter at 16 locations using low-volume air samplers. Moisture in the atmosphere was sampled at four locations around the INL Site and analyzed for tritium. Air sampling activities and results for the first quarter of 2008 are discussed below. A summary of approximate minimum detectable concentrations (MDCs) for radiological analyses and DOE Derived Concentration Guide (DCG) (DOE 1993) values is provided in Appendix B.

#### LOW-VOLUME AIR SAMPLING

Radioactivity associated with airborne particulates was monitored continuously by 18 low-volume air samplers (two of which are used as replicate samplers) at 16 locations during the first quarter of 2008 (Figure 2). Three of these samplers are located on the INL Site, ten are situated off the INL Site near the boundary and six have been placed at locations distant to the INL Site. Samplers are divided into INL Site, Boundary and Distant groups to determine if there is a gradient of radionuclide concentrations, increasing towards the INL Site. Each replicate sampler is relocated every year to a new location. At the start of 2008, one replicate sampler was moved to Blue Dome (a Boundary location) and one was moved to Atomic City (also a Boundary location). An average of 14,308 ft³ (405 m³) of air was sampled at each location, each week, at an average flow rate of 1.42 ft³/min (0.04 m³/min). Particulates in air were collected on membrane particulate filters (1.2-µm pore size). Gases passing through the filter were collected with an activated charcoal cartridge.




Figure 2. Low-volume air sampler locations.

Filters and charcoal cartridges were changed weekly at each station during the quarter. Each particulate filter was analyzed for gross alpha and gross beta radioactivity using thin-window gas flow proportional counting systems after waiting about four days for naturally-occurring daughter products of radon and thorium to decay.

The weekly particulate filters collected during the quarter for each location were composited and analyzed for gamma-emitting radionuclides. Selected composites were also analyzed by location for <sup>90</sup>Sr, <sup>238</sup>Pu, <sup>239/240</sup>Pu and <sup>241</sup>Am as determined by a rotating quarterly schedule.

Charcoal cartridges were analyzed for gamma-emitting radionuclides, specifically for iodine-131 (<sup>131</sup>I). Iodine-131 is of particular interest because it is produced in relatively large quantities by nuclear fission, is readily accumulated in human and animal thyroids, and has a half-life of eight days. This means that any elevated level of <sup>131</sup>I in the environment could be from a recent release of fission products.

Gross alpha results are reported in Table C-1. Median gross alpha concentrations in air for INL Site, Boundary, and Distant locations for the first quarter of 2008 are shown in Figure 3. Gross alpha data are tested for normality prior to statistical analyses, and generally show no consistent discernable distribution. Box and whisker plots are commonly used when there is no assumed distribution. Each data group in Figure 3 is presented as a box and whisker plot, with a median (small red square), a box enclosing values between the 25<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> percentiles, and whiskers representing the non-outlier range. Outliers and extreme values are identified separately from the box and whiskers. Outliers and extreme values are atypical, infrequent data points that are far from the middle of the data distribution. For this report, outliers are defined as values that are greater than 1.5 times the height of the box, above or below the box. Extreme values are greater than 2 times the height of the box, above or below the box. Outliers and extreme values may reflect inherent variability, may be due to errors associated with transcription or measurement, or may be related to other anomalies. A careful review of the data collected during the fourth quarter indicates that the outlier values were not due to mistakes in collection, analysis, or reporting procedures, but rather reflect natural variability in the measurements. The outlier values lie within the range of measurements made within the past several years. Thus, rather than dismissing the outliers, they were included in the subsequent statistical analyses.

Because there is no discernable distribution of the data, the nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis test of multiple independent groups was used to test for statistical differences between INL Site, Boundary and Distant locations. The use of nonparametric tests, such as Kruskal-Wallis, gives less weight to outlier and extreme values thus allowing a more appropriate comparison of data groups. A statistically significant difference exists between data groups if the (p) value is less than 0.05. Values greater than 0.05 translate into a 95 percent confidence that the medians are statistically the same. The p-value for each comparison is shown in Table D-1. Figure 3 graphically shows that the gross alpha measurements made at INL Site, Boundary and Distant locations are similar for the first quarter. If the INL Site were a significant source of offsite contamination, concentrations of contaminants could be statistically greater at Boundary locations than at Distant locations. However, in the first quarter no statistical differences were found.

Comparisons of gross alpha concentrations were made for each month of the quarter (Figures 4-6). Again the Kruskal-Wallis test of multiple independent groups was used to determine if statistical differences exist between INL Site, Boundary and Distant data groups.




Figure 3. Gross alpha concentrations in air at ESER INL Site, Boundary and Distant locations for the first quarter of 2008.




Figure 4. January gross alpha concentrations in air at ESER INL Site, Boundary and Distant locations. Number of samples (N) = 5 at each location, except Blue Dome, Dubois and Jackson (N = 4), and Main Gate (N = 3).




Figure 5. February gross alpha concentrations in air at ESER INL Site, Boundary and Distant locations. Number of samples (N) = 4 at each location, except Arco, Dubois, FAA Tower, Howe, and Rexburg (N = 3).




Figure 6. March gross alpha concentrations in air at ESER INL Site, Boundary and Distant locations. Number of samples (N) = 4 at each location, except Dubois (N = 3).

Air Sampling

No statistical differences in gross alpha concentrations between groups were noted during any month of the quarter (Table D-1).

As an additional check, comparisons between gross alpha concentrations measured at Boundary and Distant locations were made on a weekly basis. The Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare the Boundary and Distant data because it is the most powerful nonparametric alternative to the t-test for independent samples. INL Site sample results were not included in this analysis because the onsite data, collected at only three locations, are not representative of the entire INL Site and would not aid in determining offsite impacts. In the first quarter, there was one week (January 23) where a statistical difference existed between the two sample groups (Table D-2). The Boundary group was statistically greater than the Distant group during this week. The distribution of gross alpha concentrations for the stations seems consistent with a pattern found during winter inversion conditions where Arco and Mud Lake tend to have more wood smoke loading than areas such as Craters of the Moon.

Gross beta results are presented in Table C-1. Gross beta concentrations in air for INL Site, Boundary and Distant locations for the first quarter of 2008 are shown in Figure 7. The data were tested and found to be neither normally nor log-normally distributed. Box and whiskers plots were used for presentation of the data. Outliers and extreme values were retained in subsequent statistical analyses because they are within the range of measurements made in the past five years, and because these values could not be attributed to mistakes in collection, analysis, or reporting procedures. The quarterly data for each group appear to be similar and were determined using the Kruskal-Wallace test to be statistically the same (Table D-1).

Monthly median gross beta concentrations in air for each sampling group are shown in Figures 8 – 10. Statistical data are presented in Table D-1. There were no statistical differences in gross beta between groups for any month during the quarter.

Comparison of weekly Boundary and Distant gross beta data sets, using the Mann Whitney U test, showed statistical differences between Boundary and Distant measurements during four weeks in the first quarter (Table D-2). In all four cases, the Boundary stations were statistically greater than the distant stations. Analysis of individual station data for these weeks does not indicate any consistent pattern. During the week of February 6, for example, gross beta concentrations were lower than average at all the sampling locations. The statistical differences noted were likely associated with normal variability in the data.

No <sup>131</sup>I was detected in any of the charcoal cartridge batches collected during the first quarter of 2008. Weekly <sup>131</sup>I results for each location are listed in Table C-2 of Appendix C. Gamma spectrographic analysis is also done with the <sup>131</sup>I analysis. Cesium-137 was detected near the detection limit in 3 of the 26 measured batches of cartridges. The analytical laboratory considers these detections a result of the materials used in the charcoal filters.

Weekly filters for the first quarter of 2008 were composited by location. All samples were analyzed for gamma-emitting radionuclides, including <sup>137</sup>Cs (see Table C-3, Appendix C). Cesium-137 was initially detected in one first quarter composite (Blackfoot). However, a recount of the sample did not indicate the presence of <sup>137</sup>Cs above the detection level.

Composites were also analyzed for <sup>90</sup>Sr, <sup>238</sup>Pu, <sup>239/240</sup>Pu and <sup>241</sup>Am (see Table C-3, Appendix C.) Plutonium-238 was found near the detection limit on the composite from the Main Gate. The value found was within the range of detections during the past several years. No other detections were noted.

#### ATMOSPHERIC MOISTURE SAMPLING

Nine atmospheric moisture samples were obtained during the first quarter of 2008 from Atomic City, Blackfoot CMS, Idaho Falls and Rexburg CMS. Atmospheric moisture is collected by pulling air through a column of absorbent material (molecular sieve material) to absorb water vapor. The water is then extracted from the absorbent material by heat distillation. The resulting water samples are then analyzed for tritium using liquid scintillation.

Six of the nine samples exceeded the 3s uncertainty level for tritium. All samples with detectable tritium were significantly below the DOE DCG for tritium in air of 1  $\times$  10 $^{\text{-}7}$   $\mu\text{Ci/mL}_{\text{air}}$ , and ranged from (3.0  $\pm$  0.9) x 10 $^{\text{-}13}$   $\mu\text{Ci/mL}_{\text{air}}$  at Idaho Falls in early February to (6.9  $\pm$  1.3) x 10 $^{\text{-}13}$   $\mu\text{Ci/mL}_{\text{air}}$  also at Idaho Falls in a sample collected in March. All results are shown in Table C-4, Appendix C.




Figure 7. Gross beta concentrations in air at ESER INL Site, Boundary and Distant locations for the first quarter 2008.

1<sup>st</sup> Quarter 2008 3-9 September 2008




Figure 8. January gross beta concentrations in air at ESER INL Site, Boundary and Distant locations. Number of samples (N) = 5 at each location, except Blue Dome, Dubois and Jackson (N = 4), and Main Gate (N = 3).




Figure 9. February gross beta concentrations in air at ESER INL Site, Boundary and Distant locations. Number of samples (N) = 4 at each location, except Arco, Dubois, FAA Tower, Howe, and Rexburg (N = 3).

1<sup>st</sup> Quarter 2008 3-11 September 2008




Figure 10. March gross beta concentrations in air at ESER INL Site, Boundary and Distant locations. Number of samples (N) = 4 at each location, except Dubois (N = 3).

# 4. PRECIPITATION SAMPLING

Precipitation samples are gathered when sufficient precipitation occurs to allow for the collection of the minimum sample volume of approximately 20 mL. Samples are taken of monthly composites from Idaho Falls and CFA, and weekly from the EFS. Precipitation samples are analyzed for tritium. Storm events in the first quarter of 2008 produced sufficient precipitation to yield ten samples—three from CFA and Idaho Falls and four from the EFS.

Tritium was not measured above the 3s value in any of the samples collected during the first quarter of 2008. Low levels of tritium exist in the environment at all times as a result of cosmic ray reactions with water molecules in the upper atmosphere. The EPA's RadNet program collects precipitation samples from across the United States. From 1980 to 2005, tritium measured in samples from Region 10 (which includes Idaho) ranged from -200 to 7500 pCi/L (EPA 2006). Data for all first quarter 2008 precipitation samples collected by the ESER Program are listed in Table C-5 (Appendix C).



1<sup>st</sup> Quarter 2008 4-2 September 2008

# 5. AGRICULTURAL PRODUCT AND WILDLIFE SAMPLING

Another potential pathway for contaminants to reach humans is through the food chain. The ESER Program samples multiple agricultural products and game animals from around the INL Site and Southeast Idaho. Specifically, milk, wheat, potatoes, garden lettuce, big game, and waterfowl are sampled. Milk is sampled throughout the year and large game animals are sampled whenever large game are killed onsite from vehicle collisions. Lettuce and wheat are sampled during the third quarter, while potatoes are collected during the fourth quarter. Waterfowl are collected in either the third or fourth quarter. See Table A-1, Appendix A, for more details on agricultural product and wildlife sampling. This section discusses results from milk and large game animals sampled during the first quarter of 2008.

## MILK SAMPLING

Milk samples were collected weekly in Idaho Falls. Monthly samples were collected at five other locations around the INL Site (Figure 11) during the first quarter of 2008. All samples were analyzed for gamma emitting radionuclides. During the second quarter, samples from half of the locations are analyzed for <sup>90</sup>Sr and half are analyzed for tritium. In the fourth quarter the analyses are reversed, so that each location receives one analysis for <sup>90</sup>Sr and tritium each year.

No lodine-131 was detected in any sample. Cesium-137 was initially reported in one sample at a level outside the normal range of detections. It was subsequently found that a gamma check source had been removed from the detector and placed on top of the shield. The sample was recounted twice and no Cesium-137 was reported. Data for <sup>131</sup>I and <sup>137</sup>Cs in milk samples are listed in Appendix C, Table C-6.




Figure 11. ESER milk sampling locations.

# LARGE GAME ANIMAL SAMPLING

No large game animals were available for sampling during the first quarter of 2008.

# 6. QUALITY ASSURANCE

The ESER Quality Assurance Program consists of five ongoing tasks which measure:

- 1. method uncertainty
- 2. data completeness
- 3. data accuracy, using spike, performance evaluation and laboratory control samples
- 4. data precision, using split samples, duplicate samples and recounts
- 5. presence of contamination in samples, using blanks.

Sample results are compared to criteria described in the Quality Assurance Project Plan for the INL Site Offsite Environmental Surveillance Program (Stoller 2007). The following table summarizes the results of the quality assurance program for the first quarter of 2008.

QA Sample Type	Number of Sample Results	Number of Results Meeting Criteria	Percentage Meeting Criteria
Spikes/Laboratory Control Samples	231	223	96.5
Field Duplicates	64	57	89.1
Laboratory Splits	18	18	100
Recounts	178	178	100.0
Blanks	66	66	100
Method Uncertainty	1701	1673	98.4

# 7. REFERENCES

- Bartholomay, R.C., Knobel, L.L., and Rousseau, J.P., 2003, Field Methods and Quality Plan for Quality-of-Water Activities, U.S. Geological Survey, Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory, Idaho, DOE/ID-22182, January 2003.
- Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), 2007, 40 CFR 50.6, "National Primary and Secondary Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter," Code of Federal Regulations, Office of the Federal Register, July 1, 2007.
- Currie, L.A., 1984, Lower Limit of Detection: Definition and Elaboration of a Proposed Position for Radiological Effluent and Environmental Measurements, NUREG/CR-4007, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C., September 1984.
- DOE, 2003, "Environmental Management System," U.S. Department of Energy Order 450.1, January 2003.
- DOE, 1993, "Radiation Protection of the Public and the Environment," U.S. Department of Energy Order 5400.5, January 1993.
- EPA, 2007, RadNet—Tracking Environmental Radiation Nationwide, Web-page: <a href="http://www.epa.gov/narel/radnet/">http://www.epa.gov/narel/radnet/</a>
- Stoller, 2007, Quality Assurance Project Plan for the INL Site Offsite Environmental Surveillance Program, Environmental Surveillance, Education and Research Program, February 2007.

1<sup>st</sup> Quarter 2008 7-1 September 2008

1<sup>st</sup> Quarter 2008 7-2 September 2008

# APPENDIX A SUMMARY OF SAMPLING SCHEDULE



Table A-1. Summary of the ESER Program's Sampling Schedule

Sample Type	Collection Frequency	LOCATIONS					
Analysis		Distant	Boundary	INL Site			
AIR SAMPLING	AIR SAMPLING						
LOW-VOLUME AIR	LOW-VOLUME AIR						
Gross Alpha, Gross Beta, <sup>131</sup> I	weekly	Blackfoot, Craters of the Moon, Dubois, Idaho Falls, Jackson WY, Rexburg	Arco, Atomic City, FAA Tower, Howe, Monteview, Mud Lake, Blue Dome	Main Gate, EFS, Van Buren			
Gamma Spec	quarterly	Blackfoot, Craters of the Moon, Dubois, Idaho Falls, Jackson WY, Rexburg	Arco, Atomic City, FAA Tower, Howe, Monteview, Mud Lake, Blue Dome	Main Gate, EFS, Van Buren			
<sup>90</sup> Sr, Transuranics	quarterly	Rotating schedule	Rotating schedule	Rotating schedule			
ATMOSPHERIC M	OISTURE						
Tritium	4 to 13 weeks	Blackfoot, Idaho Falls, Rexburg	Atomic City	None			
PRECIPITATION							
Tritium	monthly	Idaho Falls	None	CFA			
Tritium	weekly	None	None	EFS			
ENVIRONMENTA	ENVIRONMENTAL RADIATION SAMPLING						
TLDs							
Gamma Radiation	semiannual	Aberdeen, Blackfoot (2), Craters of the Moon, Dubois, Idaho Falls, Jackson WY, Minidoka, Rexburg, Roberts	Arco, Atomic City, Birch Creek, Blue Dome, Howe, Monteview, Mud Lake	None			
SOIL SAMPLING							
SOIL							
Gamma Spec, <sup>90</sup> Sr, Transuranics	biennially	Carey, Crystal Ice Caves (Aberdeen), Blackfoot, St. Anthony	Butte City, Monteview, Atomic City, FAA Tower, Howe, Mud Lake (2), Birch Creek	None			

1<sup>st</sup> Quarter 2008 A - 1 September 2008

Table A-1. Summary of the ESER Program's Sampling Schedule (continued)

Sample Type	Collection Frequency	LOCATIONS					
Analysis		Distant	Boundary	INL Site			
FOODSTUFF SAMPLING							
MILK							
Gamma Spec ( <sup>131</sup> I)	weekly	Idaho Falls	None	None			
Gamma Spec ( <sup>131</sup> I)	monthly	Dietrich, Idaho Falls, Minidoka, Moreland	Howe, Terreton	None			
Tritium, <sup>90</sup> Sr	Semi-annually	Dietrich, Idaho Falls, Minidoka, Moreland	Howe, Terreton	None			
POTATOES							
Gamma Spec, <sup>90</sup> Sr	annually	Aberdeen, Blackfoot, Fort Hall, Idaho Falls, Rupert, Taber, occasional samples across the U.S.	Arco, Monteview, Mud Lake, Terreton	None			
WHEAT							
Gamma Spec, <sup>90</sup> Sr	annually	American Falls, Blackfoot, Dietrich, Idaho Falls, Minidoka, Carey	Arco, Monteview, Mud Lake, Taber, Terreton	None			
LETTUCE							
Gamma Spec, <sup>90</sup> Sr	annually	Blackfoot, Carey, Idaho Falls, Pocatello	Arco, Atomic City, FAA Tower, Howe, Monteview	EFS			
BIG GAME							
Gamma Spec	varies	Occasional samples across the U.S.	Public Highways	INL Site roads			
WATERFOWL							
Gamma Spec, <sup>90</sup> Sr, Transuranics	annually	Varies among: Heise, Firth, Fort Hall, Mud Lake, Market Lake, and American Falls	None	Wastewater disposal ponds			

1<sup>st</sup> Quarter 2008 A - 2 September 2008

## APPENDIX B SUMMARY OF MDCs AND DCGs



Table B-1. Summary of Approximate Minimum Detectable Concentrations for Radiological Analyses Performed During First Quarter 2008

Sample Type	Analysis	Approximate Minimum Detectable Concentration <sup>a</sup> (MDC)	Derived Concentration Guide <sup>b</sup> (DCG)
	Gross alpha <sup>c</sup>	4.56 x 10 <sup>-16</sup> μCi/mL	2 x 10 <sup>-14</sup> μCi/mL
	Gross beta <sup>d</sup>	1.41 x 10 <sup>-15</sup> μCi/mL	3 x 10 <sup>-12</sup> μCi/mL
Air	Specific gamma (137Cs)	1.99 x 10 <sup>-16</sup> μCi/mL	4 x 10 <sup>-10</sup> μCi/mL
(particulate filter) <sup>e</sup>	<sup>238</sup> Pu	1.63 x 10 <sup>-18</sup> μCi/mL	3 x 10 <sup>-14</sup> μCi/mL
	<sup>239/240</sup> Pu	1.59 x 10 <sup>-18</sup> μCi/mL	2 x 10 <sup>-14</sup> μCi/mL
	<sup>241</sup> Am	9.27 x 10 <sup>-19</sup> μCi/mL	2 x 10 <sup>-14</sup> μCi/mL
	<sup>90</sup> Sr	4.64 x 10 <sup>-17</sup> μCi/mL	9 x 10 <sup>-12</sup> μCi/mL
Air (charcoal cartridge) <sup>e</sup>	<sup>131</sup>	3.53 x 10 <sup>-16</sup> μCi/mL	4 x 10 <sup>-10</sup> μCi/mL
Air (atmospheric moisture)	<sup>3</sup> H	112.5 pCi/L <sub>water</sub>	1 x 10 <sup>-7</sup> µCi/mL <sub>air</sub>
Air (precipitation)	<sup>3</sup> H	113.0 pCi/L	2 x 10 <sup>-3</sup> μCi/mL
Milk	<sup>131</sup>	0.72 pCi/L	
	<sup>137</sup> Cs	1.53 pCi/L	

a The MDC is an estimate of the concentration of radioactivity in a given sample type that can be identified with a 95 percent level of confidence and precision of plus or minus 100 percent under a specified set of typical laboratory measurement conditions.

1<sup>st</sup> Quarter 2008 B - 1 September 2008

b DCGs, set by the DOE, represent reference values for radiation exposure. They are based on a radiation dose of 100 mrem/yr for exposure through a particular exposure mode such as direct exposure, inhalation, or ingestion of water.

c The DCG for gross alpha is equivalent to the DCGs for  $^{239,240}$ Pu and  $^{241}$ Am.

d  $\,$  The DCG for gross beta is equivalent to the DCGs for  $^{228}$ Ra

e The approximate MDC is based on an average filtered air volume (pressure corrected) of 445 m³/week.

## APPENDIX C SAMPLE ANALYSIS RESULTS




TABLE C-1. Weekly Gross Alpha and Gross Beta Concentrations in Air

					GROSS ALPHA							GROSS BETA			
Sampling Group	Sampling			certainty			certainty				certainty			certainty	
and Location BOUNDARY	Date	(x 1	10 <sup>-15</sup> μCi	/mL)	(x ′	10 <sup>-11</sup> Bq	/mL)	Result > 3s	(x 10	) <sup>-15</sup> µCi	/mL)	(x 1	0 <sup>-11</sup> Bq	/mL)	Result > 3s
ARCO	1/2/2008	1.19	±	0.23	4.40	±	0.83	Υ	49.00	±	1.67	181.30	±	6.18	Υ
AROO	1/9/2008	1.12	±	0.20	4.14	±	0.73	Ϋ́	25.10	±	0.76	92.87	±	2.82	Ϋ́
	1/16/2008	0.75	±	0.16	2.77	±	0.73	Ϋ́	21.00	±	0.68	77.70	±	2.50	Ϋ́
	1/23/2008	1.86	±	0.26	6.88	±	0.95	Y	41.40	±	1.06	153.18	±	3.92	Ϋ́
	1/30/2008	0.79	±	0.16	2.92	±	0.58	Y	17.40	±	0.63	64.38	±	2.32	Ϋ́
	2/6/2008	0.41	±	0.17	1.51	±	0.64	•	12.60	±	0.69	46.62	±	2.56	Ϋ́
	2/13/2008	1.36	±	0.29	5.03	±	1.07	Υ	14.50	±	0.86	53.65	±	3.18	Y
а	2/20/2008	0.00	±	0.00	0.00	±	0.00	•	0.00	±	0.00	0.00	±	0.00	•
	2/27/2008	1.59	±	0.27	5.88	±	0.98	Υ	39.70	±	1.01	146.89	±	3.74	Υ
	3/5/2008	0.46	±	0.16	1.72	±	0.59		17.60	±	0.65	65.12	±	2.40	Υ
	3/12/2008	0.77	±	0.21	2.86	±	0.76	Υ	32.10	±	0.88	118.77	±	3.25	Υ
	3/19/2008	0.91	±	0.24	3.37	±	0.88	Υ	16.00	±	0.82	59.20	±	3.02	Υ
	3/26/2008	1.03	±	0.25	3.81	±	0.92	Υ	20.00	±	0.93	74.00	±	3.44	Υ
ATOMIC CITY	1/2/2008	1.16	±	0.24	4.29	±	0.89	Υ	43.40	±	1.74	160.58	±	6.44	Υ
	1/9/2008	0.77	±	0.17	2.84	±	0.63	Υ	26.60	±	0.74	98.42	±	2.74	Υ
	1/16/2008	0.53	±	0.16	1.96	±	0.57	Υ	16.60	±	0.69	61.42	±	2.55	Υ
	1/23/2008	1.37	±	0.19	5.07	±	0.71	Υ	39.30	±	0.86	145.41	±	3.20	Υ
	1/30/2008	2.52	±	0.30	9.32	±	1.11	Υ	43.70	±	1.10	161.69	±	4.07	Υ
	2/6/2008	0.47	±	0.16	1.75	±	0.60		13.90	±	0.65	51.43	±	2.41	Υ
	2/13/2008	0.47	±	0.20	1.73	±	0.75		14.50	±	0.76	53.65	±	2.82	Υ
	2/20/2008	0.63	±	0.20	2.34	±	0.73	Υ	26.00	±	0.83	96.20	±	3.06	Υ
	2/27/2008	1.80	±	0.31	6.66	±	1.14	Υ	24.50	±	0.96	90.65	±	3.56	Υ
	3/5/2008	0.60	±	0.18	2.23	±	0.66	Υ	24.10	±	0.76	89.17	±	2.81	Υ
	3/12/2008	0.64	±	0.24	2.37	±	0.90		35.60	±	1.07	131.72	±	3.96	Υ
	3/19/2008	0.48	±	0.19	1.79	±	0.71		17.00	±	0.77	62.90	±	2.86	Y
04 4 (4 T 0 1 1 1 0 0 1 T ) (	3/26/2008	0.76	±	0.25	2.81	±	0.91	Y	15.60	±	0.93	57.72	±	3.43	Y
QA-1 (ATOMIC CITY)	1/2/2008	1.25	±	0.29	4.63	±	1.05	Y	44.60	±	2.01	165.02	±	7.44	Y
	1/9/2008	1.24	±	0.21	4.59	±	0.79	Y	27.80	±	0.83	102.86	±	3.06	Y
	1/16/2008	0.65	±	0.15	2.40	±	0.54	Y Y	14.00	±	0.58	51.80	±	2.16	Y Y
	1/23/2008	1.21 0.43	±	0.21 0.14	4.48 1.60	±	0.78 0.50	Y	43.30 18.80	±	1.03	160.21	±	3.81 2.41	Υ Υ
	1/30/2008 2/6/2008	0.43	±	0.14		±		Ť		±	0.65	69.56	±	2.41	Ϋ́Υ
	2/13/2008	0.35	± ±	0.20	1.30 1.60	±	0.73 0.67		13.00 14.10	±	0.80 0.70	48.10 52.17	±	2.94	Ϋ́Υ
	2/20/2008	0.43	±	0.18	2.10	±	0.67		15.00	±	0.70	55.50	±	2.62	Ϋ́
	2/27/2008	0.57	±	0.19	3.36	±	0.71	Υ	22.30	±	0.92	82.51	±	3.39	Ϋ́
	3/5/2008	0.83	±	0.24	3.07	±	0.94	Ϋ́	22.30	±	0.89	82.51	±	3.30	Ϋ́
	3/12/2008	1.19	±	0.24	4.40	±	1.03	Ϋ́	38.30	±	1.11	141.71	±	4.11	Ϋ́
	3/19/2008	0.41	±	0.21	1.50	±	0.79	·	17.70	±	0.88	65.49	±	3.24	Ý
	3/26/2008	0.88	±	0.24	3.25	±	0.87	Υ	16.00	±	0.87	59.20	±	3.22	Y
BLUE DOME a	1/2/2008	0.67	±	0.65	2.46	±	2.41	· ·	19.40	±	4.31	71.78	±	15.95	Y
	1/9/2008	0.60	±	0.14	2.22	±	0.52	Υ	17.10	±	0.58	63.27	±	2.13	Y
	1/16/2008	0.32	±	0.11	1.19	±	0.42		9.56	±	0.49	35.37	±	1.83	Y
	1/23/2008	0.85	±	0.16	3.16	±	0.58	Υ	29.50	±	0.75	109.15	±	2.78	Υ
	1/30/2008	0.50	±	0.14	1.86	±	0.50	Y	19.70	±	0.64	72.89	±	2.36	Y
	2/6/2008	0.61	±	0.17	2.25	±	0.63	Υ	9.17	±	0.58	33.93	±	2.15	Υ
	2/13/2008	0.47	±	0.18	1.74	±	0.66		14.50	±	0.68	53.65	±	2.50	Υ
	2/20/2008	0.04	±	0.15	0.15	±	0.57		16.70	±	0.71	61.79	±	2.64	Υ
	2/27/2008	0.37	±	0.19	1.35	±	0.71		26.70	±	0.87	98.79	±	3.20	Υ
	3/5/2008	0.46	±	0.19	1.69	±	0.70		14.90	±	0.73	55.13	±	2.68	Υ
	3/12/2008	0.72	±	0.22	2.65	±	0.80	Υ	25.00	±	0.85	92.50	±	3.14	Υ
	3/19/2008	0.49	±	0.19	1.81	±	0.68		15.90	±	0.73	58.83	±	2.71	Υ
	3/26/2008	0.61	±	0.20	2.24	±	0.74	Υ	17.20	±	0.81	63.64	±	3.01	Υ
QA-2 a	1/2/2008	33.00	±	18.10	122.10	±	66.97		203.00	±	105.00	751.10	±	388.50	

TABLE C-1. Weekly Gross Alpha and Gross Beta Concentrations in Air

	<u> </u>				GROSS ALPHA							GROSS BETA			
Sampling Group	Sampling			certainty			certainty	B 41.0			ertainty			certainty	
and Location	Date		10 <sup>-15</sup> μCi		•	10 <sup>-11</sup> Bq		Result > 3s		0 <sup>-15</sup> µCi		•	0 <sup>-11</sup> Bq		Result > 3s
(BLUE DOME)	1/9/2008	0.69	±	0.18	2.54	±	0.66	Υ	18.90	±	0.71	69.93	±	2.63	Υ
	1/16/2008	0.58	±	0.15	2.15	±	0.56	Υ	12.10	±	0.60	44.77	±	2.23	Y
	1/23/2008	0.94	±	0.18	3.47	±	0.67	Υ	32.00	±	0.86	118.40	±	3.20	Υ
	1/30/2008	0.38	±	0.14	1.40	±	0.53		20.50	±	0.72	75.85	±	2.67	Υ
	2/6/2008	0.01	±	0.15	0.05	±	0.56		11.30	±	0.71	41.81	±	2.63	Υ
	2/13/2008	0.57	±	0.21	2.10	±	0.78		10.60	±	0.71	39.22	±	2.62	Υ
	2/20/2008	0.31	±	0.20	1.16	±	0.75		18.40	±	0.84	68.08	±	3.09	Υ
	2/27/2008	0.26	±	0.22	0.97	±	0.80		31.30	±	1.02	115.81	±	3.77	Υ
	3/5/2008	0.34	±	0.19	1.26	±	0.69		15.60	±	0.75	57.72	±	2.78	Υ
	3/12/2008	1.41	±	0.36	5.22	±	1.32	Υ	28.50	±	1.21	105.45	±	4.48	Υ
	3/19/2008	0.35	±	0.23	1.30	±	0.85		15.50	±	0.91	57.35	±	3.38	Υ
	3/26/2008	0.69	±	0.20	2.55	±	0.73	Υ	16.80	±	0.78	62.16	±	2.87	Υ
FAA TOWER	1/2/2008	0.36	±	0.17	1.34	±	0.61		29.90	±	1.40	110.63	±	5.18	Υ
	1/9/2008	0.85	±	0.21	3.13	±	0.76	Υ	22.50	±	0.82	83.25	±	3.04	Υ
	1/16/2008	0.52	±	0.15	1.91	±	0.54	Υ	13.90	±	0.63	51.43	±	2.32	Υ
	1/23/2008	1.31	±	0.22	4.85	±	0.81	Υ	39.00	±	1.00	144.30	±	3.70	Υ
	1/30/2008	1.22	±	0.20	4.51	±	0.73	Υ	27.30	±	0.79	101.01	±	2.92	Υ
	2/6/2008	0.99	±	0.27	3.66	±	1.01	Υ	13.50	±	0.92	49.95	±	3.39	Υ
a	2/13/2008	1.18	±	1.91	4.37	±	7.07		19.70	±	6.02	72.89	±	22.27	Υ
	2/20/2008	0.55	±	0.21	2.02	±	0.78		20.10	±	0.83	74.37	±	3.07	Υ
	2/27/2008	0.88	±	0.24	3.26	±	0.88	Υ	31.50	±	0.97	116.55	±	3.57	Υ
	3/5/2008	0.94	±	0.26	3.47	±	0.95	Υ	20.00	±	0.91	74.00	±	3.38	Υ
	3/12/2008	0.93	±	0.22	3.44	±	0.83	Υ	30.20	±	0.89	111.74	±	3.29	Υ
	3/19/2008	0.34	±	0.21	1.27	±	0.77		14.20	±	0.82	52.54	±	3.04	Υ
	3/26/2008	0.68	±	0.24	2.50	±	0.89		15.50	±	0.93	57.35	±	3.45	Υ
HOWE	1/2/2008	1.24	±	0.23	4.59	±	0.86	Υ	47.60	±	1.69	176.12	±	6.25	Υ
	1/9/2008	0.88	±	0.15	3.25	±	0.56	Υ	24.70	±	0.63	91.39	±	2.35	Υ
	1/16/2008	0.42	±	0.14	1.57	±	0.50	Υ	16.20	±	0.64	59.94	±	2.36	Υ
	1/23/2008	1.51	±	0.19	5.59	±	0.69	Υ	40.90	±	0.82	151.33	±	3.03	Υ
	1/30/2008	1.10	±	0.21	4.07	±	0.79	Υ	44.90	±	1.05	166.13	±	3.89	Υ
	2/6/2008	0.31	±	0.13	1.14	±	0.50		14.10	±	0.59	52.17	±	2.19	Υ
	2/13/2008	0.42	±	0.16	1.54	±	0.60		18.80	±	0.69	69.56	±	2.54	Υ
	2/20/2008	0.58	±	0.17	2.16	±	0.64	Υ	23.50	±	0.73	86.95	±	2.70	Υ
a	2/27/2008	2.67	±	0.57	9.88	±	2.12	Υ	67.30	±	2.13	249.01	±	7.88	Υ
	3/5/2008	0.82	±	0.20	3.02	±	0.75	Υ	19.70	±	0.76	72.89	±	2.80	Υ
	3/12/2008	0.83	±	0.22	3.06	±	0.83	Υ	38.70	±	0.99	143.19	±	3.67	Υ
	3/19/2008	0.52	±	0.16	1.93	±	0.59	Υ	18.80	±	0.67	69.56	±	2.47	Υ
	3/26/2008	0.80	±	0.22	2.96	±	0.80	Υ	19.50	±	0.85	72.15	±	3.15	Υ
MONTEVIEW	1/2/2008	0.86	±	0.24	3.17	±	0.88	Υ	55.50	±	1.99	205.35	±	7.36	Υ
	1/9/2008	1.52	±	0.22	5.62	±	0.80	Υ	26.90	±	0.77	99.53	±	2.86	Υ
	1/16/2008	0.74	±	0.16	2.72	±	0.58	Υ	16.80	±	0.64	62.16	±	2.36	Υ
	1/23/2008	1.65	±	0.22	6.11	±	0.80	Υ	43.30	±	0.94	160.21	±	3.48	Υ
	1/30/2008	1.47	±	0.21	5.44	±	0.79	Υ	33.40	±	0.86	123.58	±	3.19	Υ
	2/6/2008	0.71	±	0.20	2.63	±	0.72	Υ	13.20	±	0.70	48.84	±	2.59	Υ
	2/13/2008	0.89	±	0.22	3.31	±	0.82	Y	22.70	±	0.83	83.99	±	3.09	Y
	2/20/2008	1.01	±	0.23	3.74	±	0.86	Y	27.00	±	0.89	99.90	±	3.29	Y
	2/27/2008	1.08	±	0.25	4.00	±	0.93	Ϋ́	32.20	±	0.98	119.14	±	3.63	Ϋ́
	3/5/2008	0.60	±	0.21	2.20	±	0.78		21.00	±	0.84	77.70	±	3.10	Ϋ́
	3/12/2008	1.45	±	0.33	5.37	±	1.22	Υ	35.50	±	1.21	131.35	±	4.48	Ϋ́
	3/19/2008	0.49	±	0.27	1.83	±	1.00	•	24.60	±	1.14	91.02	±	4.22	Ý
	3/26/2008	1.48	±	0.28	5.48	+	1.04	Υ	21.60	±	0.98	79.92	±	3.61	Ϋ́
MUD LAKE	1/2/2008	1.05	±	0.26	3.89	±	0.94	Y	61.80	±	2.10	228.66	±	7.77	Y
	1/9/2008	1.25	±	0.19	4.63	±	0.71	Ϋ́	35.00	±	0.80	129.50	±	2.96	Ϋ́
	1/16/2008	0.58	±	0.19	2.16	±	0.71	Y	19.00	±	0.74	70.30	±	2.74	Ý
	1/23/2008	1.94	±	0.10	7.18	±	0.01	Y	47.80		1.07	176.86		3.96	Y
	1/23/2008	1.94	±	0.25	7.18	±	0.93	1	47.00	±	1.07	170.00	±	3.90	Ť

TABLE C-1. Weekly Gross Alpha and Gross Beta Concentrations in Air

					GROSS ALPHA							GROSS BETA			
Sampling Group	Sampling			certainty			certainty	B 41.0			ertainty	Result ±			
and Location	Date	•	0 <sup>-15</sup> μCi		,	10 <sup>-11</sup> Bq		Result > 3s	,	0 <sup>-15</sup> µCi		•	) <sup>-11</sup> Bq/		Result > 3s
	1/30/2008	0.99	±	0.20	3.66	±	0.75	Y	42.70	±	1.01	157.99	±	3.74	Y
	2/6/2008	1.22	±	0.25	4.51	±	0.93	Y	17.90	±	0.85	66.23	±	3.15	Y
	2/13/2008	0.73	±	0.23	2.72	±	0.86	Y	21.20	±	0.89	78.44	±	3.28	Y
	2/20/2008	0.69	±	0.19	2.55	±	0.72	Y	27.80	±	0.83	102.86	±	3.06	Y
	2/27/2008	1.62	±	0.28	5.99	±	1.02	Y	46.40	±	1.10	171.68	±	4.07	Y
	3/5/2008	1.27	±	0.25	4.70	±	0.91	Y	25.00	±	0.88	92.50	±	3.26	Y
	3/12/2008	1.36	±	0.31	5.03	±	1.13	Y	43.60	±	1.22	161.32	±	4.51	Y
	3/19/2008	1.34	±	0.25	4.96	±	0.91	Y	25.60	±	0.88	94.72	±	3.26	Y
DIOTANT	3/26/2008	1.06	±	0.28	3.92	±	1.04	Υ	20.30	±	1.05	75.11	±	3.89	Υ
DISTANT	. /- /							.,							
BLACKFOOT CMS	1/2/2008	0.72	±	0.20	2.65	±	0.74	Y	33.50	±	1.50	123.95	±	5.55	Y
	1/9/2008	1.01	±	0.18	3.74	±	0.65	Y	25.40	±	0.71	93.98	±	2.61	Y
	1/16/2008	0.62	±	0.14	2.29	±	0.52	Y	14.80	±	0.58	54.76	±	2.15	Y
	1/23/2008	0.76	±	0.18	2.82	±	0.66	Y	40.40	±	0.98	149.48	±	3.61	Y
	1/30/2008	1.17	±	0.20	4.33	±	0.73	Y	35.00	±	0.87	129.50	±	3.22	Y
	2/6/2008	0.53	±	0.17	1.98	±	0.61	Υ	9.34	±	0.58	34.56	±	2.15	Y
	2/13/2008	0.47	±	0.17	1.75	±	0.63	.,	13.80	±	0.65	51.06	±	2.39	Y
	2/20/2008	0.57	±	0.18	2.12	±	0.68	Y	27.90	±	0.81	103.23	±	3.00	Y
	2/27/2008	1.40	±	0.27	5.18	±	1.01	Υ	40.10	±	1.07	148.37	±	3.96	Υ
	3/5/2008	1.18	±	0.23	4.37	±	0.85	Υ	22.90	±	0.81	84.73	±	3.01	Υ
	3/12/2008	1.31	±	0.27	4.85	±	1.01	Υ	29.80	±	0.98	110.26	±	3.63	Υ
	3/19/2008	0.66	±	0.18	2.43	±	0.67	Υ	15.70	±	0.68	58.09	±	2.52	Υ
	3/26/2008	0.75	±	0.21	2.76	±	0.76	Y	18.50	±	0.81	68.45	±	3.01	Y
CRATERS OF	1/2/2008	0.63	±	0.21	2.33	±	0.76	Υ	23.00	±	1.43	85.10	±	5.29	Υ
THE MOON	1/9/2008	0.70	±	0.22	2.58	±	0.81	Υ	20.30	±	0.87	75.11	±	3.22	Υ
	1/16/2008	0.33	±	0.12	1.22	±	0.46		11.30	±	0.56	41.81	±	2.07	Υ
	1/23/2008	0.79	±	0.20	2.93	±	0.72	Υ	38.30	±	1.03	141.71	±	3.81	Υ
	1/30/2008	0.51	±	0.14	1.89	±	0.52	Υ	22.40	±	0.69	82.88	±	2.55	Υ
	2/6/2008	0.51	±	0.21	1.90	±	0.76		11.30	±	0.76	41.81	±	2.82	Υ
	2/13/2008	0.95	±	0.21	3.53	±	0.78	Υ	10.90	±	0.64	40.33	±	2.35	Υ
	2/20/2008	0.73	±	0.25	2.70	±	0.91		18.80	±	0.90	69.56	±	3.33	Υ
	2/27/2008	1.43	±	0.24	5.29	±	0.90	Υ	17.90	±	0.74	66.23	±	2.73	Υ
	3/5/2008	0.77	±	0.24	2.86	±	0.88	Υ	14.60	±	0.82	54.02	±	3.02	Υ
	3/12/2008	1.01	±	0.23	3.74	±	0.86	Υ	24.40	±	0.85	90.28	±	3.13	Υ
	3/19/2008	0.41	±	0.28	1.53	±	1.05		17.60	±	1.12	65.12	±	4.14	Υ
	3/26/2008	0.88	±	0.24	3.24	±	0.88	Υ	17.30	±	0.89	64.01	±	3.30	Υ
DUBOIS	1/2/2008	0.96	±	0.25	3.56	±	0.91	Υ	33.50	±	1.72	123.95	±	6.36	Υ
	1/9/2008	0.92	±	0.17	3.39	±	0.64	Υ	18.20	±	0.63	67.34	±	2.35	Υ
	1/16/2008	0.70	±	0.16	2.59	±	0.60	Υ	16.70	±	0.67	61.79	±	2.48	Υ
	1/23/2008	0.94	±	0.18	3.46	±	0.66	Υ	28.60	±	0.82	105.82	±	3.05	Υ
a	1/30/2008	1.96	±	0.38	7.25	±	1.42	Υ	41.40	±	1.51	153.18	±	5.59	Υ
	2/6/2008	0.64	±	0.21	2.38	±	0.77	Υ	10.70	±	0.73	39.59	±	2.70	Υ
a	2/13/2008	1.27	±	0.67	4.70	±	2.47		14.60	±	2.05	54.02	±	7.59	Υ
	2/20/2008	0.51	±	0.19	1.88	±	0.70		17.60	±	0.73	65.12	±	2.72	Υ
	2/27/2008	1.24	±	0.33	4.59	±	1.22	Υ	30.40	±	1.19	112.48	±	4.40	Υ
a	3/5/2008	-2.02	±	35.30	-7.47	±	130.61		-81.70	±	111.00	-302.29	±	410.70	
	3/12/2008	0.74	±	0.27	2.72	±	0.98		28.20	±	1.05	104.34	±	3.89	Υ
	3/19/2008	0.16	±	0.14	0.59	±	0.53		16.80	±	0.67	62.16	±	2.48	Υ
	3/26/2008	0.88	±	0.27	3.24	±	1.01	Υ	20.20	±	1.06	74.74	±	3.92	Υ
IDAHO FALLS	1/2/2008	1.34	±	0.31	4.96	±	1.14	Υ	41.30	±	2.08	152.81	±	7.70	Υ
	1/9/2008	0.98	±	0.20	3.62	±	0.74	Υ	24.70	±	0.79	91.39	±	2.94	Υ
	1/16/2008	1.13	±	0.21	4.18	±	0.76	Y	18.70	±	0.76	69.19	±	2.81	Y
	1/23/2008	1.65	±	0.22	6.11	±	0.81	Ϋ́	38.20	±	0.92	141.34	±	3.40	Ϋ́
				0.21	4.77	±	0.78	Y	34.30		0.89		_		Ϋ́
	1/30/2008	1.29	±		4.11		U.76		Q4.QU	±	0.69	126.91	±	3.31	

TABLE C-1. Weekly Gross Alpha and Gross Beta Concentrations in Air

	_				GROSS ALPHA							GROSS BETA			
Sampling Group	Sampling			certainty			certainty				certainty			certainty	
and Location	Date		10 <sup>-15</sup> μCi		•	10 <sup>-11</sup> Bq		Result > 3s	•	0 <sup>-15</sup> μCi	,	•	0 <sup>-11</sup> Bq		Result > 3s
	2/13/2008	0.89	±	0.24	3.30	±	0.90	Y	18.30	±	0.86	67.71	±	3.16	Y
	2/20/2008	1.54	±	0.27	5.70	±	1.00	Υ	25.10	±	0.91	92.87	±	3.35	Y
	2/27/2008	1.42	±	0.29	5.25	±	1.09	Υ	38.90	±	1.13	143.93	±	4.18	Y
	3/5/2008	1.12	±	0.25	4.14	±	0.93	Υ	23.70	±	0.91	87.69	±	3.36	Υ
	3/12/2008	0.89	±	0.25	3.30	±	0.91	Y	32.90	±	1.00	121.73	±	3.70	Y
	3/19/2008	0.87	±	0.22	3.23	±	0.80	Υ	15.90	±	0.75	58.83	±	2.78	Y
-	3/26/2008	1.02	±	0.28	3.77	±	1.05	Υ	19.80	±	1.06	73.26	±	3.92	Y
JACKSON	1/2/2008	0.62	±	0.23	2.28	±	0.85		30.40	±	1.72	112.48	±	6.36	Y
	1/9/2008	1.04	±	0.21	3.85	±	0.79	Υ	31.00	±	0.90	114.70	±	3.32	Y
а	1/16/2008	1.40	±	0.46	5.18	±	1.68	Y	16.40	±	1.60	60.68	±	5.92	Y
	1/23/2008	0.82	±	0.16	3.03	±	0.60	Υ	21.80	±	0.71	80.66	±	2.64	Υ
	1/30/2008	1.14	±	0.24	4.22	±	0.90	Υ	36.80	±	1.10	136.16	±	4.07	Y
	2/6/2008	0.67	±	0.25	2.49	±	0.94		12.10	±	0.90	44.77	±	3.32	Υ
	2/13/2008	0.70	±	0.23	2.58	±	0.84	Υ	9.57	±	0.72	35.41	±	2.65	Υ
	2/20/2008	1.06	±	0.25	3.92	±	0.93	Υ	18.70	±	0.85	69.19	±	3.13	Υ
	2/27/2008	1.70	±	0.27	6.29	±	1.01	Υ	32.70	±	0.96	120.99	±	3.55	Υ
	3/5/2008	0.96	±	0.25	3.54	±	0.93	Υ	15.30	±	0.84	56.61	±	3.09	Υ
	3/12/2008	1.18	±	0.33	4.37	±	1.21	Υ	26.40	±	1.14	97.68	±	4.22	Υ
	3/19/2008	0.76	±	0.24	2.82	±	0.87	Υ	12.20	±	0.80	45.14	±	2.94	Υ
	3/26/2008	1.08	±	0.23	4.00	±	0.85	Υ	19.60	±	0.85	72.52	±	3.15	Υ
REXBURG CMS	1/2/2008	0.96	±	0.24	3.57	±	0.87	Υ	31.20	±	1.61	115.44	±	5.96	Υ
	1/9/2008	0.94	±	0.17	3.48	±	0.62	Υ	18.80	±	0.62	69.56	±	2.29	Υ
	1/16/2008	0.89	±	0.16	3.30	±	0.60	Υ	19.50	±	0.65	72.15	±	2.39	Υ
	1/23/2008	1.10	±	0.16	4.07	±	0.58	Υ	29.10	±	0.69	107.67	±	2.54	Υ
	1/30/2008	0.94	±	0.18	3.48	±	0.67	Υ	25.20	±	0.77	93.24	±	2.86	Υ
a	2/6/2008	1.71	±	0.76	6.33	±	2.82		8.58	±	2.31	31.75	±	8.55	Υ
	2/13/2008	0.63	±	0.19	2.31	±	0.68	Υ	16.10	±	0.69	59.57	±	2.55	Υ
	2/20/2008	1.07	±	0.21	3.96	±	0.77	Υ	25.40	±	0.77	93.98	±	2.86	Υ
	2/27/2008	1.38	±	0.24	5.11	±	0.89	Υ	30.30	±	0.88	112.11	±	3.24	Υ
	3/5/2008	0.83	±	0.23	3.08	±	0.84	Υ	21.50	±	0.85	79.55	±	3.14	Υ
	3/12/2008	0.97	±	0.22	3.59	±	0.82	Υ	29.60	±	0.87	109.52	±	3.21	Υ
	3/19/2008	0.89	±	0.20	3.30	±	0.72	Υ	15.70	±	0.68	58.09	±	2.50	Υ
	3/26/2008	1.25	±	0.22	4.63	±	0.81	Υ	16.80	±	0.74	62.16	±	2.75	Υ
INL SITE												0.00			
EFS	1/2/2008	0.78	±	0.24	2.88	±	0.87	Υ	49.10	±	1.94	181.67	±	7.18	Υ
	1/9/2008	1.04	±	0.19	3.85	±	0.70	Υ	29.60	±	0.80	109.52	±	2.95	Υ
	1/16/2008	0.60	±	0.15	2.23	±	0.55	Υ	19.00	±	0.67	70.30	±	2.48	Υ
	1/23/2008	1.74	±	0.22	6.44	±	0.81	Υ	42.20	±	0.93	156.14	±	3.43	Υ
	1/30/2008	1.41	±	0.23	5.22	±	0.85	Υ	35.10	±	0.96	129.87	±	3.56	Υ
	2/6/2008	0.46	±	0.17	1.72	±	0.63		13.10	±	0.66	48.47	±	2.46	Υ
	2/13/2008	0.89	±	0.22	3.29	±	0.80	Υ	15.90	±	0.74	58.83	±	2.72	Υ
	2/20/2008	0.80	±	0.22	2.95	±	0.82	Υ	26.30	±	0.89	97.31	±	3.28	Υ
	2/27/2008	0.92	±	0.24	3.42	±	0.88	Υ	36.50	±	1.00	135.05	±	3.70	Υ
	3/5/2008	0.71	±	0.21	2.62	±	0.77	Υ	25.00	±	0.85	92.50	±	3.15	Υ
	3/12/2008	1.08	±	0.26	4.00	±	0.96	Υ	41.30	±	1.09	152.81	±	4.03	Υ
	3/19/2008	0.27	±	0.18	0.99	±	0.68		19.70	±	0.83	72.89	±	3.06	Υ
	3/26/2008	0.44	±	0.20	1.64	±	0.75		17.10	±	0.86	63.27	±	3.18	Υ
MAIN GATE	1/2/2008	0.85	±	0.24	3.13	±	0.88	Υ	51.00	±	1.94	188.70	±	7.18	Υ
	1/9/2008	0.91	±	0.17	3.36	±	0.61	Υ	26.60	±	0.70	98.42	±	2.59	Υ
а	1/16/2008	2.34	±	0.45	8.66	±	1.67	Υ	25.10	±	1.47	92.87	±	5.44	Υ
a	1/23/2008	1.81	±	0.37	6.70	±	1.37	Υ	38.20	±	1.52	141.34	±	5.62	Υ
	1/30/2008	1.19	±	0.23	4.40	±	0.86	Y	43.80	±	1.10	162.06	±	4.07	Y
	2/6/2008	0.15	±	0.14	0.54	±	0.53		0.20	±	0.45	0.73	±	1.66	
	2/13/2008	0.27	±	0.18	1.01	±	0.67		15.50	±	0.75	57.35	±	2.77	Υ
	2/20/2008	1.67	±	0.30	6.18	±	1.12	Υ	41.20	±	1.15	152.44	±	4.26	Ϋ́
	2/20/2000	1.01	-	0.00	0.10	-	1.12	•	71.20	÷	1.10	152.74	÷	7.20	

TABLE C-1. Weekly Gross Alpha and Gross Beta Concentrations in Air

					GROSS ALPHA							GROSS BETA			
Sampling Group	Sampling			certainty			certainty				certainty			certainty	
and Location	Date	(x 1	I0 <sup>-15</sup> μCi.	/mL)	(x 1	0 <sup>-11</sup> Bq/	mL)	Result > 3s	(x 1	0 <sup>-15</sup> μCi/	/mL)	(x 1	0 <sup>-11</sup> Bq/	mL)	Result > 3s
	2/27/2008	1.35	±	0.26	5.00	±	0.97	Υ	32.80	±	0.97	121.36	±	3.60	Υ
	3/5/2008	1.21	±	0.25	4.48	±	0.92	Υ	23.50	±	0.88	86.95	±	3.24	Υ
	3/12/2008	0.97	±	0.26	3.58	±	0.94	Υ	38.10	±	1.07	140.97	±	3.96	Υ
	3/19/2008	0.63	±	0.20	2.35	±	0.75	Υ	17.50	±	0.78	64.75	±	2.88	Υ
	3/26/2008	1.04	±	0.24	3.85	±	0.88	Υ	17.70	±	0.87	65.49	±	3.20	Υ
VAN BUREN GATE	1/2/2008	0.90	±	0.26	3.32	±	0.97	Υ	50.80	±	2.08	187.96	±	7.70	Υ
	1/9/2008	0.64	±	0.17	2.37	±	0.64	Υ	29.40	±	0.81	108.78	±	3.01	Υ
	1/16/2008	0.64	±	0.17	2.38	±	0.62	Υ	19.90	±	0.75	73.63	±	2.78	Υ
	1/23/2008	1.77	±	0.23	6.55	±	0.84	Υ	42.80	±	0.97	158.36	±	3.57	Υ
	1/30/2008	1.41	±	0.21	5.22	±	0.78	Υ	30.40	±	0.84	112.48	±	3.09	Υ
	2/6/2008	0.49	±	0.19	1.81	±	0.71		11.80	±	0.72	43.66	±	2.67	Υ
	2/13/2008	0.64	±	0.21	2.37	±	0.78	Υ	15.10	±	0.76	55.87	±	2.81	Υ
	2/20/2008	0.89	±	0.24	3.30	±	0.88	Υ	25.60	±	0.91	94.72	±	3.38	Υ
	2/27/2008	1.82	±	0.29	6.73	±	1.07	Υ	36.00	±	1.02	133.20	±	3.77	Υ
	3/5/2008	0.68	±	0.20	2.53	±	0.73	Υ	23.70	±	0.80	87.69	±	2.96	Υ
	3/12/2008	1.49	±	0.28	5.51	±	1.04	Υ	39.00	±	1.07	144.30	±	3.96	Υ
	3/19/2008	0.72	±	0.25	2.67	±	0.94		18.60	±	0.95	68.82	±	3.52	Υ
	3/26/2008	1.04	±	0.28	3.85	±	1.03	Υ	18.90	±	1.03	69.93	±	3.81	Υ

TABLE C-2. Weekly lodine-131 Activity in Air.

Sampling Group	Sampling	Result ±	1s Un	certainty	Result ± 1	ls Un	certainty	
and Location	Date	(x 10	<sup>-15</sup> μC	i/mL)	(x 10	·11 Bq	/mL)	Result > 3s
BOUNDARY		•	-	•	`		,	
ARCO	01/02/2008	-0.31	±	1.51	-1.15	±	5.58	
	01/09/2008	1.81	±	1.83	6.70	±	6.77	
	01/16/2008	-0.25	±	1.43	-0.94	±	5.29	
	01/23/2008	1.45	±	3.80	5.37	±	14.05	
	01/30/2008	-0.50	±	1.34	-1.84	±	4.96	
	02/06/2008	-0.42	±	1.59	-1.56	±	5.88	
	02/13/2008	2.20	±	1.93	8.14	±	7.14	
a	02/20/2008	0.00	±	0.00	0.00	±	0.00	
	02/27/2008	-0.94	±	1.50	-3.49	±	5.54	
	03/05/2008	-1.22	±	1.13	-4.52	±	4.20	
	03/12/2008	1.10	±	1.33	4.08	±	4.91	
	03/19/2008	2.53	±	2.36	9.37	±	8.72	
	03/26/2008	2.99	±	2.53	11.06	±	9.37	
ATOMIC CITY	01/02/2008	-0.35	±	1.71	-1.30	±	6.31	
	01/09/2008	1.66	±	1.68	6.15	±	6.21	
	01/16/2008	-0.30	±	1.69	-1.11	±	6.27	
	01/23/2008	1.06	±	2.78	3.93	±	10.29	
	01/30/2008	-0.68	±	1.84	-2.53	±	6.82	
	02/06/2008	-0.37	±	1.40	-1.37	±	5.17	
	02/13/2008	1.86	±	1.63	6.88	±	6.04	
	02/20/2008	-1.94	±	1.66	-7.17	±	6.14	
	02/27/2008	-1.16	±	1.84	-4.29	±	6.81	
	03/05/2008	-1.31	±	1.22	-4.86	±	4.52	
	03/12/2008	1.43	±	1.72	5.28	±	6.35	
	03/19/2008	2.28	±	2.12	8.42	±	7.83	
	03/26/2008	3.25	±	2.75	12.02	±	10.18	
QA-1	01/02/2008	-0.43	±	2.11	-1.61	±	7.79	
	01/09/2008	1.93	±	1.95	7.16	±	7.23	
	01/16/2008	-0.26	±	1.44	-0.95	±	5.32	
	01/23/2008	1.34	±	3.50	4.95	±	12.94	
	01/30/2008	-0.50	±	1.35	-1.86	±	5.01	
	02/06/2008	-0.50	±	1.90	-1.87	±	7.04	
	02/13/2008	1.66	±	1.45	6.13	±	5.37	
	02/20/2008	-2.02	±	1.73	-7.48	±	6.41	
	02/27/2008	-1.13	±	1.80	-4.20	±	6.66	
	03/05/2008	-1.75	±	1.63	-6.48	±	6.02	
	03/12/2008	1.44	±	1.73	5.33	±	6.41	
	03/19/2008	2.68	±	2.49	9.92	±	9.23	
	03/26/2008	2.96	±	2.50	10.95	±	9.27	
BLUE DOME a	01/02/2008	1.83	±	6.64	6.76	±	24.57	
	01/09/2008	-0.20	±	1.25	-0.76	±	4.62	
	01/16/2008	0.23	±	2.12	0.87	±	7.85	
	01/23/2008	0.41	±	1.53	1.51	±	5.68	
	01/30/2008	1.07	±	1.38	3.96	±	5.09	
	02/06/2008	0.45	±	1.34	1.66	±	4.95	
	02/13/2008	1.12	±	1.24	4.14	±	4.60	
	02/20/2008	-1.79	±	1.52	-6.61	±	5.64	
	02/27/2008	-0.69	±	1.31	-2.55	±	4.86	

TABLE C-2. Weekly lodine-131 Activity in Air.

Sampling Group	Sampling	Result ± 1	s Ur	ncertainty	Result ±	ls Ur	certainty	
and Location	Date	(x 10 <sup>-1</sup>	<sup>l5</sup> μC	i/mL)	(x 10	·11 Bc	ı/mL)	Result > 3s
BOUNDARY		·	-	·	•		•	
	03/05/2008	1.52	±	1.34	5.63	±	4.97	
	03/12/2008	-2.11	±	1.34	-7.81	±	4.97	
	03/19/2008	-0.16	±	1.11	-0.59	±	4.11	
	03/26/2008	-0.58	±	1.15	-2.14	±	4.26	
QA-2 a	01/02/2008	47.09	±	171.16	174.23	±	633.31	
	01/09/2008	-0.27	±	1.65	-1.00	±	6.10	
	01/16/2008	0.28	±	2.54	1.04	±	9.39	
	01/23/2008	0.49	±	1.84	1.81	±	6.82	
	01/30/2008	1.28	±	1.64	4.73	±	6.08	
	02/06/2008	0.55	±	1.64	2.03	±	6.06	
	02/13/2008	1.35	±	1.49	4.98	±	5.53	
	02/20/2008	-2.15	±	1.83	-7.94	±	6.77	
	02/27/2008	-0.82	±	1.56	-3.04	±	5.78	
	03/05/2008	1.57	±	1.38	5.80	±	5.12	
	03/12/2008	-3.39	±	2.16	-12.55	±	7.99	
	03/19/2008	-0.22	±	1.54	-0.81	±	5.68	
	03/26/2008	-0.55	±	1.09	-2.03	±	4.03	
FAA TOWER	01/02/2008	0.40	±	1.44	1.47	±	5.33	
	01/09/2008	-0.31	±	1.87	-1.14	±	6.93	
	01/16/2008	0.28	±	2.51	1.03	±	9.30	
	01/23/2008	0.55	±	2.07	2.04	±	7.65	
	01/30/2008	1.23	±	1.58	4.54	±	5.83	
	02/06/2008	0.72	±	2.15	2.67	±	7.97	
a	02/13/2008	15.07	±	16.71	55.74	±	61.84	
	02/20/2008	-2.05	±	1.74	-7.57	±	6.46	
	02/27/2008	-0.75	±	1.42	-2.76	±	5.25	
	03/05/2008	1.86	±	1.65	6.90	±	6.09	
	03/12/2008	-2.03	±	1.29	-7.51	±	4.78	
	03/19/2008	-0.20	±	1.37	-0.73	±	5.09	
	03/26/2008	-0.72	±	1.44	-2.67	±	5.31	
HOWE	01/02/2008	0.41	±	1.51	1.53	±	5.57	
	01/09/2008	-0.19	±	1.15	-0.70	±	4.25	
	01/16/2008	0.26	±	2.38	0.98	±	8.80	
	01/23/2008	0.37	±	1.39	1.37	±	5.16	
	01/30/2008	1.40	±	1.80	5.19	±	6.67	
	02/06/2008	0.38	±	1.14	1.42	±	4.22	
	02/13/2008	1.01	±	1.12	3.72	±	4.13	
	02/20/2008	-1.54	±	1.32	-5.72	±	4.87	
a	02/27/2008	-1.68	±	3.20	-6.21	±	11.83	
	03/05/2008	1.42	±	1.26	5.26	±	4.65	
	03/12/2008	-2.06	±	1.31	-7.62	±	4.85	
	03/19/2008	-0.13	±	0.89	-0.47	±	3.31	
	03/26/2008	-0.58	±	1.16	-2.16	±	4.30	_
MONTEVIEW	01/02/2008	0.49	±	1.79	1.82	±	6.61	
	01/09/2008	-0.25	±	1.51	-0.92	±	5.60	
	01/16/2008	0.26	±	2.33	0.95	±	8.62	
	01/23/2008	0.45	±	1.70	1.68	±	6.30	
	01/30/2008	1.24	±	1.60	4.60	±	5.91	

TABLE C-2. Weekly lodine-131 Activity in Air.

Sampling Group	Sampling	Result ±	1s Un	certainty	Result ± '	1s Un	certainty	
and Location	Date	(x 10	<sup>-15</sup> μC	i/mL)	(x 10	<sup>-11</sup> Bo	ı/mL)	Result > 3s
BOUNDARY		`	•	,	,		,	
	02/06/2008	0.51	±	1.51	1.87	±	5.58	
	02/13/2008	1.23	±	1.36	4.54	±	5.03	
	02/20/2008	-1.94	±	1.65	-7.17	±	6.11	
	02/27/2008	-0.75	±	1.44	-2.79	±	5.31	
	03/05/2008	1.61	±	1.42	5.95	±	5.25	
	03/12/2008	-3.01	±	1.91	-11.13	±	7.08	
	03/19/2008	-0.25	±	1.74	-0.92	±	6.43	
	03/26/2008	-0.68	±	1.35	-2.51	±	5.00	
MUD LAKE	01/02/2008	0.50	±	1.82	1.86		6.74	
	01/09/2008	-0.22	±	1.33	-0.81	±	4.94	
	01/16/2008	0.30	±	2.75	1.13	±	10.17	
	01/23/2008	0.53	±	2.00	1.97	±	7.40	
	01/30/2008	1.38	±	1.77	5.10	±	6.55	
	02/06/2008	0.59	±	1.75	2.17	±	6.46	
	02/13/2008	1.39	±	1.55	5.15	±	5.72	
	02/20/2008	-1.69	±	1.45	-6.27	±	5.35	
	02/27/2008	-0.72	±	1.37	-2.66	±	5.07	
	03/05/2008	1.58	±	1.40	5.85		5.16	
	03/12/2008	-2.70		1.72	-9.98	±	6.35	
	03/19/2008	-2.70 -0.17	± ·	1.72	-9.96 -0.61	±	4.28	
	03/26/2008	-0.17 -0.77	± ±	1.16	-0.81	± ±	4.20 5.66	
DISTANT	03/20/2000	-0.77		1.55	-2.00	Ξ	5.00	
BLACKFOOT CMS	01/02/2008	-0.32	_	1.57	-1.19	_	5.79	
BLACKFOOT CIVIS	01/02/2008	1.58	± ·	1.57	5.84	±	5.79	
	01/16/2008	-0.25	± ·	1.39	-0.92	±	5.90	
	01/16/2008	-0.25 1.29	± ·	3.38	-0.92 4.78	±	12.51	
	01/23/2008	-0.54	± ·	3.36 1.45		±	5.37	
	02/06/2008	-0.3 <del>4</del> -0.37	± ·	1.40	-1.99	±	5.37 5.18	
	02/13/2008		± ·	1.40	-1.37 5.55	±		
		1.50	± ·			±	4.87	
	02/20/2008	-1.79	±	1.54	-6.63	±	5.68	
	02/27/2008	-1.04	±	1.64	-3.83	±	6.09	
	03/05/2008	-1.50	±	1.39	-5.54 5.44	±	5.15	
	03/12/2008	1.38	±	1.66	5.11	±	6.15	
	03/19/2008	1.97	±	1.83	7.28	±	6.77	
00.47500	03/26/2008	2.55	±	2.16	9.45	±	8.00	
CRATERS	01/02/2008	-0.36	±	1.72	-1.31	±	6.38	
	01/09/2008	2.54	±	2.57	9.39	±	9.49	
	01/16/2008	-0.27	±	1.50	-0.99	±	5.57	
	01/23/2008	1.47	±	3.85	5.44	±	14.23	
	01/30/2008	-0.49	±	1.33	-1.83	±	4.93	
	02/06/2008	-0.50	±	1.88	-1.84	±	6.95	
	02/13/2008	1.62	±	1.42	5.99	±	5.25	
	02/20/2008	-2.59	±	2.22	-9.57	±	8.20	
	02/27/2008	-0.91	±	1.45	-3.38	±	5.37	
	03/05/2008	-1.84	±	1.71	-6.82	±	6.34	
	03/12/2008	1.23	±	1.48	4.55	±	5.47	
	03/19/2008	3.76	±	3.50	13.92	±	12.94	
	03/26/2008	2.98	±	2.53	11.04	±	9.35	

TABLE C-2. Weekly lodine-131 Activity in Air.

Sampling Group	Sampling	Result ±	1s Ur	ncertainty	Result ± 1	ls Uı	ncertainty	
and Location	Date	(x 10	· <sup>15</sup> μC	i/mL)	(x 10	<sup>11</sup> Bo	q/mL)	Result > 3s
BOUNDARY		,	-	,	`		• /	
DUBOIS	01/02/2008	0.51	±	1.85	1.88	±	6.84	
	01/09/2008	-0.23	±	1.40	-0.85	±	5.19	
	01/16/2008	0.28	±	2.53	1.04	±	9.36	
	01/23/2008	0.49	±	1.83	1.81	±	6.79	
a	01/30/2008	2.73	±	3.50	10.09	±	12.97	
	02/06/2008	0.58	±	1.72	2.13	±	6.36	
a	02/13/2008	4.68	±	5.19	17.32	±	19.21	
	02/20/2008	-1.81	±	1.55	-6.71	±	5.72	
	02/27/2008	-1.05	±	2.00	-3.89	±	7.41	
a	03/05/2008	368.17	±	325.26	1362.22	±	1203.46	
	03/12/2008	-2.73	±	1.74	-10.10	±	6.42	
	03/19/2008	-0.14	±	0.95	-0.51	±	3.53	
	03/26/2008	-0.78	±	1.56	-2.89	±	5.76	
IDAHO FALLS	01/02/2008	0.61	±	2.22	2.26	±	8.20	
	01/09/2008	-0.28	±	1.68	-1.02	±	6.20	
	01/16/2008	0.32	±	2.87	1.18	±	10.63	
	01/23/2008	0.48	±	1.80	1.77	±	6.65	
	01/30/2008	1.30	±	1.67	4.80	±	6.17	
	02/06/2008	0.51	±	1.51	1.87	±	5.57	
	02/13/2008	1.42	±	1.57	5.24	±	5.81	
	02/20/2008	-2.07	±	1.77	-7.67	±	6.54	
	02/27/2008	-0.84	±	1.61	-3.12	±	5.94	
	03/05/2008	1.71	±	1.51	6.32	±	5.58	
	03/12/2008	-2.34	±	1.49	-8.66	±	5.51	
	03/19/2008	-0.17	±	1.16	-0.61	±	4.28	
	03/26/2008	-0.79	±	1.56	-2.91	±	5.78	
JACKSON	01/02/2008	-0.41	±	1.99	-1.52	±	7.36	
	01/09/2008	2.06	±	2.08	7.63	±	7.71	
а	01/16/2008	-0.98	±	5.51	-3.63	±	20.39	
	01/23/2008	1.16	±	3.04	4.30	±	11.25	
	01/30/2008	-0.77	±	2.07	-2.85	±	7.67	
	02/06/2008	-0.61	±	2.28	-2.24	±	8.44	
	02/13/2008	1.98	±	1.74	7.33	±	6.43	
	02/20/2008	-2.38	±	2.04	-8.80	±	7.54	
	02/27/2008	-0.98	±	1.55	-3.62	±	5.75	
	03/05/2008	-1.87	±	1.74	-6.92	±	6.43	
	03/12/2008	1.84	±	2.22	6.82	±	8.21	
	03/19/2008	2.70	±	2.51	9.97	±	9.27	
	03/26/2008	2.66	±	2.25	9.84	±	8.33	
REXBURG CMS	01/02/2008	0.48	±	1.73	1.76	±	6.41	_
	01/09/2008	-0.22	±	1.32	-0.80	±	4.89	
	01/16/2008	0.24	±	2.18	0.89	±	8.07	
	01/23/2008	0.35	±	1.33	1.31	±	4.91	
	01/30/2008	1.25	±	1.61	4.64	±	5.96	
a	02/06/2008	2.35	±	7.02	8.71	±	25.96	
	02/13/2008	1.09	±	1.21	4.04	±	4.48	
	02/20/2008	-1.61	±	1.38	-5.97	±	5.09	
	02/27/2008	-0.65	±	1.24	-2.41	±	4.59	
	_							

TABLE C-2. Weekly lodine-131 Activity in Air.

Sampling Group	Sampling	Result ±	1s Un	certainty	Result ±	1s Un	certainty	
and Location	Date	(x 10	) <sup>-15</sup> µCi	/mL)	(x 10	<sup>-11</sup> Bq	/mL)	Result > 3s
BOUNDARY		,	•	,	,		,	
	03/05/2008	1.62	±	1.43	5.99	±	5.29	
	03/12/2008	-1.97	±	1.26	-7.30	±	4.65	
	03/19/2008	-0.14	±	1.00	-0.53	±	3.69	
	03/26/2008	-0.51	±	1.02	-1.90	±	3.78	
INL SITE								
EFS	01/02/2008	-0.39	±	1.90	-1.45	±	7.03	
	01/09/2008	1.74	±	1.76	6.44	±	6.50	
	01/16/2008	-0.27	±	1.50	-0.99	±	5.55	
	01/23/2008	1.14	±	2.98	4.22	±	11.03	
	01/30/2008	-0.64	±	1.71	-2.36	±	6.35	
	02/06/2008	-0.39	±	1.47	-1.45	±	5.46	
	02/13/2008	1.70	±	1.49	6.31	±	5.53	
	02/20/2008	-2.14	±	1.84	-7.93	±	6.80	
	02/27/2008	-0.98	±	1.56	-3.63	±	5.76	
	03/05/2008	-1.54	±	1.43	-5.69	±	5.29	
	03/12/2008	1.33	±	1.60	4.93	±	5.93	
	03/19/2008	2.35	±	2.19	8.70	±	8.09	
	03/26/2008	2.85	±	2.41	10.54	±	8.92	
MAIN GATE	01/02/2008	-0.38		1.86	-1.42		6.89	
	01/09/2008	1.51	±	1.52	5.58	±	5.63	
a	01/16/2008	-0.76	±	4.26	-2.80	±	15.75	
a	01/23/2008	2.77	±	7.25	10.25	±	26.82	
ŭ	01/30/2008	-0.69	±	1.86	-2.55	±	6.88	
	02/06/2008	-0.41	±	1.56	-1.53	±	5.76	
	02/13/2008	1.77	±	1.55	6.53	±	5.73	
	02/20/2008	-2.49	±	2.13	-9.20	±	7.88	
	02/27/2008	-1.00	±	1.59	-3.71	±	5.89	
	03/05/2008	-1.66	±	1.54	-6.13	±	5.70	
	03/12/2008	1.36	±	1.64	5.04	±	6.06	
	03/12/2008	2.27		2.11	8.41		7.82	
	03/26/2008	2.84	±	2.40	10.50	± ±	8.89	
VAN BUREN GATE	01/02/2008	-0.43	±	2.40	-1.59	<u>_</u>	7.70	
VAN BOREN GATE	01/02/2008	1.81		1.83	6.71		6.78	
	01/16/2008	-0.31	±	1.74	-1.15	±	6.45	
	01/10/2008	-0.31 1.21	±	3.16	-1.15 4.47	±	0.45 11.70	
			±			±		
	01/30/2008 02/06/2008	-0.56	±	1.50	-2.06	±	5.54	
		-0.46	±	1.73	-1.70	±	6.38	
	02/13/2008	1.82	±	1.60	6.73	±	5.90	
	02/20/2008	-2.28	±	1.95	-8.43	±	7.22	
	02/27/2008	-1.02	±	1.63	-3.79	±	6.02	
	03/05/2008	-1.44	±	1.34	-5.33	±	4.95	
	03/12/2008	1.34	±	1.61	4.96	±	5.96	
	03/19/2008	2.95	±	2.74	10.91	±	10.14	
- 11.10	03/26/2008	3.50	±	2.96	12.93	±	10.95	
<ul> <li>a. Invalid Sample Res</li> </ul>	suit							

TABLE C-3. Quarterly Americium-241, Cesium-137, Plutonium-238, Plutonium-239/240, and Strontium-90 Concentrations in Composite Air Filters.

Sampling Group and Location	Sampling Date	Analyte	Result ± '				1s Ur ) <sup>-13</sup> Bo	ncertainty	Result > 3s
BOUNDARY	Date	Analyte	(X 10	μΟι	/IIIL)	(X 10	, BC	<i>∤</i> /111∟ <i>)</i>	Result > 35
ARCO	3/30/2008	CESIUM-137	-11.90	±	137.00	-44.03	±	506.90	
ATOMIC CITY	3/30/2008	CESIUM-137	220.00	±	94.00	814.00	±	347.80	
	0,00,200	STRONTIUM-90	14.20	±	13.40	52.54	±	49.58	
ATOMIC CITY (QA-1)	3/30/2008	CESIUM-137	-6.96	±	134.00	-25.75	±	495.80	
(4)	5,55,255	STRONTIUM-90	6.52	±	12.90	24.12	±	47.73	
BLUE DOME	3/30/2008	CESIUM-137	-6.92	±	124.00	-25.60	±	458.80	
	5,55,255	STRONTIUM-90	-20.80	±	10.40	-76.96	±	38.48	
BLUE DOME (QA-2)	3/30/2008	CESIUM-137	-110.00	±	193.00	-407.00	±	714.10	
(-1, /		STRONTIUM-90	-51.00	±	14.30	-188.70	±	52.91	
FAA TOWER	3/30/2008	AMERICIUM-241	1.89	±	0.67	6.99	±	2.48	
		CESIUM-137	5.09	±	187.00	18.83	±	691.90	
		PLUTONIUM-238	0.28	±	0.64	1.05	±	2.35	
		PLUTONIUM-239/40	1.70	±	0.80	6.29	±	2.96	
HOWE	3/30/2008	CESIUM-137	4.92	±	124.00	18.20	±	458.80	
	5,55,255	STRONTIUM-90	-29.00	±	21.10	-107.30	±	78.07	
MONTEVIEW	3/30/2008	CESIUM-137	-63.00	±	156.00	-233.10	±	577.20	
MUD LAKE	3/30/2008	AMERICIUM-241	1.98	±	0.87	7.33	±	3.22	
		CESIUM-137	-117.00	±	99.90	-432.90	±	369.63	
		PLUTONIUM-238	0.71	±	0.71	2.63	±	2.63	
		PLUTONIUM-239/40	0.71	±	0.71	2.63	±	2.63	
DISTANT									
BLACKFOOT	3/30/2008	CESIUM-137	529.00	±	136.00	1957.30	±	503.20	Υ
CRATERS	3/30/2008	CESIUM-137	-66.70	±	100.00	-246.79	±	370.00	
DUBOIS	3/30/2008	CESIUM-137	-107.00	±	191.00	-395.90	±	706.70	
IDAHO FALLS	3/30/2008	AMERICIUM-241	0.98	±	0.47	3.61	±	1.73	
		CESIUM-137	321.00	±	148.00	1187.70	±	547.60	
		PLUTONIUM-238	0.21	±	0.71	0.79	±	2.63	
		PLUTONIUM-239/40	0.86	±	0.43	3.17	±	1.59	
JACKSON	3/30/2008	CESIUM-137	-131.00	±	159.00	-484.70	±	588.30	
REXBURG CMS	3/30/2008	CESIUM-137	-98.20	±	88.70	-363.34	±	328.19	
		STRONTIUM-90	7.97	±	14.30	29.49	±	52.91	

TABLE C-3. Quarterly Americium-241, Cesium-137, Plutonium-238, Plutonium-239/240, and Strontium-90 Concentrations in Composite Air Filters.

Sampling Group and Location	Sampling Date	Analyte	Result ± 1s Uncertainty (x 10 <sup>-18</sup> μCi/mL)			Result ± (x 10	Result > 3s		
INL SITE									
EFS	3/30/2008	CESIUM-137	72.90	±	132.00	269.73	±	488.40	
		STRONTIUM-90	20.30	±	14.80	75.11	±	54.76	
MAIN GATE	3/30/2008	AMERICIUM-241	2.52	±	1.47	9.32	±	5.44	
		CESIUM-137	66.70	±	171.00	246.79	±	632.70	
		PLUTONIUM-238	7.77	±	1.42	28.75	±	5.25	Υ
		PLUTONIUM-239/40	1.47	±	0.63	5.44	±	2.33	
VAN BUREN GATE	3/30/2008	AMERICIUM-241	2.29	±	0.79	8.47	±	2.90	
		CESIUM-137	303.00	±	147.00	1121.10	±	543.90	
		PLUTONIUM-238	0.80	±	0.60	2.96	±	2.20	
		PLUTONIUM-239/40	0.00	±	0.00	0.00	±	0.00	

**TABLE C-4. Tritium Concentrations in Atmospheric Moisture.** 

Sampling Group	Start	Sampling			ncertainty		Result ± 1s Uncertainty		Collection	
and Location	Date	Date	(x 10	⁻¹³ µCi	/mL <sub>air)</sub>	(x 10	) <sup>-9</sup> Bq	/mL <sub>air)</sub>	Medium	Result > 3s
BOUNDARY					,			•		
ATOMIC CITY	11/21/2007	01/02/2008	1.77	±	0.80	6.54	±	2.97	Molecular Sieve	
ATOMIC CITY	01/02/2008	02/27/2008	1.49	±	0.59	5.52	±	2.19	Molecular Sieve	
DISTANT										
BLACKFOOT	12/12/2007	01/16/2008	4.65	±	1.21	17.22	±	4.47	Molecular Sieve	Υ
BLACKFOOT	01/16/2008	02/13/2008	4.76	±	1.24	17.62	±	4.57	Molecular Sieve	Υ
BLACKFOOT	02/13/2008	03/12/2008	5.90	±	1.56	21.84	±	5.77	Molecular Sieve	Υ
IDAHO FALLS	12/20/2007	02/04/2008	3.03	±	0.92	11.22	±	3.40	Molecular Sieve	Υ
IDAHO FALLS	02/04/2008	03/10/2008	6.95	±	1.30	25.70	±	4.80	Molecular Sieve	Υ
REXBURG CMS	12/05/2007	01/16/2008	3.49	±	0.95	12.90	±	3.51	Molecular Sieve	Υ
REXBURG CMS	01/16/2008	02/27/2008	3.04	±	1.22	11.25	±	4.52	Molecular Sieve	

**TABLE C-5. Monthly and Weekly Tritium Concentrations in Precipitation.** 

			Result ±	1s Un	certainty	Result ±	1s Un	certainty	
Location	Start Date	<b>End Date</b>		(pCi/L	)		(Bq/L)		Result > 3s
Idaho Falls	12/6/2007	1/10/2008	2.12	±	30.70	0.08	±	1.14	
	1/10/2008	2/11/2008	57.50	±	30.50	2.13	±	1.13	
	2/11/2008	3/3/2008	40.10	±	33.10	1.48	±	1.22	
CFA	12/3/2007	1/2/2008	81.50	±	31.50	3.02	±	1.17	
	1/2/2008	2/1/2008	46.80	±	33.60	1.73	±	1.24	
	2/1/2008	3/3/2008	61.00	±	32.20	2.26	±	1.19	
EFS	1/2/2008	1/9/2008	68.60	±	30.70	2.54	±	1.14	
	2/6/2008	2/13/2008	77.60	±	30.90	2.87	±	1.14	
	3/5/2008	3/12/2008	56.80	±	33.40	2.10	±	1.24	
	3/19/2008	3/26/2008	81.00	±	33.90	3.00	±	1.25	

Table C-6. Weekly and Monthly Iodine-131 and Cesium-137 Concentrations in Milk

				lodir	ne-131			Cesium-137							
	Sampling	Result:		ncertainty			ncertainty	-	Result ±	1s Un	certainty	Result ±	1s Ur	certainty	-
Location Date	Date		(pCi <sup>†</sup> /	L)	(	Bq <sup>‡</sup> /L	.)	Result > 3s		(pCi/L)		(	(Bq/L	)	Result > 3s
DIETRICH															
	01/08/2008	1.18	±	0.81	0.044	±	0.030		-1.13	±	0.78	-0.042	±	0.029	
	02/05/2008	-0.70	±	1.09	-0.026	±	0.040		0.44	±	0.89	0.016	±	0.033	
	03/04/2008	-2.10	±	1.60	-0.078	±	0.059		0.77	±	1.28	0.029	±	0.047	
HOWE															
	02/05/2008	0.29	±	0.81	0.011	±	0.030		1.05	±	0.79	0.039	±	0.029	
	03/04/2008	-0.24	±	0.82	-0.009	±	0.030		-0.30	±	0.82	-0.011	±	0.030	
IDAHO FALLS															
	01/08/2008	0.29	±	1.18	0.011	±	0.044		0.92	±	0.89	0.034	±	0.033	
	01/08/2008	-0.87	±	1.60	-0.032	±	0.059		2.88	±	1.33	0.107	±	0.049	
	01/15/2008	-1.11	±	1.10	-0.041	±	0.041		0.39	±	0.90	0.014	±	0.033	
	01/22/2008	-2.98	±	2.26	-0.110	±	0.084		-1.96	±	2.68	-0.073	±	0.099	
	01/29/2008	1.89	±	1.11	0.070	±	0.041		-0.28	±	0.90	-0.010	±	0.033	
	02/05/2008	0.10	±	0.76	0.004	±	0.028		0.47	±	0.81	0.017	±	0.030	
Duplicate	02/05/2008	1.66	±	2.94	0.061	±	0.109		339.00	±	9.56	12.556	±	0.354	See Text
	02/12/2008	-2.37	±	1.09	-0.088	±	0.040		-1.09	±	0.92	-0.040	±	0.034	
	02/19/2008	1.03	±	1.20	0.038	±	0.044		0.72	±	0.93	0.027	±	0.035	
	02/26/2008	0.65	±	1.09	0.024	±	0.040		-0.01	±	0.89	0.000	±	0.033	
	03/04/2008	-0.67	±	0.76	-0.025	±	0.028		0.92	±	0.81	0.034	±	0.030	
	03/11/2008	0.91	±	1.11	0.034	±	0.041		1.06	±	0.91	0.039	±	0.034	
	03/18/2008	-1.31	±	1.06	-0.049	±	0.039		-0.11	±	0.93	-0.004	±	0.035	
	03/25/2008	0.40	±	1.08	0.015	±	0.040		0.45	±	0.94	0.017	±	0.035	
MORELAND															
	01/08/2008	0.49	±	1.40	0.018	±	0.052		0.03	±	0.91	0.001	±	0.034	
	02/05/2008	-1.65	±	1.99	-0.061	±	0.074		4.19	±	1.52	0.155	±	0.056	
Duplicate	02/05/2008	-0.93	±	0.86	-0.034	±	0.032		0.83	±	0.79	0.031	±	0.029	
	03/04/2008	-0.61	±	2.21	-0.023	±	0.082		1.87	±	2.65	0.069	±	0.098	
RUPERT															
	01/08/2008	-1.29	±	1.95	-0.048	±	0.072		0.47	±	1.35	0.017	±	0.050	
	02/05/2008	0.63	±	2.17	0.023	±	0.080		3.55	±	2.74	0.131	±	0.101	
	03/04/2008	-0.47	±	1.10	-0.017	±	0.041		-0.50	±	0.91	-0.019	±	0.034	

Table C-6. Weekly and Monthly Iodine-131 and Cesium-137 Concentrations in Milk

TERRETON													
	01/08/2008	-2.10	±	1.76	-0.078	±	0.065	0.50	±	0.96	0.019	±	0.036
	02/05/2008	0.06	±	1.65	0.002	±	0.061	-0.63	±	1.40	-0.023	±	0.052
	03/04/2008	-3.73	±	1.21	-0.138	±	0.045	1.27	±	0.90	0.047	±	0.033

## APPENDIX D STATISTICAL ANALYSIS RESULTS




Table D-1. Results of the Kruskal-Wallace statistical test between INL Site, Boundary and Distant sample groups by month.

Parameter	P <sup>a</sup>						
Gross Alpha							
Quarter	0.62						
January	0.56						
February	0.48						
March	0.37						
Gross Beta							
Quarter	0.10						
January	0.06						
February	0.69						
March 0.33							
A 'p' value greater than 0.05 signifies no statistical difference between data groups.							

<sup>1&</sup>lt;sup>st</sup> Quarter 2008 D-1 September 2008

Table D-2. Statistical difference in weekly gross alpha and gross beta concentrations measured at Boundary and Distant locations.

		Mann-Whitney U tes
Parameter	Week	P <sup>a</sup>
Gross Alpha		
	January 2	0.42
	January 9	1.00
	January 16	0.22
	January 23	0.04
	January 30	0.81
	February 6	0.69
	February 13	0.58
	February 20	0.20
	February 27	0.75
	March 5	0.12
	March 12	0.48
	March 19	1.00
	March 26	0.48
Gross Beta		
	January 2	0.04
	January 9	0.43
	January 16	0.94
	January 23	0.02
	January 30	0.81
	February 6	0.04
	February 13	0.10
	February 20	0.63
	February 27	0.87
	March 5	0.81
	March 12	0.05
	March 19	0.13
	March 26	1.00
	IVIAICII 20	1.00

a. A 'p' value greater than 0.05 signifies no statistical difference between data groups.