S.M. Stoller Corporation Environmental Surveillance, Education, and Research Program ISSN NUMBER 1089-5469

# Idaho National Laboratory Site Offsite Environmental Surveillance Program Report: Second Quarter 2007

November 2007



Contributors: Marilyn Case, Russ Mitchell

Program conducted for the U.S. Department of Energy, Idaho Operations Office Under Contract DE-AC07-06ID14680

> By the S.M. Stoller Corporation, Environmental Surveillance, Education and Research Program Douglas K. Halford, Program Manager 120 Technology Dr., Idaho Falls, Idaho 83402 <u>www.stoller-eser.com</u>

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

None of the radionuclides detected in any of the samples collected during the second quarter of 2007 could not be directly linked with INL Site activities. Levels of detected radionuclides were no different than values measured at other locations across the United States or were consistent with levels measured historically at the INL Site. All detected radionuclide concentrations were well below guidelines set by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and regulatory standards established by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for protection of the public.

This report for the second quarter of 2007 contains results from the Environmental Surveillance, Education and Research (ESER) Program's monitoring of the Department of Energy's Idaho National Laboratory (INL) Site's offsite environment, April 1 through June 30, 2007. All sample types (media) and the sampling schedule followed during 2007 are listed in Appendix A. Specifically, this report contains the results for the following:

- Air sampling, including particulate air filters, charcoal cartridges and atmospheric moisture
- Precipitation sampling
- Milk sampling
- Large game animal sampling
- Radiation measurements using environmental dosimeters

Media	Sample Type	Analysis	Results
Air	Filters	Gross alpha, gross beta	The Distant locations had statistically higher gross alpha concentrations for the second quarter than the Boundary locations. There were no statistical differences noted for monthly gross alpha or gross beta concentrations measured at INL Site, Boundary and Distant locations. Some weekly differences were noted but these appear to be normal variability in the data. No result exceeded the DCG for gross alpha or gross beta activity in air.
		Gamma-emitting radionuclides, select actinides ( <sup>241</sup> Am, <sup>238</sup> Pu, and <sup>239,240</sup> Pu), <sup>90</sup> Sr	Cesium-137 was not found. Strontium-90, Americium-241, Plutonium-238 and Plutonium- 239/240 were each found on at least one composite at low levels. All reported detections were within the range of historical measurements.
	Charcoal Cartridge	lodine-131	No detections of <sup>131</sup> I were made during the second quarter.
Atmospheric Moisture	Liquid	Tritium	A total of 20 samples were collected. All but one of these samples had tritium results greater than

Table E-1Summary of results for the second quarter of 2007.

			the 3s uncertainty. Concentrations were consistent with those reported across the region and with previous results.
Precipitation	Liquid	Tritium	Eleven samples were collected. All of the results were greater than the 3s uncertainty. No sample result exceeded the DCG for tritium in air.
Milk	Liquid	lodine-131, gamma- emitting radionuclides, tritium and Strontium- 90	No manmade gamma-emitting radionuclides or tritium were detected in any samples. Strontium- 90 was detected at low levels in all four samples analyzed. Results were within historical measurements.
Game Animals	Tissue	lodine-131, gamma emitting radionuclides	No manmade gamma-emitting radionuclides were detected in the one game animal available for sampling during the second quarter.
Environmental Radiation	TLD	Ambient ionizing radiation	Values were consistent with expected exposures given the altitude and location of the TLD's. There were no statistical differences between Boundary and Distant location results.

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary	ii
Table of Contents	iv
List of Figures	v
List of Tables	vi
List of Abbreviations	vii
List of Units	viii
1. ESER Program Description	1-1
2. The INL Site	2-1
3. Air Sampling	3-1
Low-Volume Air Sampling	3-1
Atmospheric Moisture Sampling	
4. Precipitation Sampling	4-1
5. Agricultural Product and Wildlife Sampling	5-1
Milk Sampling	5-1
Large Game Animal Sampling	5-2
6. Environmental Radiation	6-1
7. Quality Assurance	7-1
8. References	8-1
Appendix A Summary of Sampling Schedule	A - 1
Appendix B Summary of MDCs and DCGs	B - 1
Appendix C Sample Analysis Results	C - 1
Appendix D Statistical Analysis Results	D - 1

#### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.	Example of overlap of blank and sample measurement distributions1-3
Figure 2.	Low-volume air sampler locations
Figure 3.	Gross alpha concentrations in air at ESER INL Site, Boundary and Distant locations for the second quarter of 2007
Figure 4.	April gross alpha concentrations in air at ESER INL Site, Boundary and Distant locations
Figure 5.	May gross alpha concentrations in air at ESER INL Site, Boundary and Distant locations
Figure 6.	June gross alpha concentrations in air at ESER INL Site, Boundary and Distant locations
Figure 7.	Gross beta concentrations in air at ESER INL Site, Boundary and Distant locations for the second quarter 2007
Figure 8.	April gross beta concentrations in air at ESER INL Site, Boundary and Distant locations
Figure 9.	May gross beta concentrations in air at ESER INL Site, Boundary and Distant locations
Figure 10.	June gross beta concentrations in air at ESER INL Site, Boundary and Distant locations
Figure 11.	ESER milk sampling locations5-1
Figure 12.	TLD sampling locations6-1

#### LIST OF TABLES

Table E-1.	Summary of results for the second quarter of 2007 ii
Table A-1.	Summary of the ESER Program's Sampling Schedule A-1
Table B-1.	Summary of Approximate Minimum Detectable Concentrations for Radiological Analyses Performed During First Quarter 2007
Table C-1	Weekly Gross Alpha and Gross Beta Concentrations in Air C-1
Table C-2	Weekly Iodine-131 Activity in AirC-6
Table C-3	Quarterly Americium-241, Cesium-137, Plutonium-238, Plutonium-239/240, & Strontium-90 Concentrations in Composite Air Filters
Table C-4	Tritium Concentrations in Atmospheric MoistureC-13
Table C-5	Monthly and Weekly Tritium Concentrations in Precipitation
Table C-6	Weekly and Monthly Iodine-131 & Cesium-137 Concentrations in Milk C-15
Table C-7	Strontium-90 and Tritium Concentrations in MilkC-17
Table C-8	Cesium-137 and Iodine-131 Concentrations in Large Game Animals C-18
Table C-7	Environmental Radiation Results C-19
Table D-1.	Results of the Kruskal-Wallace statistical test between INL Site, Boundary and Distant sample groups by monthD-1
Table D-2.	Statistical difference in weekly gross alpha and gross beta concentrations measured at Boundary and Distant locations

AEC	Atomic Energy Commission
CFA	Central Facilities Area
CMS	community monitoring station
DCG	Derived Concentration Guide
DOE	Department of Energy
DOE – ID	Department of Energy Idaho Operations Office
EAL	Environmental Assessment Laboratory
EFS	Experimental Field Station
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
ERAMS	Environmental Radiation Ambient Monitoring System
ESER	Environmental Surveillance, Education, and Research
ICP	Idaho Cleanup Project
INL	Idaho National Laboratory
INEL	Idaho National Engineering Laboratory
INEEL	Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory
ISU	Idaho State University
MDC	minimum detectable concentration
NRTS	National Reactor Testing Station
PM <sub>10</sub>	particulate matter less than 10 micrometers in diameter

#### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

#### LIST OF UNITS

- Bq becquerel
- Ci curie
- g gram
- L liter
- µCi microcurie
- mL milliliter
- mR milliRoentgen
- pCi picocurie

### 1. ESER PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

Operations at the Idaho National Laboratory (INL) Site are conducted under requirements imposed by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) under authority of the Atomic Energy Act, and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under a number of acts (e.g. the Clean Air Act and Safe Drinking Water Act). The requirements imposed by DOE are specified in DOE Orders. These requirements include those to monitor the effects of DOE activities both inside and outside the boundaries of DOE facilities (DOE 2003). During calendar year 2007, environmental monitoring within the INL Site boundaries was primarily the responsibility of the INL and Idaho Cleanup Project (ICP) contractors, while monitoring outside the INL Site boundaries was conducted under the Environmental Surveillance, Education and Research (ESER) Program. The ESER Program is led by the S.M. Stoller Corporation in cooperation with its team members, including the University of Idaho, Idaho State University (ISU), the Wildlife Conservation Society and Teledyne Brown Engineering. This report contains monitoring results from the ESER Program for samples collected during the second quarter of 2007 (April 1–June 30, 2007).

The surveillance portion of the ESER Program is designed to satisfy the following program objectives:

- Verify compliance with applicable environmental laws, regulations, and DOE Orders
- Characterize and define trends in the physical, chemical, and biological condition of environmental media on and around the INL Site
- Assess the potential radiation dose to members of the public from INL Site effluents
- Present program results clearly and concisely through the use of reports, presentations, newsletter articles and press releases.

The goal of the surveillance program is to monitor different media at a number of potential exposure points within the various exposure pathways, including air, water, agricultural products, wildlife and soil, that could possibly contribute to the radiation dose received by the public.

Environmental samples collected include:

- air at 16 locations on and around the INL Site
- moisture in air at four locations around the INL Site
- precipitation from three locations on and around the INL Site
- agricultural products, including milk at nine dairies around the INL Site, potatoes from at least five local producers, wheat from approximately 10 local producers, and lettuce from approximately nine home-owned and portable gardens on and around the INL
- soil from 12 locations around the INL Site biennially
- environmental dosimeters from 15 locations semi-annually
- various numbers of wildlife including big game (pronghorn, mule deer, and elk) and waterfowl sampled on and near the INL Site.

Table A-1 in Appendix A lists samples, sampling locations and collection frequency for the ESER Program.

The ESER Program used two laboratories to perform analyses on routine environmental samples collected during the quarter reported here. The ISU Environmental Assessment Laboratory (EAL) performed routine gross alpha, gross beta, tritium and gamma spectrometry

analyses. Analyses requiring radiochemistry including strontium-90 (<sup>90</sup>Sr), plutonium-238 (<sup>238</sup>Pu), plutonium-239/240 (<sup>239/240</sup>Pu) and americium-241 (<sup>241</sup>Am) were performed by Teledyne Brown Engineering, Inc. of Knoxville, Tennessee.

In the event of non-routine occurrences, such as suspected releases of radioactive material, the ESER Program may increase the frequency of sampling and/or the number of sampling locations based on the nature of the release and wind distribution patterns. Any data found to be outside historical norms in the ESER Program is thoroughly investigated to determine if an INL Site origin is likely. Investigation may include re-sampling and/or re-analysis of prior samples.


In the event of any suspected worldwide nuclear incidents, like the 1986 Chernobyl accident, the EPA may request additional sampling be performed through RadNet [previously known as the Environmental Radiation Ambient Monitoring System (ERAMS) network] (EPA 2006). The EPA established the ERAMS network in 1973 with an emphasis on identifying trends in the accumulation of long-lived radionuclides in the environment. ERAMS was renamed RadNet in 2005 to reflect a new mission. RadNet is comprised of a nationwide network of sampling stations that provide air, precipitation, drinking water and milk samples. The ESER Program currently operates a high-volume air sampler and collects precipitation and drinking water in Idaho Falls for this national program and routinely sends samples to EPA's Eastern Environmental Radiation Facility for analyses. The RadNet data collected at Idaho Falls are not reported by the ESER Program but are available through the EPA RadNet website (http://www.epa.gov/narel/radnet/).

Once samples have been collected and analyzed, the ESER Program has the responsibility for quality control of the data and for preparing quarterly reports on results from the environmental surveillance program. The quarterly reports are then consolidated into the INL Site Environmental Report for each calendar year. These annual reports also include data collected by other INL Site contractors.

The results reported in the quarterly and annual reports are assessed in terms of data quality and statistical significance with respect to laboratory analytical uncertainties, sample locations, reported INL Site releases, meteorological data, and worldwide events that might conceivably have an effect on the INL Site environment. First, field collection and laboratory information are reviewed to determine identifiable errors that would invalidate or limit use of the data. Examples of such limitations include insufficient sample volume, torn filters, evidence of laboratory cross-contamination or quality control issues. Data that pass initial screening are further evaluated using statistical methods. Statistical tools are necessary for data evaluation particularly since environmental measurements typically involve the determination of minute concentrations, which are difficult to detect and even more difficult to distinguish from other measurements.

Results are presented in this report with an analytical uncertainty term, *s*, where "*s*" is the estimated sample standard deviation ( $\sigma$ ), assuming a Gaussian or normal distribution. All results are reported in this document, even those that do not necessarily represent detections. The term "detected", as used for the discussion of results in this report, does not imply any degree of risk to the public or environment, but rather indicates that the radionuclide was measured at a concentration sufficient for the analytical instrument to record a value that is statistically different from background. The ESER has adopted guidelines developed by the United States Geological Survey (Bartholomay, et al. 2003), based on an extension of a method proposed by Currie (1984), to interpret analytical results and make decisions concerning detection. Most of the following discussion is taken from Bartholomay et al (2003).

Laboratory measurements involve the analysis of a target sample and the analysis of a prepared laboratory blank (i.e., a sample which is identical to the sample collected in the environment, except that the radionuclide of interest is absent). Instrument signals for the target and blank vary randomly about the true signals and may overlap making it difficult to distinguish between radionuclide activities in blank and in environmental samples (Figure 1). That is, the variability around the sample result may substantially overlap the variability around a net activity of zero for samples with no radioactivity. In order to conclude that a radionuclide has been detected, it is essential to consider two fundamental aspects of the problem of detection: (1) the instrument signal for the sample must be greater than that observed for the blank before the decision can be made that the radionuclide has been detected; and (2) an estimate must be made of the minimum radionuclide concentration that will yield a sufficiently large observed signal before the correct decision can be made for detection or non-detection.



#### Figure 1. Example of overlap of blank and sample measurement distributions.

In the laboratory, instrument signals must exceed a critical level of 1.6s before the qualitative decision can be made as to whether the radionuclide was detected in a sample. At 1.6s there is about a 95-percent probability that the correct conclusion—not detected—will be made. Given a large number of samples, approximately 5 percent of the samples with measured concentrations greater than or equal to 1.6s, which were concluded as being detected, might not contain the radionuclide. These are referred to as false positives. For purposes of simplicity and consistency with past reporting, the ESER has rounded the 1.6s critical level estimate to 2s.

Once the critical level has been defined, the minimum detectable concentration may be determined. Concentrations that equal 3s represent a measurement at the detection level or minimum detectable concentration. For true concentrations of 3s or greater, there is a 95-percent probability that the radionuclide was detected in the target sample. In a large number of samples, the conclusion—not detected—will be made in 5 percent of the samples with true concentrations at the minimum detectable concentration of 3s. These measurements are known as false negatives. The ESER reports measured radionuclide concentrations greater than or equal to their respective 3s uncertainties as being "detected with confidence."

Concentrations between 2s and 3s are reported as "questionably detected". That is, the radionuclide may be present in the sample; however, the detection may not be reliable.

Measurements made between 2s and 3s are examined further to determine if they are a part of a pattern (temporal or spatial) that might warrant further investigation or recounting. For example, if a particular radionuclide is typically detected at > 3s at a specific location, a sample result between 2s and 3s might be considered detected.

If a result is less than or equal to 2s there is little confidence that the radionuclide is present in the sample. Analytical results in this report are presented as the result value  $\pm$  one standard deviation (1s) for reporting consistency with the annual report. To obtain the 2s or 3s values simply multiply the uncertainty term by 2 or 3.

For more information concerning the ESER Program, contact the S.M. Stoller Corporation at (208) 525-9358, or visit the Program's web page (http://www.stoller-eser.com).

### 2. THE INL SITE

The INL Site is a nuclear energy and homeland security research and environmental management facility. It is owned and administered by the U.S. Department of Energy, Idaho Operations Office (DOE-ID) and occupies about 890 mi<sup>2</sup> (2,300 km<sup>2</sup>) of the upper Snake River Plain in Southeastern Idaho. The history of the INL Site began during World War II when the U.S. Naval Ordnance Station was located in Pocatello, Idaho. This station, one of two such installations in the U.S., retooled large guns from U.S. Navy warships. The retooled guns were tested on the nearby, uninhabited plain, known as the Naval Proving Ground. In the years following the war, as the nation worked to develop nuclear power, the Atomic Energy Commission (AEC), predecessor to the DOE, became interested in the Naval Proving Ground and made plans for a facility to build, test, and perfect nuclear power reactors.

The Naval Proving Ground became the National Reactor Testing Station (NRTS) in 1949, under the AEC. By the end of 1951, a reactor at the NRTS became the first to produce useful amounts of electricity. Over time the site has operated 52 various types of reactors, associated research centers, and waste handling areas. The NRTS was renamed the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory (INEL) in 1974, and the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory (INEL) in January 1997. With renewed interest in nuclear power the DOE announced in 2003 that Argonne National Laboratory and the INEEL would be the lead laboratories for development of the next generation of power reactors. On February 1, 2005 the INEEL and Argonne National Laboratory-West became the INL. The INL is committed to providing international nuclear leadership for the 21st Century, developing and demonstrating compelling national security technologies, and delivering excellence in science and technology as one of the Department of Energy's multiprogram national laboratories.

The cleanup operation, the ICP, is now a separately managed effort. The ICP is charged with safely and cost-effectively completing the majority of cleanup work from past laboratory missions by 2012.



### 3. AIR SAMPLING

The primary pathway by which radionuclides can move off the INL Site is through the air and for this reason the air pathway is the primary focus of monitoring on and around the INL Site. Samples for particulates and iodine-131 (<sup>131</sup>I) gas in air were collected weekly for the duration of the quarter at 16 locations using low-volume air samplers. Moisture in the atmosphere was sampled at four locations around the INL Site and analyzed for tritium. Air sampling activities and results for the second quarter of 2007 are discussed below. A summary of approximate minimum detectable concentrations (MDCs) for radiological analyses and DOE Derived Concentration Guide (DCG) (DOE 1993) values is provided in Appendix B.

#### LOW-VOLUME AIR SAMPLING

Radioactivity associated with airborne particulates was monitored continuously by 18 low-volume air samplers (two of which are used as replicate samplers) at 16 locations during the second quarter of 2007 (Figure 2). Four of these samplers are located on the INL Site, eight are situated off the INL Site near the boundary and six have been placed at locations distant to the INL Site. Samplers are divided into INL Site, Boundary and Distant groups to determine if there is a gradient of radionuclide concentrations, increasing towards the INL Site. Each replicate sampler is relocated every year to a new location. One replicate sampler was placed at Mud Lake (a Boundary location) and one at the Experimental Field Station (an INL Site location, each week, at an average flow rate of 1.60 ft<sup>3</sup>/min (0.05 m<sup>3</sup>/min). Particulates in air were collected on membrane particulate filters (1.2-µm pore size). Gases passing through the filter were collected with an activated charcoal cartridge.




Figure 2. Low-volume air sampler locations.

Filters and charcoal cartridges were changed weekly at each station during the quarter. Each particulate filter was analyzed for gross alpha and gross beta radioactivity using thinwindow gas flow proportional counting systems after waiting about four days for naturallyoccurring daughter products of radon and thorium to decay.

The weekly particulate filters collected during the quarter for each location were composited and analyzed for gamma-emitting radionuclides. Selected composites were also analyzed by location for <sup>90</sup>Sr, <sup>238</sup>Pu, <sup>239/240</sup>Pu and <sup>241</sup>Am as determined by a rotating quarterly schedule.

Charcoal cartridges were analyzed for gamma-emitting radionuclides, specifically for iodine-131 (<sup>131</sup>I). Iodine-131 is of particular interest because it is produced in relatively large quantities by nuclear fission, is readily accumulated in human and animal thyroids, and has a half-life of eight days. This means that any elevated level of <sup>131</sup>I in the environment could be from a recent release of fission products.

Gross alpha results are reported in Table C-1. Median gross alpha concentrations in air for INL Site, Boundary, and Distant locations for the second quarter of 2007 are shown in Figure 3. Gross alpha data are tested for normality prior to statistical analyses, and generally show no consistent discernable distribution. Box and whisker plots are commonly used when there is no assumed distribution. Each data group in Figure 3 is presented as a box and whisker plot, with a median (small red square), a box enclosing values between the 25<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> percentiles, and whiskers representing the non-outlier range. Outliers and extreme values are identified separately from the box and whiskers. Outliers and extreme values are atypical, infrequent, data points that are far from the middle of the data distribution. For this report, outliers are defined as values that are greater than 1.5 times the height of the box, above or below the box. Extreme values are greater than 2 times the height of the box, above or below the box. Outliers and extreme values may reflect inherent variability, may be due to errors associated with transcription or measurement, or may be related to other anomalies. A careful review of the data collected during the second quarter indicates that the outlier values were not due to mistakes in collection, analysis, or reporting procedures, but rather reflect natural variability in the measurements. The outlier values lie within the range of measurements made within the past several years. Thus, rather than dismissing the outliers, they were included in the subsequent statistical analyses.

Because there is no discernable distribution of the data, the nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis test of multiple independent groups was used to test for statistical differences between INL Site, Boundary and Distant locations. The use of nonparametric tests, such as Kruskal-Wallis, gives less weight to outlier and extreme values thus allowing a more appropriate comparison of data groups. A statistically significant difference exists between data groups if the (p) value is less than 0.05. Values greater than 0.05 translate into a 95 percent confidence that the medians are statistically the same. The p-value for each comparison is shown in Table D-1. Figure 3 graphically shows that the gross alpha measurements made at INL Site, Boundary and Distant locations are similar for the second quarter. If the INL Site were a significant source of offsite contamination, concentrations of contaminants could be statistically greater at Boundary locations than at Distant locations. However, in the second quarter the opposite case was true—gross alpha concentrations at the Distant locations were statistically greater than the Boundary locations.

Comparisons of gross alpha concentrations were made for each month of the quarter (Figures 4 - 6). Again the Kruskal-Wallis test of multiple independent groups was used to determine if statistical differences exist between INL Site, Boundary and Distant data groups.




Figure 3. Gross alpha concentrations in air at ESER INL Site, Boundary and Distant locations for the second quarter of 2007.




Figure 4. April gross alpha concentrations in air at ESER INL Site, Boundary and Distant locations. Number of samples (N) = 4 at each location, except Atomic City (N = 3), Dubois (N = 2) and Main Gate (N = 3).




Figure 5. May gross alpha concentrations in air at ESER INL Site, Boundary and Distant locations. Number of samples (N) = 5 at each location, except Atomic City (N = 4), Blue Dome (N = 4), Dubois (N = 3), FAA Tower (N = 4) and Mud Lake (N = 4).




Figure 6. June gross alpha concentrations in air at ESER INL Site, Boundary and Distant locations. Number of samples (N) = 4 at each location, except FAA Tower (N = 2).

No statistical differences in gross alpha concentrations between groups were noted during any month of the quarter (Table D-1).

As an additional check, comparisons between gross alpha concentrations measured at Boundary and Distant locations were made on a weekly basis. The Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare the Boundary and Distant data because it is the most powerful nonparametric alternative to the t-test for independent samples. INL Site sample results were not included in this analysis because the onsite data, collected at only three locations, are not representative of the entire INL Site and would not aid in determining offsite impacts. In the second quarter, there were three weeks (April 25, May 9 and May 23) where a statistical difference existed between the two sample groups (Table D-2). In the first case, the gross alpha concentrations measured at Boundary locations were statistically greater than those measured at Distant locations. In the other two cases, the Distant locations had statistically higher gross alpha concentrations. When comparing weekly values, one or two results can have a significant impact on the group averages. For example, during the week of April 25, the gross alpha concentration at Monteview was somewhat higher than the other locations, resulting in a higher average for the Boundary group. During the week of May 9, an elevated value at Idaho Falls impacted the Distant group mean.

Gross beta results are presented in Table C-1. Gross beta concentrations in air for INL Site, Boundary and Distant locations for the second quarter of 2007 are shown in Figure 7. The data were tested and found to be neither normally nor log-normally distributed. Box and whiskers plots were used for presentation of the data. Outliers and extreme values were retained in subsequent statistical analyses because they are within the range of measurements made in the past five years, and because these values could not be attributed to mistakes in collection, analysis, or reporting procedures. The quarterly data for each group appear to be similar and were determined using the Kruskal-Wallace test to be statistically the same (Table D-1).

Monthly median gross beta concentrations in air for each sampling group are shown in Figures 8 - 10. Statistical data are presented in Table D-1. There were no statistical differences in gross beta between groups for any month during the quarter.

Comparison of weekly Boundary and Distant gross beta data sets, using the Mann Whitney U test, showed statistical differences between Boundary and Distant measurements during three weeks in the second quarter, the weeks of April 4, April 25 and May 9 (Table D-2). In the first two cases, the Boundary group was statistically greater than the Distant group. No particular distribution was seen in the data to indicate an INL Site-related cause, and it is more likely due to random variability in the data.

No <sup>131</sup>I was detected in any of the charcoal cartridge batches collected during the second quarter of 2007. Weekly <sup>131</sup>I results for each location are listed in Table C-2 of Appendix C. Gamma spectrographic analysis is also done with the <sup>131</sup>I analysis. Cesium-137 was detected near the detection limit in 10 of the 26 measured batches of cartridges. The analytical laboratory considers these detections a result of the materials used in the charcoal filters.

Weekly filters for the second quarter of 2007 were composited by location. All samples were analyzed for gamma-emitting radionuclides, including <sup>137</sup>Cs (see Table C-3, Appendix C). Cesium-137 was not detected in any second quarter composites.

Composites were also analyzed for <sup>90</sup>Sr, <sup>238</sup>Pu, <sup>239/240</sup>Pu and <sup>241</sup>Am (see Table C-3, Appendix C.) Two composites, from Atomic City and Rexburg, had detectable <sup>90</sup>Sr. The respective measured concentrations of (286 ± 31) x 10<sup>-18</sup>  $\mu$ Ci/mL and (62 ± 19) x 10<sup>-18</sup>  $\mu$ Ci/mL are within historical measurements and substantially below the Derived Concentration Guide of 9,000,000 x 10<sup>-18</sup>  $\mu$ Ci/mL.

Americium-241 was detected at one location, and <sup>238</sup>Pu and <sup>239/240</sup>Pu were detected at two locations each (Table C-3). Detections occurred at INL Site, Boundary and Distant locations. All results are within historical measurements and substantially below the Derived Concentration Guides of 20,000 x 10<sup>-18</sup>  $\mu$ Ci/mL (<sup>241</sup>Am), 30,000 x 10<sup>-18</sup>  $\mu$ Ci/mL (<sup>238</sup>Pu), and 20,000 x 10<sup>-18</sup>  $\mu$ Ci/mL (<sup>239/240</sup>Pu).

#### ATMOSPHERIC MOISTURE SAMPLING

Twenty atmospheric moisture samples were obtained during the second quarter of 2007 from Atomic City, Blackfoot CMS, Idaho Falls and Rexburg CMS. Atmospheric moisture is collected by pulling air through a column of absorbent material (molecular sieve material) to absorb water vapor. The water is then extracted from the absorbent material by heat distillation. The resulting water samples are then analyzed for tritium using liquid scintillation.

Nineteen of the 20 samples exceeded the 3s uncertainty level for tritium. All samples with detectable tritium were significantly below the DOE DCG for tritium in air of  $1 \times 10^{-7}$   $\mu$ Ci/mL<sub>air</sub>, ranging from (3.8 ± 1.1) x 10<sup>-13</sup>  $\mu$ Ci/mL<sub>air</sub> at Idaho Falls collected in early April to (15.1 ± 2.9) x 10<sup>-13</sup>  $\mu$ Ci/mL<sub>air</sub>, also at Idaho Falls in a sample collected late in June. All results are shown in Table C-4, Appendix C.




Figure 7. Gross beta concentrations in air at ESER INL Site, Boundary and Distant locations for the second quarter 2007.




Figure 8. April gross beta concentrations in air at ESER INL Site, Boundary and Distant locations. Number of samples (N) = 4 at each location, except Atomic City (N = 3), Dubois (N = 2) and Main Gate (N = 3).




Figure 9. May gross beta concentrations in air at ESER INL Site, Boundary and Distant locations. Number of samples (N) = 5 at each location, except Atomic City (N = 4), Blue Dome (N = 4), Dubois (N = 3), FAA Tower (N = 4) and Mud Lake (N = 4).




Figure 10. June gross beta concentrations in air at ESER INL Site, Boundary and Distant locations. Number of samples (N) = 4 at each location, except FAA Tower (N = 2).

### 4. PRECIPITATION SAMPLING

Precipitation samples are gathered when sufficient precipitation occurs to allow for the collection of the minimum sample volume of approximately 20 mL. Samples are taken of monthly composites from Idaho Falls and CFA, and weekly from the EFS. Precipitation samples are analyzed for tritium. Storm events in the second quarter of 2007 produced sufficient precipitation to yield eleven samples –three each from CFA and Idaho Falls and five weekly samples from the EFS.

Tritium was measured above the 3s value in all of the samples collected during the second quarter of 2007. Low levels of tritium exist in the environment at all times as a result of cosmic ray reactions with water molecules in the upper atmosphere. The EPA's RadNet program collects precipitation samples from across the United States. From 1980 to 2005, tritium measured in samples from Region 10 (which includes Idaho) ranged from -200 to 7500 pCi/L (EPA 2006). Tritium measured in all second quarter ESER samples were within this range and were consistent with historical measurements at the INL Site, with a maximum of 262  $\pm$  34 pCi/L at EFS. Data for all second quarter 2007 precipitation samples collected by the ESER Program are listed in Table C-5 (Appendix C).



# 5. AGRICULTURAL PRODUCT AND WILDLIFE SAMPLING


Another potential pathway for contaminants to reach humans is through the food chain. The ESER Program samples multiple agricultural products and game animals from around the INL Site and Southeast Idaho. Specifically, milk, wheat, potatoes, garden lettuce, big game, and waterfowl are sampled. Milk is sampled throughout the year and large game animals are sampled whenever large game are killed onsite from vehicle collisions. Lettuce and wheat are sampled during the third quarter, while potatoes are collected during the fourth quarter. Waterfowl are collected in either the third or fourth quarter. See Table A-1, Appendix A, for more details on agricultural product and wildlife sampling. This section discusses results from milk and large game animals sampled during the second quarter of 2007.

#### MILK SAMPLING

Milk samples were collected weekly in Ucon and monthly at eight other locations around the INL Site (Figure 11) during the second quarter of 2007. All samples were analyzed for gamma emitting radionuclides. During the second quarter, samples from half of the locations are analyzed for <sup>90</sup>Sr and half are analyzed for tritium. In the fourth quarter the analyses are reversed, so that each location receives one analysis for <sup>90</sup>Sr and tritium each year.

No lodine-131 or other manmade gamma-emitting radionuclides were detected in any sample. Data for <sup>131</sup>I and <sup>137</sup>Cs in milk samples are listed in Appendix C, Table C-6.

Strontium-90 was detected in all four samples analyzed at levels within historical measurements, ranging from 0.30 to 0.35 pCi/L (Table C-7 in Appendix C.) Tritium was not detected in any of five samples analyzed (Table C-7).





#### LARGE GAME ANIMAL SAMPLING

Muscle and thyroid tissues were collected from one large game animal sampled during the second quarter of 2007. No manmade gamma-emitting radionuclides were detected. The data for <sup>137</sup>Cs and <sup>131</sup>I are listed in Appendix C, Table C-8.

### 6. ENVIRONMENTAL RADIATION

An array of thermoluminescent dosimeters (TLDs) is distributed throughout the Eastern Snake River Plain to monitor for environmental radiation (Figure 12). TLDs are changed out in May and again in November after six months in the field. The results of the fall sampling of TLDs exposed from November 2006 to May 2007 are discussed below.




Figure 12. TLD sampling locations.

Similar to the low-volume air results the environmental dosimeter locations are also divided into Boundary and Distant groupings. Boundary average exposure rates ranged from a low of 0.30 mR/day at Blue Dome to a high of 0.37 mR/day at Mud Lake. The overall Boundary average was 0.34 mR/day. The Distant group had a high of 0.41 mR/day at Rexburg and a low of 0.29 mR/day at the Dubois location. The overall average Distant value was also 0.34 mR/day. There was no statistical difference between Boundary and Distant locations and all values are consistent with past readings. All results are listed in Appendix C, Table C-9.

### 7. QUALITY ASSURANCE

The ESER Quality Assurance Program consists of five ongoing tasks which measure:

- 1. method uncertainty
- 2. data completeness
- 3. data accuracy, using spike, performance evaluation and laboratory control samples
- 4. data precision, using split samples, duplicate samples and recounts
- 5. presence of contamination in samples, using blanks.

Sample results are compared to criteria described in the Quality Assurance Project Plan for the INL Site Offsite Environmental Surveillance Program (Stoller 2007). The following table summarizes the results of the quality assurance program for the second quarter of 2007.

QA Sample Type	Number of Sample Results	Number of Results Meeting Criteria	Percentage Meeting Criteria
Spikes/Laboratory Control Samples	252	248	98.4
Field Duplicates	66	66	100
Laboratory Splits	22	22	100
Recounts	144	144	100
Blanks	69	69	100
Method Uncertainty	1687	1658	98.3

#### 8. **REFERENCES**

- Bartholomay, R.C., Knobel, L.L., and Rousseau, J.P., 2003, *Field Methods and Quality Plan for Quality-of-Water Activities, U.S. Geological Survey, Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory, Idaho*, DOE/ID-22182, January 2003.
- Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), 2006, 40 CFR 50.6, "National Primary and Secondary Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter," Code of Federal Regulations, Office of the Federal Register, July 1, 2006.
- Currie, L.A., 1984, Lower Limit of Detection: Definition and Elaboration of a Proposed Position for Radiological Effluent and Environmental Measurements, NUREG/CR-4007, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C., September 1984.
- DOE, 2003, "Environmental Management System," U.S. Department of Energy Order 450.1, January 2003.
- DOE, 1993, "Radiation Protection of the Public and the Environment," U.S. Department of Energy Order 5400.5, January 1993.
- EPA, 2006, RadNet—Tracking Environmental Radiation Nationwide, Web-page: <u>http://www.epa.gov/narel/radnet/</u>
- Stoller, 2007, *Quality Assurance Project Plan for the INL Site Offsite Environmental Surveillance Program*, Environmental Surveillance, Education and Research Program, February, 2007.

**APPENDIX A** 

SUMMARY OF SAMPLING SCHEDULE

PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

## Table A-1. Summary of the ESER Program's Sampling Schedule

Commis Truno			LOCATIONS	
Sample Type	Collection			
Analysis	Frequency	Distant	Boundary	INL Site
AIR SAMPLING				
LOW-VOLUME AIF	?			
Gross Alpha, Gross Beta, <sup>131</sup> l	weekly	Blackfoot, Craters of the Moon, Dubois, Idaho Falls, Jackson WY, Rexburg	Arco, Atomic City, FAA Tower, Howe, Monteview, Mud Lake, Blue Dome	Main Gate, EFS, Van Buren
Gamma Spec	quarterly	Blackfoot, Craters of the Moon, Dubois, Idaho Falls, Jackson WY, Rexburg	Arco, Atomic City, FAA Tower, Howe, Monteview, Mud Lake, Blue Dome	Main Gate, EFS, Van Buren
<sup>90</sup> Sr, Transuranics	quarterly	Rotating schedule	Rotating schedule	Rotating schedule
ATMOSPHERIC M	OISTURE			
Tritium	4 to 13 weeks	Blackfoot, Idaho Falls, Rexburg	Atomic City	None
PRECIPITATION				
Tritium	monthly	Idaho Falls	None	CFA
Tritium	weekly	None	None	EFS
ENVIRONMENTA		N SAMPLING		
TLDs				
Gamma Radiation	semiannual	Aberdeen, Blackfoot (2), Craters of the Moon, Dubois, Idaho Falls, Jackson WY, Minidoka, Rexburg, Roberts	Arco, Atomic City, Birch Creek, Blue Dome, Howe, Monteview, Mud Lake	None
SOIL SAMPLING	i			
SOIL				
Gamma Spec, <sup>90</sup> Sr, Transuranics	biennially	Carey, Crystal Ice Caves (Aberdeen), Blackfoot, St. Anthony	Butte City, Monteview, Atomic City, FAA Tower, Howe, Mud Lake (2), Birch Creek	None

	-			-
Sample Type	Collection		LOCATIONS	
Analysis	Frequency	Distant	Boundary	INL Site
FOODSTUFF SA	MPLING			
MILK				
Gamma Spec ( <sup>131</sup> I)	weekly	Ucon	None	None
Gamma Spec ( <sup>131</sup> I)	monthly	Blackfoot, Dietrich, Idaho Falls, Minidoka, Moreland, Roberts	Howe, Terreton	None
Tritium, <sup>90</sup> Sr	Semi-annually	Blackfoot, Dietrich, Idaho Falls, Minidoka, Moreland, Roberts	Howe, Terreton	None
POTATOES				
Gamma Spec, <sup>90</sup> Sr	annually	Aberdeen, Blackfoot, Fort Hall, Idaho Falls, Rupert, Taber, occasional samples across the U.S.	Arco, Monteview, Mud Lake, Terreton	None
WHEAT				
Gamma Spec, <sup>90</sup> Sr	annually	American Falls, Blackfoot, Dietrich, Idaho Falls, Minidoka, Carey	Arco, Monteview, Mud Lake, Taber, Terreton	None
LETTUCE				
Gamma Spec, <sup>90</sup> Sr	annually	Blackfoot, Carey, Idaho Falls, Pocatello	Arco, Atomic City, FAA Tower, Howe, Monteview	EFS
BIG GAME				
Gamma Spec	varies	Occasional samples across the U.S.	Public Highways	INL Site roads
WATERFOWL				
Gamma Spec, <sup>90</sup> Sr, Transuranics	annually	Varies among: Heise, Firth, Fort Hall, Mud Lake, Market Lake, and American Falls	None	Wastewater disposal ponds

#### Table A-1. Summary of the ESER Program's Sampling Schedule (continued)

**APPENDIX B** 

SUMMARY OF MDCs AND DCGs

PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

	Sample Type	Analysis	Approximate Minimum Detectable Concentration <sup>a</sup> (MDC)	Derived Concentration Guide <sup>b</sup> (DCG)
		Gross alpha <sup>c</sup>	5.47 x 10 <sup>-16</sup> µCi/mL	2 x 10 <sup>-14</sup> µCi/mL
		Gross beta <sup>d</sup>	1.64 x 10 <sup>-15</sup> µCi/mL	3 x 10 <sup>-12</sup> µCi/mL
		Specific gamma ( <sup>137</sup> Cs)	1.09 x 10 <sup>-16</sup> µCi/mL	4 x 10 <sup>-10</sup> µCi/mL
Aiı (pa	r articulate filter) <sup>e</sup>	<sup>238</sup> Pu	1.75 x 10 <sup>-18</sup> μCi/mL	3 x 10 <sup>-14</sup> µCi/mL
		<sup>239/240</sup> Pu	1.79 x 10 <sup>-18</sup> μCi/mL	2 x 10 <sup>-14</sup> µCi/mL
		<sup>241</sup> Am	3.49 x 10 <sup>-18</sup> µCi/mL	2 x 10 <sup>-14</sup> µCi/mL
		<sup>90</sup> Sr	4.99 x 10 <sup>-17</sup> μCi/mL	9 x 10 <sup>-12</sup> µCi/mL
Aiı	r (charcoal cartridge) <sup>e</sup>	<sup>131</sup>	8.97 x 10 <sup>-16</sup> µCi/mL	4 x 10 <sup>-10</sup> µCi/mL
Aiı (at	r mospheric moisture) <sup>f</sup>	<sup>3</sup> Н	1.03 x 10 <sup>-7</sup> µCi/mL <sub>water</sub>	1 x 10 <sup>-7</sup> µCi/mL <sub>air</sub>
Aiı	r (precipitation)	<sup>3</sup> Н	1.03 x 10 <sup>-7</sup> μCi/mL	2 x 10 <sup>-3</sup> µCi/mL
Mi	lk	<sup>131</sup>	0.70 pCi/L	
		<sup>137</sup> Cs	2.48 pCi/L	
		<sup>3</sup> Н	116 pCi/L	
		<sup>90</sup> Sr	0.16 pCi/L	
Ga	me Animal Tissue <sup>g</sup>	<sup>137</sup> Cs	0.87 pCi/kg	
а		ercent level of confide	of radioactivity in a given san ence and precision of plus or asurement conditions.	
b		nrem/yr for exposure	values for radiation exposure. through a particular exposur tter.	
с			DCGs for <sup>239,240</sup> Pu and <sup>241</sup> Am	l.
d	The DCG for gross beta	•		
е	The approximate MDC is m <sup>3</sup> /week.	s based on an averag	ge filtered air volume (pressur	e corrected) of 445
f	The approximate MDC is	expressed for tritiun	n (as tritiated water) in air, an	d is based on an

#### Summary of Approximate Minimum Detectable Concentrations for Table B-1. Radiological Analyses Performed During Second Quarter 2007

The approximate MDC is expressed for tritium (as tritiated water) in air, and is based on an average filtered air volume of  $39 \text{ m}^3$ , assuming an average sampling period of eight weeks.

The approximate MDC assumes a sample size of 500 g. g

APPENDIX C

SAMPLE ANALYSIS RESULTS

PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Sampling Group	-				GROSS ALPHA							GROSS BETA			
	Sampling			ertainty			certainty		Result ±			Result ±			
and Location	Date	(x 1	0 <sup>-15</sup> µCi/	mL)	(x 1	0 <sup>-11</sup> Bq/	/mL)	Result > 3s	(x 1	0 <sup>-15</sup> µCi/	mL)	(x 1	0 <sup>-11</sup> Bq/	mL)	Result > 3s
BOUNDARY															
ARCO	04/04/2007	1.52	±	0.29	5.62	±	1.08	Y	25.80	±	0.93	95.46	±	3.45	Y
	04/11/2007	1.47	±	0.30	5.44	±	1.12	Y	19.40	±	0.88	71.78	±	3.27	Y
	04/18/2007	1.52	±	0.22	5.62	±	0.80	Y	19.20	±	0.69	71.04	±	2.56	Y
	04/25/2007	1.03	±	0.18	3.81	±	0.67	Y	16.00	±	0.63	59.20	±	2.33	Y
	05/02/2007	1.80	±	0.26	6.66	±	0.94	Y	25.70	±	0.85	95.09	±	3.16	Y
	05/09/2007	0.78	±	0.18	2.89	±	0.68	Y	15.30	±	0.68	56.61	±	2.52	Y
	05/16/2007	2.75	±	0.36	10.18	±	1.34	Y	38.80	±	1.15	143.56	±	4.26	Y
	05/23/2007	2.14	±	0.35	7.92	±	1.30	Y	32.10	±	1.14	118.77	±	4.22	Y
	05/30/2007	1.19	±	0.29	4.40	±	1.07	Y	22.40	±	0.95	82.88	±	3.50	Y
	06/06/2007	1.55	±	0.31	5.74	±	1.14	Y	32.70	±	1.11	120.99	±	4.11	Y
	06/13/2007	0.75	±	0.24	2.77	±	0.90	Y	15.20	±	0.79	56.24	±	2.92	Y
	06/20/2007	1.47	±	0.28	5.44	±	1.02	Y	20.50	±	0.88	75.85	±	3.26	Y
-	06/27/2007	2.19	±	0.36	8.10	±	1.34	Y	29.70	±	1.12	109.89	±	4.14	Y
ATOMIC CITY	04/04/2007	0.92	±	0.24	3.40	±	0.90	Y	23.60	±	0.86	87.32	±	3.17	Y
а	04/11/2007	1.50	±	0.52	5.55	±	1.91		25.80	±	1.54	95.46	±	5.70	Y
	04/18/2007	0.81	±	0.21	2.98	±	0.78	Y	19.60	±	0.74	72.52	±	2.75	Y
	04/25/2007	1.48	±	0.25	5.48	±	0.93	Y	15.20	±	0.77	56.24	±	2.85	Y
	05/02/2007	1.88	±	0.28	6.96	±	1.04	Y	29.50	±	0.97	109.15	±	3.59	Y
а	05/09/2007	1.13	±	0.42	4.18	±	1.55		13.90	±	1.45	51.43	±	5.37	Y
	05/16/2007	3.29	±	0.37	12.17	±	1.37	Y	39.30	±	1.10	145.41	±	4.07	Y
	05/23/2007	1.81	±	0.42	6.70	±	1.57	Y	32.10	±	1.41	118.77	±	5.22	Y
	05/30/2007	1.49	±	0.33	5.51	±	1.20	Y	25.20	±	1.04	93.24	±	3.85	Y
	06/06/2007	1.53	±	0.36	5.66	±	1.33	Y	34.90	±	1.31	129.13	±	4.85	Y
	06/13/2007	0.57	±	0.23	2.12	±	0.86		16.10	±	0.80	59.57	±	2.97	Y
	06/20/2007	1.19	±	0.34	4.40	±	1.25	Y	22.40	±	1.15	82.88	±	4.26	Y
	06/27/2007	1.65	±	0.34	6.11	±	1.25	Ŷ	34.30	±	1.18	126.91	±	4.37	Ý
BLUE DOME	04/04/2007	1.43	±	0.27	5.29	±	1.01	Y	21.20	±	0.84	78.44	±	3.10	Y
	04/11/2007	0.74	±	0.30	2.73	±	1.09		19.60	±	0.98	72.52	±	3.61	Ŷ
	04/18/2007	0.57	±	0.20	2.11	±	0.73		16.50	±	0.70	61.05	±	2.60	Y
	04/25/2007	0.86	±	0.18	3.18	±	0.65	Y	16.00	±	0.65	59.20	±	2.42	Y
а	05/02/2007	3.16	±	1.38	11.69	±	5.11		22.50	±	4.74	83.25	±	17.54	Y
-	05/09/2007	0.99	±	0.20	3.66	±	0.75	Y	13.60	±	0.68	50.32	±	2.53	Y
	05/16/2007	3.09	±	0.62	11.43	±	2.29	Ŷ	32.60	±	0.93	120.62	±	3.44	Ŷ
	05/23/2007	1.84	±	0.37	6.81	±	1.38	Ŷ	24.10	±	1.15	89.17	±	4.26	Ŷ
	05/30/2007	0.86	±	0.24	3.18	±	0.89	Ý	20.00	±	0.83	74.00	±	3.05	Ý
	06/06/2007	1.33	±	0.26	4.92	±	0.94	Ŷ	26.90	±	0.91	99.53	±	3.36	Ŷ
	06/13/2007	0.40	- ±	0.27	1.46	±	0.98	·	19.40	±	0.98	71.78	±	3.61	Ŷ
	06/20/2007	1.44	±	0.32	5.33	±	1.17	Y	17.20	±	0.96	63.64	±	3.56	Ý
	06/27/2007	1.64	±	0.30	6.07	±	1.11	Ý	28.20	±	1.00	104.34	±	3.69	Ý
FAA TOWER	04/04/2007	1.62	±	0.38	5.99	±	1.41	Ý	24.40	±	1.15	90.28	±	4.26	Ý
TARTOWER	04/11/2007	1.02	±	0.28	3.89	±	1.03	Ý	18.70	±	0.87	69.19	±	3.21	Ý
	04/18/2007	0.56	±	0.29	2.05	±	1.03	1	19.10	±	1.02	70.67	±	3.77	Ý
	04/25/2007	0.93		0.20	3.43		0.72	Y	14.50		0.69	53.65		2.55	Ý
	05/02/2007	1.79	± ±	0.20	3.43 6.62	± ±	1.04	r Y	26.10	± ±	0.89	53.65 96.57	± ±	2.55	ř Y
	05/09/2007	1.18	±	0.28	4.37	±	0.85	Y	15.30	±	0.96	56.61	±	2.80	Ý
2	05/16/2007	2.53		0.23	4.37 9.36		0.85 1.59	Y	36.60		1.39	135.42		2.80 5.14	Y
а	05/23/2007	2.53	±	0.43	7.88	±	1.59	Y	28.60	±	1.39	105.82	± +	5.14 4.92	r Y
		2.13	±	0.43		±		Y	28.60	±			±		
	05/30/2007		±		5.55	±	1.30	Y Y	25.10 32.50	±	1.12	92.87	±	4.14 4.00	Y Y
2	06/06/2007	1.56	±	0.30	5.77	±	1.11	I		±	1.08	120.25	±		Ť
a	06/13/2007	0.00	±	0.00	0.00	±	0.00	Y	0.00	±	0.00	0.00	±	0.00	Y
а	06/20/2007	2.51	±	0.67	9.29	±	2.48		47.80	±	2.30	176.86	±	8.51	
	06/27/2007	1.51	±	0.38	5.59	±	1.39	Y	33.00	±	1.31	122.10	±	4.85	Y

					GROSS ALPHA							GROSS BETA			
Sampling Group	Sampling	Result	± 1s Uno	certainty			certainty		Result ±			Result ±			
and Location	Date		0 <sup>-15</sup> μCi		1	0 <sup>-11</sup> Bq/		Result > 3s		) <sup>-15</sup> µCi/	,	1	0 <sup>-11</sup> Bq/	/	Result > 3s
	04/11/2007	0.91	±	0.28	3.37	±	1.04	Y	19.60	±	0.91	72.52	±	3.36	Y
	04/18/2007	1.45	±	0.25	5.37	±	0.92	Y	20.30	±	0.76	75.11	±	2.80	Y
	04/25/2007	0.94	±	0.19	3.48	±	0.70	Y	16.10	±	0.68	59.57	±	2.52	Y
	05/02/2007	1.78	±	0.24	6.59	±	0.87	Y	29.10	±	0.82	107.67	±	3.04	Y
	05/09/2007	1.17	±	0.22	4.33	±	0.81	Y	14.30	±	0.70	52.91	±	2.60	Y
	05/16/2007	2.88	±	0.30	10.66	±	1.11	Y	38.20	±	0.93	141.34	±	3.44	Y
	05/23/2007	2.10	±	0.31	7.77	±	1.15	Y	29.30	±	0.99	108.41	±	3.66	Y
	05/30/2007	1.14	±	0.27	4.22	±	0.98	Y	21.90	±	0.88	81.03	±	3.24	Y
	06/06/2007	0.94	±	0.26	3.47	±	0.97	Y	22.60	±	0.95	83.62	±	3.53	Y
	06/13/2007	0.49	±	0.26	1.79	±	0.96		13.80	±	0.86	51.06	±	3.19	Y
	06/20/2007	1.04	±	0.24	3.85	±	0.89	Y	21.90	±	0.86	81.03	±	3.17	Ŷ
	06/27/2007	1.42	- ±	0.28	5.25	±	1.02	Ŷ	28.60	±	0.96	105.82	+	3.54	Ŷ
MONTEVIEW	04/04/2007	1.77	±	0.27	6.55	±	0.99	Y	27.20	±	0.85	100.64	±	3.13	Y
	04/11/2007	1.26	±	0.29	4.66		1.08	Ý	18.40	±	0.87	68.08		3.22	Ý
	04/18/2007	1.18		0.25	4.00	±	0.92	Y	21.20		0.81	78.44	±	3.22	Y
			±			±				±			±		Ý
	04/25/2007	2.19	±	0.29	8.10	±	1.08	Y	17.90	±	0.81	66.23	±	3.01	
	05/02/2007	2.28	±	0.25	8.44	±	0.94	Y	26.50	±	0.78	98.05	±	2.87	Y
	05/09/2007	0.86	±	0.19	3.17	±	0.70	Y	15.30	±	0.68	56.61	±	2.52	Y
	05/16/2007	3.01	±	0.29	11.14	±	1.09	Y	33.50	±	0.85	123.95	±	3.16	Y
	05/23/2007	2.34	±	0.34	8.66	±	1.26	Y	28.00	±	1.03	103.60	±	3.81	Y
	05/30/2007	1.40	±	0.28	5.18	±	1.04	Y	22.80	±	0.89	84.36	±	3.30	Y
	06/06/2007	1.57	±	0.29	5.81	±	1.07	Y	32.10	±	1.04	118.77	±	3.85	Y
	06/13/2007	0.92	±	0.23	3.42	±	0.84	Y	18.20	±	0.76	67.34	±	2.81	Y
	06/20/2007	1.88	±	0.33	6.96	±	1.21	Y	23.80	±	1.02	88.06	±	3.77	Y
	06/27/2007	2.12	±	0.30	7.84	±	1.12	Y	31.10	±	0.97	115.07	±	3.58	Y
MUD LAKE	04/04/2007	1.27	±	0.29	4.70	±	1.09	Y	26.10	±	0.98	96.57	±	3.63	Y
	04/11/2007	0.82	±	0.27	3.05	±	0.98	Y	19.60	±	0.88	72.52	±	3.24	Y
	04/18/2007	2.95	±	0.39	10.92	±	1.45	Y	18.20	±	0.93	67.34	±	3.46	Y
	04/25/2007	0.93	±	0.22	3.43	±	0.81	Y	16.40	±	0.81	60.68	±	2.99	Y
	05/02/2007	1.48	±	0.22	5.48	±	0.83	Ŷ	28.50	±	0.83	105.45	±	3.06	Ŷ
а	05/09/2007	0.85	±	0.35	3.13	±	1.30	•	17.00	±	1.33	62.90	±	4.92	Ý
a	05/16/2007	2.52	±	0.30	9.32	±	1.10	Y	36.30	±	0.95	134.31	±	3.51	Y
	05/23/2007	2.32	±	0.34	9.32 8.73		1.10	Ý	31.30		1.06	115.81		3.92	Y
				0.34	5.37	±	1.20	Ý	23.20	±	0.93		±	3.92	Y
	05/30/2007	1.45	±			±				±		85.84	±		-
	06/06/2007	1.90	±	0.32	7.03	±	1.17	Y	35.20	±	1.11	130.24	±	4.11	Y
	06/13/2007	1.37	±	0.28	5.07	±	1.02	Y	19.30	±	0.84	71.41	±	3.12	Y
	06/20/2007	1.72	±	0.36	6.36	±	1.33	Y	23.10	±	1.13	85.47	±	4.18	Y
	06/27/2007	1.59	±	0.30	5.88	±	1.11	Y	29.90	±	1.02	110.63	±	3.77	Y
QA-2	04/04/2007	2.10	±	0.31	7.77	±	1.15	Y	27.70	±	0.93	102.49	±	3.45	Y
	04/11/2007	1.97	±	0.34	7.29	±	1.26	Y	17.70	±	0.89	65.49	±	3.30	Y
	04/18/2007	3.06	±	0.48	11.32	±	1.76	Y	20.10	±	1.17	74.37	±	4.33	Y
	04/25/2007	0.81	±	0.17	3.01	±	0.61	Y	16.70	±	0.63	61.79	±	2.33	Y
	05/02/2007	2.28	±	0.30	8.44	±	1.09	Y	28.90	±	0.94	106.93	±	3.48	Y
	05/09/2007	1.72	±	0.23	6.36	±	0.87	Y	15.80	±	0.67	58.46	±	2.48	Y
	05/16/2007	3.04	- ±	0.34	11.25	±	1.27	Ŷ	35.70	±	1.02	132.09	±	3.77	Ŷ
	05/23/2007	2.40	- ±	0.34	8.88	±	1.25	Ŷ	30.40	±	1.04	112.48	±	3.85	Ŷ
	05/30/2007	1.96	±	0.37	7.25	±	1.35	Ý	22.90	±	1.04	84.73	±	3.92	Ý
	06/06/2007	1.54	±	0.27	5.70	±	1.00	Ý	32.70	±	0.99	120.99	±	3.65	Ý
	06/13/2007	0.68		0.27	2.52		0.93	'	18.30		0.99	67.71		3.20	Y
			±			±		V		±			±		ř Y
	06/20/2007 06/27/2007	1.73 2.02	± +	0.35 0.34	6.40 7.47	±	1.31	Y Y	22.20 32.90	± ±	1.10 1.10	82.14	± +	4.07	ř Y
DISTANT	00/21/2001	2.02	±	0.04	1.41	±	1.24	T	32.30	Ŧ	1.10	121.73	±	4.07	Ť
	0.4/0.4/0007														
BLACKFOOT CMS	04/04/2007	1.55	±	0.26	5.74	±	0.96	Y	24.30	±	0.82	89.91	±	3.02	Y
	04/11/2007	1.20	±	0.24	4.44	±	0.90	Y	22.00	±	0.79	81.40	±	2.91	Y
	04/18/2007	1.06	+	0.23	3.92	±	0.86	Y	18.20	±	0.75	67.34	±	2.76	Y

					GROSS ALPHA							GROSS BETA			
Sampling Group	Sampling	Result ±					certainty	Decult C-	Result ±	1s Unc ) <sup>-15</sup> μCi/				certainty	Descritten 0
and Location	Date		0 <sup>-15</sup> µCi/			0 <sup>-11</sup> Bq/		Result > 3s	· ·		1		0 <sup>-11</sup> Bq/		Result > 3s
	04/25/2007	0.94	±	0.16	3.48	±	0.58	Y	13.70	±	0.54	50.69	±	1.99	Y
	05/02/2007	1.65	±	0.22	6.11	±	0.80	Y	29.10	±	0.78	107.67	±	2.87	Y
	05/09/2007	1.26	±	0.18	4.66	±	0.67	Y	15.80	±	0.57	58.46	±	2.11	Y
	05/16/2007	3.28	±	0.31	12.14	±	1.16	Y	35.90	±	0.90	132.83	±	3.33	Y
	05/23/2007	2.72	±	0.50	10.06	±	1.85	Y	27.90	±	1.45	103.23	±	5.37	Y
	05/30/2007	1.21	±	0.25	4.48	±	0.93	Y	23.10	±	0.84	85.47	±	3.10	Y
	06/06/2007	1.86	±	0.28	6.88	±	1.04	Y	34.00	±	0.98	125.80	±	3.63	Y
	06/13/2007	0.76	±	0.23	2.81	±	0.84	Y	18.70	±	0.79	69.19	±	2.90	Y
	06/20/2007	1.76	±	0.27	6.51	±	1.00	Y	21.90	±	0.84	81.03	±	3.09	Y
	06/27/2007	1.82	±	0.29	6.73	±	1.08	Y	30.70	±	0.97	113.59	±	3.60	Y
CRATERS OF	04/04/2007	1.25	±	0.28	4.63	±	1.02	Y	25.00	±	0.92	92.50	±	3.39	Y
THE MOON	04/11/2007	0.53	±	0.25	1.98	±	0.92		17.60	±	0.85	65.12	±	3.14	Y
	04/18/2007	0.69	±	0.25	2.56	±	0.93		18.80	±	0.87	69.56	±	3.22	Y
	04/25/2007	0.75	±	0.18	2.78	±	0.66	Y	15.10	±	0.68	55.87	±	2.51	Y
	05/02/2007	1.88	±	0.27	6.96	±	1.01	Y	29.00	±	0.94	107.30	±	3.47	Y
	05/09/2007	1.28	±	0.21	4.74	±	0.79	Y	15.80	±	0.68	58.46	±	2.51	Y
	05/16/2007	3.02	±	0.36	11.17	±	1.34	Y	38.90	±	1.12	143.93	±	4.14	Y
	05/23/2007	2.26	±	0.39	8.36	±	1.43	Y	27.60	±	1.17	102.12	±	4.33	Y
	05/30/2007	1.04	±	0.29	3.85	±	1.08	Y	22.20	±	0.98	82.14	±	3.63	Y
	06/06/2007	1.15	±	0.29	4.26	±	1.05	Y	30.30	±	1.08	112.11	±	4.00	Y
	06/13/2007	0.89	±	0.32	3.29	±	1.19		18.30	±	1.04	67.71	±	3.85	Y
	06/20/2007	0.68	±	0.25	2.52	±	0.94		18.70	±	0.93	69.19	±	3.46	Y
	06/27/2007	2.03	±	0.35	7.51	±	1.30	Y	27.70	±	1.09	102.49	±	4.03	Y
DUBOIS	04/04/2007	1.64	±	0.27	6.07	±	1.01	Y	22.90	±	0.83	84.73	±	3.08	Y
а	04/11/2007	0.83	±	0.78	3.06	±	2.88		24.50	±	2.34	90.65	±	8.66	Y
	04/18/2007	1.79	±	0.29	6.62	±	1.08	Y	17.20	±	0.80	63.64	±	2.94	Y
а	04/25/2007	0.70	±	0.57	2.59	±	2.09		6.71	±	2.12	24.83	±	7.84	Y
а	05/02/2007	5.00	±	2.47	18.50	±	9.14		24.80	±	8.45	91.76	±	31.27	
	05/09/2007	1.49	±	0.28	5.51	±	1.04	Y	15.00	±	0.86	55.50	±	3.18	Y
	05/16/2007	3.00	±	0.31	11.10	±	1.15	Y	31.40	±	0.89	116.18	±	3.27	Y
а	05/23/2007	17.20	±	7.42	63.64	±	27.45		41.20	±	19.10	152.44	±	70.67	
	05/30/2007	0.95	±	0.30	3.51	±	1.11	Y	23.80	±	1.03	88.06	±	3.81	Y
	06/06/2007	1.34	±	0.33	4.96	±	1.22	Y	33.20	±	1.23	122.84	±	4.55	Y
	06/13/2007	0.95	±	0.24	3.52	±	0.88	Y	18.60	±	0.79	68.82	±	2.92	Y
	06/20/2007	1.67	±	0.31	6.18	±	1.14	Y	21.10	±	0.96	78.07	±	3.54	Y
	06/27/2007	1.32	±	0.31	4.88	±	1.16	Ŷ	31.70	±	1.13	117.29	±	4.18	Ý
IDAHO FALLS	04/04/2007	1.81	±	0.33	6.70	±	1.22	Ŷ	23.30	±	0.97	86.21	±	3.60	Y
	04/11/2007	1.84	±	0.32	6.81	±	1.17	Ŷ	20.10	±	0.88	74.37	±	3.24	Ý
	04/18/2007	2.13	±	0.31	7.88	±	1.15	Ŷ	19.20	±	0.83	71.04	±	3.09	Ý
	04/25/2007	0.90	- ±	0.20	3.33	±	0.73	Ŷ	12.70	±	0.68	46.99	±	2.50	Ŷ
	05/02/2007	1.54	±	0.20	5.70	±	0.81	Ý	26.90	±	0.78	99.53	±	2.90	Ŷ
	05/09/2007	2.44	- ±	0.31	9.03	±	1.13	Ŷ	19.10	±	0.82	70.67	±	3.05	Ŷ
	05/16/2007	4.04	±	0.37	14.95	±	1.38	Ý	39.20	±	1.03	145.04	±	3.81	Ý
	05/23/2007	3.03	±	0.38	14.55	±	1.42	Ý	31.30	±	1.11	115.81	±	4.11	Ý
	05/30/2007	2.01	±	0.30	7.44	±	1.42	Y	25.30	±	0.91	93.61	±	3.36	Ý
	06/06/2007	3.29	±	0.30	12.17	±	1.51	Y	34.50	±	1.19	127.65	±	4.40	Y
	06/13/2007	1.32	±	0.41	4.88	± ±	1.05	Y	18.50	±	0.87	68.45	±	3.21	Y
	06/20/2007	1.90	±	0.29	7.03	±	1.05	Y	21.60	±	1.01	79.92	±	3.21	Y
	06/27/2007	2.19	±	0.36	8.10	±	1.24	Y	32.70	±	1.14	120.99	± ±	4.22	Y
JACKSON	04/04/2007	1.67	±	0.30	6.18	±	1.32	Y	18.80	±	0.87	69.56	±	3.23	Y
	04/04/2007	1.67		0.31	5.74	± ±	1.15	Y	18.80	± ±	0.87	71.41		3.23 3.21	Y
			± +		5.74 4.59			Y Y	23.00			71.41 85.10	± +		ř Y
	04/18/2007	1.24 0.52	±	0.28		±	1.02	Y Y		±	0.90 0.64		±	3.34	Y Y
	04/25/2007		±	0.15	1.92	±	0.57	Y Y	14.30	±		52.91	±	2.37	
	05/02/2007	1.94	±	0.25	7.18	±	0.92		28.30	±	0.83	104.71	±	3.07	Y
	05/09/2007	1.19	±	0.22	4.40	±	0.83	Y	20.60	±	0.80	76.22	±	2.94	Y

					GROSS ALPHA							GROSS BETA			
Sampling Group	Sampling			ertainty		±1sUn 0 <sup>-11</sup> Bq/	certainty	Desult 20	Result ±	: 1s Unc 0 <sup>-15</sup> µCi/		Result ±			Decult 2
and Location	Date		0 <sup>-15</sup> µCi/		•			Result > 3s					0 <sup>-11</sup> Bq/	· ·	Result > 3s
	05/16/2007	2.55	±	0.29	9.44	±	1.06	Y	34.60	±	0.90	128.02	±	3.33	Y
	05/23/2007	2.71	±	0.36	10.03	±	1.32	Y	33.00	±	1.09	122.10	±	4.03	Y
	05/30/2007	2.33	±	0.34	8.62	±	1.25	Y	23.40	±	0.95	86.58	±	3.50	Y
	06/06/2007	1.23	±	0.31	4.55	±	1.13	Y	34.40	±	1.18	127.28	±	4.37	Y
а	06/13/2007	0.00	±	0.00	0.00	±	0.00		0.00	±	0.00	0.00	±	0.00	
	06/20/2007	1.55	±	0.33	5.74	±	1.24	Y	22.00	±	1.07	81.40	±	3.96	Y
	06/27/2007	1.93	±	0.34	7.14	±	1.26	Y	31.00	±	1.10	114.70	±	4.07	Y
REXBURG CMS	04/04/2007	0.76	±	0.15	2.80	±	0.56	Y	12.30	±	0.47	45.51	±	1.75	Y
	04/11/2007	1.28	±	0.32	4.74	±	1.20	Y	18.60	±	0.96	68.82	±	3.53	Y
	04/18/2007	1.43	±	0.30	5.29	±	1.10	Y	20.40	±	0.90	75.48	±	3.33	Y
	04/25/2007	0.75	±	0.17	2.76	±	0.64	Y	15.20	±	0.66	56.24	±	2.46	Y
	05/02/2007	2.35	±	0.29	8.70	±	1.09	Y	29.50	±	0.93	109.15	±	3.44	Y
	05/09/2007	1.12	±	0.21	4.14	±	0.77	Y	16.20	±	0.70	59.94	±	2.59	Y
	05/16/2007	2.66	±	0.33	9.84	±	1.23	Y	36.60	±	1.05	135.42	±	3.89	Y
	05/23/2007	2.25	±	0.39	8.33	±	1.43	Y	31.90	±	1.23	118.03	±	4.55	Y
	05/30/2007	1.83	±	0.34	6.77	±	1.27	Y	25.30	±	1.04	93.61	±	3.85	Y
	06/06/2007	1.52	±	0.31	5.62	±	1.14	Y	32.60	±	1.11	120.62	±	4.11	Y
	06/13/2007	0.93	±	0.26	3.44	±	0.95	Y	17.90	±	0.84	66.23	±	3.09	Y
	06/20/2007	1.48	±	0.30	5.48	±	1.12	Y	19.80	±	0.96	73.26	±	3.54	Y
INL SITE	06/27/2007	2.00	±	0.34	7.40	±	1.27	Y	32.50	±	1.12	120.25	±	4.14	Y
EFS	04/04/2007	1.23	±	0.30	4.55	±	1.10	Y	25.10	±	0.99	92.87	±	3.65	Y
	04/11/2007	0.92	±	0.28	3.41	±	1.02	Y	19.30	±	0.89	71.41	±	3.29	Y
	04/18/2007	0.56	±	0.29	2.08	±	1.05		19.70	±	1.00	72.89	±	3.70	Y
	04/25/2007	0.92	±	0.18	3.41	±	0.66	Y	14.80	±	0.63	54.76	±	2.33	Y
	05/02/2007	1.33	±	0.21	4.92	±	0.77	Y	26.30	±	0.78	97.31	±	2.89	Y
	05/09/2007	1.34	±	0.25	4.96	±	0.91	Y	16.70	±	0.80	61.79	±	2.96	Y
	05/16/2007	2.72	±	0.35	10.06	±	1.30	Y	37.50	±	1.11	138.75	±	4.11	Y
	05/23/2007	2.83	±	0.42	10.47	±	1.57	Y	30.60	±	1.24	113.22	±	4.59	Y
	05/30/2007	0.94	±	0.32	3.47	±	1.19		25.10	±	1.12	92.87	±	4.14	Y
	06/06/2007	1.55	±	0.26	5.74	±	0.95	Y	32.10	±	0.94	118.77	±	3.47	Y
	06/13/2007	0.87	±	0.23	3.23	±	0.85	Y	16.60	±	0.75	61.42	±	2.78	Y
	06/20/2007	1.13	±	0.32	4.18	±	1.18	Y	19.70	±	1.06	72.89	±	3.92	Y
04.4	06/27/2007	1.38	±	0.36	5.11	±	1.32	Y	30.00	±	1.23	111.00	±	4.55	Y
QA-1	04/04/2007	1.21	±	0.25	4.48	±	0.94	Y	25.70	±	0.87	95.09	±	3.20	Y
	04/11/2007	0.63	±	0.23	2.31	±	0.84		19.40	±	0.80	71.78	±	2.96	Y
	04/18/2007	0.64	±	0.22	2.38	±	0.83	V	18.70	±	0.79	69.19	±	2.93	Y
	04/25/2007	0.93	±	0.17	3.43	±	0.63	Y	15.40	±	0.61	56.98	±	2.25	Y
	05/02/2007	1.70	±	0.22	6.29	±	0.83	Y	27.60	±	0.78	102.12	±	2.87	Y
	05/09/2007	1.07	±	0.23	3.96	±	0.84	Y Y	15.30	±	0.78	56.61	±	2.87	Y Y
	05/16/2007	2.57	±	0.35	9.51	±	1.30	-	39.10	±	1.15	144.67	±	4.26	
	05/23/2007	3.60	±	0.55	13.32	±	2.03	Y	35.70	±	1.56	132.09	±	5.77	Y
	05/30/2007	1.68	±	0.30	6.22	±	1.12	Y	24.40	±	0.94	90.28	±	3.47	Y
	06/06/2007	1.26	±	0.27	4.66	±	1.00	Y	32.40	±	1.03	119.88	±	3.81	Y
	06/13/2007	0.95	±	0.26	3.50	±	0.97	Y	16.40	±	0.83	60.68	±	3.07	Y
	06/20/2007	0.94	±	0.25	3.47	±	0.94	Y	19.30	±	0.89	71.41	±	3.28	Y
MAIN GATE	06/27/2007	2.24	±	0.33	8.29 3.96	±	1.24	Y Y	32.00 24.40	±	1.06 0.95	<u>118.40</u> 90.28	±	3.92 3.51	Y Y
WAIN GATE	04/04/2007		±			±				±			±		-
	04/11/2007	1.18	±	0.30	4.37	±	1.10	Y Y	19.10	±	0.91	70.67	±	3.35	Y Y
•	04/18/2007 04/25/2007	1.13 1.52	±	0.30 0.53	4.18	±	1.11	T	18.60 18.40	±	0.94 1.86	68.82	±	3.46	Y
а			±		5.62	±	1.96	V		±		68.08	±	6.88	
	05/02/2007	1.96	±	0.27	7.25	±	1.01	Y	30.30	±	0.93	112.11	±	3.46	Y
	05/09/2007	0.73	±	0.20	2.70	±	0.73	Y	17.00	±	0.78	62.90	±	2.87	Y
	05/16/2007	2.42	±	0.33	8.95	±	1.22	Y	39.10	±	1.10	144.67	±	4.07	Y
	05/23/2007	1.98	±	0.37	7.33	±	1.38	Y	29.50	±	1.20	109.15	±	4.44	Y

					GROSS ALPHA							GROSS BETA			
Sampling Group and Location	Sampling Date		±1sUno 10 <sup>-15</sup> μCi	certainty /mL)		⊧1sUn 0 <sup>-11</sup> Bq/	certainty /mL)	Result > 3s		- 1s Uno 0 <sup>-15</sup> μCi	certainty /mL)	Result ± (x 1	1s Un 0 <sup>-11</sup> Bq/		Result > 3s
	05/30/2007	1.04	±	0.30	3.85	±	1.10	Y	26.70	±	1.04	98.79	±	3.85	Y
	06/06/2007	1.43	±	0.32	5.29	±	1.18	Y	32.00	±	1.16	118.40	±	4.29	Y
	06/13/2007	0.61	±	0.23	2.25	±	0.83		16.80	±	0.78	62.16	±	2.90	Y
	06/20/2007	1.15	±	0.23	4.26	±	0.87	Y	14.30	±	0.73	52.91	±	2.68	Y
	06/27/2007	1.28	±	0.32	4.74	±	1.19	Y	31.90	±	1.16	118.03	±	4.29	Y
VAN BUREN GATE	04/04/2007	1.19	±	0.27	4.40	±	1.01	Y	24.90	±	0.92	92.13	±	3.39	Y
	04/11/2007	1.13	±	0.28	4.18	±	1.03	Y	20.90	±	0.89	77.33	±	3.28	Y
	04/18/2007	0.68	±	0.24	2.51	±	0.89		18.40	±	0.83	68.08	±	3.08	Y
	04/25/2007	0.74	±	0.16	2.75	±	0.58	Y	14.30	±	0.59	52.91	±	2.19	Y
	05/02/2007	1.78	±	0.25	6.59	±	0.91	Y	28.40	±	0.85	105.08	±	3.15	Y
	05/09/2007	0.76	±	0.18	2.80	±	0.66	Y	17.60	±	0.70	65.12	±	2.59	Y
	05/16/2007	2.44	±	0.32	9.03	±	1.20	Y	36.00	±	1.05	133.20	±	3.89	Y
	05/23/2007	1.34	±	0.38	4.96	±	1.41	Y	29.00	±	1.32	107.30	±	4.88	Y
	05/30/2007	1.30	±	0.29	4.81	±	1.08	Y	22.20	±	0.94	82.14	±	3.47	Y
	06/06/2007	1.51	±	0.27	5.59	±	1.01	Y	31.30	±	0.99	115.81	±	3.66	Y
	06/13/2007	0.38	±	0.22	1.41	±	0.81		15.00	±	0.78	55.50	±	2.88	Y
	06/20/2007	1.23	±	0.28	4.55	±	1.04	Y	18.90	±	0.92	69.93	±	3.39	Y
	06/27/2007	2.03	±	0.34	7.51	±	1.25	Y	29.80	±	1.07	110.26	±	3.96	Y
a. Invalid Sample Res	sult														·

Sampling Group	Sampling	Result ±	1s Un	certainty	Result ± '	1s Un	certainty	
and Location	Date	(x 10	<sup>-15</sup> μC	i/mL)	(x 10	<sup>-11</sup> Bq	/mL)	
BOUNDARY		· ·	ł	,	, ,		,	
ARCO	04/04/2007	-2.85	±	2.56	-10.56	±	9.46	
	04/11/2007	0.28	±	2.34	1.05	±	8.67	
	04/18/2007	0.24	±	2.10	0.88	±	7.77	
	04/25/2007	0.85	±	2.17	3.16	±	8.04	
	05/02/2007	-2.64	±	2.63	-9.78	±	9.74	
	05/09/2007	-3.05	±	2.36	-11.29	±	8.74	
	05/16/2007	-1.93	±	3.42	-7.12	±	12.67	
	05/23/2007	0.95	±	2.56	3.51	±	9.47	
	05/30/2007	-4.73	±	2.56	-17.50	±	9.46	
	06/06/2007	-1.02	±	1.87	-3.77	±	6.91	
	06/13/2007	-0.24	- ±	2.07	-0.88	– ±	7.65	
	06/20/2007	-2.44	- ±	2.18	-9.01	– ±	8.07	
	06/27/2007	-2.23	±	2.60	-8.27	±	9.62	
ATOMIC CITY	04/04/2007	-2.64		2.36	-9.76		8.75	
a	04/11/2007	0.56	±	4.63	2.08	±	17.13	
<u>ц</u>	04/18/2007	0.20	±	1.77	0.74	±	6.56	
	04/25/2007	1.18	±	2.99	4.35	±	11.07	
	05/02/2007	-3.00	±	2.99	-11.10	±	11.05	
а	05/09/2007	-8.88	±	6.87	-32.87	±	25.42	
~	05/16/2007	-1.77	±	3.15	-6.55	±	11.65	
	05/23/2007	1.31	- ±	3.54	4.86	– ±	13.11	
	05/30/2007	-5.15	±	2.78	-19.06	±	10.30	
	06/06/2007	-0.81	±	1.49	-3.01	±	5.51	
	06/13/2007	-0.24	±	2.07	-0.88	±	7.66	
	06/20/2007	-3.47	±	3.10	-12.83	±	11.49	
	06/27/2007	-2.24	- ±	2.61	-8.30	±	9.66	
BLUE DOME	04/04/2007	1.16		1.53	4.28		5.65	
	04/11/2007	-0.78	±	1.64	-2.88	±	6.07	
	04/18/2007	-0.25	±	1.08	-0.92	±	3.98	
	04/25/2007	0.74	±	1.40	2.75	±	5.20	
а	05/02/2007	8.56	±	17.19	31.68	±	63.59	
	05/09/2007	0.24	±	1.53	0.89	±	5.66	
	05/16/2007	2.57	±	1.65	9.50	±	6.12	
	05/23/2007	-0.98	±	1.82	-3.64	±	6.73	
	05/30/2007	-1.68	±	1.24	-6.23	±	4.59	
	06/06/2007	-0.18	±	2.48	-0.66	±	9.19	
	06/13/2007	-3.19	±	1.55	-11.82	±	5.72	
	06/20/2007	1.29	±	1.72	4.77	±	6.37	
	06/27/2007	1.09	±	1.40	4.02	±	5.18	
FAA TOWER	04/04/2007	1.73	±	2.29	6.42	±	8.47	
	04/11/2007	-0.67	±	1.41	-2.47	±	5.21	
	04/18/2007	-0.40	±	1.74	-1.49	±	6.43	
	04/25/2007	0.84	±	1.59	3.12	±	5.89	
	05/02/2007	0.97	±	1.95	3.60	±	7.22	
	05/09/2007	0.27	±	1.69	0.98	±	6.24	
а	05/16/2007	4.64	±	2.98	17.16	±	11.04	
	05/23/2007	-1.12	±	2.07	-4.15	±	7.66	
	05/30/2007	-2.37	±	1.75	-8.77	±	6.47	
			_			_	- · · ·	

Sampling Group	Sampling	Result ± 1	s Ur	certainty	Result ± 1	ls Un	certainty	
and Location	Date	(x 10 <sup>-1</sup>	<sup>ı₅</sup> µC	i/mL)	(x 10 <sup>-</sup>	<sup>11</sup> Bq	/mL)	
BOUNDARY				,	,			
	06/06/2007	-1.11	±	2.03	-4.11	±	7.52	
а	06/13/2007	0.00	±	0.00	0.00	±	0.00	
a	06/20/2007	2.23	±	2.99	8.27	±	11.05	
	06/27/2007	1.52	±	1.95	5.61	±	7.23	
HOWE	04/04/2007	1.07	±	1.41	3.95	±	5.21	
	04/11/2007	-0.70	±	1.48	-2.59	±	5.46	
	04/18/2007	-0.25	±	1.08	-0.93	±	4.00	
	04/25/2007	0.79	±	1.48	2.91	±	5.49	
	05/02/2007	0.71	±	1.42	2.61	±	5.24	
	05/09/2007	0.25	±	1.56	0.91	±	5.78	
	05/16/2007	2.29	±	1.48	8.48	±	5.46	
	05/23/2007	-0.71	±	1.31	-2.62	±	4.83	
	05/30/2007	-1.76	±	1.30	-6.52	±	4.81	
	06/06/2007	-0.97	±	1.78	-3.60	±	6.58	
	06/13/2007	-3.07	±	1.49	-11.34	±	5.49	
	06/20/2007	0.97	±	1.30	3.61	±	4.82	
	06/27/2007	1.01	±	1.30	3.74	±	4.81	
MONTEVIEW	04/04/2007	1.01	±	1.34	3.75	±	4.96	
	04/11/2007	-0.68	±	1.42	-2.50	±	5.27	
	04/18/2007	-0.27	±	1.18	-1.01	±	4.36	
	04/25/2007	0.97	±	1.83	3.58	±	6.76	
	05/02/2007	0.68	±	1.37	2.52	±	5.05	
	05/09/2007	0.23	±	1.45	0.84	±	5.35	
	05/16/2007	2.17	±	1.40	8.04	±	5.18	
	05/23/2007	-0.77	±	1.43	-2.87	±	5.30	
	05/30/2007	-1.77	±	1.31	-6.56	±	4.84	
	06/06/2007	-0.93	±	1.70	-3.44	±	6.30	
	06/13/2007	-2.27	±	1.10	-8.39	±	4.06	
	06/20/2007	1.21	±	1.61	4.47	±	5.97	
	06/27/2007	0.98	±	1.26	3.61	±	4.65	
MUD LAKE	04/04/2007	1.32	±	1.74	4.87	±	6.43	
	04/11/2007	-0.66	±	1.40	-2.45	±	5.17	
	04/18/2007	-0.36	±	1.55	-1.33	±	5.73	
	04/25/2007	1.01	±	1.90	3.73	±	7.04	
	05/02/2007	0.73	±	1.46	2.69	±	5.41	
а	05/09/2007	0.56	±	3.57	2.08	±	13.19	
	05/16/2007	2.47	±	1.59	9.14	±	5.88	
	05/23/2007	-0.76	±	1.41	-2.82	±	5.21	
	05/30/2007	-1.87	±	1.38	-6.92	±	5.11	
	06/06/2007	-0.84	±	1.54	-3.11	±	5.69	
	06/13/2007	-2.58	±	1.25	-9.53	±	4.62	
	06/20/2007	1.43	±	1.91	5.29	±	7.08	
	06/27/2007	1.08	±	1.40	4.01	±	5.16	
QA-2	04/04/2007	1.17	±	1.55	4.34	±	5.73	
	04/11/2007	-0.71	±	1.50	-2.63	±	5.55	
	04/18/2007	-0.47	±	2.04	-1.75	±	7.55	
	04/25/2007	0.68	±	1.29	2.53	±	4.77	
	05/02/2007	0.89	±	1.78	3.29	±	6.60	

Sampling Group	Sampling	Result ± 1	s Ur	ncertainty	Result ± 1	s Ur	ncertainty	
and Location	Date	(x 10 <sup>-1</sup>	<sup>ι5</sup> μC	i/mL)	(x 10 <sup>-1</sup>	<sup>11</sup> Bo	q/mL)	
BOUNDARY				,	•		• /	
	05/09/2007	0.22	±	1.38	0.80	±	5.10	
	05/16/2007	2.83	±	1.82	10.48	±	6.75	
	05/23/2007	-0.75	±	1.38	-2.76	±	5.11	
	05/30/2007	-2.28	±	1.68	-8.45	±	6.23	
	06/06/2007	-1.02	±	1.87	-3.78	±	6.92	
	06/13/2007	-2.75	±	1.33	-10.18	±	4.93	
	06/20/2007	1.40	±	1.88	5.19	±	6.94	
	06/27/2007	1.16	±	1.50	4.30	±	5.54	
DISTANT		_						
BLACKFOOT CMS	04/04/2007	-2.40	±	2.15	-8.87	±	7.95	
	04/11/2007	0.22	±	1.84	0.83	±	6.82	
	04/18/2007	0.21	±	1.85	0.78	±	6.85	
	04/25/2007	0.72	±	1.84	2.67	±	6.80	
	05/02/2007	-2.07	±	2.06	-7.66	±	7.62	
	05/09/2007	-2.26	±	1.75	-8.36	±	6.47	
	05/16/2007	-1.33	±	2.37	-4.92	±	8.75	
	05/23/2007	1.44	±	3.90	5.34	±	14.42	
	05/30/2007	-3.86	±	2.09	-14.28	±	7.72	
	06/06/2007	-0.91	±	1.66	-3.35	±	6.14	
	06/13/2007	-0.22	±	1.86	-0.80	±	6.90	
	06/20/2007	-2.17	±	1.94	-8.01	±	7.17	
	06/27/2007	-1.77	±	2.06	-6.56	±	7.63	
CRATERS	04/04/2007	-2.83		2.54	-10.47		9.38	
ORATERO	04/11/2007	0.28	∸ ±	2.33	1.05	±	8.61	
	04/18/2007	0.26	∸ ±	2.30	0.96	±	8.50	
	04/25/2007	0.20	±	2.50	3.63	±	9.24	
	05/02/2007	-2.86	±	2.85	-10.59	±	10.54	
	05/09/2007	-2.98	±	2.30	-11.01	±	8.52	
	05/16/2007	-1.83	±	3.25	-6.76	±	12.02	
	05/23/2007	1.07	±	2.89	3.96	±	10.68	
	05/30/2007	-5.01	±	2.09	-18.54	±	10.02	
	06/06/2007	-1.27	±	2.33	-4.70	±	8.61	
	06/13/2007	-0.33		2.82	-1.21		10.44	
	06/20/2007	-2.77	± ±	2.48	-10.24	± ±	9.17	
	06/27/2007	-2.22		2.40	-8.23		9.58	
DUBOIS	04/04/2007	1.10	 	1.45	4.05	± ±	5.35	
a	04/11/2007	-2.33	±	4.92	-8.63	±	18.19	
~	04/18/2007	-0.29	∸ ±	1.26	-1.08	±	4.66	
а	04/25/2007	4.03	±	7.61	14.90	±	28.16	
a	05/02/2007	16.05	±	32.22	59.39	±	119.20	
~	05/09/2007	0.32	⊥ ±	2.04	1.19	± ±	7.55	
	05/16/2007	2.42	±	1.56	8.97	±	5.77	
а	05/23/2007	-24.90	±	46.00	-92.14	±	170.20	
~	05/30/2007	-2.17	⊥ ±	1.60	-8.01	± ±	5.91	
	06/06/2007	-1.18	±	2.16	-4.37	±	8.00	
	06/13/2007	-2.38	±	1.15	-8.82	±	4.27	
	06/20/2007	0.00	±	0.00	0.02	±	0.00	
	06/27/2007	1.23	±	1.59	4.57	±	5.89	
	00/21/2001	1.20	<u>+</u>	1.00	7.57	÷	0.00	

Sampling Group	Sampling	Result ± 1	s Ur	certainty	Result ± 1	ls Un	certainty	
and Location	Date	(x 10 <sup>-1</sup>	<sup>ι5</sup> μC	i/mL)	(x 10 <sup>-</sup>	<sup>11</sup> Bq	/mL)	
BOUNDARY				,	•			
IDAHO FALLS	04/04/2007	1.38	±	1.82	5.10	±	6.73	
	04/11/2007	-0.65	±	1.38	-2.42	±	5.09	
	04/18/2007	-0.30	±	1.28	-1.10	±	4.74	
	04/25/2007	0.87	±	1.65	3.22	±	6.09	
	05/02/2007	0.69	±	1.38	2.55	±	5.12	
	05/09/2007	0.27	±	1.71	0.99	±	6.31	
	05/16/2007	2.68	±	1.73	9.92	±	6.38	
	05/23/2007	-0.81	±	1.50	-3.01	±	5.56	
	05/30/2007	-1.72	±	1.27	-6.35	±	4.69	
	06/06/2007	-1.09	±	2.00	-4.04	±	7.40	
	06/13/2007	-2.74	±	1.33	-10.13	±	4.91	
	06/20/2007	1.25	±	1.67	4.61	±	6.17	
	06/27/2007	1.23	±	1.59	4.56	±	5.87	
JACKSON	04/04/2007	-3.03		2.72	-11.23	±	10.06	
	04/11/2007	0.28	±	2.29	1.03	±	8.48	
	04/18/2007	0.25	±	2.19	0.92	±	8.11	
	04/25/2007	0.93	±	2.36	3.44	±	8.74	
	05/02/2007	-2.37	±	2.36	-8.78	±	8.74	
	05/09/2007	-3.29	±	2.54	-12.17	±	9.41	
	05/16/2007	-1.37	±	2.43	-5.05	±	8.99	
	05/23/2007	0.87	±	2.35	3.22	±	8.70	
	05/30/2007	-4.61	±	2.49	-17.06	±	9.22	
	06/06/2007	-1.09	±	1.99	-4.02	±	7.35	
а	06/13/2007	0.00	±	0.00	0.00	±	0.00	
<b>G</b>	06/20/2007	-3.13	±	2.80	-11.57	±	10.36	
	06/27/2007	-2.15	±	2.50	-7.96	±	9.26	
REXBURG CMS	04/04/2007	0.64		0.85	2.37	±	3.13	
	04/11/2007	-0.77	±	1.63	-2.86	±	6.02	
	04/18/2007	-0.33	±	1.40	-1.20	±	5.18	
	04/25/2007	0.78	±	1.47	2.89	±	5.45	
	05/02/2007	0.86	±	1.73	3.20	±	6.42	
	05/09/2007	0.23	±	1.46	0.85	±	5.39	
а	05/16/2007	0.00	±	0.00	0.00	±	0.00	
	05/23/2007	-0.94	±	1.74	-3.49	±	6.44	
	05/30/2007	-2.13	±	1.57	-7.88	±	5.81	
	06/06/2007	-0.81	±	1.49	-3.01	±	5.50	
	06/13/2007	-2.63	±	1.28	-9.74	±	4.72	
	06/20/2007	1.20	±	1.61	4.45	±	5.95	
	06/27/2007	1.20	±	1.55	4.45	±	5.73	
INL SITE		-		-	_		-	
EFS	04/04/2007	-3.17	±	2.84	-11.73	±	10.51	
-	04/11/2007	0.29	±	2.39	1.07	±	8.83	
	04/18/2007	0.20	±	2.77	1.16	±	10.24	
	04/25/2007	0.89	±	2.26	3.28	±	8.35	
	05/02/2007	-2.25	±	2.24	-8.33	±	8.29	
	05/09/2007	-3.70	±	2.86	-13.70	±	10.59	
	05/16/2007	-1.86	±	3.30	-6.86	±	12.21	
	05/23/2007	1.11	±	2.98	4.09	±	11.04	
	00,20,2001		-	2.00		_		

and Location         Date         (x 10 <sup>-15</sup> µCi/mL)         (x 10 <sup>-11</sup> Bq/mL)           BOUNDARY         05/30/2007         -5.76         ±         3.11         -21.32         ±         11.52           06/06/2007         -0.18         ±         2.49         -0.66         ±         9.22           06/13/2007         -0.21         ±         1.85         -0.79         ±         6.86           06/20/2007         -3.25         ±         2.91         -12.03         ±         10.77           06/27/2007         -2.65         ±         2.29         -9.61         ±         11.18           QA-1         04/04/2007         0.25         ±         2.00         0.84         ±         7.41           04/25/2007         0.82         ±         2.10         3.05         ±         7.03         ±         12.50           05/02/2007         -1.90         ±         3.38         -7.03         ±         12.50         05/30/2007         -0.81         ±         1.44         8.891         06/06/2007         0.82         ±         2.71         -7.33         ±         5.50         06/13/2007         -0.22         ±         10.44         8.491         0.60/9	Sampling Group	Sampling	Result ±	1s Un	certainty	Result ± '	1s Un	certainty	
BOUNDARY         1         2         1         1         2           05/30/2007         -5.76 $\pm$ 3.11         -21.32 $\pm$ 11.52           06/06/2007         -0.21 $\pm$ 1.85         -0.79 $\pm$ 6.86           06/20/2007         -3.25 $\pm$ 2.91         -12.03 $\pm$ 10.77           06/20/2007         -2.86 $\pm$ 2.29         -9.43 $\pm$ 8.46           04/11/2007         0.25 $\pm$ 2.03         0.91 $\pm$ 7.49           04/18/2007         0.82 $\pm$ 2.10         3.05 $\pm$ 7.76           05/09/2007         -3.70 $\pm$ 2.86         -13.69 $\pm$ 10.59           05/30/2007         -1.45 $\pm$ 3.81         -5.03 $\pm$ 2.50           06/07/2007         -0.22 $\pm$ 1.90         -0.81 $\pm$ 7.04           05/30/2007         -0.22 $\pm$ 1.90         -0.81 $\pm$ 7.04           06/20/2007         -0.83 $\pm$ 2.71	and Location	Date	(x 10	<sup>-15</sup> µC	i/mL)	(x 10	<sup>-11</sup> Bq	/mL)	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	BOUNDARY		,	•	,	•		,	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		05/30/2007	-5.76	±	3.11	-21.32	±	11.52	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				±			±		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			-0.21	±			±		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			-3.25	±		-12.03	±		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		06/27/2007	-2.60	±		-9.61	±		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	QA-1	04/04/2007					±	8.46	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		04/11/2007		±	2.03	0.91	±	7.49	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		04/18/2007					±		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		04/25/2007		±			±		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		05/02/2007							
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		05/09/2007							
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									
a $ \begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	MAIN GATE								
a $04/18/2007$ $0.29 \pm 2.56$ $1.07 \pm 9.47$ $04/25/2007$ $3.62 \pm 9.20$ $13.38 \pm 34.04$ $05/02/2007$ $-2.76 \pm 2.75$ $-10.21 \pm 10.17$ $05/09/2007$ $-3.53 \pm 2.73$ $-13.04 \pm 10.09$ $05/16/2007$ $-1.78 \pm 3.17$ $-6.59 \pm 11.73$ $05/23/2007$ $1.07 \pm 2.89$ $3.96 \pm 10.69$ $05/30/2007$ $-5.02 \pm 2.71$ $-18.59 \pm 10.05$ $06/06/2007$ $-0.78 \pm 1.44$ $-2.90 \pm 5.31$ $06/13/2007$ $-0.23 \pm 1.95$ $-0.84 \pm 7.23$ $06/20/2007$ $-2.15 \pm 1.93$ $-7.97 \pm 7.13$ $06/27/2007$ $-2.28 \pm 2.66$ $-8.45 \pm 9.83$ VAN BUREN GATE $04/04/2007$ $-2.83 \pm 2.54$ $-10.48 \pm 9.39$ $04/11/2007$ $0.25 \pm 2.17$ $0.91 \pm 8.02$ $04/25/2007$ $0.28 \pm 2.209$ $3.04 \pm 7.74$ $05/02/2007$ $-2.48 \pm 2.47$ $-9.17 \pm 9.13$ $05/09/2007$ $-2.96 \pm 2.29$ $-10.96 \pm 8.48$ $05/16/2007$ $-1.72 \pm 3.06$ $-6.36 \pm 11.31$ $05/02/2007$ $-2.96 \pm 2.29$ $-10.96 \pm 8.48$ $05/16/2007$ $-1.72 \pm 3.06$ $-6.36 \pm 11.31$ $05/23/2007$ $-2.96 \pm 2.29$ $-10.96 \pm 8.48$ $05/16/2007$ $-1.72 \pm 3.06$ $-6.36 \pm 11.31$ $05/23/2007$ $-2.96 \pm 2.29$ $-10.96 \pm 8.48$ $05/16/2007$ $-1.72 \pm 3.06$ $-6.36 \pm 11.31$ $05/23/2007$ $-2.96 \pm 2.29$ $-10.96 \pm 8.48$ $05/16/2007$ $-1.72 \pm 3.06$ $-6.36 \pm 11.31$ $05/23/2007$ $-2.68 \pm 2.40$ $-9.17 \pm 9.39$ $06/06/2007$ $-0.21 \pm 2.93$ $-0.77 \pm 10.83$ $06/13/2007$ $-2.68 \pm 2.40$ $-9.91 \pm 8.87$ $06/20/2007$ $-2.68 \pm 2.40$ $-9.91 \pm 8.87$									
a $04/25/2007$ $3.62 \pm 9.20$ $13.38 \pm 34.04$ $05/02/2007$ $-2.76 \pm 2.75$ $-10.21 \pm 10.17$ $05/09/2007$ $-3.53 \pm 2.73$ $-13.04 \pm 10.09$ $05/16/2007$ $-1.78 \pm 3.17$ $-6.59 \pm 11.73$ $05/23/2007$ $1.07 \pm 2.89$ $3.96 \pm 10.69$ $05/30/2007$ $-5.02 \pm 2.71$ $-18.59 \pm 10.05$ $06/06/2007$ $-0.78 \pm 1.44$ $-2.90 \pm 5.31$ $06/13/2007$ $-0.23 \pm 1.95$ $-0.84 \pm 7.23$ $06/20/2007$ $-2.15 \pm 1.93$ $-7.97 \pm 7.13$ $06/27/2007$ $-2.28 \pm 2.66$ $-8.45 \pm 9.83$ VAN BUREN GATE $04/04/2007$ $-2.83 \pm 2.54$ $-10.48 \pm 9.39$ $04/11/2007$ $0.28 \pm 2.27$ $1.02 \pm 8.41$ $04/18/2007$ $0.25 \pm 2.17$ $0.91 \pm 8.02$ $04/25/2007$ $-2.48 \pm 2.47$ $-9.17 \pm 9.13$ $05/09/2007$ $-2.96 \pm 2.29$ $-10.96 \pm 8.48$ $05/16/2007$ $-1.72 \pm 3.06$ $-6.36 \pm 11.31$ $05/23/2007$ $1.25 \pm 3.38$ $4.64 \pm 12.52$ $05/30/2007$ $-2.96 \pm 2.29$ $-10.96 \pm 8.48$ $05/16/2007$ $-1.72 \pm 3.06$ $-6.36 \pm 11.31$ $05/23/2007$ $-2.96 \pm 2.29$ $-10.96 \pm 8.48$ $05/16/2007$ $-1.25 \pm 3.38$ $4.64 \pm 12.52$ $05/30/2007$ $-2.96 \pm 2.43$ $-7.73 \pm 9.39$									
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	а								
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<b>с</b>								
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									
VAN BUREN GATE $04/04/2007$ $-2.83$ $\pm$ $2.54$ $-10.48$ $\pm$ $9.39$ $04/11/2007$ $0.28$ $\pm$ $2.27$ $1.02$ $\pm$ $8.41$ $04/18/2007$ $0.25$ $\pm$ $2.17$ $0.91$ $\pm$ $8.02$ $04/25/2007$ $0.82$ $\pm$ $2.09$ $3.04$ $\pm$ $7.74$ $05/02/2007$ $-2.48$ $\pm$ $2.47$ $-9.17$ $\pm$ $9.13$ $05/09/2007$ $-2.96$ $\pm$ $2.29$ $-10.96$ $\pm$ $8.48$ $05/16/2007$ $-1.72$ $\pm$ $3.06$ $-6.36$ $\pm$ $11.31$ $05/23/2007$ $1.25$ $\pm$ $3.38$ $4.64$ $\pm$ $12.52$ $05/30/2007$ $-0.21$ $\pm$ $2.93$ $-0.77$ $\pm$ $10.83$ $06/06/2007$ $-0.21$ $\pm$ $2.04$ $-0.87$ $\pm$ $7.55$ $06/20/2007$ $-2.68$ $\pm$ $2.43$ $-7.73$ $\pm$ $9.00$									
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	VAN BUREN GATE								
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									
06/27/2007 -2.09 ± 2.43 -7.73 ± 9.00									
a Invalid Sample Result	a. Invalid Sample Res		2.00	<u> </u>	2.70	1.10	<u> </u>	0.00	

Sampling Group	Sampling	Annabata	Result ±	1s Un <sup>∙18</sup> µCi				ncertainty q/mL)	Desalt
and Location BOUNDARY	Date	Analyte	(X 10	μCi	/mL)	(X 10	B	q/mL)	Result > 3
ARCO	6/29/2007	CESIUM-137	48.70		193.00	180.19		714.10	
				±			±		
ATOMIC CITY	6/29/2007	CESIUM-137	-103.00	±	200.00	-381.10	±	740.00	
	0/00/0007	STRONTIUM-90	286.00	±	31.25	1058.20	±	115.63	Y
BLUE DOME	6/29/2007	CESIUM-137	90.30	±	119.00	334.11	±	440.30	
FAA TOWER	6/29/2007	AMERICIUM-241	0.00	±	0.00	0.00	±	0.00	
		CESIUM-137	28.60	±	236.00	105.82	±	873.20	
		PLUTONIUM-238	16.25	±	3.13	60.13	±	11.58	Y
		PLUTONIUM-239/40	0.49	±	1.10	1.82	±	4.07	
HOWE	6/29/2007	CESIUM-137	115.00	±	101.00	425.50	±	373.70	
MONTEVIEW	6/29/2007	CESIUM-137	-67.80	±	173.00	-250.86	±	640.10	
		STRONTIUM-90	20.50	±	11.30	75.85	±	41.81	
MUD LAKE	6/29/2007	AMERICIUM-241	1.02	±	0.62	3.77	±	2.31	
		CESIUM-137	-302.00	±	384.00	-1117.40	±	1420.80	
		PLUTONIUM-238	0.92	±	0.56	3.39	±	2.08	
		PLUTONIUM-239/40	-0.92	±	0.46	-3.39	±	1.70	
MUD LAKE (QA-2)	6/29/2007	AMERICIUM-241	3.34	±	1.18	12.34	±	4.35	
		CESIUM-137	346.00	±	169.00	1280.20	±	625.30	
		PLUTONIUM-238	0.93	±	0.47	3.45	±	1.73	
		PLUTONIUM-239/40	1.16	±	0.70	4.31	±	2.59	
DISTANT									
BLACKFOOT	6/29/2007	CESIUM-137	62.50	±	133.00	231.25	±	492.10	
		STRONTIUM-90	-8.40	±	18.60	-31.08	±	68.82	
CRATERS	6/29/2007	CESIUM-137	-187.00	±	179.00	-691.90	±	662.30	
DUBOIS	6/29/2007	CESIUM-137	342.00	±	251.00	1265.40	±	928.70	
IDAHO FALLS	6/29/2007	AMERICIUM-241	0.00	±	0.00	0.00	±	0.00	
		CESIUM-137	-507.00	±	365.00	-1875.90	±	1350.50	
		PLUTONIUM-238	8.87	±	1.52	32.82	±	5.64	Y
		PLUTONIUM-239/40	5.52	±	1.20	20.42	±	4.44	Ý
JACKSON	6/29/2007	CESIUM-137	134.00	±	203.00	495.80	±	751.10	·
REXBURG CMS	6/29/2007	CESIUM-137	-3.78	±	109.00	-13.99	±	403.30	
	0,20,2001	STRONTIUM-90	62.20	±	18.90	230.14	±	69.93	Y

TABLE C-3. Quarterly Americium-241, Cesium-137, Plutonium-238, Plutonium-239/240, and Strontium-90 Concentrations in Composite Air Filters.

Sampling Group and Location	and Location Date Analyte			Result ± 1s Uncertainty (x 10 <sup>-18</sup> μCi/mL)			Result ± 1s Uncertainty (x 10 <sup>-13</sup> Bq/mL)			
INL SITE										
EFS	6/29/2007	CESIUM-137	61.60	±	120.00	227.92	±	444.00		
		STRONTIUM-90	13.60	±	12.70	50.32	±	46.99		
EFS (QA-1)	6/29/2007	CESIUM-137	247.00	±	162.00	913.90	±	599.40		
		STRONTIUM-90	9.91	±	10.85	36.67	±	40.15		
MAIN GATE	6/29/2007	AMERICIUM-241	34.65	±	5.62	128.21	±	20.79	Y	
		CESIUM-137	-564.00	±	403.00	-2086.80	±	1491.10		
		PLUTONIUM-238	1.17	±	0.55	4.33	±	2.04		
		PLUTONIUM-239/40	0.78	±	0.55	2.88	±	2.04		
VAN BUREN GATE	6/29/2007	AMERICIUM-241	1.72	±	1.01	6.35	±	3.72		
		CESIUM-137	182.00	±	164.00	673.40	±	606.80		
		PLUTONIUM-238	1.25	±	0.78	4.63	±	2.89		
		PLUTONIUM-239/40	10.73	±	1.50	39.70	±	5.56	Y	

Sampling Group	Start	Sampling	Result ±	1s Ur	ncertainty	Result ±	1s U	ncertainty	Collection	
and Location	Date	Date	(x 10	<sup>13</sup> µCi	/mL <sub>air)</sub>	(x 10	) <sup>-9</sup> Bq	/mL <sub>air)</sub>	Medium	Result > 3s
BOUNDARY			-	-	,	-	-	,		
ATOMIC CITY	02/21/2007	04/04/2007	8.00	±	0.98	29.60	±	3.64	Molecular Sieve	Y
ATOMIC CITY	04/04/2007	05/02/2007	6.28	±	1.07	23.25	±	3.97	Molecular Sieve	Y
ATOMIC CITY	05/02/2007	05/23/2007	9.29	±	1.62	34.37	±	5.99	Molecular Sieve	Y
ATOMIC CITY	05/23/2007	06/13/2007	8.82	±	1.71	32.65	±	6.33	Molecular Sieve	Y
DISTANT										
BLACKFOOT	02/19/2007	04/04/2007	4.80	±	0.91	17.77	±	3.37	Molecular Sieve	Y
BLACKFOOT	04/04/2007	05/02/2007	6.20	±	1.17	22.96	±	4.33	Molecular Sieve	Y
BLACKFOOT	05/02/2007	05/23/2007	10.16	±	1.81	37.60	±	6.68	Molecular Sieve	Y
BLACKFOOT	05/23/2007	06/13/2007	7.38	±	1.72	27.29	±	6.38	Molecular Sieve	Y
BLACKFOOT	06/13/2007	06/28/2007	12.32	±	2.38	45.57	±	8.81	Molecular Sieve	Y
IDAHO FALLS	02/27/2007	04/02/2007	3.77	±	1.10	13.96	±	4.08	Molecular Sieve	Y
IDAHO FALLS	04/02/2007	04/26/2007	8.17	±	1.36	30.25	±	5.04	Molecular Sieve	Y
IDAHO FALLS	04/26/2007	05/15/2007	9.22	±	1.80	34.10	±	6.65	Molecular Sieve	Y
IDAHO FALLS	05/15/2007	05/31/2007	8.42	±	2.01	31.16	±	7.43	Molecular Sieve	Y
IDAHO FALLS	05/31/2007	06/14/2007	12.39	±	2.61	45.83	±	9.66	Molecular Sieve	Y
IDAHO FALLS	06/14/2007	06/27/2007	15.10	±	2.86	55.87	±	10.58	Molecular Sieve	Y
REXBURG CMS	03/14/2007	04/04/2007	3.47	±	1.34	12.82	±	4.94	Molecular Sieve	
REXBURG CMS	04/04/2007	05/02/2007	5.97	±	1.18	22.08	±	4.37	Molecular Sieve	Y
REXBURG CMS	05/02/2007	05/23/2007	8.94	±	1.86	33.07	±	6.88	Molecular Sieve	Y
REXBURG CMS	05/23/2007	06/14/2007	8.44	±	1.72	31.24	±	6.35	Molecular Sieve	Y
REXBURG CMS	06/14/2007	06/27/2007	11.07	±	2.72	40.95	±	10.08	Molecular Sieve	Y

			Result ±	1s Un	certainty	Result ±	1s Un	certainty			
Location	Start Date	End Date		(pCi/L	)		(Bq/L)				
Idaho Falls	3/2/2007	4/2/2007	157.00		31.40	5.81	±	1.16	Y		
	4/2/2007	5/3/2007	145.00	±	32.10	5.37	±	1.19	Y		
	5/3/2007	6/5/2007	200.00	±	31.70	7.40	±	1.17	Y		
CFA	3/1/2007	4/2/2007	164.00	±	30.90	6.07	±	1.14	Y		
	4/2/2007	5/1/2007	167.00	±	31.30	6.18	±	1.16	Y		
	5/1/2007	6/1/2007	147.00	±	30.90	5.44	±	1.14	Y		
EFS	4/11/2007	4/18/2007	195.00	±	32.80	7.22	±	1.21	Y		
	4/18/2007	4/25/2007	206.00	±	33.00	7.62	±	1.22	Y		
	5/2/2007	5/9/2007	182.00	±	32.60	6.73	±	1.21	Y		
	5/30/2007	6/6/2007	331.00	±	34.80	12.25	±	1.29	Y		
	6/6/2007	6/13/2007	262.00	±	33.50	9.69	±	1.24	Y		

					ie-131			_				ım-137			_
	Sampling	Result		ncertainty			ncertainty	-	Result ±	1s Un	certainty	Result ±	1s Ur	certainty	-
Location	Date		(pCi <sup>†</sup> /	′L)	(	(Bq <sup>‡</sup> /L	.)	Result > 3s		(pCi/L	)		(Bq/L	)	Result > 3s
BLACKFOOT															
	04/03/2007	-0.85	±	1.01	-0.032	±	0.037		-0.73	±	1.14	-0.027	±	0.042	
	05/01/2007	-1.13	±	0.99	-0.042	±	0.037		-0.59	±	1.14	-0.022	±	0.042	
	06/05/2007	1.73	±	1.45	0.064	±	0.054		-0.39	±	1.28	-0.015	±	0.047	
DIETRICH															
	04/03/2007	-3.03	±	1.74	-0.112	±	0.064		-0.48	±	1.41	-0.018	±	0.052	
	05/01/2007	-1.47	±	1.67	-0.054	±	0.062		-0.30	±	1.42	-0.011	±	0.053	
	06/05/2007	-1.72	±	1.02	-0.064	±	0.038		-1.85	±	1.11	-0.069	±	0.041	
Duplicate	06/05/2007	0.50	±	2.05	0.019	±	0.076		-2.28	±	1.13	-0.084	±	0.042	
HOWE															
	04/03/2007	-0.85	±	0.90	-0.032	±	0.033		-1.31	±	1.03	-0.049	±	0.038	
	05/01/2007	-0.63	±	1.13	-0.023	±	0.042		-2.64	±	1.11	-0.098	±	0.041	
	06/05/2007	1.98	±	2.78	0.073	±	0.103		-5.78	±	2.93	-0.214	±	0.109	
IDAHO FALLS															
	04/03/2007	-0.42	±	0.82	-0.015	±	0.030		-1.79	±	1.01	-0.066	±	0.037	
	05/01/2007	0.67	±	1.56	0.025	±	0.058		1.92	±	1.16	0.071	±	0.043	
	06/05/2007	-0.09	±	1.62	-0.003	±	0.060		-1.75	±	1.28	-0.065	±	0.047	
MORELAND															
	04/03/2007	4.90	±	2.94	0.181	±	0.109		-3.81	±	3.02	-0.141	±	0.112	
	05/01/2007	1.78	±	2.65	0.066	±	0.098		-3.05	±	3.03	-0.113	±	0.112	
	06/05/2007	0.23	±	0.91	0.009	±	0.034		0.39	±	1.03	0.015	±	0.038	
ROBERTS															
	04/03/2007	3.78	±	1.93	0.140	±	0.071		-3.19	±	1.41	-0.118	±	0.052	
	05/01/2007	-4.15	±	1.92	-0.154	±	0.071		-0.43	±	1.43	-0.016	±	0.053	
	06/05/2007	-1.51	±	1.13	-0.056	±	0.042		-2.02	±	1.12	-0.075	±	0.041	
Duplicate	06/05/2007	-1.05	±	1.23	-0.039	±	0.046		-1.74	±	1.14	-0.064	±	0.042	
RUPERT				-											
	04/03/2007	-0.08	±	1.04	-0.003	±	0.039		-0.80	±	1.12	-0.030	±	0.041	
	05/01/2007	1.54	±	1.03	0.057	±	0.038		-2.17	±	1.13	-0.080	±	0.042	
	06/05/2007	-0.58	±	1.84	-0.021	±	0.068		-0.35	±	1.39	-0.013	±	0.051	
	00/00/2001	0.00	÷	1.04	0.021	÷	0.000		0.00	÷	1.00	0.015	÷	0.001	

TERRETON													
	04/03/2007	3.73	±	1.66	0.138	±	0.061	-0.68	±	1.28	-0.025	±	0.047
	05/01/2007	6.64	±	2.88	0.246	±	0.107	1.36	±	3.06	0.050	±	0.113
	06/05/2007	-0.75	±	0.97	-0.028	±	0.036	1.15	±	1.02	0.043	±	0.038
UCON													
	04/03/2007	0.85	±	0.78	0.031	±	0.029	0.57	±	1.03	0.021	±	0.038
	04/10/2007	-1.19	±	1.03	-0.044	±	0.038	-1.80	±	1.14	-0.067	±	0.042
	04/17/2007	-0.20	±	1.07	-0.008	±	0.040	-1.20	±	1.14	-0.044	±	0.042
	04/24/2007	0.53	±	1.01	0.020	±	0.037	-1.84	±	1.14	-0.068	±	0.042
	05/01/2007	0.57	±	0.75	0.021	±	0.028	-0.42	±	1.07	-0.016	±	0.040
	05/08/2007	-0.25	±	1.06	-0.009	±	0.039	0.02	±	1.15	0.001	±	0.043
	05/15/2007	1.05	±	1.06	0.039	±	0.039	0.04	±	1.14	0.002	±	0.042
	05/22/2007	0.00	±	1.03	0.000	±	0.038	-1.08	±	1.12	-0.040	±	0.041
	05/29/2007	1.05	±	1.02	0.039	±	0.038	-1.33	±	1.13	-0.049	±	0.042
	06/05/2007	0.53	±	0.82	0.020	±	0.030	-0.07	±	0.99	-0.002	±	0.037
	06/12/2007	-0.38	±	1.04	-0.014	±	0.039	-1.94	±	1.11	-0.072	±	0.041
	06/19/2007	-0.68	±	1.05	-0.025	±	0.039	-2.09	±	1.12	-0.077	±	0.041
	06/26/2007	-0.26	±	1.02	-0.009	±	0.038	-1.86	±	1.13	-0.069	±	0.042

#### Table C-6. Weekly and Monthly Iodine-131 and Cesium-137 Concentrations in Milk

				Stron	tium-90			
	Sampling	Result ±	Result ± 1s Uncertainty			± 1s Unce	ertainty	
Location	Date		(pCi/L)			(Bq/L)		Result > 3s
BLACKFOOT	05/01/2007	0.34	±	0.06	0.012	±	0.002	Y
RUPERT	05/01/2007	0.35	±	0.05	0.013	±	0.002	Y
TERRETON	05/01/2007	0.30	±	0.04	0.011	±	0.002	Y
UCON	05/01/2007	0.32	±	0.05	0.012	±	0.002	Y
				Tri	tium			
		Conc	entratior	า ± 1s	Cond	entration	±1s	
			(pCi/L)			(Bq/L)		Result > 3s
DIETRICH	05/01/2007	-80.90	±	29.00	-2.996	±	1.074	
HOWE	05/01/2007	-107.00	±	29.10	-3.963	±	1.078	
IDAHO FALLS	05/01/2007	-41.00	±	28.60	-1.519	±	1.059	
MORELAND	05/01/2007	5.51	±	29.10	0.204	±	1.078	
ROBERTS	05/01/2007	-108.00	±	29.10	-4.000	±	1.078	

	Collection			Result ±	1s U	ncertainty	Result ± 1	ls Un	certainty	
Species	Date	Tissue	Analyte	(pCi/kg	g wet	weight)	(x 10 <sup>-2</sup> Bq/	kg we	et weight)	Result > 3s
PRONGHORN	4/30/2007	Muscle	<sup>131</sup>	-0.36	±	1.75	-1.32	±	6.48	
			<sup>137</sup> Cs	2.36	±	1.14	8.73	±	4.22	
	4/30/2007	Thyroid	<sup>131</sup>	-58.50	±	42.30	-216.45	±	156.51	
			<sup>137</sup> Cs	-1.86	±	39.20	-6.88	±	145.04	

			Radiation Measurement ± 2s Uncertainty	Exposure
Location	Start Date	End Date	mR	mR/day
BOUNDARY				
ARCO	11/8/2006	5/2/2007	62.60 ± 12.30	0.36
ATOMIC CITY	11/8/2006	5/2/2007	63.70 ± 12.50	0.36
BIRCH CREEK	11/8/2006	5/2/2007	57.10 ± 11.20	0.33
BLUE DOME	11/8/2006	5/2/2007	52.40 ± 10.30	0.30
HOWE	11/8/2006	5/2/2007	59.50 ± 11.70	0.34
MONTEVIEW	11/8/2006	5/2/2007	58.10 ± 11.40	0.33
MUD LAKE	11/8/2006	5/2/2007	64.50 ± 12.60	0.37
			Boundary Average	0.34
DISTANT				
ABERDEEN	11/7/2006	5/1/2007	62.90 ± 12.30	0.36
BLACKFOOT	11/8/2006	5/2/2007	57.80 ± 11.30	0.33
BLACKFOOT CMS	11/8/2006	5/2/2007	54.80 ± 10.70	0.31
CRATERS	11/8/2006	5/2/2007	58.90 ± 11.50	0.34
DUBOIS	11/8/2006	5/2/2007	51.10 ± 10.00	0.29
IDAHO FALLS	11/8/2006	5/3/2007	59.50 ± 11.70	0.34
MINIDOKA	11/7/2006	5/1/2007	53.90 ± 10.60	0.31
REXBURG	11/8/2006	5/2/2007	71.20 ± 14.00	0.41
ROBERTS	11/7/2006	5/1/2007	68.40 ± 13.40	0.39
			Distant Average	0.34
OUT-OF-STATE				
JACKSON	11/9/2006	5/3/2007	48.90 ± 9.60	0.28

APPENDIX D

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS RESULTS

PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Parameter	P <sup>a</sup>
Gross Alpha	
Quarter	0.02
April	0.22
Мау	0.19
June	0.19
Gross Beta	
Quarter	0.99
April	0.53
Мау	0.90
June	0.48
a. A 'p' value greater than 0.05 sig difference between data groups	

Table D-1.Results of the Kruskal-Wallace statistical test between INL Site, Boundary<br/>and Distant sample groups by month.

		Mann-Whitney U tes
Parameter	Week	P <sup>a</sup>
Gross Alpha		
	April 4 <sup>th</sup>	1.00
	April 11 <sup>th</sup>	0.27
	April 18 <sup>th</sup>	0.57
	April 25 <sup>th</sup>	0.03
	May 2 <sup>nd</sup>	0.65
	May 9 <sup>th</sup>	0.02
	May 16 <sup>th</sup>	0.75
	May 23 <sup>rd</sup>	0.03
	May 30 <sup>th</sup>	0.48
	June 6 <sup>th</sup>	0.78
	June 13 <sup>th</sup>	0.14
	June 20 <sup>th</sup>	0.42
	June 27 <sup>th</sup>	0.43
Gross Beta		
	April 4 <sup>th</sup>	0.05
	April 11 <sup>th</sup>	1.00
	April 18 <sup>th</sup>	1.00
	April 25 <sup>th</sup>	0.01
	May 2 <sup>nd</sup>	0.36
	May 9 <sup>th</sup>	0.03
	May 16 <sup>th</sup>	0.87
	May 23 <sup>rd</sup>	0.87
	May 30 <sup>th</sup>	0.20
	June 6 <sup>th</sup>	0.48
	June 13 <sup>th</sup>	0.47
	June 20 <sup>th</sup>	0.30
	June 27 <sup>th</sup>	0.78

# Table D-2.Statistical difference in weekly gross alpha and gross beta concentrations<br/>measured at Boundary and Distant locations.

a. A 'p' value greater than 0.05 signifies no statistical difference between data groups.