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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

None of the radionuclides detected in any of the samples collected during the second quarter of 2004 could be directly linked with INEEL activities. Levels of detected radionuclides were no different than values measured at other locations across the United States or were consistent with levels measured historically at the INEEL. All detected radionuclide concentrations were well below guidelines set by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and regulatory standards established by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for protection of the public. (See Table E-1.)

This report for the second quarter, 2004, contains results from the Environmental Surveillance, Education and Research (ESER) Program's monitoring of the Department of Energy's Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory's (INEEL) offsite environment, April 1 through May 30, 2004. All sample types (media) and the sampling schedule followed during 2004 are listed in Appendix A. Specifically, this report contains the results for the following:

- Air sampling, including air filters and charcoal cartridges, atmospheric moisture, and 10-micron particulate matter ( $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ );
- Water sampling, including precipitation, surface water, and drinking water;
- Agricultural product sampling, including milk, sheep, and large game;
- Measurement of external exposure, using environmental dosimetry.

Results are presented in this report with an analytical uncertainty term, $s$, where " $s$ " is an estimate of the population standard deviation ( $\sigma$ ), assuming a normal (Guassian) distribution. The following guidelines, based on Currie (1984), are used to interpret the analytical results.
o Results greater than 3s are reported as "detected".
o Results less than 2s are reported as "undetected".
o Results between 2-3s are reported as "questionable" (i.e., the radionuclide might have been detected but such detection may not be considered reliable.)
Gross alpha and gross beta measurements are used as general indicators of the presence of radionuclides. Gross alpha and gross beta results were found to have no discernable statistical distribution during the second quarter of 2004. Because of this, these data were statistically analyzed using nonparametric methods, including the use of the median to represent central tendency. At no time during the second quarter were weekly, monthly, or quarterly gross alpha or gross beta concentrations in air collected at Boundary locations statistically greater than corresponding data for Distant locations, as one would expect if the INEEL were a significant source of radionuclide contamination.

Iodine-131 $\left({ }^{131}\right.$ I) was not detected in any batch of charcoal cartridges during the second quarter.

Selected quarterly composite filter samples were analyzed for gamma emitting radionuclides, strontium-90 $\left({ }^{90} \mathrm{Sr}\right)$, plutonium- $238\left({ }^{238} \mathrm{Pu}\right)$, plutonium-239/240 $\left({ }^{239 / 240} \mathrm{Pu}\right)$, and americium-241 $\left({ }^{241} \mathrm{Am}\right)$. Americium- 241 and ${ }^{239 / 240} \mathrm{Pu}$ were detected in three samples collected and composited during the second quarter. Concentrations of both were below the DOE derived concentration guidelines (DCGs).

Sixteen atmospheric moisture samples were obtained during the second quarter of 2004 and analyzed for tritium. One each from Blackfoot and Rexburg, and two from Idaho Falls exceeded their respective 3 s levels. The maximum value was below the DOE DCG for tritium in air.

The ESER Program operates three $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ samplers for particulate sampling, one each at Rexburg, Blackfoot, and Atomic City. Sampling of $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ is informational as no analyses are conducted for contaminants. $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ concentrations were well below all health standard levels for all samples. The maximum 24 -hour particulate concentration was $45.98 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ on May 8,2004 , at the Rexburg CMS. This below the EPA Air Quality Standard of $150 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$.

Sufficient precipitation occurred to allow collection of three samples; one from Idaho Falls and two weekly samples from the Experimental Field Station (EFS) on the INEEL. Tritium was not detected above the 3 s level in any of the samples.

Thirteen drinking water samples and one duplicate were collected from selected taps throughout southeast Idaho during the second quarter 2004. Samples were analyzed for gross alpha, gross beta, and tritium $\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right)$. One of the samples exceeded its $3 s$ value for gross alpha and two others exceeded for tritium. The maximum value of both was below the EPA limits established under the Safe Drinking Water Act and DOE DCGs. Ten samples exceeded the 3s value for gross beta. The maximum gross beta concentration measured, ( $3.22 \pm 0.89$ ) pCi/L, was from Minidoka and was below the EPA Safe Water Drinking Water Act (SDWA) screening limit of $50 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ and the DOE DCG of $100 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$. Levels of gross beta activity observed are not unusual given the basaltic terrain.

Six surface water samples (including one duplicate) were collected from locations throughout southeast Idaho. Samples were analyzed for gross alpha, gross beta, and tritium $\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right)$. None of the samples exceeded their $3 s$ value for tritium or gross alpha. Gross beta activities were detected in four samples. Results were less that SDWA screening limits and DOE DCGs and were typical of historical and regional measurements.

Milk samples were collected weekly in Idaho Falls and monthly at eight other locations around the INEEL. All samples were analyzed for gamma-emitting radionuclides. Iodine-131 and ${ }^{137} \mathrm{Cs}$ concentrations were not detected in any milk sample. One of five samples had ${ }^{90} \mathrm{Sr}$ concentrations above the $3 s$ value. Tritium was not detected in any of the five samples analyzed.

Individual sheep from three separate flocks were sampled including a control flock in Dubois from the Experimental Sheep Station, a flock from a southern INEEL allotment, and a flock from a northern INEEL allotment. Two sheep were taken from each flock. Thyroid, muscle, and liver tissue were collected and analyzed for gamma emitting radionuclides. No ${ }^{131}$ was found in any of the samples. Analysis for ${ }^{137}$ Cs showed results greater than $3 s$ in three samples from two different animals on the southern allotment: one liver and two muscle samples. All concentrations of ${ }^{137} \mathrm{Cs}$ were similar to those found in both onsite and offsite sheep samples during recent years.

Three large game animals (two mule deer and a pronghorn) were sampled during the second quarter of 2004. There were killed as a result of a vehicular collision. Thyroid, liver, and muscle tissues were sampled when available. Only the naturally occurring radionuclide potassium-40 was measured at concentrations greater than the associated 3s uncertainty values. No human-made radionuclides were detected.

Environmental dosimeter locations are also divided into Boundary and Distant groupings. Boundary exposure rates ranged from a low of $0.29 \mathrm{mR} /$ day to $0.37 \mathrm{mR} / \mathrm{day}$. The overall Boundary average was $0.34 \mathrm{mR} / \mathrm{day}$. The Distant group ranged from $0.27 \mathrm{mR} / \mathrm{day}$ to $0.38 \mathrm{mR} / \mathrm{day}$, with an overall average exposure also of $0.33 \mathrm{mR} / \mathrm{day}$. No statistical difference existed between Boundary and Distant locations. All exposure results are consistent with those measured historically.

Quality assurance checks and samples submitted for analysis during the second quarter 2004 met most QA requirements. QA issues arose with method uncertainty and recount accuracy for ${ }^{131}$ I measurements in charcoal cartridges and milk, ${ }^{241} \mathrm{Am}$ and ${ }^{90} \mathrm{Sr}$ in quarterly composites, and gross alpha and tritium in drinking and surface water as measured by criteria established in the ESER Quality Assurance Project Plan. These issues are being resolved with the laboratory to avoid future problems.

No radionuclide in any of the samples taken during the second quarter of 2004 could be directly linked with INEEL activities. Levels of detected radionuclides were no different than values measured at other locations across the United States and consistent with levels measured in the past in this area. Radionuclide concentrations in all of the samples collected and analyzed during the second quarter, 2004 were below guidelines set by both the DOE and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for protection of the public.

Table E-1 Summary of results for the second quarter of 2004.

| Media | Sample Type | Analysis | Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Air | Filters | Gross alpha, gross beta | There were no statistical differences noted for weekly, monthly or quarterly gross alpha or gross beta concentrations measured at INEEL, Boundary, and Distant locations. No result exceeded the DCG for gross alpha or gross beta activity in air. |
|  |  | Gamma-emitting radionuclides, select actinides, ${ }^{90} \mathrm{Sr}$ | Gamma-emitting radionuclides, ${ }^{241} \mathrm{Am},{ }^{239 / 240} \mathrm{Pu}$, and ${ }^{90} \mathrm{Sr}$ were not detected in any composite sample. |
|  | Charcoal Cartridge | Iodine-131 | No detections of ${ }^{131}$ I were made during the second quarter. |
|  | PM ${ }_{10}$ | Particulate matter | Forty-three total samples were collected from three locations. No regulatory limits were exceeded. |
| Atmospheric Moisture | Liquid | Tritium | Sixteen atmospheric moisture samples were collected. Four of the results were greater than the 3s uncertainty. |
| Precipitation | Liquid | Tritium | No measurable concentrations of tritium were recorded during the second quarter. |
| Drinking Water | Liquid | Gross alpha, gross beta, tritium | Gross alpha activity was detected in one sample from Idaho Falls. Gross beta activity was measured in 10 of 14 samples. The maximum was well below the EPA Safe Drinking Water Act limits. Tritium was detected in two samples at concentrations many times lower than the EPA regulatory level. |
| Surface Water | Liquid | Gross alpha, gross beta, tritium | No tritium or gross alpha activity was detected in any of the six samples collected. Gross beta activity was measured above the 3s values in four samples. All concentrations were below EPA and DOE limits, and ere within historical measurements. |
| Milk | Liquid | lodine-131, gamma emitting radionuclides, ${ }^{90} \mathrm{Sr}$, and tritium | Strontium-90 was detected in one of samples collected from Idaho Falls. |
| Sheep | Tissue | Iodine-131, gamma emitting radionuclides | Cesium-137 was detected in three samples: two muscle samples and one liver. Samples came from two sheep collected from the Southern grazing allotment. |
| Game Animals | Tissue | Iodine-131, gamma emitting radionuclides | Three game animals were collected during this quarter. No man-made radionuclides were detected. |
| Environmental Radiation | TLD | Ambient ionizing radiation | Values were consistent with expected exposures given the altitude and location of the TLD's. There were no statistical differences between Boundary and Distant location results. |
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## 1. ESER PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

Operations at the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory (INEEL) are conducted under requirements imposed by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) under authority of the Atomic Energy Act, and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under a number of acts (e.g. the Clean Air Act and Clean Water Act). The requirements imposed by DOE are specified in DOE Orders. These requirements include those to monitor the effects of DOE activities on and off of DOE facilities (DOE 2003). During calendar year 2004, environmental monitoring within the INEEL boundaries was primarily the responsibility of the INEEL Management and Operating (M\&O) contractor, while monitoring outside the INEEL boundaries was conducted under the Environmental Surveillance, Education and Research (ESER) Program. The ESER Program is led by the S.M. Stoller Corporation in cooperation with its team members, including: the University of Idaho (UI) and Washington State University (WSU) for research, and MWH Global, Inc., and North Wind Environmental, Inc. for technical support. This report contains monitoring results from the ESER Program for samples collected during the second quarter of 2004 (April 1 - June 30, 2004).

The surveillance portion of the ESER Program is designed to satisfy the following program objectives:

- Verify compliance with applicable environmental laws, regulations, and DOE Orders;
- Characterize and define trends in the physical, chemical, and biological condition of environmental media on and around the INEEL;
- Assess the potential radiation dose to members of the public from INEEL effluents, and;
- Present program results clearly and concisely through the use of reports, presentations, newsletter articles, and press releases.

The goal of the surveillance program is to monitor different media at a number of potential exposure points within the various exposure pathways, including air, water, agricultural products, wildlife, and soil, that could possibly contribute to the radiation dose received by the public.

Environmental samples routinely collected include:

- air at 16 locations on and around the INEEL;
- moisture in air at four locations around the INEEL;
- surface water at five locations on the Snake River;
- drinking water at 14 locations around the INEEL;
- agricultural products, including milk at 10 dairies around the INEEL, potatoes from at least five local producers, wheat from approximately 10 local producers, lettuce from approximately nine home-owned gardens around the INEEL, and sheep from two operators which graze their sheep on the INEEL;
- soil from 13 locations around the INEEL biennially;
- environmental dosimeters from 15 locations semi-annually; and
- various numbers of wildlife including big game (pronghorn, mule deer, and elk), waterfowl, doves, and marmots sampled on and near the INEEL. Fish are also sampled as available (i.e., when there is flow in the Big Lost River).

Table A-1 in Appendix A lists samples, sampling locations and collection frequency for the ESER Program.

The ESER Program used two laboratories to perform analyses on routine environmental samples collected during the quarter reported here. The Idaho State University (ISU) Environmental Assessment Laboratory (EAL) performed routine gross alpha, gross beta, tritium, and gamma spectrometry analyses. Analyses requiring radiochemistry, including strontium-90 $\left({ }^{90} \mathrm{Sr}\right)$, plutonium-238 $\left({ }^{238} \mathrm{Pu}\right)$, plutonium-239/240 $\left({ }^{239 / 240} \mathrm{Pu}\right)$, and americium-241 $\left({ }^{241} \mathrm{Am}\right)$ were performed by Severn-Trent, Inc.

In the event of non-routine occurrences, such as suspected releases of radioactive material, the ESER Program may increase the frequency of sampling and/or the number of sampling locations based on the nature of the release and wind distribution patterns. Any data found to be outside historical norms in the ESER Program is thoroughly investigated to determine if an INEEL origin is likely. Investigation may include re-sampling and/or re-analysis of prior samples.

In the event of any suspected worldwide nuclear incidents, like the 1986 Chernobyl accident, the EPA may request additional sampling be performed through the Environmental Radiation Ambient Monitoring System (ERAMS) network (EPA 2004). The EPA established the ERAMS network in 1973 with an emphasis on identifying trends in the accumulation of longlived radionuclides in the environment. ERAMS is comprised of a nationwide network of sampling stations that provide air, precipitation, surface water, drinking water, and milk samples. The ESER Program currently operates a high-volume air sampler and precipitation sampling equipment in Idaho Falls for this national program and routinely sends samples to EPA's Eastern Environmental Radiation Facility for analyses. The ERAMS data collected at Idaho Falls are not reported by the ESER Program but are available through the EPA ERAMS website (http://www.epa.gov/enviro/html/erams/).

Once samples have been collected and analyzed, the ESER Program has the responsibility for quality control of the data and for preparing quarterly reports on results from the environmental surveillance program. The quarterly reports are then consolidated into the INEEL Annual Site Environmental Report for each calendar year. Annual reports also include data collected by other INEEL contractors.

The results reported in the quarterly and annual reports are assessed in terms of data quality and statistical significance with respect to laboratory analytical uncertainties, sample locations, reported INEEL releases, meteorological data, and worldwide events that might conceivably have an effect on the INEEL environment. First, field collection and laboratory information are reviewed to determine identifiable errors that would invalidate or limit use of the data. Examples of these include insufficient sample volume, torn filters, evidence of laboratory cross-contamination or quality control issues. Data that pass initial screening are further evaluated using statistical methods. Statistical tools are necessary for data evaluation particularly since environmental measurements typically involve the determination of minute concentrations, which are difficult to detect and even more difficult to distinguish from other measurements.

Results are presented in this report with an analytical uncertainty term, $s$, where " $s$ " is an estimated sample standard deviation ( $\sigma$ ), assuming a Guassian or normal distribution. All results are reported in this document, even those that do not necessarily represent detections. The term "detected", as used for the discussion of results in this report, does not imply any degree of risk to the public or environment, but rather indicates that the radionuclide was detected at a concentration sufficient for the analytical instrument to record a value that is statistically different from background. The ESER has adopted guidelines developed by the

United States Geological Survey (USGS 2003), based on an extension of a method proposed by Currie (1984), to interpret analytical results and make decisions concerning detection. Most of the following discussion is taken from USGS (2003).

Laboratory measurements involve the analysis of a target sample and the analysis of a prepared laboratory blank (i.e., a sample which is identical to the sample collected in the environment, except that the radionuclide of interest is absent). Instrument signals for the target and blank vary randomly about the true signals and may overlap making it difficult to distinguish between radionuclide activities in blank and in environmental samples (Figure 1). That is, the variability around the sample result may substantially overlap the variability around a net activity of zero for samples with no radioactivity. In order to conclude that a radionuclide has been detected, it is essential to consider two fundamental aspects of the problem of detection: (1) the instrument signal for the sample must be greater than that observed for the blank before the decision can be made that the radionuclide has been detected; and (2) an estimate must be made of the minimum radionuclide concentration that will yield a sufficiently large observed signal before the correct decision can be made for detection or non-detection.


Figure 1. Example overlap of blank and sample measurement distributions.
In the laboratory, instrument signals must exceed a critical level of 1.6 s before the qualitative decision can be made as to whether the radionuclide was detected in a sample. At $1.6 s$ there is about a 95 -percent probability that the correct conclusion-not detected-will be made. Given a large number of samples, approximately 5 percent of the samples with measured concentrations greater than or equal to 1.6 s , which were concluded as being detected, might not contain the radionuclide. These are referred to as false positives. For purposes of simplicity and consistency with past reporting, the ESER has rounded the 1.6 s critical level estimation to 2 s .

Once the critical level has been defined, the minimum detectable concentration may be determined. Concentrations that equal 3 s represent a measurement at the detection level or minimum detectable concentration. For true concentrations of 3 s or greater, there is a 95percent probability that the radionuclide was detected in the target sample. In a large number of samples, the conclusion-not detected-will be made in 5 percent of the samples with true concentrations at the minimum detectable concentration of 3s. These measurements are
known as false negatives. The ESER reports measured radionuclide concentrations greater than or equal to their respective 3 s uncertainties as being "detected with confidence".

Concentrations between 2 s and 3 s are reported as "questionably detected". That is, the radionuclide may be present in the sample, however the detection may not be reliable. Measurements made between 2 s and 3 s are examined further to determine if they are a part of a pattern (temporal or spatial) that might warrant further investigation or recounting. For example, if a particular radionuclide is usually detected at > 3s at a specific location a sample result between 2 s and 3 s might be considered detected.

If a result is less than or equal to $2 s$ there is little confidence that the radionuclide is present in the sample. A more detailed discussion about confidence in detections may be found in Confidence in Detections under Helpful Information.

For more information concerning the ESER Program, contact the S.M. Stoller Corporation at (208) 525-9358, or visit the Program's web page (http://www.stoller-eser.com).

## 2. THE INEEL

The INEEL is a nuclear energy research and environmental management facility. It is owned and administered by the U.S. Department of Energy, Idaho Operations Office (DOE-ID) and occupies about $890 \mathrm{mi}^{2}\left(2,300 \mathrm{~km}^{2}\right)$ of the upper Snake River Plain in Southeastern Idaho. The history of the INEEL began during World War II when the U.S. Naval Ordnance Station was located in Pocatello, Idaho. This station, one of two such installations in the U.S., retooled large guns from U.S. Navy warships. The retooled guns were tested on the nearby-uninhabited plain, known as the Naval Proving Ground. In the years following the war, as the nation worked to develop nuclear power, the Atomic Energy Commission (AEC), predecessor to the DOE, became interested in the Naval Proving Ground and made plans for a facility to build, test, and perfect nuclear power reactors.

The Naval Proving Ground became the National Reactor Testing Station (NRTS) in 1949, under the AEC. By the end of 1951, a nuclear reactor at the NRTS became the second to produce useful amounts of electricity. Over time the site has evolved into an assembly of 52 reactors, associated research centers, and waste handling areas. The NRTS was renamed the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory (INEL) in 1974 and the INEEL in January 1997. With renewed interest in nuclear power the DOE announced in 2004 that Argonne National Laboratory and the INEEL are to be the lead laboratories for development of the next generation of power reactors. Other activities at the INEEL include environmental cleanup, subsurface research, and technology development.


## 3. AIR SAMPLING

The primary pathway by which radionuclides can move off the INEEL is through the air and for this reason the air pathway is the primary focus of monitoring on and around the INEEL. Samples for particulates and iodine-131 $\left({ }^{131} \mathrm{I}\right)$ gas in air were collected weekly at 16 locations using low-volume air samplers for the duration of the quarter. Moisture in the atmosphere was sampled at four locations around the INEEL and analyzed for tritium. Concentrations of airborne particulates less than 10 micrometers in diameter ( $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ ) were measured for comparison with EPA standards at three locations. Air sampling activities and results for the second quarter, 2004 are discussed below. A summary of approximate minimum detectable concentrations (MDCs) for radiological analyses and DOE Derived Concentration Guide (DCG) (DOE 1993) values is provided in Appendix B.

## Low-Volume Air Sampling

Radioactivity associated with airborne particulates was monitored continuously by 18 low-volume air samplers (two of which are used as replicate samplers) at 16 locations during the second quarter of 2004 (Figure 2). Three of these samplers are located on the INEEL, eight samplers (including one replicate samplers at Mud Lake) are situated off the INEEL near the boundary, and seven samplers (including one replicate at Blackfoot) have been placed at locations distant to the INEEL. Each replicate sampler is relocated every year to a new location. Samplers are divided into INEEL, Boundary, and Distant groups to determine if there is a gradient of radionuclide concentrations, increasing towards the INEEL. An average of 15,624 $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ $\left(442 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\right)$ of air was sampled at each location, each week, at an average flow rate of $1.6 \mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{min}$ ( $0.045 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{min}$ ). Particulates in air were collected on glass fiber particulate filters ( $1.2-\mu \mathrm{m}$ pore size). Gases passing through the filter were collected with an activated charcoal cartridge.


Figure 2. Low-volume air sampler locations.

Filters and charcoal cartridges were changed weekly at each station during the quarter. Each particulate filter was analyzed for gross alpha and gross beta radioactivity using thinwindow gas flow proportional counting systems after waiting about four days for naturallyoccurring daughter products of radon and thorium to decay. More information concerning gross alpha and beta radioactivity can be found in Gross versus Specific Analyses under Helpful Information.

The weekly particulate filters collected during the quarter for each location were composited and analyzed for gamma-emitting radionuclides. Composites were also analyzed by location for ${ }^{90} \mathrm{Sr}$, or ${ }^{238} \mathrm{Pu},{ }^{239 / 240} \mathrm{Pu}$, and ${ }^{241} \mathrm{Am}$ as determined by a rotating quarterly schedule.

Charcoal cartridges were analyzed for gamma-emitting radionuclides, specifically for iodine-131 $\left({ }^{131} \mathrm{I}\right)$. Iodine-131 is of particular interest because it is produced in relatively large quantities by nuclear fission, is readily accumulated in human and animal thyroids, and has a half-life of eight days. This means that any elevated level of ${ }^{131} \mathrm{I}$ in the environment could be from a recent release of fission products.

Gross alpha results are reported in Table C-1. Median gross alpha concentrations in air for INEEL, Boundary, and Distant locations for the second quarter of 2004 are shown in Figure 3. The data were tested for normality prior to statistical analyses. The data showed no consistent discernable distribution. Box and whisker plots are commonly used when there is no assumed distribution. Each data group in Figure 3 is presented as a box and whisker plot, with a median (small red square), a box enclosing values between the $25^{\text {th }}$ and $75^{\text {th }}$ percentiles, and whiskers representing the non-outlier range. Note that outliers and extreme values are identified separately from the box and whiskers. Outliers (open red circles) and extreme values (open red triangles) are atypical, infrequent, data points that are far from the middle of the data distribution. For this report, outliers are defined as values that are greater than 1.5 times the height of the box, above or below the box. Extreme values are greater than 2 times the height of the box, above or below the box. Outliers and extreme values may reflect inherent variability, may be due to errors associated with transcription or measurement, or may be related to other anomalies. A careful review of the data collected during the second quarter indicates that the outlier values were not due to mistakes in collection, analysis, or reporting procedures, but rather reflect natural variability in the measurements. The outlier and extreme values lie within the range of measurements made within the past five years. Thus, rather than dismissing the outliers, they were included in the subsequent statistical analyses. Further discussion of box plots may be found in Determining Statistical Differences under Helpful Information.

Figure 3 graphically shows that the gross alpha measurements made at INEEL, Boundary, and Distant locations are similar for the second quarter. If the INEEL were a significant source of offsite contamination, concentrations of contaminants could be statistically greater at Boundary locations than at Distant locations. Because there is no discernable distribution of the data, the nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis test of multiple independent groups was used to test for statistical differences between INEEL, Boundary, and Distant locations. The use of nonparametric tests, such as Kruskal-Wallis, gives less weight to outlier and extreme values thus allowing a more appropriate comparison of data groups. A statistically significant difference exists between data groups if the (p) value is less than 0.05 . Values greater than 0.05 translate into a 95 percent confidence that the medians are statistically the same. The p -value for each comparison is shown in Table D-1. There were no statistical differences in gross alpha concentrations between location groups during the second quarter 2004.

Comparisons of gross alpha concentrations were made for each month of the quarter (Figures 4-6). Again the Kruskal-Wallis test of multiple independent groups was used to determine if statistical differences exist between INEEL, Boundary, and Distant data groups.


Figure 3. Gross alpha concentrations in air at ESER Program INEEL, Boundary, and Distant locations for the second quarter of 2004.


Figure 4. April gross alpha concentrations in air at ESER Program INEEL, Boundary, and Distant locations. Stations belonging to INEEL, Boundary, or Distant locations are represented by boxes that are patterned with vertical green stripes, no fill, or horizontal blue stripes, respectively. [Number of samples $(\mathrm{N})=4$ at each location.]


Figure 5. May gross alpha concentrations in air at ESER Program INEEL, Boundary, and Distant locations. Stations belonging to INEEL, Boundary, or Distant locations are represented by boxes that are patterned with vertical green stripes, no fill, or horizontal blue stripes, respectively. [Number of samples $(N)=4$ at each location.]


LOCATION
Figure 6. June gross alpha concentrations in air at ESER Program INEEL, Boundary, and Distant locations. Stations belonging to INEEL, Boundary, or Distant locations are represented by boxes that are patterned with vertical green stripes, no fill, or horizontal blue stripes, respectively. [Number of samples ( $N$ ) = 5 at each location, except Dubois and FAA Tower where $\mathrm{N}=4$.]

There were no statistical differences in gross alpha results between groups for any month during the second quarter (Table D-1).

As an additional check, comparisons between gross alpha concentrations measured at Boundary and Distant locations were made on a weekly basis. The Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare the Boundary and Distant data because it is the most powerful nonparametric alternative to the $t$-test for independent samples. INEEL sample results were not included in this analysis because the onsite data, collected at only three locations, are not representative of the entire INEEL and would not aid in determining offsite impacts. The gross alpha concentrations measured at Boundary locations were not statistically greater than those measured at Distant locations in any of the thirteen weeks of data evaluated (Table D-2). More detail on the statistical tests used can be found in Determining Statistical Differences under Helpful Information.

Gross beta results are presented in Table C-1. Gross beta concentrations in air for INEEL, Boundary, and Distant locations for the second quarter of 2004 are shown in Figure 7. The data were tested and found to be neither normally nor log-normally distributed. Box and whiskers plots were used for presentation of the data. Outliers and extreme values were retained in subsequent statistical analyses because they are within the range of measurements made in the past five years, and because these values could not be attributed to mistakes in collection, analysis, or reporting procedures. As in the case of alpha activity, the quarterly data for each group appear to be similar and were determined using the Kruskal-Wallace test to be statistically the same (Table D-1).

Monthly median gross beta concentrations in air for each sampling group are shown in Figures 8 -10. Statistical data are presented in Table D-1. Again as in the case of alpha activity, the monthly data for each group during the second quarter 2004 appear to be similar and were determined using the Kruskal-Wallace test to be statistically the same (Table D-1). Comparison of weekly Boundary and Distant data sets, using the Mann Whitney U test, also showed no statistical difference between Boundary and Distant measurements.

No ${ }^{131}$ I was detected in any of the charcoal cartridge batches collected during the second quarter of 2004. Weekly ${ }^{131}$ I results for each location are listed in Table $\mathrm{C}-2$ of Appendix C Gamma spectrographic analysis is also done with the ${ }^{131}$ I analysis. Cesium-137 was detected in 43 of the 235 measured cartridges.

Weekly filters for the second quarter of 2004 were composited by location. All samples were analyzed for gamma-emitting radionuclides, including ${ }^{137} \mathrm{Cs}$. Composites were also analyzed for ${ }^{90} \mathrm{Sr},{ }^{238} \mathrm{Pu},{ }^{239 / 240} \mathrm{Pu}$, and ${ }^{241} \mathrm{Am}$. Only ${ }^{241} \mathrm{Am}$ (two samples) and ${ }^{239 / 240} \mathrm{Pu}$ (one sample) were detected in any quarterly composited sample. Americium- 241 was detected in the composite samples from FAA Tower and the Rexburg CMS. Plutonium-239/240 was also detected in the Rexburg CMS sample. It is not uncommon to detect these compounds, especially at the CMS stations as agricultural activities in the area resuspend particles associated with historic above ground weapons testing. The maximum concentration of ${ }^{241} \mathrm{Am}$ was from FAA Tower of $(5.63 \pm 1.70) \times 10^{-18} \mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mL}\left([2.08 \pm 0.63] \times 10^{-13} \mathrm{~Bq} / \mathrm{mL}\right)$ is below the DOE DCG for ${ }^{241} \mathrm{Am}$ of $2 \times 10^{-14} \mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mL}\left(7.4 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~Bq} / \mathrm{mL}\right)$. The ${ }^{239 / 240} \mathrm{Pu}$ concentration of $(2.12 \pm 0.38) \times 10^{-17} \mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mL}\left([7.84 \pm 1.41] \times 10^{-13} \mathrm{~Bq} / \mathrm{mL}\right)$ was also below the DCG value of $2 \times 10^{-14} \mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mL}\left(7.4 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~Bq} / \mathrm{mL}\right)$. All results for composite filter samples are shown in Table C-3, Appendix C.


Figure 7. Gross beta concentrations in air at ESER Program INEEL, Boundary, and Distant locations for the second quarter 2004.


## LOCATION

Figure 8. April gross beta concentrations in air at ESER Program INEEL, Boundary, and Distant locations. Stations belonging to INEEL, Boundary, or Distant locations are represented by boxes that are patterned with vertical green stripes, no fill, or horizontal blue stripes, respectively. [Number of samples $(\mathrm{N})=4$ at each location.]


## LOCATION

Figure 9. May gross beta concentrations in air at ESER Program INEEL, Boundary, and Distant locations. Stations belonging to INEEL, Boundary, or Distant locations are represented by boxes that are patterned with vertical green stripes, no fill, or horizontal blue stripes, respectively. [Number of samples $(\mathrm{N})=4$ at each location.]


Figure 10. June gross beta concentrations in air at ESER Program INEEL, Boundary, and Distant locations. Stations belonging to INEEL, Boundary, or Distant locations are represented by boxes that are patterned with vertical green stripes, no fill, or horizontal blue stripes, respectively. [Number of samples ( $N$ ) $=5$ at each location, except Dubois and FAA Tower where $\mathrm{N}=4$.]

## Atmospheric Moisture Sampling

Atmospheric moisture is collected by pulling air through a column of absorbent material (i.e., silica gel or molecular sieve material) to absorb water vapor. The water is then extracted from the absorbent material by heat distillation. The resulting water samples are then analyzed for tritium using liquid scintillation. Sixteen atmospheric moisture samples were obtained during the second quarter of 2004 from Atomic City, Blackfoot CMS, Idaho Falls, and Rexburg CMS.

Four samples exceeded the 3s uncertainty level for tritium (one silica gel and three molecular sieve). Samples from the Blackfoot CMS, Idaho Falls and the Rexburg CMS were all collected around mid May. Another sample from Idaho Falls was collected at the beginning of April. The maximum concentration of $(6.7 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-13} \mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mL}_{\text {air }}\left([2.48 \pm 0.67] \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{~Bq} / \mathrm{mL}\right)$ from Idaho Falls in May is well below the DOE DCG for tritium in air of $1 \times 10^{-7} \mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mL}$ $\left(3.7 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~Bq} / \mathrm{mL}\right)$. All three results from May were within the same range. All results are shown in Table C-4.

## PM $M_{10}$ Air Sampling

The EPA began using a standard for concentrations of airborne particulate matter (PM) less than 10 micrometers in diameter $\left(\mathrm{PM}_{10}\right)$ in 1987 ( 40 CFR 50.6 [CFR 1996]). Particles of this size can be inhaled deep into the lungs and are considered to be responsible for most of the adverse health effects associated with airborne particulate pollution. The air quality standards for these particulates are an annual average of $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, with a maximum 24-hour concentration of $150 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$.

The ESER Program operates three $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ particulate samplers, one each at the Rexburg CMS and Blackfoot CMS, and one in Atomic City. Sampling of $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ is informational only as no chemical analyses are conducted for contaminants. A twenty-four hour sampling period is scheduled to run once every six days. The maximum 24 -hour particulate concentration was $45.98 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ on May 8, 2004, at the Rexburg CMS. The average, maximum, and minimum results of the 24 -hour samples are shown are shown in Table 1. Results for all $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ samples are listed in Table C-5, Appendix C.
Table 1. Summary of 24-hour $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ values.

|  |  | Concentration $^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | Minimum | Maximum | Average |
| Atomic City | 0.00 | 26.54 | 13.05 |
| Blackfoot, CMS | 1.47 | 39.32 | 17.58 |
| Rexburg, CMS | 6.69 | 45.98 |  |

a. All concentrations are in $\left(\mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$.

## 4. WATER SAMPLING

The ESER program samples precipitation, surface water, and drinking water. Monthly composite precipitation samples are collected from Idaho Falls and the Central Facilities Area (CFA) on the INEEL. Weekly precipitation samples are collected from the Experimental Field Station (EFS) on the INEEL. Surface and/or drinking water are sampled twice each year at 19 locations around the INEEL. This occurs during the second and fourth quarters. The results of the second quarter sampling are reported here.

## Precipitation Sampling

Precipitation samples are gathered when sufficient precipitation occurs to allow for the collection of the minimum sample volume of approximately 20 mL . Samples are taken of monthly composites from Idaho Falls and CFA, and weekly from the EFS. Precipitation samples are analyzed for tritium. Storm events in the second quarter of 2004 produced sufficient precipitation to yield only three samples - one from Idaho Falls, and two from the EFS.

No tritium was measured above the 3 s value in any of the samples collected during the second quarter 2004. Data for all second quarter 2004 precipitation samples collected by the ESER Program are listed in Table C-6 (Appendix C).

## Drinking Water

Thirteen drinking water samples and one duplicate were collected from selected taps throughout southeast Idaho (Figure 11). Samples were analyzed for gross alpha, gross beta, and tritium $\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right)$.


Figure 11. Drinking and Surface Water Sampling locations.

One of the samples exceeded the 3 s value for gross alpha, and two others for tritium. It is not unusual to detect these constituents in water of the Snake River Plain. They tend to be related to natural production from the basalts that make up the aquifer. The sample from Idaho Falls had a gross alpha concentration of $4.58 \pm 1.46 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ which is below the EPA and DOE limits for tritium in drinking water of $15 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}(0.56 \mathrm{~Bq} / \mathrm{L})$ and $30 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}(1.11 \mathrm{~Bq} / \mathrm{L})$, respectively. Tritium concentrations ranged from $0.78 \pm 0.25 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}(0.03 \pm 0.01 \mathrm{~Bq} / \mathrm{L})$ at Tabor to $0.97 \pm$ $0.30 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}(0.04 \pm 0.01 \mathrm{~Bq} / \mathrm{L})$ at Howe. Both values are well below the EPA limit of 20,000 pCi/L ( $740 \mathrm{~Bq} / \mathrm{L}$ ) and the DOE DCG of $2.0 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}(74,074 \mathrm{~Bq} / \mathrm{L})$.

Of the fourteen drinking water samples collected, all but four samples (Arco, Carey, Howe, and Roberts) exceeded their 3s value for gross beta (Table 2). The EPA Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) limits gross beta in drinking water based on an annual exposure of $4 \mathrm{mrem} / \mathrm{yr}$. Since data are reported from the laboratory as a concentration (i.e., pCi/L) a screening concentration of $50 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ is used to meet this level (Appendix B-1). The maximum concentration of gross beta detected was once again from Minidoka and was lower than the SDWA screening value. Levels of gross beta observed in drinking water are not unusual given the basaltic terrain (USGS 2003). All values are similar to those recorded in previous years, and are well below the levels outlined for drinking water protection (Table B-1). All drinking water sample results may be found in Appendix C, Table C-7.

Table 2. Drinking water results greater than (>) 3s.

| Location | Sample Results ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Limits for Comparison $^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Gross Alpha | Result $\pm$ 1s | SDWA $^{\mathbf{b}}$ | DOE DCG $^{\mathbf{c}}$ |  |
| Idaho Falls |  |  |  |  |
| Tritium | $4.58 \pm 1.46$ | 8 | 30 |  |
| Howe | $0.97 \pm 0.30$ | 20,000 | $2 \times 10^{6}$ |  |
| Tabor | $0.78 \pm 0.25$ | 20,000 | $2 \times 10^{6}$ |  |
| Gross Beta | $5.80 \pm 0.99$ |  |  |  |
| Aberdeen | $2.36 \pm 0.85$ | 50 | 100 |  |
| Arco | $3.00 \pm 0.85$ | 50 | 100 |  |
| Atomic City | $7.85 \pm 1.06$ | 50 | 100 |  |
| Fort Hall | $4.92 \pm 0.95$ | 50 | 100 |  |
| Idaho Falls | $3.22 \pm 0.89$ | 50 | 100 |  |
| Minidoka | $5.17 \pm 0.93$ | 50 | 100 |  |
| Monteview | $3.69 \pm 0.93$ | 50 | 100 |  |
| Mud Lake | $3.77 \pm 0.86$ | 50 | 100 |  |
| Mud Lake (duplicate) | $3.47 \pm 0.88$ | 50 | 100 |  |
| Shoshone | $3.99 \pm 0.97$ | 50 | 100 |  |
| Tabor |  |  |  |  |

a. All values shown are in picocuries per liter (pCi/L).
b. SDWA = Safe Drinking Water Act.
c. DCG - Derived Concentration Guide.

## Surface Water

Five surface water samples and one duplicate sample were collected from locations throughout southeast Idaho and were analyzed for tritium, gross alpha, and gross beta. None of the samples had measurable tritium or gross alpha activity (all results were less than 3s).

Four of six surface water samples were greater than their associated 3s values for gross beta (Table 3). Even at reported levels, the gross beta values are lower than the SDWA screening value of $50 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ and the DCG values (Table B-1).

Table 3. $\quad$ Surface water gross beta results greater than (>) 3s.

| Location |  | Limits for Comparison $^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Result $\pm 1 s$ | SDWA | DOE DCG |
| Bliss | $4.65 \pm 0.93$ | 50 | 100 |
| Buhl | $4.89 \pm 0.94$ | 50 | 100 |
| Hagerman | $4.25 \pm 0.89$ | 50 | 100 |
| Twin Falls | $6.94 \pm 1.04$ | 50 | 100 |

a. All values shown are in picocuries per liter ( $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ ).

The presence of gross alpha and gross beta in surface water (particularly the springs) is typically related to dissolution of naturally occurring radionuclides (i.e., uranium, radium, potassium) by groundwater as it flows through the surrounding basalts (Twinning and Rattray 2003). Levels of gross alpha and gross beta in all samples are similar to results from recent years. All gross alpha and gross beta results can be found in Appendix C, Table C-7.

## 5. AGRICULTURAL PRODUCT AND WILDLIFE SAMPLING

Another potential pathway for contaminants to reach humans is through the food chain. The ESER Program samples multiple agricultural products and game animals from around the INEEL and Southeast Idaho. Specifically, milk, wheat, potatoes, garden lettuce, sheep, big game, waterfowl, and marmots are sampled. Milk is sampled throughout the year. Sheep are sampled during the second quarter. Lettuce and wheat are sampled during the third quarter, while potatoes and waterfowl are collected during the fourth quarter. See Table A-1, Appendix A, for more details on agricultural product and wildlife sampling. This section discusses results from milk, and large game sampled during the second quarter of 2004.

## Milk Sampling

Milk samples were collected weekly in Idaho Falls and monthly at eight other locations around the INEEL (Figure 12) during the second quarter of 2004. All samples were analyzed for gamma emitting radionuclides. Samples are analyzed for ${ }^{90} \mathrm{Sr}$ and tritium during the second and fourth quarters.

Iodine-131 $\left({ }^{131} \mathrm{I}\right)$ and ${ }^{137}$ Cs were not detected in any milk sample during the second quarter. Data for ${ }^{131}$ I and ${ }^{137} \mathrm{Cs}$ in milk samples are listed in Appendix C, Table C-8.


Figure 12. ESER Program milk sampling locations.
Strontium-90 was measured above the 3s uncertainty level in one of five samples analyzed. Strontium-90 is related to uptake through the food chain of historical weapons derived fallout. The maximum level of ${ }^{90} \mathrm{Sr}$ in milk measured in the Idaho Falls sample collected on May 4, was below the EPA MCL of $8 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}(0.30 \mathrm{~Bq} / \mathrm{L})$ and the DOE DCG of $1000 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$. Data for ${ }^{90} \mathrm{Sr}$ in milk samples are listed in Appendix C , Table C-8.

Tritium was not detected in any milk sample analyzed.

## Sheep Sampling

Certain areas of the INEEL are open to grazing under lease agreements managed by the Bureau of Land Management (Figure 13). Every year ESER Program personnel collect samples of sheep that have grazed on these leased areas, either just before or shortly after the sheep leave the INEEL. This occurs during the second quarter of the year. For the calendar year 2004, sheep were collected from the selected INEEL allotments before they were moved off site. Three flocks were sampled, including a control flock in Dubois from the Experimental Sheep Station, a flock from a southern INEEL allotment, and a flock from a northern INEEL allotment. Two sheep were taken from each flock for tissue analysis. Thyroid, muscle, and liver tissue were collected and analyzed for gamma emitting radionuclides.


Figure 13. Grazing and land ownership on and around the INEEL.
Levels of ${ }^{131}$ I are of particular interest in thyroids because of this organ's ability to accumulate iodine. No ${ }^{131}$ I was found in thyroids from any of the animals.

Analysis for ${ }^{137}$ Cs showed results greater than the 3s analytical uncertainty in three samples (one muscle and two liver) from two different sheep. Both animals were collected from the Southern allotment. All concentrations of ${ }^{137} \mathrm{Cs}$ were similar to those found in both onsite and offsite sheep samples during recent years. Data for all sheep samples are listed in Appendix C, Table C-9.

## Large Game Animal Sampling

Three large game animals were sampled during the second quarter of 2004. A single pronghorn and two mule deer were both victims of vehicular collisions. No ${ }^{131}$ I was detected in any of the sampled tissues. Cesium-137 was not measured in any sample collected. Data for all game animal samples are listed in Appendix C, Table C-10.

## 6. ENVIRONMENTAL RADIATION

An array of thermoluminescent dosimeters (TLDs) is distributed throughout the Eastern Snake River Plain to monitor for environmental radiation (Figure 14). TLDs are changed out in May and again in November after six months in the field. The results of the spring sampling of TLDs exposed from November 2003 to May 2004) are discussed below.


Figure 14. TLD sampling locations.
Similar to the low-volume air results the environmental dosimeter locations are also divided into Boundary and Distant groupings. Boundary average exposure rates ranged from a low of $0.29 \mathrm{mR} /$ day at Blue Dome to a high of $0.37 \mathrm{mR} /$ day at Atomic City. The overall Boundary average was $0.34 \mathrm{mR} / \mathrm{day}$. The Distant group had a high of $0.38 \mathrm{mR} / \mathrm{day}$ at the Rexburg CMS and a low of $0.27 \mathrm{mR} /$ day at the Jackson, Wyoming location. The overall average Distant value was $0.33 \mathrm{mR} /$ day. There was no statistical difference between Boundary and Distant locations. Furthermore, all values are consistent with past readings. Table 4 lists the range and average for both groups over a six-month period. All results are listed in Appendix C, Table C-11.

Table 4. TLD Exposures from December 2003 to May 2004.

|  | Total Exposure $^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | Boundary | Distant |
| Average | 61.1 | 59.6 |
| Maximum | 67.8 | 68.0 |
| Minimum | 52.5 | 49.3 |

a All values shown are in milliRoentgens (mR).

## 7. QUALITY ASSURANCE

The ESER Quality Assurance Program consists of five ongoing tasks which measure:

1. method uncertainty;
2. data completeness;
3. data accuracy, using spike and laboratory control samples;
4. data precision, using split samples, duplicate samples, and recounts; and
5. presence of contamination in samples, using blanks.

The following discussion briefly summarizes the results of the quality assurance program for the period from April 1 to June 30, 2004.

## Method Uncertainty

The Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) establishes data quality and method quality objectives for the ESER surveillance program (Stoller 2002). Since the primary concern is with detection, the lower bound for the method uncertainty is set at zero. The upper bound is established as the average maximum concentration from the past seven years of applicable data. Each individual result is checked for acceptance on the basis of the result, whether it is below the lower limit (i.e., a negative value), greater than the upper limit, or between the lower and upper limit (the most common occurrence). The calculated method uncertainty is then compared to the 1s measured uncertainty. A sample is deemed acceptable when the measured $1 s$ uncertainty is less than the calculated uncertainty. Those results that did not meet this requirement are shown in Table 5.

## Data Completeness

The Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) specifies a 98 percent completeness goal for all regularly scheduled sample types (Stoller 2004). Data completeness for sample collection and delivery was 100 percent during the second quarter for all sample types with one exception: a number of precipitation samples were not collected due to lack of precipitation.

Two air samples were determined to invalid due to insufficient volume collected because of equipment failure (Dubois on June 30 and FAA Tower on June 23). The completeness of air filter data is thus considered to be $99.2 \%$.

## Data Precision

Data precision is measured using duplicate samples, split samples, and recounts. The QAPP specifies that sample results should agree within $\pm 20$ percent or 3 s , whichever is greater. For environmental samples at levels that are within the normal range found by the ESER, the 3s criterion is the one that applies in nearly all cases.

## SUMMARY

In summary the quality assurance and data quality objectives for analyses were met in the fourth quarter of 2004 with the following exceptions:

- The FAA Tower air samples for the week ending June 23 and the June 30 air samples from Dubois were invalid due to insufficient volume collected;
- ${ }^{131}$ I in charcoal cartridges;
- ${ }^{241} \mathrm{Am}$ and ${ }^{90} \mathrm{Sr}$ in quarterly composites;
- Gross alpha and tritium in drinking and surface water;
- ${ }^{90} \mathrm{Sr}$ in milk.

Table 5. Analytical results determined to be unacceptable.

| Media | Radionuclide | Number Unacceptable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Air filters and cartridges | Gross alpha | $41 / 384^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | Gross beta | $2 / 381$ |
|  | Cesium-137 | 18/662 |
|  | lodine-131 | 517/662 |
|  | Americium-141 | 9/20 |
|  | Plutonium-238 | $0 / 20$ |
|  | Plutonium-239/40 | $0 / 20$ |
|  | Strontium-90 | $3 / 9$ |
| moisture in air | Tritium | $0 / 21$ |
| Precipitation | Tritium | $0 / 10$ |
| Drinking Water | Gross alpha | 18 / 21 |
|  | Gross beta | $0 / 21$ |
|  | Tritium | 17/17 |
| Surface Water | Gross alpha | 8/9 |
|  | Gross beta | $0 / 6$ |
|  | Tritium | 9/9 |
| Milk | Cesium-137 | $0 / 41$ |
|  | lodine-131 | $0 / 39$ |
|  | Strontium-90 | 4/5 |
|  | Tritium | $0 / 7$ |
| Sheep | Cesium-137 | $3 / 24^{\text {c }}$ |
|  | lodine-131 | $9 / 51^{\text {c }}$ |
| Game Animals | Cesium-137 | $1 / 3^{\text {c }}$ |
|  | lodine-131 | $7 / 27^{\circ}$ |

a. Format shown is number unacceptable / total number of analyses.
b. Total number of analyses varies due to different numbers of recounts for each radionuclide.
c. Unacceptable results are all associated with thyroids. Results are affected by small sample size.
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## APPENDIX A

 SUMMARY OF SAMPLING SCHEDULETable A-1. Summary of the ESER Program's Sampling Schedule

| Sample Type Analysis | Collection Frequency | LOCATIONS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Distant | Boundary | INEEL |
| AIR SAMPLING |  |  |  |  |
| LOW-VOLUME AIR |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Alpha, Gross Beta, ${ }^{131}$ I | weekly | Blackfoot, Craters of the Moon, Dubois, Idaho Falls, Jackson WY, Rexburg | Arco, Atomic City, FAA Tower, Howe, Monteview, Mud Lake, Blue Dome | Main Gate, EFS, Van Buren |
| Gamma Spec | quarterly | Blackfoot, Craters of the Moon, Dubois, Idaho Falls, Jackson WY, Rexburg | Arco, Atomic City, FAA Tower, Howe, Monteview, Mud Lake, Blue Dome | Main Gate, EFS, Van Buren |
| ${ }^{90} \mathrm{Sr}$, Transuranics | quarterly | Rotating schedule | Rotating schedule | Rotating schedule |
| ATMOSPHERIC MOISTURE |  |  |  |  |
| Tritium | 4 to 13 weeks | Blackfoot, Idaho Falls, Rexburg | Atomic City | None |
| PRECIPITATION |  |  |  |  |
| Tritium | monthly | Idaho Falls | None | CFA |
| Tritium | weekly | None | None | EFS |
| PM-10 |  |  |  |  |
| Particulate Mass | every 6th day | Rexburg, Blackfoot | Atomic City | None |
| WATER SAMPLING |  |  |  |  |
| SURFACE WATER |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Alpha, Gross Beta, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ | semi-annually | Twin Falls, Buhl, Hagerman, Idaho Falls, Bliss | None | None |
| DRINKING WATER |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Alpha, Gross Beta, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ | semi-annually | Aberdeen, Carey, Idaho Falls, Fort Hall, Minidoka, Moreland, Roberts, Shoshone, Tabor | Arco, Atomic City, Howe, Monteview, Mud Lake | None |
| ENVIRONMENTAL RADIATION SAMPLING |  |  |  |  |
| TLDs |  |  |  |  |
| Gamma Radiation | semiannual | Aberdeen, Blackfoot, Craters of the Moon, Idaho Falls, Minidoka, Jackson WY, Rexburg, Roberts | Arco, Atomic City, Howe, Monteview, Mud Lake, Birch Creek | None |
| SOIL SAMPLING |  |  |  |  |
| SOIL |  |  |  |  |
| Gamma Spec, ${ }^{90} \mathrm{Sr}$, Transuranics | biennially | Carey, Crystal Ice Caves (Aberdeen), Blackfoot, St. Anthony | Butte City, Monteview, Atomic City, FAA Tower, Howe, Mud Lake (2), Birch Creek | None |

Table A-1. Summary of the ESER Program's Sampling Schedule (continued)

| Sample Type <br> Analysis | Collection <br> Frequency | LOCATIONS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Distant | Boundary | INEEL |
| FOODSTUFF SAMPLING |  |  |  |  |
| MILK |  |  |  |  |
| Gamma Spec ( ${ }^{131}$ ) | weekly | Idaho Falls | None | None |
| Gamma Spec ( ${ }^{131}$ I) | monthly | Blackfoot, Carey, Dietrich, Minidoka, Roberts, Moreland | Howe, Terreton, Arco | None |
| Tritium, ${ }^{90} \mathrm{Sr}$ | Semi-annually | Blackfoot, Carey, Dietrich, Idaho Falls, Minidoka, Roberts, Moreland | Howe, Terreton, Arco | None |
| POTATOES |  |  |  |  |
| Gamma Spec, ${ }^{90} \mathrm{Sr}$ | annually | Blackfoot, Idaho Falls, Rupert, occasional samples across the U.S. | Arco, Mud Lake | None |
| WHEAT |  |  |  |  |
| Gamma Spec, ${ }^{90} \mathrm{Sr}$ | annually | Am. Falls, Blackfoot, Dietrich, Idaho Falls, Minidoka, Carey | Arco, Monteview, Mud Lake, Tabor, Terreton | None |
| LETTUCE |  |  |  |  |
| Gamma Spec, ${ }^{90} \mathrm{Sr}$ | annually | Blackfoot, Carey, Idaho Falls, Pocatello | Arco, Atomic City, Howe, Mud Lake | None |
| BIG GAME |  |  |  |  |
| Gamma Spec | varies | Occasional samples across the U.S. | Public Highways | INEEL roads |
| SHEEP |  |  |  |  |
| Gamma Spec | annually | Blackfoot or Dubois, | None | N. INEEL (Circular Butte), S. INEEL (Tractor Flats) |
| WATERFOWL |  |  |  |  |
| Gamma Spec, ${ }^{90} \mathrm{Sr}$, Transuranics | annually | Varies among: Heise, Fort Hall, Mud Lake and Market Lake | None | Waste disposal ponds |
| Marmots |  |  |  |  |
| Gamma Spec | varies | Pocatello Zoo, Tie Canyon | None | RWMC |
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Table B-1. Summary of Approximate Minimum Detectable Concentrations for Radiological Analyses Performed During Second quarter 2004

| Sample Type | Analysis | Approximate Minimum Detectable Concentration ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (MDC) | Derived Concentration Guide ${ }^{\text {b }}$ (DCG) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Air <br> (particulate filter) ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | Gross alpha ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $8.27 \times 10^{-16} \mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mL}$ | $2 \times 10^{-14} \mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mL}$ |
|  | Gross beta ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $1.65 \times 10^{-15} \mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mL}$ | $3 \times 10^{-12} \mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mL}$ |
|  | Specific gamma $\left({ }^{137} \mathrm{Cs}\right)$ | $8.42 \times 10^{-18} \mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mL}$ | $4 \times 10^{-10} \mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mL}$ |
|  | ${ }^{238} \mathrm{Pu}$ | $2.65 \times 10^{-18} \mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mL}$ | $3 \times 10^{-14} \mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mL}$ |
|  | ${ }^{239 / 240} \mathrm{Pu}$ | $2.43 \times 10^{-18} \mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mL}$ | $2 \times 10^{-14} \mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mL}$ |
|  | ${ }^{241} \mathrm{Am}$ | $2.39 \times 10^{-18} \mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mL}$ | $2 \times 10^{-14} \mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mL}$ |
|  | ${ }^{90} \mathrm{Sr}$ | $8.25 \times 10^{-17} \mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mL}$ | $9 \times 10^{-12} \mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mL}$ |
| Air (charcoal cartridge) ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | ${ }^{131}$ I | $9.72 \times 10^{-16} \mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mL}$ | $4 \times 10^{-10} \mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mL}$ |
| Air <br> (atmospheric moisture) ${ }^{f}$ | ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ | $7.98 \times 10^{-15} \mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mL}_{\text {wat }}$ | $1 \times 10^{-7} \mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mL}_{\text {air }}$ |
| Air (precipitation) | ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ | $1.08 \times 10^{-7} \mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mL}$ | $2 \times 10^{-3} \mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mL}$ |
| Drinking Water | Gross Alpha | $1.29 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ | $30 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ |
|  | Gross Beta | $2.54 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ | $100 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ |
|  | ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ | 102.54 pCi/L | $2 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| Surface Water | Gross Alpha | $1.29 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ | $30 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ |
|  | Gross Beta | $2.73 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ | $100 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ |
|  | ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ | $95.85 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ | $2 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| Milk | ${ }^{131}$ I | 0.68 pCi/L | -- |
|  | ${ }^{137} \mathrm{Cs}$ | $3.30 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ | -- |
|  | ${ }^{90} \mathrm{Sr}$ | $0.96 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ | -- |
| Potatoes | ${ }^{137} \mathrm{Cs}$ | $2.08 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{kg}$ | -- |
|  | ${ }^{90} \mathrm{Sr}$ | 288.0 pCi/kg | -- |
| Game Animal Tissue ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | ${ }^{137} \mathrm{Cs}$ | $39.65 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{kg}$ | -- |
|  | ${ }^{131}$ \| | $39.65 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{kg}$ | -- |


| Sample Type | Analysis | Approximate <br> Minimum Detectable <br> Concentration <br> (MDC) | Derived <br> Concentration <br> Guide $^{\mathbf{b}}$ <br> (DCG) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sheep | ${ }^{137} \mathrm{Cs}$ | $23.72 \mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{kg}$ | -- |
|  | ${ }^{131} \mathrm{l}$ | $23.62 \mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{kg}$ | -- |
| Waterfowl | ${ }^{141} \mathrm{Cm}$ | $48.6 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{kg}$ | -- |
|  | ${ }^{137} \mathrm{Cs}$ | $25.1 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{kg}$ | -- |
|  | ${ }^{60} \mathrm{Co}$ | $3.00 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{kg}$ | -- |
|  | ${ }^{95} \mathrm{Nb}$ | $38.6 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{kg}$ | -- |
|  | ${ }^{239 / 240} \mathrm{Pu}$ | $5.07 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{kg}$ | -- |
|  | ${ }^{90} \mathrm{Sr}$ | $14.2 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{kg}$ | -- |

a The MDC is an estimate of the concentration of radioactivity in a given sample type that can be identified with a $95 \%$ level of confidence and precision of plus or minus $100 \%$ under a specified set of typical laboratory measurement conditions.
b DCGs, set by the DOE, represent reference values for radiation exposure. They are based on a radiation dose of $100 \mathrm{mrem} / \mathrm{yr}$ for exposure through a particular exposure mode such as direct exposure, inhalation, or ingestion of water.
C The DCG for gross alpha is equivalent to the DCGs for ${ }^{239,240} \mathrm{Pu}$ and ${ }^{241} \mathrm{Am}$.
d The DCG for gross beta is equivalent to the DCGs for ${ }^{228} \mathrm{Ra}$
e The approximate MDC is based on an average filtered air volume (pressure corrected) of 570 $\mathrm{m}^{3} /$ week.
f The approximate MDC is expressed for tritium (as tritiated water) in air, and is based on an average filtered air volume of $39 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$, assuming an average sampling period of eight weeks.
g The approximate MDC assumes a sample size of 500 g .
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| Sampling Group and Location | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sampling } \\ & \text { Date } \end{aligned}$ | GROSS ALPHA |  |  |  |  |  |  | GROSS BETA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Result $\pm 1$ s Uncertainty$\times 10^{-15} \mu \mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{mL}$ |  |  | $\frac{\text { Result } \pm 2 \mathrm{~s} \text { Uncertainty }}{\times 10^{-11} \mathrm{~Bq} / \mathrm{mL}}$ |  |  | Result > 3s | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Result } \pm 1 \text { s Uncertainty } \\ & \left(\times 10^{-15} \mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mL}\right) \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Result $\pm$ 1s Uncertainty ( $\times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~Bq} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) |  |  | Result > 3s |
| HOWE | 04/07/2004 | 2.28 | $\pm$ | 0.39 | 8.44 | $\pm$ | 1.44 | Y | 27.30 | $\pm$ | 1.01 | 10.10 | $\pm$ | 0.37 | Y |
|  | 04/14/2004 | 2.08 | $\pm$ | 0.41 | 7.70 | $\pm$ | 1.51 | Y | 31.50 | $\pm$ | 1.13 | 11.66 | $\pm$ | 0.42 | Y |
|  | 04/21/2004 | 1.77 | $\pm$ | 0.36 | 6.55 | $\pm$ | 1.34 | Y | 17.90 | $\pm$ | 0.87 | 6.62 | $\pm$ | 0.32 | Y |
|  | 04/28/2004 | 2.26 | $\pm$ | 0.39 | 8.36 | $\pm$ | 1.42 | Y | 21.70 | $\pm$ | 0.96 | 8.03 | $\pm$ | 0.35 | Y |
|  | 05/05/2004 | 2.08 | $\pm$ | 0.37 | 7.70 | $\pm$ | 1.38 | Y | 24.90 | $\pm$ | 0.98 | 9.21 | $\pm$ | 0.36 | Y |
|  | 05/12/2004 | 2.48 | $\pm$ | 0.44 | 9.18 | $\pm$ | 1.64 | Y | 26.00 | $\pm$ | 1.08 | 9.62 | $\pm$ | 0.40 | Y |
|  | 05/19/2004 | 1.21 | $\pm$ | 0.29 | 4.48 | $\pm$ | 1.06 | Y | 20.10 | $\pm$ | 0.85 | 7.44 | $\pm$ | 0.32 | Y |
|  | 05/26/2004 | 0.91 | $\pm$ | 0.30 | 3.35 | $\pm$ | 1.09 | Y | 17.30 | $\pm$ | 0.84 | 6.40 | $\pm$ | 0.31 | Y |
|  | 06/02/2004 | 1.21 | $\pm$ | 0.41 | 4.48 | $\pm$ | 1.52 |  | 17.30 | $\pm$ | 0.92 | 6.40 | $\pm$ | 0.34 | Y |
|  | 06/09/2004 | 1.66 | $\pm$ | 0.68 | 6.14 | $\pm$ | 2.52 |  | 26.80 | $\pm$ | 1.48 | 9.92 | $\pm$ | 0.55 | Y |
|  | 06/16/2004 | 2.15 | $\pm$ | 0.35 | 7.96 | $\pm$ | 1.29 | Y | 19.80 | $\pm$ | 0.86 | 7.33 | $\pm$ | 0.32 | Y |
|  | 06/23/2004 | 1.34 | $\pm$ | 0.57 | 4.96 | $\pm$ | 2.10 |  | 22.30 | $\pm$ | 1.31 | 8.25 | $\pm$ | 0.48 | Y |
|  | 06/30/2004 | 1.05 | $\pm$ | 0.60 | 3.89 | $\pm$ | 2.23 |  | 26.30 | $\pm$ | 1.52 | 9.73 | $\pm$ | 0.56 | Y |
| MONTEVIEW | 04/07/2004 | 2.26 | $\pm$ | 0.36 | 8.36 | $\pm$ | 1.34 | Y | 29.00 | $\pm$ | 0.97 | 10.73 | $\pm$ | 0.36 | Y |
|  | 04/14/2004 | 2.10 | $\pm$ | 0.38 | 7.77 | $\pm$ | 1.40 | Y | 29.30 | $\pm$ | 1.03 | 10.84 | $\pm$ | 0.38 | Y |
|  | 04/21/2004 | 1.51 | $\pm$ | 0.32 | 5.59 | $\pm$ | 1.17 | Y | 18.30 | $\pm$ | 0.80 | 6.77 | $\pm$ | 0.30 | Y |
|  | 04/28/2004 | 1.88 | $\pm$ | 0.38 | 6.96 | $\pm$ | 1.39 | Y | 24.20 | $\pm$ | 1.02 | 8.95 | $\pm$ | 0.38 | Y |
|  | 05/05/2004 | 2.23 | $\pm$ | 0.36 | 8.25 | $\pm$ | 1.32 | Y | 23.30 | $\pm$ | 0.90 | 8.62 | $\pm$ | 0.33 | Y |
|  | 05/12/2004 | 2.33 | $\pm$ | 0.66 | 8.62 | $\pm$ | 2.45 | Y | 28.90 | $\pm$ | 1.63 | 10.69 | $\pm$ | 0.60 | Y |
|  | 05/19/2004 | 1.87 | $\pm$ | 0.32 | 6.92 | $\pm$ | 1.17 | Y | 18.10 | $\pm$ | 0.80 | 6.70 | $\pm$ | 0.29 | Y |
|  | 05/26/2004 | 1.46 | $\pm$ | 0.31 | 5.40 | $\pm$ | 1.14 | Y | 14.70 | $\pm$ | 0.74 | 5.44 | $\pm$ | 0.27 | Y |
|  | 06/02/2004 | 1.38 | $\pm$ | 0.45 | 5.11 | $\pm$ | 1.65 | Y | 15.90 | $\pm$ | 0.95 | 5.88 | $\pm$ | 0.35 | Y |
|  | 06/09/2004 | 1.46 | $\pm$ | 0.49 | 5.40 | $\pm$ | 1.80 |  | 26.70 | $\pm$ | 1.15 | 9.88 | $\pm$ | 0.43 | Y |
|  | 06/16/2004 | 1.52 | $\pm$ | 0.31 | 5.62 | $\pm$ | 1.15 | Y | 19.70 | $\pm$ | 0.85 | 7.29 | $\pm$ | 0.32 | Y |
|  | 06/23/2004 | 1.44 | $\pm$ | 0.43 | 5.33 | $\pm$ | 1.59 | Y | 16.50 | $\pm$ | 0.94 | 6.11 | $\pm$ | 0.35 | Y |
|  | 06/30/2004 | 0.96 | $\pm$ | 0.39 | 3.55 | $\pm$ | 1.44 |  | 22.80 | $\pm$ | 1.04 | 8.44 | $\pm$ | 0.38 | Y |
| MUD LAKE | 04/07/2004 | 3.22 | $\pm$ | 0.43 | 11.91 | $\pm$ | 1.59 | Y | 35.00 | $\pm$ | 1.09 | 12.95 | $\pm$ | 0.40 | Y |
|  | 04/14/2004 | 2.25 | $\pm$ | 0.39 | 8.33 | $\pm$ | 1.45 | Y | 30.70 | $\pm$ | 1.06 | 11.36 | $\pm$ | 0.39 | Y |
|  | 04/21/2004 | 1.65 | $\pm$ | 0.38 | 6.11 | $\pm$ | 1.42 | Y | 16.00 | $\pm$ | 0.90 | 5.92 | $\pm$ | 0.33 | Y |
|  | 04/28/2004 | 1.95 | $\pm$ | 0.36 | 7.22 | $\pm$ | 1.33 | Y | 22.70 | $\pm$ | 0.95 | 8.40 | $\pm$ | 0.35 | Y |
|  | 05/05/2004 | 1.80 | $\pm$ | 0.34 | 6.66 | $\pm$ | 1.27 | Y | 25.20 | $\pm$ | 0.95 | 9.32 | $\pm$ | 0.35 | Y |
|  | 05/12/2004 | 1.87 | $\pm$ | 0.39 | 6.92 | $\pm$ | 1.45 | Y | 24.80 | $\pm$ | 1.02 | 9.18 | $\pm$ | 0.38 | Y |
|  | 05/19/2004 | 1.66 | $\pm$ | 0.32 | 6.14 | $\pm$ | 1.17 | Y | 21.90 | $\pm$ | 0.88 | 8.10 | $\pm$ | 0.33 | Y |
|  | 05/26/2004 | 0.77 | $\pm$ | 0.28 | 2.83 | $\pm$ | 1.02 |  | 15.60 | $\pm$ | 0.78 | 5.77 | $\pm$ | 0.29 | Y |
|  | 06/02/2004 | 1.79 | $\pm$ | 0.46 | 6.62 | $\pm$ | 1.71 | Y | 19.50 | $\pm$ | 1.00 | 7.22 | $\pm$ | 0.37 | Y |
|  | 06/09/2004 | 0.60 | $\pm$ | 0.53 | 2.23 | $\pm$ | 1.96 |  | 25.00 | $\pm$ | 1.29 | 9.25 | $\pm$ | 0.48 | Y |
|  | 06/16/2004 | 1.19 | $\pm$ | 0.29 | 4.40 | $\pm$ | 1.05 | Y | 19.70 | $\pm$ | 0.84 | 7.29 | $\pm$ | 0.31 | Y |
|  | 06/23/2004 | 2.16 | $\pm$ | 0.59 | 7.99 | $\pm$ | 2.18 | Y | 25.00 | $\pm$ | 1.31 | 9.25 | $\pm$ | 0.48 | Y |
|  | 06/30/2004 | 1.83 | $\pm$ | 0.65 | 6.77 | $\pm$ | 2.42 |  | 23.40 | $\pm$ | 1.47 | 8.66 | $\pm$ | 0.54 | Y |
| MUD LAKE (Q/A-2) | 04/07/2004 | 2.82 | $\pm$ | 0.40 | 10.43 | $\pm$ | 1.49 | Y | 31.80 | $\pm$ | 1.03 | 11.77 | $\pm$ | 0.38 | Y |
|  | 04/14/2004 | 1.72 | $\pm$ | 0.36 | 6.36 | $\pm$ | 1.32 | Y | 31.50 | $\pm$ | 1.05 | 11.66 | $\pm$ | 0.39 | Y |
|  | 04/21/2004 | 1.65 | $\pm$ | 0.38 | 6.11 | $\pm$ | 1.39 | Y | 17.80 | $\pm$ | 0.91 | 6.59 | $\pm$ | 0.34 | Y |
|  | 04/28/2004 | 2.77 | $\pm$ | 0.39 | 10.25 | $\pm$ | 1.44 | Y | 22.80 | $\pm$ | 0.92 | 8.44 | $\pm$ | 0.34 | Y |
|  | 05/05/2004 | 2.33 | $\pm$ | 0.38 | 8.62 | $\pm$ | 1.40 | Y | 24.90 | $\pm$ | 0.96 | 9.21 | $\pm$ | 0.36 | Y |
|  | 05/12/2004 | 1.68 | $\pm$ | 0.35 | 6.22 | $\pm$ | 1.28 | Y | 26.00 | $\pm$ | 0.95 | 9.62 | $\pm$ | 0.35 | Y |
|  | 05/19/2004 | 0.86 | $\pm$ | 0.28 | 3.17 | $\pm$ | 1.05 | Y | 18.40 | $\pm$ | 0.89 | 6.81 | $\pm$ | 0.33 | Y |
|  | 05/26/2004 | 1.08 | $\pm$ | 0.28 | 4.00 | $\pm$ | 1.02 | Y | 16.30 | $\pm$ | 0.74 | 6.03 | $\pm$ | 0.27 | Y |
|  | 06/02/2004 | 1.09 | $\pm$ | 0.40 | 4.03 | $\pm$ | 1.48 |  | 16.80 | $\pm$ | 0.90 | 6.22 | $\pm$ | 0.33 | Y |
|  | 06/09/2004 | 2.21 | $\pm$ | 0.49 | 8.18 | $\pm$ | 1.81 | Y | 24.50 | $\pm$ | 1.05 | 9.07 | $\pm$ | 0.39 | Y |
|  | 06/16/2004 | 1.52 | $\pm$ | 0.30 | 5.62 | $\pm$ | 1.11 | Y | 20.40 | $\pm$ | 0.84 | 7.55 | $\pm$ | 0.31 | Y |
|  | 06/23/2004 | 1.47 | $\pm$ | 0.47 | 5.44 | $\pm$ | 1.73 | Y | 19.50 | $\pm$ | 1.06 | 7.22 | $\pm$ | 0.39 | Y |
|  | 06/30/2004 | 1.91 | $\pm$ | 0.47 | 7.07 | $\pm$ | 1.74 | Y | 25.00 | $\pm$ | 1.11 | 9.25 | $\pm$ | 0.41 | Y |


| Sampling Group and Location |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sampling } \\ & \text { Date } \end{aligned}$ | GROSS ALPHA |  |  |  |  |  |  | GROSS BETA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Result $\pm 1 \mathrm{~s}$ Uncertainty $\times 10^{-15} \mu \mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{mL}$ | Result $\pm 2 \mathrm{~s}$ Uncertainty $\times 10^{-11} \mathrm{~Bq} / \mathrm{mL}$ |  |  | Result > 3 s | $\begin{gathered} \text { Result } \pm \text { 1s Uncertainty } \\ \left(\times 10^{-15} \mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mL}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Result } \pm 1 \text { s Uncertainty } \\ \left(\times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~Bq} / \mathrm{mL}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  | Result > 3s |
| MUD LAKE AVERAGE |  |  | 04/07/2004 | 3.02 | $\pm$ | 0.20 | 11.17 | $\pm$ | 0.74 | $Y$ | 33.40 | $\pm$ | 1.60 | 12.36 | $\pm$ | 0.59 | Y |
|  |  | 04/14/2004 | 1.99 | $\pm$ | 0.27 | 7.34 | $\pm$ | 0.98 | Y | 31.10 | $\pm$ | 0.40 | 11.51 | $\pm$ | 0.15 | Y |
|  |  | 04/21/2004 | 1.65 | $\pm$ | 0.00 | 6.11 | $\pm$ | 0.00 | Y | 16.90 | $\pm$ | 0.90 | 6.25 | $\pm$ | 0.33 | Y |
|  |  | 04/28/2004 | 2.36 | $\pm$ | 0.41 | 8.73 | $\pm$ | 1.52 | Y | 22.75 | $\pm$ | 0.05 | 8.42 | $\pm$ | 0.02 | Y |
|  |  | 05/05/2004 | 2.07 | $\pm$ | 0.27 | 7.64 | $\pm$ | 0.98 | Y | 25.05 | $\pm$ | 0.15 | 9.27 | $\pm$ | 0.06 | Y |
|  |  | 05/12/2004 | 1.78 | $\pm$ | 0.10 | 6.57 | $\pm$ | 0.35 | Y | 25.40 | $\pm$ | 0.60 | 9.40 | $\pm$ | 0.22 | Y |
|  |  | 05/19/2004 | 1.26 | $\pm$ | 0.40 | 4.66 | $\pm$ | 1.49 | Y | 20.15 | $\pm$ | 1.75 | 7.46 | $\pm$ | 0.65 | Y |
|  |  | 05/26/2004 | 0.92 | $\pm$ | 0.16 | 3.41 | $\pm$ | 0.58 | Y | 15.95 | $\pm$ | 0.35 | 5.90 | $\pm$ | 0.13 | Y |
|  |  | 06/02/2004 | 1.44 | $\pm$ | 0.35 | 5.33 | $\pm$ | 1.30 | Y | 18.15 | $\pm$ | 1.35 | 6.72 | $\pm$ | 0.50 | Y |
|  |  | 06/09/2004 | 1.41 | $\pm$ | 0.80 | 5.21 | $\pm$ | 2.97 |  | 24.75 | $\pm$ | 0.25 | 9.16 | $\pm$ | 0.09 | Y |
|  |  | 06/16/2004 | 1.36 | $\pm$ | 0.17 | 5.01 | $\pm$ | 0.61 | Y | 20.05 | $\pm$ | 0.35 | 7.42 | $\pm$ | 0.13 | Y |
|  |  | 06/23/2004 | 1.82 | $\pm$ | 0.35 | 6.72 | $\pm$ | 1.28 | Y | 22.25 |  | 2.75 | 8.23 | $\pm$ | 1.02 | Y |
|  |  | 06/30/2004 | 1.87 | $\pm$ | 0.04 | 6.92 | $\pm$ | 0.15 | Y | 24.20 | $\pm$ | 0.80 | 8.95 | $\pm$ | 0.30 | Y |
| DISTANT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
|  | BLACKFOOT CMS | 04/07/2004 | 1.47 | $\pm$ | 0.35 | 5.44 | $\pm$ | 1.28 | Y | 26.70 | $\pm$ | 1.00 | 9.88 | $\pm$ | 0.37 | Y |
|  |  | 04/14/2004 | 1.50 | $\pm$ | 0.33 | 5.55 | $\pm$ | 1.22 | Y | 30.90 | $\pm$ | 1.01 | 11.43 | $\pm$ | 0.37 | Y |
|  |  | 04/21/2004 | 1.33 | $\pm$ | 0.32 | 4.92 | $\pm$ | 1.17 | Y | 18.30 | $\pm$ | 0.83 | 6.77 | $\pm$ | 0.31 | Y |
|  |  | 04/28/2004 | 1.30 | $\pm$ | 0.28 | 4.81 | $\pm$ | 1.05 | Y | 20.60 | $\pm$ | 0.83 | 7.62 | $\pm$ | 0.31 | Y |
|  |  | 05/05/2004 | 1.68 | $\pm$ | 0.32 | 6.22 | $\pm$ | 1.18 | Y | 20.00 | $\pm$ | 0.84 | 7.40 | $\pm$ | 0.31 | Y |
|  |  | 05/12/2004 | 1.41 | $\pm$ | 0.31 | 5.22 | $\pm$ | 1.14 | Y | 23.80 | $\pm$ | 0.87 | 8.81 | $\pm$ | 0.32 | Y |
|  |  | 05/19/2004 | 1.88 | $\pm$ | 0.32 | 6.96 | $\pm$ | 1.17 | Y | 20.00 | $\pm$ | 0.82 | 7.40 | $\pm$ | 0.30 | Y |
|  |  | 05/26/2004 | 0.77 | $\pm$ | 0.25 | 2.86 | $\pm$ | 0.92 | Y | 15.50 | $\pm$ | 0.71 | 5.74 | $\pm$ | 0.26 | Y |
|  |  | 06/02/2004 | 0.41 | $\pm$ | 0.37 | 1.53 | $\pm$ | 1.37 |  | 14.50 | $\pm$ | 0.89 | 5.37 | $\pm$ | 0.33 | Y |
|  |  | 06/09/2004 | 1.17 | $\pm$ | 0.42 | 4.33 | $\pm$ | 1.56 |  | 24.50 | $\pm$ | 1.03 | 9.07 | $\pm$ | 0.38 | Y |
|  |  | 06/16/2004 | 1.64 | $\pm$ | 0.31 | 6.07 | $\pm$ | 1.14 | Y | 17.40 | $\pm$ | 0.80 | 6.44 | $\pm$ | 0.30 | Y |
|  |  | 06/23/2004 | 1.47 | $\pm$ | 0.40 | 5.44 | $\pm$ | 1.48 | Y | 22.60 | $\pm$ | 0.97 | 8.36 | $\pm$ | 0.36 | Y |
|  |  | 06/30/2004 | 1.62 | $\pm$ | 0.46 | 5.99 | $\pm$ | 1.69 | Y | 25.40 | $\pm$ | 1.13 | 9.40 | $\pm$ | 0.42 | Y |
|  | BLACKFOOT CMS (Q/A-1) | 04/07/2004 | 2.57 | $\pm$ | 0.48 | 9.51 | $\pm$ | 1.77 | Y | 29.50 | $\pm$ | 1.21 | 10.92 | $\pm$ | 0.45 | Y |
|  |  | 04/14/2004 | 1.17 | $\pm$ | 0.39 | 4.33 | $\pm$ | 1.44 |  | 30.00 | $\pm$ | 1.21 | 11.10 | $\pm$ | 0.45 | Y |
|  |  | 04/21/2004 | 0.78 | $\pm$ | 0.37 | 2.87 | $\pm$ | 1.38 |  | 21.50 | $\pm$ | 1.10 | 7.96 | $\pm$ | 0.41 | Y |
|  |  | 04/28/2004 | 1.03 | $\pm$ | 0.36 | 3.81 | $\pm$ | 1.33 |  | 23.70 | $\pm$ | 1.14 | 8.77 | $\pm$ | 0.42 | Y |
|  |  | 05/05/2004 | 1.16 | $\pm$ | 0.35 | 4.29 | $\pm$ | 1.28 | Y | 23.60 | $\pm$ | 1.04 | 8.73 | $\pm$ | 0.38 | Y |
|  |  | 05/12/2004 | 2.68 | $\pm$ | 0.45 | 9.92 | $\pm$ | 1.67 | Y | 23.60 | $\pm$ | 1.04 | 8.73 | $\pm$ | 0.38 | Y |
|  |  | 05/19/2004 | 1.84 | $\pm$ | 0.40 | 6.81 | $\pm$ | 1.49 | Y | 23.00 | $\pm$ | 1.10 | 8.51 | $\pm$ | 0.41 | Y |
|  |  | 05/26/2004 | 0.95 | $\pm$ | 0.36 | 3.50 | $\pm$ | 1.33 |  | 14.60 | $\pm$ | 0.93 | 5.40 | $\pm$ | 0.35 | Y |
|  |  | 06/02/2004 | 1.11 | $\pm$ | 0.48 | 4.11 | $\pm$ | 1.76 |  | 16.40 | $\pm$ | 1.03 | 6.07 | $\pm$ | 0.38 | Y |
|  |  | 06/09/2004 | 0.67 | $\pm$ | 0.60 | 2.48 | $\pm$ | 2.23 |  | 24.00 | $\pm$ | 1.40 | 8.88 | $\pm$ | 0.52 | Y |
|  |  | 06/16/2004 | 0.18 | $\pm$ | 0.26 | 0.67 | $\pm$ | 0.97 |  | -0.56 | $\pm$ | 0.59 | -0.21 | $\pm$ | 0.22 |  |
|  |  | 06/23/2004 | 0.63 | $\pm$ | 0.47 | 2.31 | $\pm$ | 1.72 |  | 23.90 | $\pm$ | 1.23 | 8.84 | $\pm$ | 0.46 | Y |
|  |  | 06/30/2004 | 1.66 | $\pm$ | 0.53 | 6.14 | $\pm$ | 1.97 | Y | 27.80 | $\pm$ | 1.32 | 10.29 | $\pm$ | 0.49 | Y |
|  | BLACKFOOT AVERAGE | 04/07/2004 | 2.02 | $\pm$ | 0.55 | 7.47 | $\pm$ | 2.04 | Y | 28.10 | $\pm$ | 1.40 | 10.40 | $\pm$ | 0.52 | Y |
|  |  | 04/14/2004 | 1.34 | $\pm$ | 0.17 | 4.94 | $\pm$ | 0.61 | Y | 30.45 | $\pm$ | 0.45 | 11.27 | $\pm$ | 0.17 | Y |
|  |  | 04/21/2004 | 1.05 | $\pm$ | 0.28 | 3.89 | $\pm$ | 1.03 | Y | 19.90 | $\pm$ | 1.60 | 7.36 | $\pm$ | 0.59 | Y |
|  |  | 04/28/2004 | 1.17 | $\pm$ | 0.14 | 4.31 | $\pm$ | 0.50 | Y | 22.15 | $\pm$ | 1.55 | 8.20 | $\pm$ | 0.57 | Y |
|  |  | 05/05/2004 | 1.42 | $\pm$ | 0.26 | 5.25 | $\pm$ | 0.96 | Y | 21.80 | $\pm$ | 1.80 | 8.07 | $\pm$ | 0.67 | Y |
|  |  | 05/12/2004 | 2.05 | $\pm$ | 0.64 | 7.57 | $\pm$ | 2.35 | Y | 23.70 | $\pm$ | 0.10 | 8.77 | $\pm$ | 0.04 | Y |
|  |  | 05/19/2004 | 1.86 | $\pm$ | 0.02 | 6.88 | $\pm$ | 0.07 | Y | 21.50 | $\pm$ | 1.50 | 7.96 | $\pm$ | 0.56 | Y |
|  |  | 05/26/2004 | 0.86 | $\pm$ | 0.09 | 3.18 | $\pm$ | 0.32 | Y | 15.05 | $\pm$ | 0.45 | 5.57 | $\pm$ | 0.17 | Y |
|  |  | 06/02/2004 | 0.76 | $\pm$ | 0.35 | 2.82 | $\pm$ | 1.29 |  | 15.45 | $\pm$ | 0.95 | 5.72 | $\pm$ | 0.35 | Y |
|  |  | 06/09/2004 | 0.92 | $\pm$ | 0.25 | 3.40 | $\pm$ | 0.93 | Y | 24.25 | $\pm$ | 0.25 | 8.97 | $\pm$ | 0.09 | Y |
|  |  | 06/16/2004 | 0.91 | $\pm$ | 0.73 | 3.37 | $\pm$ | 2.70 |  | 8.42 | $\pm$ | 8.98 | 3.12 | $\pm$ | 3.32 |  |
|  |  | 06/23/2004 | 1.05 | $\pm$ | 0.42 | 3.88 | $\pm$ | 1.56 |  | 23.25 | $\pm$ | 0.65 | 8.60 |  | 0.24 | r |
|  |  | 06/30/2004 | 1.64 | $\pm$ | 0.02 | 6.07 | $\pm$ | 0.07 | Y | 26.60 | $\pm$ | 1.20 | 9.84 | $\pm$ | 0.44 | Y |


| Sampling Group and Location | CRATERS | SamplingDate | GROSS ALPHA |  |  |  |  |  |  | GROSS BETA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Result } \pm 1 \text { S Uncertainty } \\ \times 10^{-15} \mu \mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{mL} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Result } \pm 2 \text { s Uncertainty } \\ \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{~Bq} / \mathrm{mL} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  | Result > 3s | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Result } \pm 1 \mathrm{~s} \text { Uncertainty } \\ & \left(\times 10^{-15} \mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mL}\right) \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Result $\pm 1 \mathrm{~s}$ Uncertainty ( $\times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~Bq} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) |  |  | $\frac{\text { Result > 3s }}{Y}$ |
|  |  | 04/07/2004 | 1.71 | $\pm$ | 0.40 | 6.33 | $\pm$ | 1.49 | $Y$ | 25.40 | $\pm$ | 1.09 | 9.40 | $\pm$ | 0.40 |  |
|  |  | 04/14/2004 | 1.99 | $\pm$ | 0.43 | 7.36 | $\pm$ | 1.58 | Y | 29.20 | $\pm$ | 1.16 | 10.80 | $\pm$ | 0.43 | Y |
|  |  | 04/21/2004 | 0.49 | $\pm$ | 0.33 | 1.80 | $\pm$ | 1.20 |  | 13.60 | $\pm$ | 0.91 | 5.03 | $\pm$ | 0.34 | Y |
|  |  | 04/28/2004 | 2.32 | $\pm$ | 0.40 | 8.58 | $\pm$ | 1.47 | Y | 24.50 | $\pm$ | 1.02 | 9.07 | $\pm$ | 0.38 | Y |
|  |  | 05/05/2004 | 0.86 | $\pm$ | 0.34 | 3.17 | $\pm$ | 1.25 |  | 22.10 | $\pm$ | 1.06 | 8.18 | $\pm$ | 0.39 | Y |
|  |  | 05/12/2004 | 1.91 | $\pm$ | 0.40 | 7.07 | $\pm$ | 1.47 | Y | 24.00 | $\pm$ | 1.02 | 8.88 | $\pm$ | 0.38 | Y |
|  |  | 05/19/2004 | 0.99 | $\pm$ | 0.31 | 3.67 | $\pm$ | 1.13 | Y | 19.30 | $\pm$ | 0.94 | 7.14 | $\pm$ | 0.35 | Y |
|  |  | 05/26/2004 | 0.71 | $\pm$ | 0.29 | 2.63 | $\pm$ | 1.07 |  | 16.00 | $\pm$ | 0.83 | 5.92 | $\pm$ | 0.31 | Y |
|  |  | 06/02/2004 | 0.22 | $\pm$ | 0.46 | 0.83 | $\pm$ | 1.71 |  | 13.70 | $\pm$ | 1.06 | 5.07 | $\pm$ | 0.39 | Y |
|  |  | 06/09/2004 | 1.11 | $\pm$ | 0.53 | 4.11 | $\pm$ | 1.96 |  | 23.60 | $\pm$ | 1.21 | 8.73 | $\pm$ | 0.45 | Y |
|  |  | 06/16/2004 | 1.84 | $\pm$ | 0.39 | 6.81 | $\pm$ | 1.42 | Y | 17.00 | $\pm$ | 0.95 | 6.29 | $\pm$ | 0.35 | Y |
|  |  | 06/23/2004 | 1.04 | $\pm$ | 0.47 | 3.85 | $\pm$ | 1.73 |  | 23.80 | $\pm$ | 1.18 | 8.81 | $\pm$ | 0.44 | Y |
|  |  | 06/30/2004 | 1.16 | $\pm$ | 0.55 | 4.29 | $\pm$ | 2.02 |  | 27.40 | $\pm$ | 1.41 | 10.14 | $\pm$ | 0.52 | Y |
|  | DUBOIS | 04/07/2004 | 1.82 | $\pm$ | 0.34 | 6.73 | $\pm$ | 1.26 | Y | 29.80 | $\pm$ | 0.98 | 11.03 | $\pm$ | 0.36 | Y |
|  |  | 04/14/2004 | 2.46 | $\pm$ | 0.42 | 9.10 | $\pm$ | 1.54 | Y | 30.40 | $\pm$ | 1.09 | 11.25 | $\pm$ | 0.40 | Y |
|  |  | 04/21/2004 | 1.27 | $\pm$ | 0.32 | 4.70 | $\pm$ | 1.18 | Y | 16.40 | $\pm$ | 0.81 | 6.07 | $\pm$ | 0.30 | Y |
|  |  | 04/28/2004 | 1.79 | $\pm$ | 0.39 | 6.62 | $\pm$ | 1.46 | Y | 19.20 | $\pm$ | 1.01 | 7.10 | $\pm$ | 0.37 | Y |
|  |  | 05/05/2004 | 2.68 | $\pm$ | 0.38 | 9.92 | $\pm$ | 1.41 | Y | 26.30 | $\pm$ | 0.95 | 9.73 | $\pm$ | 0.35 | Y |
|  |  | 05/12/2004 | 1.61 | $\pm$ | 0.45 | 5.96 | $\pm$ | 1.65 | Y | 27.00 | $\pm$ | 1.22 | 9.99 | $\pm$ | 0.45 | Y |
|  |  | 05/19/2004 | 1.05 | $\pm$ | 0.27 | 3.89 | $\pm$ | 0.98 | Y | 16.60 | $\pm$ | 0.77 | 6.14 | $\pm$ | 0.29 | Y |
|  |  | 05/26/2004 | 1.30 | $\pm$ | 0.34 | 4.81 | $\pm$ | 1.27 | Y | 15.90 | $\pm$ | 0.86 | 5.88 | $\pm$ | 0.32 | Y |
|  |  | 06/02/2004 | 2.01 | $\pm$ | 0.49 | 7.44 | $\pm$ | 1.82 | Y | 18.00 | $\pm$ | 1.00 | 6.66 | $\pm$ | 0.37 | Y |
|  |  | 06/09/2004 | 1.59 | $\pm$ | 0.68 | 5.88 | $\pm$ | 2.52 |  | 27.20 | $\pm$ | 1.50 | 10.06 | $\pm$ | 0.56 | Y |
|  |  | 06/16/2004 | 1.79 | $\pm$ | 0.35 | 6.62 | $\pm$ | 1.28 | Y | 19.30 | $\pm$ | 0.90 | 7.14 | $\pm$ | 0.33 | Y |
|  |  | 06/23/2004 | 1.75 | $\pm$ | 0.56 | 6.48 | $\pm$ | 2.05 | Y | 21.40 | $\pm$ | 1.22 | 7.92 | $\pm$ | 0.45 | Y |
|  |  | 06/30/2004 | -1.93 | $\pm$ | 3.27 | -7.14 | $\pm$ | 12.10 |  | 29.30 | $\pm$ | 7.01 | 10.84 | $\pm$ | 2.59 | Y |
|  | IDAHO FALLS | 04/07/2004 | 2.83 | $\pm$ | 0.45 | 10.47 | $\pm$ | 1.65 | Y | 30.00 | $\pm$ | 1.11 | 11.10 | $\pm$ | 0.41 | Y |
|  |  | 04/14/2004 | 1.38 | $\pm$ | 0.36 | 5.11 | $\pm$ | 1.34 | Y | 28.40 | $\pm$ | 1.08 | 10.51 | $\pm$ | 0.40 | Y |
|  |  | 04/21/2004 | 1.52 | $\pm$ | 0.35 | 5.62 | $\pm$ | 1.30 | Y | 19.60 | $\pm$ | 0.91 | 7.25 | $\pm$ | 0.34 | Y |
|  |  | 04/28/2004 | 1.84 | $\pm$ | 0.37 | 6.81 | $\pm$ | 1.35 | Y | 27.20 | $\pm$ | 1.05 | 10.06 | $\pm$ | 0.39 | Y |
|  |  | 05/05/2004 | 2.53 | $\pm$ | 0.41 | 9.36 | $\pm$ | 1.51 | Y | 24.50 | $\pm$ | 1.00 | 9.07 | $\pm$ | 0.37 | Y |
|  |  | 05/12/2004 | -0.49 | $\pm$ | 0.28 | -1.80 | $\pm$ | 1.04 |  | 26.80 | $\pm$ | 1.22 | 9.92 | $\pm$ | 0.45 | Y |
|  |  | 05/19/2004 | 1.60 | $\pm$ | 0.32 | 5.92 | $\pm$ | 1.20 | Y | 18.80 | $\pm$ | 0.86 | 6.96 | $\pm$ | 0.32 | Y |
|  |  | 05/26/2004 | 1.45 | $\pm$ | 0.33 | 5.37 | $\pm$ | 1.21 | Y | 15.90 | $\pm$ | 0.81 | 5.88 | $\pm$ | 0.30 | Y |
|  |  | 06/02/2004 | 0.31 | $\pm$ | 0.42 | 1.16 | $\pm$ | 1.54 |  | 16.60 | $\pm$ | 1.02 | 6.14 | $\pm$ | 0.38 | Y |
|  |  | 06/09/2004 | 1.05 | $\pm$ | 0.60 | 3.89 | $\pm$ | 2.22 |  | 23.90 | $\pm$ | 1.35 | 8.84 | $\pm$ | 0.50 | Y |
|  |  | 06/16/2004 | 2.01 | $\pm$ | 0.37 | 7.44 | $\pm$ | 1.38 | Y | 17.20 | $\pm$ | 0.90 | 6.36 | $\pm$ | 0.33 | Y |
|  |  | 06/23/2004 | 1.30 | $\pm$ | 0.43 | 4.81 | $\pm$ | 1.58 | Y | 18.00 | $\pm$ | 0.98 | 6.66 | $\pm$ | 0.36 | Y |
|  |  | 06/30/2004 | 1.81 | $\pm$ | 0.51 | 6.70 | $\pm$ | 1.87 | $Y$ | 26.30 | $\pm$ | 1.22 | 9.73 | $\pm$ | 0.45 | $Y$ |
|  | JACKSON | 04/07/2004 | 2.51 | $\pm$ | 0.42 | 9.29 | $\pm$ | 1.55 | Y | 33.70 | $\pm$ | 1.13 | 12.47 | $\pm$ | 0.42 | Y |
|  |  | 04/14/2004 | 2.22 | $\pm$ | 0.41 | 8.21 | $\pm$ | 1.53 | Y | 26.30 | $\pm$ | 1.06 | 9.73 | $\pm$ | 0.39 | Y |
|  |  | 04/21/2004 | 1.43 | $\pm$ | 0.35 | 5.29 | $\pm$ | 1.29 | Y | 20.10 | $\pm$ | 0.92 | 7.44 | $\pm$ | 0.34 | Y |
|  |  | 04/28/2004 | 1.58 | $\pm$ | 0.35 | 5.85 | $\pm$ | 1.29 | Y | 24.90 | $\pm$ | 1.02 | 9.21 | $\pm$ | 0.38 | Y |
|  |  | 05/05/2004 | 1.32 | $\pm$ | 0.34 | 4.88 | $\pm$ | 1.27 | Y | 20.50 | $\pm$ | 0.96 | 7.59 | $\pm$ | 0.36 | Y |
|  |  | 05/12/2004 | 2.40 | $\pm$ | 0.43 | 8.88 | $\pm$ | 1.57 | Y | 25.60 | $\pm$ | 1.04 | 9.47 | $\pm$ | 0.38 | Y |
|  |  | 05/19/2004 | 1.60 | $\pm$ | 0.35 | 5.92 | $\pm$ | 1.28 | Y | 20.40 | $\pm$ | 0.95 | 7.55 | $\pm$ | 0.35 | Y |
|  |  | 05/26/2004 | 0.38 | $\pm$ | 0.27 | 1.41 | $\pm$ | 1.01 |  | 13.60 | $\pm$ | 0.82 | 5.03 | $\pm$ | 0.30 | Y |
|  |  | 06/02/2004 | 0.79 | $\pm$ | 0.46 | 2.93 | $\pm$ | 1.71 |  | 11.10 | $\pm$ | 0.95 | 4.11 | $\pm$ | 0.35 | Y |
|  |  | 06/09/2004 | 0.86 | $\pm$ | 0.52 | 3.19 | $\pm$ | 1.92 |  | 24.50 | $\pm$ | 1.23 | 9.07 | $\pm$ | 0.46 | Y |
|  |  | 06/16/2004 | 1.60 | $\pm$ | 0.34 | 5.92 | $\pm$ | 1.27 | Y | 17.10 | $\pm$ | 0.89 | 6.33 | $\pm$ | 0.33 | Y |
|  |  | 06/23/2004 | 1.46 | $\pm$ | 0.49 | 5.40 | $\pm$ | 1.82 |  | 18.80 | $\pm$ | 1.09 | 6.96 | $\pm$ | 0.40 | Y |
|  |  | 06/30/2004 | 1.99 | $\pm$ | 0.53 | 7.36 | $\pm$ | 1.95 | Y | 25.60 | $\pm$ | 1.23 | 9.47 | $\pm$ | 0.46 | Y |


| Sampling Group and Location |  | SamplingDate | GROSS ALPHA |  |  |  |  |  |  | GROSS BETA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Result $\pm$ 1s Uncertainty | Result $\pm$ 2s Uncertainty |  |  | Result > 3s | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Result } \pm 1 \text { s Uncertainty } \\ & \left(\times 10^{-15} \mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mL}\right) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Result $\pm$ 1s Uncertainty ( $\times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~Bq} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) |  |  | Result > 3s |
|  | REXBURG CMS |  | 04/07/2004 | 1.75 | $\pm$ | 0.39 | 6.48 | $\pm$ | 1.45 | Y | 28.90 | $\pm$ | 1.11 | 10.69 | $\pm$ | 0.41 | Y |
|  |  | 04/14/2004 | 1.68 | $\pm$ | 0.36 | 6.22 | $\pm$ | 1.33 | Y | 31.60 | $\pm$ | 1.07 | 11.69 | $\pm$ | 0.40 | Y |
|  |  | 04/21/2004 | 1.41 | $\pm$ | 0.34 | 5.22 | $\pm$ | 1.25 | Y | 18.10 | $\pm$ | 0.87 | 6.70 | $\pm$ | 0.32 | Y |
|  |  | 04/28/2004 | 2.15 | $\pm$ | 0.37 | 7.96 | $\pm$ | 1.37 | Y | 22.90 | $\pm$ | 0.95 | 8.47 | $\pm$ | 0.35 | Y |
|  |  | 05/05/2004 | 1.75 | $\pm$ | 0.38 | 6.48 | $\pm$ | 1.39 | Y | 25.20 | $\pm$ | 1.04 | 9.32 | $\pm$ | 0.38 | Y |
|  |  | 05/12/2004 | 1.53 | $\pm$ | 0.37 | 5.66 | $\pm$ | 1.38 | Y | 28.50 | $\pm$ | 1.07 | 10.55 | $\pm$ | 0.40 | Y |
|  |  | 05/19/2004 | 1.79 | $\pm$ | 0.32 | 6.62 | $\pm$ | 1.19 | Y | 19.20 | $\pm$ | 0.84 | 7.10 | $\pm$ | 0.31 | Y |
|  |  | 05/26/2004 | 0.55 | $\pm$ | 0.26 | 2.02 | $\pm$ | 0.97 |  | 14.80 | $\pm$ | 0.78 | 5.48 | $\pm$ | 0.29 | Y |
|  |  | 06/02/2004 | 0.80 | $\pm$ | 0.42 | 2.94 | $\pm$ | 1.55 |  | 15.50 | $\pm$ | 0.95 | 5.74 | $\pm$ | 0.35 | Y |
|  |  | 06/09/2004 | 1.20 | $\pm$ | 0.48 | 4.44 | $\pm$ | 1.79 |  | 25.60 | $\pm$ | 1.15 | 9.47 | $\pm$ | 0.43 | Y |
|  |  | 06/16/2004 | 1.77 | $\pm$ | 0.36 | 6.55 | $\pm$ | 1.34 | Y | 18.40 | $\pm$ | 0.93 | 6.81 | $\pm$ | 0.34 | Y |
|  |  | 06/23/2004 | 1.47 | $\pm$ | 0.45 | 5.44 | $\pm$ | 1.68 | Y | 22.10 | $\pm$ | 1.07 | 8.18 | $\pm$ | 0.40 | Y |
|  |  | 06/30/2004 | 1.91 | $\pm$ | 0.52 | 7.07 | $\pm$ | 1.94 | Y | 24.20 | $\pm$ | 1.21 | 8.95 | $\pm$ | 0.45 | Y |
| INEEL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
|  | EFS | 04/07/2004 | 2.00 | $\pm$ | 0.39 | 7.40 | $\pm$ | 1.45 | Y | 31.00 | $\pm$ | 1.10 | 11.47 | $\pm$ | 0.41 | Y |
|  |  | 04/14/2004 | 2.13 | $\pm$ | 0.43 | 7.88 | $\pm$ | 1.57 | Y | 33.60 | $\pm$ | 1.20 | 12.43 | $\pm$ | 0.44 | Y |
|  |  | 04/21/2004 | 0.78 | $\pm$ | 0.27 | 2.89 | $\pm$ | 1.01 |  | 18.40 | $\pm$ | 0.81 | 6.81 | $\pm$ | 0.30 | Y |
|  |  | 04/28/2004 | 1.87 | $\pm$ | 0.38 | 6.92 | $\pm$ | 1.41 | Y | 22.70 | $\pm$ | 1.02 | 8.40 | $\pm$ | 0.38 | Y |
|  |  | 05/05/2004 | 1.99 | $\pm$ | 0.40 | 7.36 | $\pm$ | 1.49 | Y | 26.30 | $\pm$ | 1.09 | 9.73 | $\pm$ | 0.40 | Y |
|  |  | 05/12/2004 | 1.64 | $\pm$ | 0.40 | 6.07 | $\pm$ | 1.47 | Y | 28.20 | $\pm$ | 1.12 | 10.43 | $\pm$ | 0.41 | Y |
|  |  | 05/19/2004 | 1.03 | $\pm$ | 0.29 | 3.81 | $\pm$ | 1.07 | Y | 20.30 | $\pm$ | 0.90 | 7.51 | $\pm$ | 0.33 | Y |
|  |  | 05/26/2004 | 0.92 | $\pm$ | 0.33 | 3.40 | $\pm$ | 1.22 |  | 15.80 | $\pm$ | 0.89 | 5.85 | $\pm$ | 0.33 | Y |
|  |  | 06/02/2004 | 1.02 | $\pm$ | 0.52 | 3.77 | $\pm$ | 1.91 |  | 15.80 | $\pm$ | 1.11 | 5.85 | $\pm$ | 0.41 | Y |
|  |  | 06/09/2004 | 2.78 | $\pm$ | 0.65 | 10.29 | $\pm$ | 2.39 | Y | 24.40 | $\pm$ | 1.28 | 9.03 | $\pm$ | 0.47 | Y |
|  |  | 06/16/2004 | 1.57 | $\pm$ | 0.37 | 5.81 | $\pm$ | 1.36 | Y | 19.10 | $\pm$ | 0.99 | 7.07 | $\pm$ | 0.37 | Y |
|  |  | 06/23/2004 | 1.27 | $\pm$ | 0.46 | 4.70 | $\pm$ | 1.70 |  | 22.30 | $\pm$ | 1.11 | 8.25 | $\pm$ | 0.41 | Y |
|  |  | 06/30/2004 | 1.92 | $\pm$ | 0.55 | 7.10 | $\pm$ | 2.03 | Y | 27.80 | $\pm$ | 1.32 | 10.29 | $\pm$ | 0.49 | Y |
|  | MAIN GATE | 04/07/2004 | 2.00 | $\pm$ | 0.46 | 7.40 | $\pm$ | 1.71 | Y | 33.00 | $\pm$ | 1.30 | 12.21 | $\pm$ | 0.48 | Y |
|  |  | 04/14/2004 | 2.14 | $\pm$ | 0.46 | 7.92 | $\pm$ | 1.71 | Y | 33.80 | $\pm$ | 1.29 | 12.51 | $\pm$ | 0.48 | Y |
|  |  | 04/21/2004 | 1.14 | $\pm$ | 0.40 | 4.22 | $\pm$ | 1.47 |  | 19.20 | $\pm$ | 1.06 | 7.10 | $\pm$ | 0.39 | Y |
|  |  | 04/28/2004 | 1.52 | $\pm$ | 0.43 | 5.62 | $\pm$ | 1.58 | Y | 25.60 | $\pm$ | 1.25 | 9.47 | $\pm$ | 0.46 | Y |
|  |  | 05/05/2004 | 1.98 | $\pm$ | 0.46 | 7.33 | $\pm$ | 1.71 | Y | 25.50 | $\pm$ | 1.23 | 9.44 | $\pm$ | 0.46 | Y |
|  |  | 05/12/2004 | 1.79 | $\pm$ | 0.50 | 6.62 | $\pm$ | 1.86 | Y | 29.20 | $\pm$ | 1.36 | 10.80 | $\pm$ | 0.50 | Y |
|  |  | 05/19/2004 | 1.48 | $\pm$ | 0.37 | 5.48 | $\pm$ | 1.37 | Y | 21.20 | $\pm$ | 1.04 | 7.84 | $\pm$ | 0.38 | Y |
|  |  | 05/26/2004 | 0.94 | $\pm$ | 0.42 | 3.47 | $\pm$ | 1.54 |  | 17.80 | $\pm$ | 1.12 | 6.59 | $\pm$ | 0.41 | Y |
|  |  | 06/02/2004 | 0.48 | $\pm$ | 0.50 | 1.78 | $\pm$ | 1.86 |  | 16.10 | $\pm$ | 1.15 | 5.96 | $\pm$ | 0.43 | Y |
|  |  | 06/09/2004 | 2.45 | $\pm$ | 0.78 | 9.07 | $\pm$ | 2.88 | Y | 24.10 | $\pm$ | 1.53 | 8.92 | $\pm$ | 0.57 | Y |
|  |  | 06/16/2004 | 1.37 | $\pm$ | 0.42 | 5.07 | $\pm$ | 1.55 | Y | 19.10 | $\pm$ | 1.16 | 7.07 | $\pm$ | 0.43 | Y |
|  |  | 06/23/2004 | 0.90 | $\pm$ | 0.61 | 3.34 | $\pm$ | 2.27 |  | 24.00 | $\pm$ | 1.49 | 8.88 | $\pm$ | 0.55 | Y |
|  |  | 06/30/2004 | 2.00 | $\pm$ | 0.58 | 7.40 | $\pm$ | 2.13 | Y | 25.80 | $\pm$ | 1.33 | 9.55 | $\pm$ | 0.49 | Y |
|  | VAN BUREN GATE | 04/07/2004 | 1.63 | $\pm$ | 0.37 | 6.03 | $\pm$ | 1.36 | Y | 30.10 | $\pm$ | 1.08 | 11.14 | $\pm$ | 0.40 | Y |
|  |  | 04/14/2004 | 1.85 | $\pm$ | 0.42 | 6.85 | $\pm$ | 1.57 | Y | 28.60 | $\pm$ | 1.16 | 10.58 | $\pm$ | 0.43 | Y |
|  |  | 04/21/2004 | 1.41 | $\pm$ | 0.36 | 5.22 | $\pm$ | 1.31 | Y | 18.90 | $\pm$ | 0.92 | 6.99 | $\pm$ | 0.34 | Y |
|  |  | 04/28/2004 | 2.71 | $\pm$ | 0.44 | 10.03 | $\pm$ | 1.62 | Y | 25.50 | $\pm$ | 1.08 | 9.44 | $\pm$ | 0.40 | Y |
|  |  | 05/05/2004 | 2.34 | $\pm$ | 0.42 | 8.66 | $\pm$ | 1.54 | Y | 23.40 | $\pm$ | 1.03 | 8.66 | $\pm$ | 0.38 | Y |
|  |  | 05/12/2004 | 2.24 | $\pm$ | 0.42 | 8.29 | $\pm$ | 1.54 | Y | 27.40 | $\pm$ | 1.07 | 10.14 | $\pm$ | 0.40 | Y |
|  |  | 05/19/2004 | 1.32 | $\pm$ | 0.30 | 4.88 | $\pm$ | 1.11 | Y | 21.00 | $\pm$ | 0.89 | 7.77 | $\pm$ | 0.33 | Y |
|  |  | 05/26/2004 | 1.13 | $\pm$ | 0.30 | 4.18 | $\pm$ | 1.11 | Y | 17.10 | $\pm$ | 0.81 | 6.33 | $\pm$ | 0.30 | Y |
|  |  | 06/02/2004 | 0.94 | $\pm$ | 0.46 | 3.48 | $\pm$ | 1.70 |  | 15.60 | $\pm$ | 1.01 | 5.77 | $\pm$ | 0.37 | Y |
|  |  | 06/09/2004 | 1.82 | $\pm$ | 0.55 | 6.73 | $\pm$ | 2.02 | Y | 25.00 | $\pm$ | 1.20 | 9.25 | $\pm$ | 0.44 | Y |
|  |  | 06/16/2004 | 1.64 | $\pm$ | 0.37 | 6.07 | $\pm$ | 1.37 | Y | 19.50 | $\pm$ | 0.98 | 7.22 | $\pm$ | 0.36 | Y |
|  |  | 06/23/2004 | 1.50 | $\pm$ | 0.52 | 5.55 | $\pm$ | 1.92 |  | 24.10 | $\pm$ | 1.23 | 8.92 | $\pm$ | 0.46 | Y |
|  |  | 06/30/2004 | 1.33 | $\pm$ | 0.46 | 4.92 | $\pm$ | 1.72 |  | 27.00 | $\pm$ | 1.21 | 9.99 | $\pm$ | 0.45 | Y |
| Red text denotes in | nvalid sample. | 06/23/2003 F | ver invalid nvalid | otr | uipmen breake |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE C-2: Weekly lodine-131 Activity in Air.

| Location | Sampling Group | Sampling Date | Result $\pm$ 1s Uncertainty |  |  | Result $\pm$ 1s Uncertainty |  |  | Result > 3s |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\times 10^{-15} \mu \mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{mL}$ |  |  | x $10^{-12} \mathrm{~Bq} / \mathrm{mL}$ |  |  |  |
| BOUNDARY $\quad$ — |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ARCO | 04/07/2004 | -5.02 | $\pm$ | 2.55 | -18.58 | $\pm$ | 9.42 |  |
|  |  | 04/14/2004 | 1.53 | $\pm$ | 2.74 | 5.67 | $\pm$ | 10.15 |  |
|  |  | 04/21/2004 | 0.31 | $\pm$ | 1.80 | 1.15 | $\pm$ | 6.65 |  |
|  |  | 04/28/2004 | -1.51 | $\pm$ | 2.53 | -5.60 | $\pm$ | 9.35 |  |
|  |  | 05/05/2004 | 0.23 | $\pm$ | 2.15 | 0.87 | $\pm$ | 7.96 |  |
|  |  | 05/12/2004 | -0.45 | $\pm$ | 2.70 | -1.67 | $\pm$ | 10.00 |  |
|  |  | 05/19/2004 | -3.60 | $\pm$ | 1.87 | -13.30 | $\pm$ | 6.90 |  |
|  |  | 05/26/2004 | 3.05 | $\pm$ | 1.77 | 11.30 | $\pm$ | 6.57 |  |
|  |  | 06/02/2004 | 2.08 | $\pm$ | 2.04 | 7.69 | $\pm$ | 7.53 |  |
|  |  | 06/09/2004 | -1.28 | $\pm$ | 2.24 | -4.72 | $\pm$ | 8.27 |  |
|  |  | 06/16/2004 | 0.65 | $\pm$ | 1.38 | 2.42 | $\pm$ | 5.12 |  |
|  |  | 06/23/2004 | 2.40 | $\pm$ | 2.35 | 8.90 | $\pm$ | 8.70 |  |
|  |  | 06/30/2004 | -0.67 | $\pm$ | 2.08 | -2.47 | $\pm$ | 7.68 |  |
|  | ATOMIC CITY | 04/07/2004 | -5.00 | $\pm$ | 2.53 | -18.50 | $\pm$ | 9.37 |  |
|  |  | 04/14/2004 | 1.50 | $\pm$ | 2.69 | 5.55 | $\pm$ | 9.94 |  |
|  |  | 04/21/2004 | 0.30 | $\pm$ | 1.73 | 1.10 | $\pm$ | 6.40 |  |
|  |  | 04/28/2004 | -1.34 | $\pm$ | 2.24 | -4.96 | $\pm$ | 8.29 |  |
|  |  | 05/05/2004 | 0.25 | $\pm$ | 2.28 | 0.92 | $\pm$ | 8.45 |  |
|  |  | 05/12/2004 | -0.44 | $\pm$ | 2.65 | -1.64 | $\pm$ | 9.81 |  |
|  |  | 05/19/2004 | -3.44 | $\pm$ | 1.79 | -12.74 | $\pm$ | 6.61 |  |
|  |  | 05/26/2004 | 3.47 | $\pm$ | 2.02 | 12.84 | $\pm$ | 7.47 |  |
|  |  | 06/02/2004 | 2.47 | $\pm$ | 2.42 | 9.13 | $\pm$ | 8.94 |  |
|  |  | 06/09/2004 | -1.62 | $\pm$ | 2.84 | -6.00 | $\pm$ | 10.51 |  |
|  |  | 06/16/2004 | 0.74 | $\pm$ | 1.55 | 2.72 | $\pm$ | 5.75 |  |
|  |  | 06/23/2004 | 2.80 | $\pm$ | 2.73 | 10.35 | $\pm$ | 10.12 |  |
|  |  | 06/30/2004 | -0.72 | $\pm$ | 2.24 | -2.67 | $\pm$ | 8.30 |  |
|  | BLUE DOME | 04/07/2004 | 1.64 | $\pm$ | 1.50 | 6.06 | $\pm$ | 5.57 |  |
|  |  | 04/14/2004 | -1.31 | $\pm$ | 1.31 | -4.86 | $\pm$ | 4.83 |  |
|  |  | 04/21/2004 | -0.02 | $\pm$ | 1.12 | -0.09 | $\pm$ | 4.15 |  |
|  |  | 04/28/2004 | -0.27 | $\pm$ | 1.31 | -0.98 | $\pm$ | 4.83 |  |
|  |  | 05/05/2004 | 0.60 | $\pm$ | 1.30 | 2.22 | $\pm$ | 4.80 |  |
|  |  | 05/12/2004 | -0.86 | $\pm$ | 1.77 | -3.18 | $\pm$ | 6.56 |  |
|  |  | 05/19/2004 | -1.18 | $\pm$ | 1.15 | -4.37 | $\pm$ | 4.26 |  |
|  |  | 05/26/2004 | -1.16 | $\pm$ | 1.61 | -4.31 | $\pm$ | 5.96 |  |
|  |  | 06/02/2004 | -0.48 | $\pm$ | 1.25 | -1.79 | $\pm$ | 4.62 |  |
|  |  | 06/09/2004 | 2.37 | $\pm$ | 1.76 | 8.76 | $\pm$ | 6.52 |  |
|  |  | 06/16/2004 | 0.40 | $\pm$ | 1.73 | 1.49 | $\pm$ | 6.40 |  |
|  |  | 06/23/2004 | -0.57 | $\pm$ | 1.25 | -2.12 | $\pm$ | 4.63 |  |
|  |  | 06/30/2004 | -3.23 | $\pm$ | 1.96 | -11.95 | $\pm$ | 7.25 |  |

TABLE C-2: Weekly lodine-131 Activity in Air.

| Location | Sampling Group | Sampling Date | Result $\pm$ 1s Uncertainty |  |  | Result $\pm$ 1s Uncertainty |  |  | Result > 3s |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\times 10^{-15} \mu \mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{mL}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{x} 10^{-12} \mathrm{~Bq} / \mathrm{mL}$ |  |  |  |
|  | FAA TOWER | 04/07/2004 | 2.27 | $\pm$ | 2.08 | 8.38 | $\pm$ | 7.70 |  |
|  |  | 04/14/2004 | -1.75 | $\pm$ | 1.74 | -6.47 | $\pm$ | 6.43 |  |
|  |  | 04/21/2004 | -0.03 | $\pm$ | 1.59 | -0.13 | $\pm$ | 5.90 |  |
|  |  | 04/28/2004 | -0.30 | $\pm$ | 1.47 | -1.10 | $\pm$ | 5.43 |  |
|  |  | 05/05/2004 | 0.88 | $\pm$ | 1.91 | 3.26 | $\pm$ | 7.05 |  |
|  |  | 05/12/2004 | -0.89 | $\pm$ | 1.84 | -3.31 | $\pm$ | 6.81 |  |
|  |  | 05/19/2004 | -1.57 | $\pm$ | 1.53 | -5.80 | $\pm$ | 5.66 |  |
|  |  | 05/26/2004 | -1.84 | $\pm$ | 2.55 | -6.82 | $\pm$ | 9.44 |  |
|  |  | 06/02/2004 | -0.72 | $\pm$ | 1.87 | -2.68 | $\pm$ | 6.91 |  |
|  |  | 06/09/2004 | 2.46 | $\pm$ | 1.83 | 9.10 | $\pm$ | 6.78 |  |
|  |  | 06/16/2004 | 0.46 | $\pm$ | 1.99 | 1.72 | $\pm$ | 7.36 |  |
|  |  | 06/23/2004 | -15.63 | $\pm$ | 34.11 | -57.82 | $\pm$ | 126.22 |  |
|  |  | 06/30/2004 | -2.70 | $\pm$ | 1.64 | -10.01 | $\pm$ | 6.07 |  |
|  | HOWE | 04/07/2004 | 1.87 | $\pm$ | 1.71 | 6.91 | $\pm$ | 6.34 |  |
|  |  | 04/14/2004 | -1.54 | $\pm$ | 1.53 | -5.70 | $\pm$ | 5.67 |  |
|  |  | 04/21/2004 | -0.03 | $\pm$ | 1.38 | -0.11 | $\pm$ | 5.09 |  |
|  |  | 04/28/2004 | -0.32 | $\pm$ | 1.59 | -1.20 | $\pm$ | 5.89 |  |
|  |  | 05/05/2004 | 0.70 | $\pm$ | 1.51 | 2.58 | $\pm$ | 5.58 |  |
|  |  | 05/12/2004 | -0.84 | $\pm$ | 1.73 | -3.10 | $\pm$ | 6.38 |  |
|  |  | 05/19/2004 | -1.33 | $\pm$ | 1.30 | -4.93 | $\pm$ | 4.81 |  |
|  |  | 05/26/2004 | -1.40 | $\pm$ | 1.93 | -5.17 | $\pm$ | 7.15 |  |
|  |  | 06/02/2004 | -0.50 | $\pm$ | 1.28 | -1.84 | $\pm$ | 4.75 |  |
|  |  | 06/09/2004 | 2.65 | $\pm$ | 1.97 | 9.81 | $\pm$ | 7.30 |  |
|  |  | 06/16/2004 | 0.41 | $\pm$ | 1.77 | 1.53 | $\pm$ | 6.54 |  |
|  |  | 06/23/2004 | -0.82 | $\pm$ | 1.79 | -3.03 | $\pm$ | 6.62 |  |
|  |  | 06/30/2004 | -3.33 | $\pm$ | 2.02 | -12.32 | $\pm$ | 7.47 |  |
|  | MONTEVIEW | 04/07/2004 | 1.66 | $\pm$ | 1.52 | 6.14 | $\pm$ | 5.64 |  |
|  |  | 04/14/2004 | -1.38 | $\pm$ | 1.38 | -5.12 | $\pm$ | 5.09 |  |
|  |  | 04/21/2004 | -0.03 | $\pm$ | 1.20 | -0.10 | $\pm$ | 4.44 |  |
|  |  | 04/28/2004 | -0.34 | $\pm$ | 1.67 | -1.25 | $\pm$ | 6.16 |  |
|  |  | 05/05/2004 | 0.63 | $\pm$ | 1.37 | 2.35 | $\pm$ | 5.07 |  |
|  |  | 05/12/2004 | -1.52 | $\pm$ | 3.14 | -5.63 | $\pm$ | 11.61 |  |
|  |  | 05/19/2004 | -1.27 | $\pm$ | 1.24 | -4.69 | $\pm$ | 4.58 |  |
|  |  | 05/26/2004 | -1.26 | $\pm$ | 1.74 | -4.66 | $\pm$ | 6.45 |  |
|  |  | 06/02/2004 | -0.54 | $\pm$ | 1.38 | -1.98 | $\pm$ | 5.11 |  |
|  |  | 06/09/2004 | 1.81 | $\pm$ | 1.35 | 6.69 | $\pm$ | 4.98 |  |
|  |  | 06/16/2004 | 0.41 | $\pm$ | 1.76 | 1.51 | $\pm$ | 6.50 |  |
|  |  | 06/23/2004 | -0.57 | $\pm$ | 1.25 | -2.12 | $\pm$ | 4.63 |  |
|  |  | 06/30/2004 | -2.01 | $\pm$ | 1.22 | -7.42 | $\pm$ | 4.50 |  |

TABLE C-2: Weekly lodine-131 Activity in Air.

| Location | Sampling Group | Sampling Date | Result $\pm$ 1s Uncertainty |  |  | Result $\pm$ 1s Uncertainty |  |  | Result > 3s |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\times 10^{-15} \mu \mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{mL}$ |  |  | $\times 10^{-12} \mathrm{~Bq} / \mathrm{mL}$ |  |  |  |
|  | MUD LAKE | 04/07/2004 | 1.79 | $\pm$ | 1.64 | 6.62 | $\pm$ | 6.08 |  |
|  |  | 04/14/2004 | -1.41 | $\pm$ | 1.40 | -5.22 | $\pm$ | 5.19 |  |
|  |  | 04/21/2004 | -0.03 | $\pm$ | 1.54 | -0.12 | $\pm$ | 5.71 |  |
|  |  | 04/28/2004 | -0.31 | $\pm$ | 1.54 | -1.16 | $\pm$ | 5.70 |  |
|  |  | 05/05/2004 | 0.65 | $\pm$ | 1.41 | 2.42 | $\pm$ | 5.23 |  |
|  |  | 05/12/2004 | -0.79 | $\pm$ | 1.62 | -2.91 | $\pm$ | 6.01 |  |
|  |  | 05/19/2004 | -1.33 | $\pm$ | 1.30 | -4.93 | $\pm$ | 4.81 |  |
|  |  | 05/26/2004 | -1.34 | $\pm$ | 1.86 | -4.97 | $\pm$ | 6.88 |  |
|  |  | 06/02/2004 | -0.52 | $\pm$ | 1.35 | -1.94 | $\pm$ | 5.00 |  |
|  |  | 06/09/2004 | 2.23 | $\pm$ | 1.66 | 8.26 | $\pm$ | 6.15 |  |
|  |  | 06/16/2004 | 0.40 | $\pm$ | 1.72 | 1.49 | $\pm$ | 6.38 |  |
|  |  | 06/23/2004 | -0.77 | $\pm$ | 1.67 | -2.84 | $\pm$ | 6.19 |  |
|  |  | 06/30/2004 | -3.33 | $\pm$ | 2.02 | -12.32 | $\pm$ | 7.48 |  |
|  | MUD LAKE (Q/A-2) | 04/07/2004 | 1.75 | $\pm$ | 1.60 | 6.46 | $\pm$ | 5.93 |  |
|  |  | 04/14/2004 | -1.37 | $\pm$ | 1.36 | -5.05 | $\pm$ | 5.02 |  |
|  |  | 04/21/2004 | -0.03 | $\pm$ | 1.49 | -0.12 | $\pm$ | 5.51 |  |
|  |  | 04/28/2004 | -0.29 | $\pm$ | 1.44 | -1.08 | $\pm$ | 5.31 |  |
|  |  | 05/05/2004 | 0.67 | $\pm$ | 1.46 | 2.49 | $\pm$ | 5.38 |  |
|  |  | 05/12/2004 | -0.68 | $\pm$ | 1.40 | -2.52 | $\pm$ | 5.19 |  |
|  |  | 05/19/2004 | -1.51 | $\pm$ | 1.47 | -5.59 | $\pm$ | 5.46 |  |
|  |  | 05/26/2004 | -1.20 | $\pm$ | 1.66 | -4.44 | $\pm$ | 6.15 |  |
|  |  | 06/02/2004 | -0.49 | $\pm$ | 1.26 | -1.81 | $\pm$ | 4.66 |  |
|  |  | 06/09/2004 | 1.64 | $\pm$ | 1.22 | 6.08 | $\pm$ | 4.53 |  |
|  |  | 06/16/2004 | 0.39 | $\pm$ | 1.66 | 1.43 | $\pm$ | 6.13 |  |
|  |  | 06/23/2004 | -0.64 | $\pm$ | 1.39 | -2.35 | $\pm$ | 5.13 |  |
|  |  | 06/30/2004 | -2.13 | $\pm$ | 1.29 | -7.89 | $\pm$ | 4.79 |  |
|  | MUD LAKE AVERAGE | 04/07/2004 | 1.77 | $\pm$ | 2.30 | 6.54 | $\pm$ | 8.49 |  |
|  |  | 04/14/2004 | -1.39 | $\pm$ | 1.95 | -5.13 | $\pm$ | 7.22 |  |
|  |  | 04/21/2004 | -0.03 | $\pm$ | 2.14 | -0.12 | $\pm$ | 7.94 |  |
|  |  | 04/28/2004 | -0.30 | $\pm$ | 2.10 | -1.12 | $\pm$ | 7.78 |  |
|  |  | 05/05/2004 | 0.66 | $\pm$ | 2.03 | 2.46 | $\pm$ | 7.50 |  |
|  |  | 05/12/2004 | -0.73 | $\pm$ | 2.13 | -2.70 | $\pm$ | 7.88 |  |
|  |  | 05/19/2004 | -1.42 | $\pm$ | 1.95 | -5.24 | $\pm$ | 7.23 |  |
|  |  | 05/26/2004 | -1.27 | $\pm$ | 2.48 | -4.69 | $\pm$ | 9.18 |  |
|  |  | 06/02/2004 | -0.51 | $\pm$ | 1.84 | -1.87 | $\pm$ | 6.82 |  |
|  |  | 06/09/2004 | 1.89 | $\pm$ | 1.99 | 7.00 | $\pm$ | 7.37 |  |
|  |  | 06/16/2004 | 0.39 | $\pm$ | 2.39 | 1.46 | $\pm$ | 8.84 |  |
|  |  | 06/23/2004 | -0.69 | $\pm$ | 2.15 | -2.57 | $\pm$ | 7.94 |  |
|  |  | 06/30/2004 | -2.60 | $\pm$ | 2.23 | -9.62 | $\pm$ | 8.25 |  |

TABLE C-2: Weekly lodine-131 Activity in Air.

| Location | Sampling Group | Sampling Date | Result $\pm$ 1s Uncertainty |  |  | Result $\pm$ 1s Uncertainty |  |  | Result > 3s |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\times 10^{-15} \mu \mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{mL}$ |  |  | $\times 10^{-12} \mathrm{~Bq} / \mathrm{mL}$ |  |  |  |
| DISTANT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | BLACKFOOT CMS | 04/07/2004 | -4.55 | $\pm$ | 2.31 | -16.83 | $\pm$ | 8.53 |  |
|  |  | 04/14/2004 | 1.06 | $\pm$ | 1.90 | 3.94 | $\pm$ | 7.05 |  |
|  |  | 04/21/2004 | 0.31 | $\pm$ | 1.81 | 1.15 | $\pm$ | 6.69 |  |
|  |  | 04/28/2004 | -1.11 | $\pm$ | 1.86 | -4.11 | $\pm$ | 6.86 |  |
|  |  | 05/05/2004 | 0.21 | $\pm$ | 1.92 | 0.78 | $\pm$ | 7.11 |  |
|  |  | 05/12/2004 | -0.30 | $\pm$ | 1.81 | -1.12 | $\pm$ | 6.69 |  |
|  |  | 05/19/2004 | -3.31 | $\pm$ | 1.72 | -12.25 | $\pm$ | 6.36 |  |
|  |  | 05/26/2004 | 2.04 | $\pm$ | 1.18 | 7.54 | $\pm$ | 4.38 |  |
|  |  | 06/02/2004 | 1.81 | $\pm$ | 1.78 | 6.71 | $\pm$ | 6.58 |  |
|  |  | 06/09/2004 | -0.92 | $\pm$ | 1.61 | -3.40 | $\pm$ | 5.96 |  |
|  |  | 06/16/2004 | 0.57 | $\pm$ | 1.21 | 2.11 | $\pm$ | 4.47 |  |
|  |  | 06/23/2004 | 1.68 | $\pm$ | 1.64 | 6.21 | $\pm$ | 6.06 |  |
|  |  | 06/30/2004 | -0.61 | $\pm$ | 1.90 | -2.26 | $\pm$ | 7.04 |  |
|  | BLACKFOOT CMS (Q/A-1) | 04/07/2004 | -5.80 | $\pm$ | 2.94 | -21.48 | $\pm$ | 10.88 |  |
|  |  | 04/14/2004 | 1.46 | $\pm$ | 2.61 | 5.40 | $\pm$ | 9.66 |  |
|  |  | 04/21/2004 | 0.45 | $\pm$ | 2.59 | 1.65 | $\pm$ | 9.59 |  |
|  |  | 04/28/2004 | -1.71 | $\pm$ | 2.86 | -6.33 | $\pm$ | 10.57 |  |
|  |  | 05/05/2004 | 0.27 | $\pm$ | 2.48 | 1.00 | $\pm$ | 9.16 |  |
|  |  | 05/12/2004 | -0.41 | $\pm$ | 2.43 | -1.50 | $\pm$ | 9.01 |  |
|  |  | 05/19/2004 | -4.91 | $\pm$ | 2.54 | -18.15 | $\pm$ | 9.42 |  |
|  |  | 05/26/2004 | 3.18 | $\pm$ | 1.85 | 11.77 | $\pm$ | 6.84 |  |
|  |  | 06/02/2004 | 2.14 | $\pm$ | 2.09 | 7.91 | $\pm$ | 7.75 |  |
|  |  | 06/09/2004 | -1.49 | $\pm$ | 2.60 | -5.50 | $\pm$ | 9.64 |  |
|  |  | 06/16/2004 | 0.85 | $\pm$ | 1.79 | 3.13 | $\pm$ | 6.62 |  |
|  |  | 06/23/2004 | 2.36 | $\pm$ | 2.30 | 8.72 | $\pm$ | 8.53 |  |
|  |  | 06/30/2004 | -0.74 | $\pm$ | 2.29 | -2.72 | $\pm$ | 8.48 |  |
|  | BLACKFOOT CMS AVERAGE | 04/07/2004 | -5.10 | $\pm$ | 3.66 | -18.87 | $\pm$ | 13.53 |  |
|  |  | 04/14/2004 | 1.23 | $\pm$ | 3.12 | 4.55 | $\pm$ | 11.53 |  |
|  |  | 04/21/2004 | 0.37 | $\pm$ | 3.01 | 1.36 | $\pm$ | 11.14 |  |
|  |  | 04/28/2004 | -1.35 | $\pm$ | 3.18 | -4.99 | $\pm$ | 11.77 |  |
|  |  | 05/05/2004 | 0.24 | $\pm$ | 3.06 | 0.87 | $\pm$ | 11.33 |  |
|  |  | 05/12/2004 | -0.35 | $\pm$ | 2.93 | -1.28 | $\pm$ | 10.86 |  |
|  |  | 05/19/2004 | -3.95 | $\pm$ | 2.90 | -14.63 | $\pm$ | 10.73 |  |
|  |  | 05/26/2004 | 2.48 | $\pm$ | 2.04 | 9.19 | $\pm$ | 7.55 |  |
|  |  | 06/02/2004 | 1.96 | $\pm$ | 2.72 | 7.26 | $\pm$ | 10.06 |  |
|  |  | 06/09/2004 | -1.14 | $\pm$ | 2.81 | -4.20 | $\pm$ | 10.41 |  |
|  |  | 06/16/2004 | 0.68 | $\pm$ | 2.04 | 2.52 | $\pm$ | 7.54 |  |
|  |  | 06/23/2004 | 1.96 | $\pm$ | 2.71 | 7.25 | $\pm$ | 10.02 |  |
|  |  | 06/30/2004 | -0.67 | $\pm$ | 2.94 | -2.47 | $\pm$ | 10.88 |  |

TABLE C-2: Weekly lodine-131 Activity in Air.

| Location | Sampling Group | Sampling Date | Result $\pm$ 1s Uncertainty |  |  | Result $\pm$ 1s Uncertainty |  |  | Result > 3s |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\times 10^{-15} \mu \mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{mL}$ |  |  | $\times 10^{-12} \mathrm{~Bq} / \mathrm{mL}$ |  |  |  |
| CRATERS |  | 04/07/2004 | -5.36 | $\pm$ | 2.72 | -19.83 | $\pm$ | 10.05 |  |
|  |  | 04/14/2004 | 1.39 | $\pm$ | 2.48 | 5.12 | $\pm$ | 9.18 |  |
|  |  | 04/21/2004 | 0.42 | $\pm$ | 2.43 | 1.55 | $\pm$ | 9.00 |  |
|  |  | 04/28/2004 | -1.39 | $\pm$ | 2.32 | -5.14 | $\pm$ | 8.57 |  |
|  |  | 05/05/2004 | 0.29 | $\pm$ | 2.65 | 1.07 | $\pm$ | 9.81 |  |
|  |  | 05/12/2004 | -0.39 | $\pm$ | 2.34 | -1.45 | $\pm$ | 8.67 |  |
|  |  | 05/19/2004 | -4.22 | $\pm$ | 2.19 | -15.61 | $\pm$ | 8.10 |  |
|  |  | 05/26/2004 | 2.56 | $\pm$ | 1.49 | 9.47 | $\pm$ | 5.51 |  |
|  |  | 06/02/2004 | 2.38 | $\pm$ | 2.34 | 8.82 | $\pm$ | 8.64 |  |
|  |  | 06/09/2004 | -1.22 | $\pm$ | 2.13 | -4.50 | $\pm$ | 7.89 |  |
|  |  | 06/16/2004 | 0.76 | $\pm$ | 1.60 | 2.80 | $\pm$ | 5.91 |  |
|  |  | 06/23/2004 | 2.20 | $\pm$ | 2.15 | 8.15 | $\pm$ | 7.96 |  |
|  |  | 06/30/2004 | -0.82 | $\pm$ | 2.55 | -3.04 | $\pm$ | 9.45 |  |
|  | DUBOIS | 04/07/2004 | 1.67 | $\pm$ | 1.53 | 6.16 | $\pm$ | 5.66 |  |
|  |  | 04/14/2004 | -1.48 | $\pm$ | 1.47 | -5.46 | $\pm$ | 5.43 |  |
|  |  | 04/21/2004 | -0.03 | $\pm$ | 1.30 | -0.10 | $\pm$ | 4.82 |  |
|  |  | 04/28/2004 | -0.38 | $\pm$ | 1.87 | -1.41 | $\pm$ | 6.92 |  |
|  |  | 05/05/2004 | 0.63 | $\pm$ | 1.36 | 2.33 | $\pm$ | 5.04 |  |
|  |  | 05/12/2004 | -1.00 | $\pm$ | 2.06 | -3.70 | $\pm$ | 7.64 |  |
|  |  | 05/19/2004 | -1.28 | $\pm$ | 1.25 | -4.73 | $\pm$ | 4.61 |  |
|  |  | 05/26/2004 | -1.53 | $\pm$ | 2.12 | -5.67 | $\pm$ | 7.85 |  |
|  |  | 06/02/2004 | -0.55 | $\pm$ | 1.42 | -2.04 | $\pm$ | 5.25 |  |
|  |  | 06/09/2004 | 2.67 | $\pm$ | 1.98 | 9.86 | $\pm$ | 7.34 |  |
|  |  | 06/16/2004 | 0.45 | $\pm$ | 1.93 | 1.67 | $\pm$ | 7.16 |  |
|  |  | 06/23/2004 | -0.75 | $\pm$ | 1.64 | -2.78 | $\pm$ | 6.08 |  |
|  |  | 06/30/2004 | -22.50 | $\pm$ | 13.65 | -83.23 | $\pm$ | 50.50 |  |
|  | IDAHO FALLS | 04/07/2004 | 2.06 | $\pm$ | 1.89 | 7.63 | $\pm$ | 7.01 |  |
|  |  | 04/14/2004 | -1.53 | $\pm$ | 1.52 | -5.66 | $\pm$ | 5.63 |  |
|  |  | 04/21/2004 | -0.03 | $\pm$ | 1.40 | -0.11 | $\pm$ | 5.17 |  |
|  |  | 04/28/2004 | -0.32 | $\pm$ | 1.60 | -1.20 | $\pm$ | 5.91 |  |
|  |  | 05/05/2004 | 0.73 | $\pm$ | 1.57 | 2.68 | $\pm$ | 5.80 |  |
|  |  | 05/12/2004 | -1.01 | $\pm$ | 2.09 | -3.75 | $\pm$ | 7.74 |  |
|  |  | 05/19/2004 | -1.41 | $\pm$ | 1.38 | -5.22 | $\pm$ | 5.09 |  |
|  |  | 05/26/2004 | -1.38 | $\pm$ | 1.92 | -5.12 | $\pm$ | 7.09 |  |
|  |  | 06/02/2004 | -0.59 | $\pm$ | 1.52 | -2.18 | $\pm$ | 5.61 |  |
|  |  | 06/09/2004 | 2.44 | $\pm$ | 1.82 | 9.03 | $\pm$ | 6.72 |  |
|  |  | 06/16/2004 | 0.48 | $\pm$ | 2.04 | 1.76 | $\pm$ | 7.55 |  |
|  |  | 06/23/2004 | -0.58 | $\pm$ | 1.28 | -2.16 | $\pm$ | 4.72 |  |
|  |  | 06/30/2004 | -2.40 | $\pm$ | 1.45 | -8.87 | $\pm$ | 5.38 |  |

TABLE C-2: Weekly lodine-131 Activity in Air.

| Location | Sampling Group | Sampling Date | Result $\pm$ 1s Uncertainty |  |  | Result $\pm$ 1s Uncertainty |  |  | Result > 3s |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\times 10^{-15} \mu \mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{mL}$ |  |  | $\times 10^{-12} \mathrm{~Bq} / \mathrm{mL}$ |  |  |  |
|  | JACKSON | 04/07/2004 | -4.76 | $\pm$ | 2.41 | -17.63 | $\pm$ | 8.93 |  |
|  |  | 04/14/2004 | 1.28 | $\pm$ | 2.29 | 4.74 | $\pm$ | 8.48 |  |
|  |  | 04/21/2004 | 0.34 | $\pm$ | 2.00 | 1.27 | $\pm$ | 7.38 |  |
|  |  | 04/28/2004 | -1.38 | $\pm$ | 2.31 | -5.11 | $\pm$ | 8.53 |  |
|  |  | 05/05/2004 | 0.26 | $\pm$ | 2.37 | 0.96 | $\pm$ | 8.78 |  |
|  |  | 05/12/2004 | -0.39 | $\pm$ | 2.33 | -1.44 | $\pm$ | 8.63 |  |
|  |  | 05/19/2004 | -4.17 | $\pm$ | 2.16 | -15.44 | $\pm$ | 8.01 |  |
|  |  | 05/26/2004 | 2.70 | $\pm$ | 1.57 | 10.00 | $\pm$ | 5.81 |  |
|  |  | 06/02/2004 | 2.19 | $\pm$ | 2.14 | 8.09 | $\pm$ | 7.92 |  |
|  |  | 06/09/2004 | -1.22 | $\pm$ | 2.14 | -4.53 | $\pm$ | 7.93 |  |
|  |  | 06/16/2004 | 0.68 | $\pm$ | 1.44 | 2.53 | $\pm$ | 5.34 |  |
|  |  | 06/23/2004 | 2.21 | $\pm$ | 2.16 | 8.19 | $\pm$ | 8.00 |  |
|  |  | 06/30/2004 | -0.69 | $\pm$ | 2.16 | -2.56 | $\pm$ | 7.97 |  |
|  | REXBURG CMS | 04/07/2004 | 2.10 | $\pm$ | 1.93 | 7.77 | $\pm$ | 7.13 |  |
|  |  | 04/14/2004 | -1.40 | $\pm$ | 1.39 | -5.17 | $\pm$ | 5.14 |  |
|  |  | 04/21/2004 | -0.03 | $\pm$ | 1.36 | -0.11 | $\pm$ | 5.05 |  |
|  |  | 04/28/2004 | -0.31 | $\pm$ | 1.53 | -1.15 | $\pm$ | 5.65 |  |
|  |  | 05/05/2004 | 0.77 | $\pm$ | 1.66 | 2.85 | $\pm$ | 6.16 |  |
|  |  | 05/12/2004 | -0.78 | $\pm$ | 1.61 | -2.89 | $\pm$ | 5.97 |  |
|  |  | 05/19/2004 | -1.32 | $\pm$ | 1.29 | -4.90 | $\pm$ | 4.78 |  |
|  |  | 05/26/2004 | -1.36 | $\pm$ | 1.88 | -5.03 | $\pm$ | 6.97 |  |
|  |  | 06/02/2004 | -0.54 | $\pm$ | 1.40 | -2.01 | $\pm$ | 5.19 |  |
|  |  | 06/09/2004 | 1.86 | $\pm$ | 1.38 | 6.88 | $\pm$ | 5.12 |  |
|  |  | 06/16/2004 | 0.49 | $\pm$ | 2.08 | 1.80 | $\pm$ | 7.70 |  |
|  |  | 06/23/2004 | -0.61 | $\pm$ | 1.32 | -2.24 | $\pm$ | 4.90 |  |
|  |  | 06/30/2004 | -2.48 | $\pm$ | 1.50 | -9.18 | $\pm$ | 5.57 |  |
| INEEL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EFS |  | 04/07/2004 | -4.80 | $\pm$ | 2.43 | -17.74 | $\pm$ | 8.99 |  |
|  |  | 04/14/2004 | 1.33 | $\pm$ | 2.38 | 4.92 | $\pm$ | 8.81 |  |
|  |  | 04/21/2004 | 0.30 | $\pm$ | 1.75 | 1.11 | $\pm$ | 6.46 |  |
|  |  | 04/28/2004 | -1.47 | $\pm$ | 2.46 | -5.44 | $\pm$ | 9.08 |  |
|  |  | 05/05/2004 | 0.27 | $\pm$ | 2.50 | 1.01 | $\pm$ | 9.25 |  |
|  |  | 05/05/2004 | 0.27 | $\pm$ | 2.50 | 1.01 | $\pm$ | 9.25 |  |
|  |  | 05/12/2004 | -0.41 | $\pm$ | 2.47 | -1.52 | $\pm$ | 9.13 |  |
|  |  | 05/19/2004 | -3.81 | $\pm$ | 1.98 | -14.11 | $\pm$ | 7.32 |  |
|  |  | 05/26/2004 | 2.86 | $\pm$ | 1.67 | 10.60 | $\pm$ | 6.16 |  |
|  |  | 06/02/2004 | 2.39 | $\pm$ | 2.34 | 8.86 | $\pm$ | 8.67 |  |
|  |  | 06/09/2004 | -1.29 | $\pm$ | 2.27 | -4.79 | $\pm$ | 8.39 |  |
|  |  | 06/16/2004 | 0.75 | $\pm$ | 1.59 | 2.79 | $\pm$ | 5.90 |  |
|  |  | 06/23/2004 | 2.08 | $\pm$ | 2.03 | 7.70 | $\pm$ | 7.52 |  |

TABLE C-2: Weekly Iodine-131 Activity in Air.

TABLE C-3: Quarterly Americium-241, Cesium-137, Plutonium-238, Plutonium-239/40, Strontium-90 Concentrations in Composited Air Filters

| Sample Group and Location | Collect Date | Analyte | Result $\pm$ 1s Uncertainty $\times 10^{-18} \mu \mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{mL}$ |  |  | Result $\pm$ 1s Uncertainty $\times 10^{-14} \mathrm{~Bq} / \mathrm{mL}$ |  |  | Result > 3s |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BOUNDARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ARCO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 06/30/2004 | CESIUM-137 | -82.70 | $\pm$ | 119.00 | -305.99 | $\pm$ | 440.30 |  |
| ATOMIC CITY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 06/30/2004 | CESIUM-137 | 273.00 | $\pm$ | 97.70 | 1010.10 | $\pm$ | 361.49 |  |
| BLUE DOME |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 06/30/2004 | CESIUM-137 | 155.00 | $\pm$ | 199.00 | 573.50 | $\pm$ | 736.30 |  |
| FAA TOWER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 06/30/2004 | AMERICIUM-241 | 5.63 | $\pm$ | 1.70 | 20.83 | $\pm$ | 6.29 | y |
|  | 06/30/2004 | CESIUM-137 | -54.50 | $\pm$ | 147.00 | -201.65 | $\pm$ | 543.90 |  |
|  | 06/30/2004 | PLUTONIUM-238 | 0.37 | $\pm$ | 0.64 | 1.38 | $\pm$ | 2.37 |  |
|  | 06/30/2004 | PLUTONIUM-239/240 | 1.18 | $\pm$ | 1.30 | 4.37 | $\pm$ | 4.81 |  |
|  | 06/30/2004 | STRONTIUM-90 | 22.80 | $\pm$ | 17.00 | 84.36 | $\pm$ | 62.90 |  |
| HOWE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 06/30/2004 | AMERICIUM-241 | 0.92 | $\pm$ | 0.53 | 3.39 | $\pm$ | 1.96 |  |
|  | 06/30/2004 | CESIUM-137 | -18.30 | $\pm$ | 91.10 | -67.71 | $\pm$ | 337.07 |  |
|  | 06/30/2004 | PLUTONIUM-238 | 0.53 | $\pm$ | 0.53 | 1.97 | $\pm$ | 1.96 |  |
|  | 06/30/2004 | PLUTONIUM-239/240 | -1.31 | $\pm$ | 1.60 | -4.85 | $\pm$ | 5.92 |  |
|  | 06/30/2004 | STRONTIUM-90 | 20.40 | $\pm$ | 10.00 | 75.48 | $\pm$ | 37.00 |  |
| MONTEVIEW |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 06/30/2004 | AMERICIUM-241 | 1.08 | $\pm$ | 0.55 | 4.00 | $\pm$ | 2.04 |  |
|  | 06/30/2004 | CESIUM-137 | -173.00 | $\pm$ | 208.00 | -640.10 | $\pm$ | 769.60 |  |
|  | 06/30/2004 | PLUTONIUM-238 | 0.00 | $\pm$ | 0.44 | 0.00 | $\pm$ | 1.63 |  |
|  | 06/30/2004 | PLUTONIUM-239/240 | 1.22 | $\pm$ | 1.30 | 4.51 | $\pm$ | 4.81 |  |
|  | 06/30/2004 | STRONTIUM-90 | 24.30 | $\pm$ | 12.00 | 89.91 | $\pm$ | 44.40 |  |
| MUD LAKE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 06/30/2004 | CESIUM-137 | 91.70 | $\pm$ | 85.70 | 339.29 | $\pm$ | 317.09 |  |
| MUD LAKE (Q/A-2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 06/30/2004 | CESIUM-137 | -25.80 | $\pm$ | 81.70 | -95.46 | $\pm$ | 302.29 |  |

TABLE C-3: Quarterly Americium-241, Cesium-137, Plutonium-238, Plutonium-239/40, Strontium-90 Concentrations in Composited Air Filters

| Sample Group and Location | Collect Date | Analyte | Result $\pm$ 1s Uncertainty $\times 10^{-18} \mu \mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{mL}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Result } \pm 1 \text { Uncertainty } \\ \times 10^{-14} \mathrm{~Bq} / \mathrm{mL} \end{gathered}$ |  |  | Result > 3s |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BOUNDARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DISTANT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BLACKFOOT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 06/30/2004 | CESIUM-137 | 76.90 | $\pm$ | 96.70 | 284.53 | $\pm$ | 357.79 |  |
| BLACKFOOT CMS (Q/A-1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 06/30/2004 | CESIUM-137 | -47.30 | $\pm$ | 132.00 | -175.01 | $\pm$ | 488.40 |  |
| CRATERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 06/30/2004 | AMERICIUM-241 | 0.31 | $\pm$ | 0.31 | 1.13 | $\pm$ | 1.15 |  |
|  | 06/30/2004 | CESIUM-137 | -61.20 | $\pm$ | 250.00 | -226.44 | $\pm$ | 925.00 |  |
|  | 06/30/2004 | PLUTONIUM-238 | 1.29 | $\pm$ | 0.75 | 4.77 | $\pm$ | 2.78 |  |
|  | 06/30/2004 | PLUTONIUM-239/240 | 1.01 | $\pm$ | 1.20 | 3.74 | $\pm$ | 4.44 |  |
|  | 06/30/2004 | STRONTIUM-90 | 36.60 | $\pm$ | 13.00 | 135.42 | $\pm$ | 48.10 |  |
| DUBOIS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 06/30/2004 | CESIUM-137 | 63.80 | $\pm$ | 124.00 | 236.06 | $\pm$ | 458.80 |  |
| IDAHO FALLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 06/30/2004 | AMERICIUM-241 | 3.66 | $\pm$ | 1.30 | 13.54 | $\pm$ | 4.81 |  |
|  | 06/30/2004 | CESIUM-137 | 77.60 | $\pm$ | 115.00 | 287.12 | $\pm$ | 425.50 |  |
|  | 06/30/2004 | PLUTONIUM-238 | -0.36 | $\pm$ | 0.79 | -1.31 | $\pm$ | 2.92 |  |
|  | 06/30/2004 | PLUTONIUM-239/240 | 0.46 | $\pm$ | 1.10 | 1.68 | $\pm$ | 4.07 |  |
|  | 06/30/2004 | STRONTIUM-90 | 29.20 | $\pm$ | 13.00 | 108.04 | $\pm$ | 48.10 |  |
| JACKSON |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 06/30/2004 | CESIUM-137 | 231.00 | $\pm$ | 98.30 | 854.70 | $\pm$ | 363.71 |  |
| REXBURG CMS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 06/30/2004 | AMERICIUM-241 | 4.87 | $\pm$ | 1.30 | 18.02 | $\pm$ | 4.81 | y |
|  | 06/30/2004 | CESIUM-137 | 18.20 | $\pm$ | 119.00 | 67.34 | $\pm$ | 440.30 |  |
|  | 06/30/2004 | PLUTONIUM-238 | 1.02 | $\pm$ | 0.73 | 3.77 | $\pm$ | 2.70 |  |
|  | 06/30/2004 | PLUTONIUM-239/240 | 21.20 | $\pm$ | 3.80 | 78.44 | $\pm$ | 14.06 | y |
|  | 06/30/2004 | STRONTIUM-90 | 28.90 | $\pm$ | 13.00 | 106.93 | $\pm$ | 48.10 |  |
| INEEL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EFS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 06/30/2004 | AMERICIUM-241 | 0.35 | $\pm$ | 0.35 | 1.28 | $\pm$ | 1.30 |  |
|  | 06/30/2004 | CESIUM-137 | 101.00 | $\pm$ | 236.00 | 373.70 | $\pm$ | 873.20 |  |
|  | 06/30/2004 | PLUTONIUM-238 | 0.72 | $\pm$ | 0.51 | 2.66 | $\pm$ | 1.89 |  |
|  | 06/30/2004 | PLUTONIUM-239/240 | 0.46 | $\pm$ | 1.00 | 1.69 | $\pm$ | 3.70 |  |
|  | 06/30/2004 | STRONTIUM-90 | 17.40 | $\pm$ | 17.00 | 64.38 | $\pm$ | 62.90 |  |

TABLE C-4: Tritium Concentrations in Atmospheric Moisture.

| Location | Start Date | Collect Date | Result $\pm$ 1s Uncertainty $\times 10^{-13} \mu \mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{mL}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Result } \pm 1 \text { s Uncertainty } \\ \hline \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{~Bq} / \mathrm{mL} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  | Collection Medium | Result > 3s |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ATOMIC CITY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 03/17/2004 | 04/14/2004 | 1.83 | $\pm$ | 1.00 | 6.77 | $\pm$ | 3.70 | Silica Gel |  |
|  | 03/17/2004 | 04/21/2004 | 1.69 | $\pm$ | 0.90 | 6.24 | $\pm$ | 3.31 | Molecular Sieve |  |
|  | 04/14/2004 | 05/12/2004 | 2.72 | $\pm$ | 0.92 | 10.06 | $\pm$ | 3.42 | Silica Gel |  |
|  | 04/21/2004 | 05/19/2004 | 0.85 | $\pm$ | 1.02 | 3.14 | $\pm$ | 3.76 | Molecular Sieve |  |
| BLACKFOOT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 03/25/2004 | 04/21/2004 | 2.98 | $\pm$ | 1.36 | 11.04 | $\pm$ | 5.03 | Silica Gel |  |
|  | 03/17/2004 | 04/21/2004 | 1.34 | $\pm$ | 0.92 | 4.95 | $\pm$ | 3.42 | Molecular Sieve |  |
|  | 04/21/2004 | 05/12/2004 | 3.38 | $\pm$ | 1.61 | 12.50 | $\pm$ | 5.95 | Silica Gel |  |
|  | 04/21/2004 | 05/19/2004 | 6.32 | $\pm$ | 1.46 | 23.39 | $\pm$ | 5.41 | Molecular Sieve | Y |
| IDAHO FALLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 03/11/2004 | 04/09/2004 | 4.71 | $\pm$ | 1.06 | 17.44 | $\pm$ | 3.92 | Silica Gel | Y |
|  | 03/08/2004 | 04/26/2004 | 0.94 | $\pm$ | 0.64 | 3.47 | $\pm$ | 2.37 | Molecular Sieve |  |
|  | 04/09/2004 | 05/10/2004 | 2.00 | $\pm$ | 0.91 | 7.39 | $\pm$ | 3.36 | Silica Gel |  |
|  | 04/26/2004 | 05/17/2004 | 6.70 | $\pm$ | 1.60 | 24.77 | $\pm$ | 5.93 | Molecular Sieve | Y |
| REXBURG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12/09/2003 | 04/09/2004 | 1.35 | $\pm$ | 0.50 | 4.99 | $\pm$ | 1.83 | Molecular Sieve |  |
|  | 03/22/2004 | 04/27/2004 | 0.82 | $\pm$ | 1.06 | 3.03 | $\pm$ | 3.94 | Silica Gel |  |
|  | 04/09/2004 | 05/10/2004 | 5.82 | $\pm$ | 1.24 | 21.55 | $\pm$ | 4.61 | Molecular Sieve | Y |
|  | 04/27/2004 | 05/21/2004 | 3.29 | $\pm$ | 1.47 | 12.16 | $\pm$ | 5.42 | Silica Gel |  |

TABLE C-5: PM $_{10}$ Concentrations at Atomic City, Blackfoot CMS and Rexburg CMS.

| Location | Sampling Date | Concentration ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}_{3}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ATOMIC CITY |  |  |
|  | 04/02/2004 | 17.81 |
|  | 04/08/2004 | 3.27 |
|  | 04/14/2004 | 19.98 |
|  | 04/20/2004 | 2.26 |
|  | 04/26/2004 | 19.20 |
|  | 05/02/2004 | 20.03 |
|  | 05/08/2004 | 26.54 |
|  | 05/14/2004 | 6.88 |
|  | 05/20/2004 | 15.36 |
|  | 05/26/2004 | 10.32 |
|  | 06/01/2004 | 9.64 |
|  | 06/07/2004 | 11.25 |
|  | 06/13/2004 | 14.02 |
|  | 06/19/2004 | 0.00 |
|  | 06/25/2004 | 6.11 |
| BLACKFOOT CMS |  |  |
|  | 04/02/2004 | 39.32 |
|  | 04/08/2004 | 8.95 |
|  | 04/14/2004 | 33.51 |
|  | 04/20/2004 | 1.47 |
|  | 04/26/2004 | 19.51 |
|  | 05/02/2004 | 16.63 |
|  | 05/08/2004 | 35.58 |
|  | 05/14/2004 | 7.42 |
|  | 05/20/2004 | 14.29 |
|  | 05/26/2004 | 5.34 |
|  | 06/01/2004 | 35.98 |
|  | 06/07/2004 | 14.16 |
|  | 06/13/2004 | 8.48 |
|  | 06/19/2004 | 6.78 |
|  | 06/25/2004 | 16.31 |
| REXBURG CMS |  |  |
|  | 04/02/2004 | 15.45 |
|  | 04/08/2004 | 7.03 |
|  | 04/14/2004 | 39.01 |
|  | 04/20/2004 | 6.88 |
|  | 04/26/2004 | 32.01 |
|  | 05/02/2004 | 18.49 |
|  | 05/08/2004 | 45.98 |
|  | 05/14/2004 | 8.24 |
|  | 05/20/2004 | 13.74 |
|  | 05/26/2004 | 6.69 |
|  | 06/01/2004 | 22.18 |
|  | 06/07/2004 | 13.36 |
|  | 06/13/2004 | 9.74 |
|  | 06/19/2004 | 17.66 |
|  | 06/25/2004 | 19.52 |



| Sampling Type and Location | Analyte | Sampling Date | Result $\pm$ 1s Uncertainty (pCi/L) |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Result } \pm \text { 1s Uncertainty } \\ (\mathrm{Bq} / \mathrm{L}) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | Result > 3s |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DRINKING WATER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ABERDEEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | GROSS ALPHA | 05/13/2004 | 1.13 | $\pm$ | 1.41 | 0.04 | $\pm$ | 0.05 |  |
|  | GROSS BETA | 05/13/2004 | 5.80 | $\pm$ | 0.99 | 0.21 | $\pm$ | 0.04 | Y |
|  | TRITIUM | 05/13/2004 | 30.80 | $\pm$ | 24.10 | 1.14 | $\pm$ | 0.89 |  |
| ARCO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | GROSS ALPHA | 05/12/2004 | 0.83 | $\pm$ | 1.01 | 0.03 | $\pm$ | 0.04 |  |
|  | GROSS BETA | 05/12/2004 | 2.36 | $\pm$ | 0.85 | 0.09 | $\pm$ | 0.03 | Y |
|  | TRITIUM | 05/12/2004 | 40.80 | $\pm$ | 24.10 | 1.51 | $\pm$ | 0.89 |  |
| ATOMIC CITY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | GROSS ALPHA | 05/12/2004 | -0.15 | $\pm$ | 0.81 | -0.01 | $\pm$ | 0.03 |  |
|  | GROSS BETA | 05/12/2004 | 3.00 | $\pm$ | 0.85 | 0.11 | $\pm$ | 0.03 | Y |
|  | TRITIUM | 05/12/2004 | 15.20 | $\pm$ | 23.20 | 0.56 | $\pm$ | 0.86 |  |
| CAREY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | GROSS ALPHA | 05/12/2004 | -0.84 | $\pm$ | 0.82 | -0.03 | $\pm$ | 0.03 |  |
|  | GROSS BETA | 05/12/2004 | 1.29 | $\pm$ | 0.81 | 0.05 | $\pm$ | 0.03 |  |
|  | TRITIUM | 05/12/2004 | 80.90 | $\pm$ | 29.70 | 3.00 | $\pm$ | 1.10 |  |
| FORT HALL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | GROSS ALPHA | 05/13/2004 | -1.30 | $\pm$ | 1.36 | -0.05 | $\pm$ | 0.05 |  |
|  | GROSS BETA | 05/13/2004 | 7.85 | $\pm$ | 1.06 | 0.29 | $\pm$ | 0.04 | Y |
|  | TRITIUM | 05/13/2004 | 35.00 | $\pm$ | 24.10 | 1.30 | $\pm$ | 0.89 |  |
| HOWE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | GROSS ALPHA | 05/12/2004 | 0.61 | $\pm$ | 0.82 | 0.02 | $\pm$ | 0.03 |  |
|  | GROSS BETA | 05/12/2004 | 1.17 | $\pm$ | 0.79 | 0.04 | $\pm$ | 0.03 |  |
|  | TRITIUM | 05/12/2004 | 97.40 | $\pm$ | 29.80 | 3.61 | $\pm$ | 1.10 | Y |
| IDAHO FALLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | GROSS ALPHA | 05/13/2004 | 4.58 | $\pm$ | 1.46 | 0.17 | $\pm$ | 0.05 | Y |
|  | GROSS BETA | 05/13/2004 | 4.92 | $\pm$ | 0.95 | 0.18 | $\pm$ | 0.04 | Y |
|  | TRITIUM | 05/13/2004 | 71.10 | $\pm$ | 29.80 | 2.63 | $\pm$ | 1.10 |  |
| MINIDOKA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | GROSS ALPHA | 05/11/2004 | 0.87 | $\pm$ | 1.12 | 0.03 | $\pm$ | 0.04 |  |
|  | GROSS BETA | 05/11/2004 | 3.22 | $\pm$ | 0.89 | 0.12 | $\pm$ | 0.03 | Y |
|  | TRITIUM | 05/11/2004 | 52.80 | $\pm$ | 29.60 | 1.96 | $\pm$ | 1.10 |  |
| MONTEVIEW |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | GROSS ALPHA | 05/12/2004 | -1.54 | $\pm$ | 0.90 | -0.06 | $\pm$ | 0.03 |  |
|  | GROSS BETA | 05/12/2004 | 5.17 | $\pm$ | 0.93 | 0.19 | $\pm$ | 0.03 | Y |
|  | TRITIUM | 05/12/2004 | 21.90 | $\pm$ | 23.40 | 0.81 | $\pm$ | 0.87 |  |
| MUD LAKE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | GROSS ALPHA | 05/12/2004 | -1.77 | $\pm$ | 0.90 | -0.07 | $\pm$ | 0.03 |  |
|  | GROSS BETA | 05/12/2004 | 3.69 | $\pm$ | 0.93 | 0.14 | $\pm$ | 0.03 | Y |
|  | TRITIUM | 05/12/2004 | 8.17 | $\pm$ | 23.10 | 0.30 | $\pm$ | 0.86 |  |
| MUD LAKE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DUPLICATE | GROSS ALPHA | 05/11/2004 | -0.39 | $\pm$ | 0.57 | -0.01 | $\pm$ | 0.02 |  |
|  | GROSS BETA | 05/11/2004 | 3.77 | $\pm$ | 0.86 | 0.14 | $\pm$ | 0.03 | Y |
|  | TRITIUM | 05/11/2004 | 8.54 | $\pm$ | 23.40 | 0.32 | $\pm$ | 0.87 |  |
| ROBERTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | GROSS ALPHA | 05/12/2004 | -1.47 | $\pm$ | 1.08 | -0.05 | $\pm$ | 0.04 |  |
|  | GROSS BETA | 05/12/2004 | 2.27 | $\pm$ | 0.89 | 0.08 | $\pm$ | 0.03 |  |
|  | TRITIUM | 05/12/2004 | 53.00 | $\pm$ | 24.10 | 1.96 | $\pm$ | 0.89 |  |
| SHOSHONE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | GROSS ALPHA | 05/11/2004 | -0.36 | $\pm$ | 0.91 | -0.01 | $\pm$ | 0.03 |  |
|  | GROSS BETA | 05/11/2004 | 3.47 | $\pm$ | 0.88 | 0.13 | $\pm$ | 0.03 | Y |
|  | TRITIUM | 05/11/2004 | 40.20 | $\pm$ | 24.10 | 1.49 | $\pm$ | 0.89 |  |
| TABOR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | GROSS ALPHA | 05/12/2004 | -1.14 | $\pm$ | 1.35 | -0.04 | $\pm$ | 0.05 |  |
|  | GROSS BETA | 05/12/2004 | 3.99 | $\pm$ | 0.97 | 0.15 | $\pm$ | 0.04 | Y |
|  | TRITIUM | 05/12/2004 | 78.00 | $\pm$ | 25.10 | 2.89 | $\pm$ | 0.93 | Y |

TABLE C-7: Gross Alpha, Gross Beta and Tritium Concentrations in Drinking and Surface Water

TABLE C-8: Cesium-137, lodine-131 and Strontium-90 Concentrations in Milk.

| Tritium/Strontium-90 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Result $\pm 1 \mathrm{~s}$ Uncertainty (pCi/L) |  |  | Result $\pm$ 1s Uncertainty (Bq/L) |  |  |
| 0.43 | $\pm$ | 0.16 | 0.02 | $\pm$ | 0.01 |
| 0.39 | $\pm$ | 0.22 | 0.01 | $\pm$ | 0.01 |
| 23.50 | $\pm$ | 47.00 | 0.87 | $\pm$ | 1.74 |
| 24.60 | $\pm$ | 49.20 | 0.91 | $\pm$ | 1.82 |
| 0.60 | $\pm$ | 0.18 | 0.02 | $\pm$ | 0.01 |


| Location | Sampling Date | Iodine-131 |  |  |  |  |  | Cesium-137 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Result $\pm 1 \mathrm{~s}$ Uncertainty (pCi/L) |  |  | Result $\pm 1 \mathrm{~s}$ Uncertainty (Bq/L) |  |  | Result $\pm$ 1s Uncertainty ( $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ ) |  |  | Result $\pm$ 1s Uncertainty (Bq/L) |  |  |
| BLACKFOOT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 04/06/2004 | -0.81 | $\pm$ | 1.64 | -0.03 | $\pm$ | 0.06 | -1.50 | $\pm$ | 1.42 | -0.06 | $\pm$ | 0.05 |
|  | 05/04/2004 | -2.76 | $\pm$ | 2.18 | -0.10 | $\pm$ | 0.08 | 4.15 | $\pm$ | 2.95 | 0.15 | $\pm$ | 0.11 |
|  | 06/01/2004 | -5.26 | $\pm$ | 3.36 | -0.19 | $\pm$ | 0.12 | 3.55 | $\pm$ | 3.36 | 0.13 | $\pm$ | 0.12 |
| CAREY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 04/06/2004 | -0.60 | $\pm$ | 1.49 | -0.02 | $\pm$ | 0.06 | 0.74 | $\pm$ | 1.41 | 0.03 | $\pm$ | 0.05 |
|  | 05/04/2004 | -3.63 | $\pm$ | 1.95 | -0.13 | $\pm$ | 0.07 | 2.00 | $\pm$ | 1.31 | 0.07 | $\pm$ | 0.05 |
|  | 06/01/2004 | -3.03 | $\pm$ | 2.08 | -0.11 | $\pm$ | 0.08 | -0.85 | $\pm$ | 1.45 | -0.03 | $\pm$ | 0.05 |
| DIETRICH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 04/06/2004 | -0.43 | $\pm$ | 1.42 | -0.02 | $\pm$ | 0.05 | 0.77 | $\pm$ | 1.36 | 0.03 | $\pm$ | 0.05 |
| Duplicate | 04/06/2004 | 2.79 | $\pm$ | 1.31 | 0.10 | $\pm$ | 0.05 | 1.26 | $\pm$ | 0.86 | 0.05 | $\pm$ | 0.03 |
|  | 05/04/2004 | 0.78 | $\pm$ | 1.83 | 0.03 | $\pm$ | 0.07 | 0.48 | $\pm$ | 1.41 | 0.02 | $\pm$ | 0.05 |
|  | 06/01/2004 | 0.45 | $\pm$ | 1.17 | 0.02 | $\pm$ | 0.04 | -0.47 | $\pm$ | 0.90 | -0.02 | $\pm$ | 0.03 |
| HOWE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 04/06/2004 | -0.83 | $\pm$ | 2.09 | -0.03 | $\pm$ | 0.08 | -3.29 | $\pm$ | 3.02 | -0.12 | $\pm$ | 0.11 |
|  | 05/04/2004 | 1.59 | $\pm$ | 2.40 | 0.06 | $\pm$ | 0.09 | 2.47 | $\pm$ | 2.90 | 0.09 | $\pm$ | 0.11 |
|  | 06/01/2004 | -0.11 | $\pm$ | 3.57 | 0.00 | $\pm$ | 0.13 | 2.23 | $\pm$ | 3.53 | 0.08 | $\pm$ | 0.13 |
|  | 06/01/2004 | 0.66 | $\pm$ | 2.14 | 0.02 | $\pm$ | 0.08 | 2.58 | $\pm$ | 1.33 | 0.10 | $\pm$ | 0.05 |
| IDAHO FALLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 04/06/2004 | 0.54 | $\pm$ | 1.07 | 0.02 | $\pm$ | 0.04 | 0.21 | $\pm$ | 0.82 | 0.01 | $\pm$ | 0.03 |
|  | 04/14/2004 | -0.47 | $\pm$ | 1.01 | -0.02 | $\pm$ | 0.04 | -0.60 | $\pm$ | 0.83 | -0.02 | $\pm$ | 0.03 |
|  | 04/21/2004 | -2.34 | $\pm$ | 0.95 | -0.09 | $\pm$ | 0.04 | -0.21 | $\pm$ | 0.85 | -0.01 | $\pm$ | 0.03 |
|  | 04/28/2004 | -0.49 | $\pm$ | 1.01 | -0.02 | $\pm$ | 0.04 | 0.93 | $\pm$ | 0.84 | 0.03 | $\pm$ | 0.03 |
|  | 05/04/2004 | -0.98 | $\pm$ | 1.51 | -0.04 | $\pm$ | 0.06 | 1.65 | $\pm$ | 1.36 | 0.06 | $\pm$ | 0.05 |
|  | 05/12/2004 | 0.32 | $\pm$ | 1.02 | 0.01 | $\pm$ | 0.04 | -0.33 | $\pm$ | 0.84 | -0.01 | $\pm$ | 0.03 |
|  | 05/19/2004 | -0.02 | $\pm$ | 2.05 | 0.00 | $\pm$ | 0.08 | 1.23 | $\pm$ | 2.98 | 0.05 | $\pm$ | 0.11 |
|  | 05/26/2004 | 0.96 | $\pm$ | 2.47 | 0.04 | $\pm$ | 0.09 | 1.36 | $\pm$ | 3.28 | 0.05 | $\pm$ | 0.12 |
|  | 06/01/2004 | -2.16 | $\pm$ | 3.17 | -0.08 | $\pm$ | 0.12 | 2.70 | $\pm$ | 3.46 | 0.10 | $\pm$ | 0.13 |
|  | 06/09/2004 | 0.14 | $\pm$ | 1.06 | 0.01 | $\pm$ | 0.04 | -0.38 | $\pm$ | 0.92 | -0.01 | $\pm$ | 0.03 |
|  | 06/16/2004 | -1.75 | $\pm$ | 1.07 | -0.06 | $\pm$ | 0.04 | 0.40 | $\pm$ | 0.93 | 0.01 | $\pm$ | 0.03 |
|  | 06/24/2004 | -0.03 | $\pm$ | 1.07 | 0.00 | $\pm$ | 0.04 | -0.36 | $\pm$ | 0.92 | -0.01 | $\pm$ | 0.03 |

TABLE C-8: Cesium-137, lodine-131 and Strontium-90 Concentrations in Milk.

| Location | SamplingDate | lodine-131 |  |  |  |  |  | Cesium-137 |  |  |  |  |  | Tritium/Strontium-90 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Result $\pm 1 \mathrm{~s}$ Uncertainty (pCi/L) |  |  | Result $\pm$ 1s Uncertainty (Bq/L) |  |  | Result $\pm 1 \mathrm{~s}$ Uncertainty (pCi/L) |  |  | Result $\pm$ 1s Uncertainty (Bq/L) |  |  | Result $\pm 1 \mathrm{~s}$ Uncertainty (pCi/L) |  |  | Result $\pm$ 1s Uncertainty (Bq/L) |  |  |
| MORELAND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 04/06/2004 | 0.52 | $\pm$ | 1.86 | 0.02 | $\pm$ | 0.07 | -2.42 | $\pm$ | 3.05 | -0.09 | $\pm$ | 0.11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 04/06/2004 | -1.10 | $\pm$ | 0.97 | -0.04 | $\pm$ | 0.04 | -0.57 | $\pm$ | 0.82 | -0.02 | $\pm$ | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 05/04/2004 | 0.33 | $\pm$ | 0.99 | 0.01 | $\pm$ | 0.04 | -1.08 | $\pm$ | 0.94 | -0.04 | $\pm$ | 0.03 | 24.30 | $\pm$ | 48.70 | 0.90 | $\pm$ | 1.80 |
|  | 06/01/2004 | 0.32 | $\pm$ | 1.09 | 0.01 | $\pm$ | 0.04 | 0.60 | $\pm$ | 0.78 | 0.02 | $\pm$ | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ROBERTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 04/06/2004 | 0.33 | $\pm$ | 0.92 | 0.01 | $\pm$ | 0.03 | 1.88 | $\pm$ | 0.94 | 0.07 | $\pm$ | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 05/04/2004 | -0.49 | $\pm$ | 1.01 | -0.02 | $\pm$ | 0.04 | -0.72 | $\pm$ | 0.86 | -0.03 | $\pm$ | 0.03 | 26.90 | $\pm$ | 53.80 | 1.00 | $\pm$ | 1.99 |
|  | 06/01/2004 | -0.35 | $\pm$ | 1.18 | -0.01 | $\pm$ | 0.04 | -0.31 | $\pm$ | 0.77 | -0.01 | $\pm$ | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RUPERT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 04/06/2004 | -0.61 | $\pm$ | 0.80 | -0.02 | $\pm$ | 0.03 | -0.44 | $\pm$ | 0.93 | -0.02 | $\pm$ | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 05/04/2004 | -1.65 | $\pm$ | 1.21 | -0.06 | $\pm$ | 0.04 | -0.24 | $\pm$ | 0.85 | -0.01 | $\pm$ | 0.03 | 0.47 | $\pm$ | 0.16 | 0.02 | $\pm$ | 0.01 |
|  | 06/01/2004 | 0.11 | $\pm$ | 1.33 | 0.00 | $\pm$ | 0.05 | 0.14 | $\pm$ | 0.91 | 0.01 | $\pm$ | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TERRETON |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 04/06/2004 | 0.52 | $\pm$ | 1.02 | 0.02 | $\pm$ | 0.04 | -0.84 | $\pm$ | 0.84 | -0.03 | $\pm$ | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 05/04/2004 | -0.83 | $\pm$ | 1.76 | -0.03 | $\pm$ | 0.07 | -0.09 | $\pm$ | 1.36 | 0.00 | $\pm$ | 0.05 | 0.45 | $\pm$ | 0.19 | 0.02 | $\pm$ | 0.01 |
|  | 06/01/2004 | 1.03 | $\pm$ | 1.46 | 0.04 | $\pm$ | 0.05 | -0.44 | $\pm$ | 0.94 | -0.02 | $\pm$ | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE C-9: Cesium-137 and lodine-131 Concentrations in Sheep.

| Tissue | Analyte | Sampling Date | Result $\pm$ 1s Uncertainty |  |  | Result $\pm$ 1s Uncertainty |  |  | Result > 3s |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INEEL NORTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Animal \#1 LIVER | CESIUM-137 | 05/20/2004 | 0.85 | $\pm$ | 1.11 | 3.14 | $\pm$ | 4.12 |  |
|  | IODINE-131 | 05/20/2004 | -0.44 | $\pm$ | 1.39 | -1.61 | $\pm$ | 5.15 |  |
| MUSCLE | CESIUM-137 | 05/20/2004 | 1.05 | $\pm$ | 1.35 | 3.90 | $\pm$ | 4.99 |  |
|  | IODINE-131 | 05/20/2004 | 0.03 | $\pm$ | 1.44 | 0.13 | $\pm$ | 5.33 |  |
| THYROID | CESIUM-137 | 05/20/2004 | -194.00 | $\pm$ | 185.00 | -718.52 | $\pm$ | 685.19 |  |
|  | IODINE-131 | 05/20/2004 | 220.00 | $\pm$ | 164.00 | 814.81 | $\pm$ | 607.41 |  |
| Animal \# 2 LIVER | CESIUM-137 | 05/20/2004 | 0.84 | $\pm$ | 1.19 | 3.11 | $\pm$ | 4.43 |  |
|  | IODINE-131 | 05/20/2004 | -1.49 | $\pm$ | 1.12 | -5.52 | $\pm$ | 4.15 |  |
| MUSCLE | CESIUM-137 | 05/20/2004 | 3.04 | $\pm$ | 1.10 | 11.25 | $\pm$ | 4.09 |  |
|  | IODINE-131 | 05/20/2004 | 0.55 | $\pm$ | 1.40 | 2.02 | $\pm$ | 5.19 |  |
| THYROID | CESIUM-137 | 05/20/2004 | 115.00 | $\pm$ | 148.00 | 425.93 | $\pm$ | 548.15 |  |
|  | IODINE-131 | 05/20/2004 | 12.10 | $\pm$ | 125.00 | 44.81 | $\pm$ | 462.96 |  |
| INEEL SOUTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Animal \# 1 LIVER | CESIUM-137 | 04/30/2004 | 4.33 | $\pm$ | 1.30 | 16.03 | $\pm$ | 4.82 | Y |
|  | IODINE-131 | 04/30/2004 | -6.55 | $\pm$ | 4.58 | -24.26 | $\pm$ | 16.96 |  |
| MUSCLE | CESIUM-137 | 04/30/2004 | -1.00 | $\pm$ | 5.17 | -3.70 | $\pm$ | 19.14 |  |
|  | IODINE-131 | 04/30/2004 | 13.60 | $\pm$ | 10.30 | 50.37 | $\pm$ | 38.15 |  |
| THYROID | CESIUM-137 | 04/30/2004 | 91.10 | $\pm$ | 95.10 | 337.41 | $\pm$ | 352.22 |  |
|  | IODINE-131 | 04/30/2004 | 64.20 | $\pm$ | 71.60 | 237.78 | $\pm$ | 265.19 |  |
| Animal \# 2 LIVER | CESIUM-137 | 04/30/2004 | 4.76 | $\pm$ | 1.24 | 17.65 | $\pm$ | 4.58 | Y |
|  | IODINE-131 | 04/30/2004 | 5.12 | $\pm$ | 3.49 | 18.96 | $\pm$ | 12.93 |  |
| MUSCLE | CESIUM-137 | 04/30/2004 | 3.99 | $\pm$ | 1.31 | 14.78 | $\pm$ | 4.85 | Y |
|  | IODINE-131 | 04/30/2004 | 0.83 | $\pm$ | 5.55 | 3.07 | $\pm$ | 20.56 |  |
| THYROID | CESIUM-137 | 04/30/2004 | 7.46 | $\pm$ | 27.00 | 27.63 | $\pm$ | 100.00 |  |
|  | IODINE-131 | 04/30/2004 | 22.90 | $\pm$ | 30.90 | 84.81 | $\pm$ | 114.44 |  |

TABLE C-9: Cesium-137 and lodine-131 Concentrations in Sheep.

| Tissue | Analyte | Sampling Date | Result $\pm$ 1s Uncertainty (pCi/kg wet weight) |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Result } \pm \text { 1s Uncertainty } \\ & \hline\left(\times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~Bq} / \mathrm{kg} \text { wet weight }\right) \end{aligned}$ |  | Result > 3s |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DUBOIS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Animal \# 1 LIVER | CESIUM-137 | 04/30/2004 | 1.22 | $\pm$ | 1.28 | 4.53 | $\pm \quad 4.73$ |  |
|  | IODINE-131 | 04/30/2004 | -2.39 | $\pm$ | 6.04 | -8.85 | $\pm \quad 22.37$ |  |
| MUSCLE | CESIUM-137 | 04/30/2004 | 0.72 | $\pm$ | 1.31 | 2.65 | $\pm \quad 4.86$ |  |
|  | IODINE-131 | 04/30/2004 | -13.80 | $\pm$ | 8.13 | -51.11 | $\pm \quad 30.11$ |  |
| THYROID | CESIUM-137 | 04/30/2004 | -52.00 | $\pm$ | 45.70 | -192.59 | $\pm \quad 169.26$ |  |
|  | IODINE-131 | 04/30/2004 | -1.07 | $\pm$ | 41.80 | -3.96 | $\pm \quad 154.81$ |  |
| Animal \# 2 LIVER | CESIUM-137 | 04/30/2004 | -6.80 | $\pm$ | 4.43 | -25.19 | $\pm \quad 16.40$ |  |
|  | IODINE-131 | 04/30/2004 | 9.41 | $\pm$ | 12.20 | 34.85 | $\pm \quad 45.19$ |  |
| MUSCLE | CESIUM-137 | 04/30/2004 | 3.98 | $\pm$ | 1.33 | 14.75 | $\pm \quad 4.94$ |  |
|  | IODINE-131 | 04/30/2004 | 5.64 | $\pm$ | 7.42 | 20.89 | $\pm \quad 27.48$ |  |
| THYROID | CESIUM-137 | 04/30/2004 | -103 | $\pm$ | 137.00 | -381.48 | $\pm \quad 507.41$ |  |
|  | IODINE-131 | 04/30/2004 | -82.1 | $\pm$ | 72.20 | -304.07 | $\pm \quad 267.41$ |  |

TABEL C-10: Cesium-137 and Iodine-131 Concentrations in Game Animals.

| PRONGHORN | Tissue | Analyte | Sampling Date | Result $\pm$ 1s Uncertainty |  |  | Result $\pm$ 1s Uncertainty |  |  | Result > 3s |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | (pCi/kg wet weight) |  |  | ( $\times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~Bq} / \mathrm{kg}$ wet weight) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LIVER | IODINE-131 | 04/07/2004 | -4.63 | $\pm$ | 2.33 | -17.13 | $\pm$ | 8.62 |  |
|  | MUSCLE | IODINE-131 | 04/07/2004 | -5.62 | $\pm$ | 3.90 | -20.79 | $\pm$ | 14.43 |  |
|  | THYROID | CESIUM-137 | 04/07/2004 | -12.70 | $\pm$ | 163.00 | -46.99 | $\pm$ | 603.10 |  |
|  | THYROID | IODINE-131 | 04/07/2004 | 99.10 | $\pm$ | 187.00 | 366.67 | $\pm$ | 691.90 |  |
| MULE DEER ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LIVER | CESIUM-137 | 04/20/2004 | -6.89 | $\pm$ | 5.90 | -25.49 | $\pm$ | 21.83 |  |
|  | LIVER | IODINE-131 | 04/20/2004 | -3.59 |  | 3.71 | -13.28 | $\pm$ | 13.73 |  |
|  | MUSCLE | CESIUM-137 | 04/20/2004 | 1.66 | $\pm$ | 1.48 | 6.14 | $\pm$ | 5.48 |  |
|  | MUSCLE | IODINE-131 | 04/20/2004 | 0.23 | $\pm$ | 1.33 | 0.85 | $\pm$ | 4.92 |  |
|  | THYROID | IODINE-131 | 04/20/2004 | -31.30 | $\pm$ | 105.00 | -115.81 | $\pm$ | 388.50 |  |
| Fetus | MUSCLE | IODINE-131 | 04/20/2004 | -18.10 | $\pm$ | 15.00 | -66.97 | $\pm$ | 55.50 |  |
| MULE DEER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LIVER | IODINE-131 | 05/06/2004 | -18.20 | $\pm$ | 17.90 | -67.34 | $\pm$ | 66.23 |  |
|  | MUSCLE | IODINE-131 | 05/06/2004 | -4.43 | $\pm$ | 4.18 | -16.39 | $\pm$ | 15.47 |  |
|  | THYROID | IODINE-131 | 05/06/2004 | 10.30 | $\pm$ | 90.40 | 38.11 | $\pm$ | 334.48 |  |
| a. Deer was fitted with a radio collar around 1995. This would make her age would have been around 10 years old. She was pregnant. Tissue from the fetus was analyzed and had no detectable radionuclides. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE C-11: Environmental Radiation Results


## APPENDIX D

## STATISTICAL ANALYSIS RESULTS

Table D-1. Results of the Kruskal-Wallace ${ }^{\text {a }}$ statistical test between INEEL, Boundary, and Distant sample groups by month.

| Parameter | $\mathbf{p}^{\mathbf{b}}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Gross Alpha | 0.71 |
| Quarter | 0.67 |
| April | 0.44 |
| May | 0.73 |
| Gross Beta | 0.42 |
| Quarter | 1.00 |
| April | 1.00 |
| May | 1.00 |
| June | See the Determining Statistical Differences of the Helpful <br> Information section for details on the Kruskal-Wallace test <br> and a description of each test statistic. |
| b.A 'p' value greater than o.05 signifies no statistical <br> difference between data groups. |  |

Table D-2. Statistical difference in weekly gross alpha and gross beta concentrations measured at Boundary and Distant locations.

| Parameter |  | Mann-Whitney U test ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Week | $\mathbf{p}^{\text {b }}$ |
| Gross Alpha |  |  |
|  | April ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 0.48 |
|  | April $14^{\text {th }}$ | 0.67 |
|  | April $21{ }^{\text {st }}$ | 0.67 |
|  | April $28{ }^{\text {th }}$ | 0.48 |
|  | May $5^{\text {th }}$ | 1.00 |
|  | May $12^{\text {th }}$ | 0.32 |
|  | May $19^{\text {th }}$ | 1.00 |
|  | May $26^{\text {th }}$ | 0.67 |
|  | June $2^{\text {nd }}$ | 0.87 |
|  | June $9^{\text {th }}$ | 0.48 |
|  | June $16^{\text {th }}$ | 0.87 |
|  | June $23{ }^{\text {rd }}$ | 0.87 |
|  | June $30^{\text {th }}$ | 0.11 |
| Gross Beta |  |  |
|  | April ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 0.78 |
|  | April $14^{\text {th }}$ | 0.25 |
|  | April $21{ }^{\text {st }}$ | 1.00 |
|  | April $28{ }^{\text {th }}$ | 0.78 |
|  | May $5^{\text {th }}$ | 0.57 |
|  | May $12^{\text {th }}$ | 1.00 |
|  | May $19^{\text {th }}$ | 0.83 |
|  | May $26{ }^{\text {th }}$ | 0.89 |
|  | June $2^{\text {nd }}$ | 0.72 |
|  | June $9^{\text {th }}$ | 0.48 |
|  | June $16^{\text {th }}$ | 0.15 |
|  | June $23{ }^{\text {rd }}$ | 0.94 |
|  | June $30{ }^{\text {th }}$ | 0.30 |

a. See the Determining Statistical Differences of the Helpful Information section for details on the Mann-Whitney $U$ test and a description of each test statistic.
b. A 'p' value greater than 0.05 signifies no statistical difference between data groups.

